

## On $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of dual Banach algebras

A. Mahmoodi\*

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University,  
Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.

Received 29 September 2014; Revised 14 November 2014; Accepted 10 December 2014.

---

**Abstract.** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $w^*$ -continuous homomorphism from a dual Banach algebra to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The notion of  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability is studied and some characterizations is given. A type of diagonal for dual Banach algebras is defined. It is proved that the existence of such a diagonal is equivalent to  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability. It is also shown that  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability is equivalent to so-called  $\varphi$ -splitting of a certain short exact sequence.

© 2014 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:** Dual Banach algebra,  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability,  $\varphi$ -injectivity.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** Primary: 22D15, 43A10; Secondary: 43A20, 46H25.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $E$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A continuous linear operator  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  is a *derivation* if it satisfies  $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Given  $x \in E$ , the *inner* derivation  $ad_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  is defined by  $ad_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ . Amenability for Banach algebras as introduced by B. E. Johnson [4], has proved to be an important and fertile notion. A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is *amenable* if for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $E$ , every derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $E^*$ , the dual of  $E$ , is inner. We recall that the projective tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule in the canonical way. Then the map  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$ , is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule homomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. A Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $E$  is *dual* if there is a closed submodule  $E_*$  of  $E^*$  such that  $E = (E_*)^*$ . We say  $E_*$  the *predual* of  $E$ . A dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $E$  is *normal* if the module actions of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E$  are  $w^*$ -continuous. A Banach

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: a.mahmoodi@iauctb.ac.ir (A. Mahmoodi).

algebra is *dual* if it is dual as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. We write  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  if we wish to stress that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra with predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . Connes amenability, which seems to be a natural variant of amenability for dual Banach algebras, systematically was introduced by V. Runde [7]. Although, it had been studied previously under different names. A dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Connes amenable* if every  $w^*$ -continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into a normal, dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is inner. Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  be a dual Banach algebra and let  $E$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Then  $\sigma wc(E)$  stands for the set of all elements  $x \in E$  such that the maps

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow E, \quad a \longmapsto \begin{cases} a \cdot x \\ x \cdot a \end{cases},$$

are  $w^*$ -weak continuous. It is a closed submodule of  $E$ .

A generalization of amenability which depends on homomorphisms was introduced by E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym in [5]. This concept was also studied independently, by M. S. Monfared in [6]. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -*amenable* if there exists a bounded linear functional  $m$  on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  satisfying  $m(\varphi) = 1$  and  $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . We write  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  for the set of all homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In this note we study  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability for dual Banach algebras. The organization of the paper is as follow. Firstly, in section 2, we study basic properties of  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability. We characterize it through vanishing of  $H_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E)$  for certain Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A number of hereditary properties are also discussed.

In section 3, we define a type of virtual diagonal for a dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , showing that the existence of such a diagonal is equivalent to  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Finally in section 4, we give a characterization of  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of a dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  in terms of so-called  $\varphi$ -splitting of the short exact sequence

$$\sum : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*) \longrightarrow \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*) / \pi^*(\mathcal{A}_*) \longrightarrow 0.$$

## 2. Basic properties

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then it is an easy observation that  $\varphi$  is  $w^*$ -continuous if and only if  $\varphi \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ . For a dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$  will denote the set of all  $w^*$ -continuous homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 2.1** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . We call  $\mathcal{A}$   $\varphi$ -*Connes amenable* if  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a  $\varphi$ -*Connes mean*  $m$ , i.e., there exists a bounded linear functional  $m$  on  $\sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$  satisfying  $m(\varphi) = 1$  and  $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ .

We recall some terminology from [7]. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra and  $E$  be a normal, dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. We write  $Z_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E)$  for the set of all  $w^*$ -continuous derivations from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $E$ . Clearly  $B^1(\mathcal{A}, E)$ , the set of all inner derivations from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $E$ , is a subspace of  $Z_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E)$ . Whence we have the meaningful definition  $H_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E) = Z_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E) / B^1(\mathcal{A}, E)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable;
- (ii) If  $E = (E_*)^*$  is a normal, dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule such that  $x \cdot a = \varphi(a)x$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $H_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Let  $m$  be  $\varphi$ -Connes mean for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Take  $E$  as in the clause (ii). Let  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  be a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation, so that  $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + \varphi(b)D(a)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . From [9, Corollary 4.6], we know that  $D^*$  maps  $E_*$  into  $\sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ . Take  $d = D^*|_{E_*}$  and  $\tilde{D} = d^* : \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)^* \rightarrow E$ . Set  $x_0 = \tilde{D}(m) \in E$ . Then for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $f \in E_*$  we have  $\langle x, a \cdot f \rangle = \langle x \cdot a, f \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle x, f \rangle$ , so that  $a \cdot f = \varphi(a)f$  and hence  $d(a \cdot f) = \varphi(a)d(f)$ . For all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in E_*$ , we get for the right action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E_*$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, d(f \cdot a) \rangle &= \langle D(b), f \cdot a \rangle = \langle a \cdot D(b), f \rangle \\ &= \langle D(ab), f \rangle - \varphi(b)\langle D(a), f \rangle \\ &= \langle b, d(f) \cdot a \rangle - \varphi(b)\langle f, D(a) \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d(f \cdot a) = d(f) \cdot a - \langle f, D(a) \rangle \varphi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in E_*$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, a \cdot x_0 \rangle &= \langle f \cdot a, \tilde{D}(m) \rangle = \langle d(f \cdot a), m \rangle \\ &= \langle d(f) \cdot a, m \rangle - \langle f, D(a) \rangle \\ &= \varphi(a)\langle f, x_0 \rangle - \langle f, D(a) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $D(a) = \varphi(a)x_0 - a \cdot x_0$ . Then we obtain  $D(a) = a \cdot (-x_0) - (-x_0) \cdot a = ad_{-x_0}(a)$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , as required.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) It is easy because in order to prove  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the condition  $H_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, E) = \{0\}$  only exploit for a normal, dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with right action given by  $x \cdot a = \varphi(a)x$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . ■

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra. It is known that its *unitization*  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}e$ , is a dual Banach algebra as well, where  $e$  is the identity of  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ . We define  $f_0 : \mathcal{A}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_0(e) = 1$  and  $f_0|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ , so that  $(\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^* = \mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}f_0$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $\varphi^\sharp$  be its unique extension to  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ , i.e.,  $\varphi^\sharp(a + \lambda e) = \varphi(a) + \lambda$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is obvious that  $\varphi^\sharp \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}^\sharp)$ . For the right module action in  $f_0$ , we have  $f_0 \cdot (a + \lambda e) = \lambda f_0$ , since  $f_0 \cdot a = 0$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . We may identify  $(\mathbb{C}f_0)^*$  with  $\mathbb{C}m_0$ , where  $m_0$  is a functional on  $(\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^*$  defined by  $m_0(f_0) = 1$  and  $m_0|_{\mathcal{A}^*} = 0$ . Therefore, if we consider  $\mathbb{C}f_0$  as a sub  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ -bimodule of  $(\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^*$ , then we see that  $f_0 \in \sigma wc(\mathbb{C}f_0)$  so that  $\sigma wc(\mathbb{C}f_0) = \mathbb{C}f_0$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $\sigma wc((\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^*) = \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*) \oplus \mathbb{C}f_0$ , so that  $\sigma wc((\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^*)^* = \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}m_0$ .

Now, we are ready to prove the following.

**Theorem 2.3** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is  $\varphi^\sharp$ -Connes amenable.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable and let  $m \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)^*$  be a  $\varphi$ -Connes mean for  $\mathcal{A}$ . We define  $n \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^*)^*$  by

$$n(f + \lambda f_0) = m(f) , \quad (f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) .$$

Then  $n(\varphi^\sharp) = n(\varphi + f_0) = m(\varphi) = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} n((f + \lambda f_0) \cdot (a + \mu e)) &= m(f \cdot a + \mu f) = \varphi(a)m(f) + \mu m(f) \\ &= (\varphi(a) + \mu)m(f) = \varphi^\sharp(a + \mu e)n(f + \lambda f_0) \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $n$  is a  $\varphi^\sharp$ -Connes mean for  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ .

Conversely, suppose that there exists  $m \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A}^\sharp)^*)^*$  with  $m(\varphi^\sharp) = 1$  and

$$m((f + \lambda f_0) \cdot (a + \mu e)) = \varphi^\sharp(a + \mu e)m(f + \lambda f_0)$$

for  $f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $f_0 \cdot a = 0$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $m(f_0 \cdot a) = 0$ . Choosing  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi(a) = 1$ , we conclude that  $m(f_0) = 0$ . Then  $n(\varphi) = 1$  and  $n(f \cdot a) = \varphi^\sharp(a + 0e)m(f + 0e) = \varphi(a)n(f)$  for  $f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , as required. ■

**Theorem 2.4** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are dual Banach algebras,  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a continuous and  $w^*$ -continuous homomorphism with  $w^*$ -dense range, and that  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{B})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi \circ \theta$ -Connes amenable, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable.

**Proof.** Notice that  $\varphi \circ \theta \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . Suppose that  $m \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)^*$  satisfies  $m(\varphi \circ \theta) = 1$  and  $m(f \cdot a) = (\varphi \circ \theta)(a)m(f)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ . Define  $n \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{B}^*)^*$  by  $n(g) = m(g \circ \theta)$  for  $g \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{B}^*)$ . Next, for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{B}^*)$  we have  $(g \cdot \theta(a)) \circ \theta = (g \circ \theta) \cdot a$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} n(g \cdot \theta(a)) &= m((g \cdot \theta(a)) \circ \theta) = m((g \circ \theta) \cdot a) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \theta)(a)m(g \circ \theta) = (\varphi \circ \theta)(a)n(g) . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta(\mathcal{A})$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}$ , the above equation suffices to prove  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{B}$ . ■

Analogously, we may obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.5** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a dual Banach algebra,  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a continuous homomorphism with  $w^*$ -dense range, and that  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{B})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi \circ \theta$ -amenable, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Arens regular Banach algebra which is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . It is immediate that  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is a dual Banach algebra [8]. Let  $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , the extension of  $\varphi$  to  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , belongs to  $\Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . To see this, suppose that  $\Lambda_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} \Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and choose  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ . Then  $a\Lambda_\alpha \xrightarrow{wk} a\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Therefore  $\varphi(a) \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(\Lambda_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha \varphi(a\Lambda_\alpha) = \varphi(a\Lambda) = \varphi(a)\tilde{\varphi}(\Lambda)$ , so that  $\lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(\Lambda_\alpha) = \tilde{\varphi}(\Lambda)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Arens regular Banach algebra which is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , and let  $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -Connes amenable.

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) Because  $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  is the inclusion map, this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) By the assumption, there is  $m \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^{***})^*$  such that  $m(\tilde{\varphi}) = 1$  and  $m(F \cdot u) = \tilde{\varphi}(u)m(F)$ , for  $u \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $F \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^{***})$ . Set  $\bar{m} = m|_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ , the restriction of  $m$  to  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is a dual Banach algebra,  $\mathcal{A}^* \subseteq \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^{***})$  and therefore  $\bar{m}$  is

well-defined. Then, it is readily seen that  $\bar{m}(\varphi) = m(\tilde{\varphi}) = 1$  and  $\bar{m}(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)\bar{m}(f)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . ■

**Remark 1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a (commutative) dual Banach algebra and let  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a non-trivial bounded  $w^*$ -point derivation at  $\varphi$ , that is, there exists  $0 \neq d \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $d$  is  $w^*$ -continuous and  $d(ab) = \varphi(a)d(b) + d(a)\varphi(b)$ , ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Then we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable. To see this, we consider  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$  as a normal, dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with actions  $a \cdot z = z \cdot a = \varphi(a)z$ , for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore  $d$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation and then  $d$  is inner, by  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ . But any derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  is zero.

**Example 2.7** It is shown that the discrete convolution algebra  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$  is isomorphic to the  $A^+(\mathbb{D})$ , the commutative Banach algebra of all functions  $f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n z^n$  in the disk algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$  which have an absolutely convergent Taylor expansion on  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $\mathbb{D}$  denotes the open unit disk. The map  $z \mapsto \varphi_z$ , where  $\varphi_z$  is the point derivation at  $z$ , i.e,  $\varphi_z(\sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \delta_n) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n z^n$ , is a bijection between  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+))$ . The reader may see [1] for more information. When  $|z| = 1$ , we observe that  $\varphi_z$  is not  $w^*$ -continuous. On the other hand, if  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  then  $\varphi_z$  is  $w^*$ -continuous. Therefore  $\Delta_{w^*}(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)) = \mathbb{D}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , the map  $d : \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$d(f) = f'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty n c_n z^{n-1}, \quad (f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \delta_n \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+))$$

is a bounded  $w^*$ -point derivation at  $\varphi_z$ . We notice that the  $w^*$ -continuity of  $d$  is a consequence of the fact that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n z^{n-1} = 0$ . Then by Remark 2.7, we conclude that  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$  is not  $\varphi_z$ -Connes amenable for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

### 3. $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$ Diagonal

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  be a dual Banach algebra. It is known that  $\pi^*(\mathcal{A}_*) \subseteq \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)$  and then taking adjoint, we can extend  $\pi$  to an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule homomorphism  $\pi_{\sigma wc}$  from  $\sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . A  $\sigma wc$ -virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$  is an element  $M \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  such that  $a \cdot M = M \cdot a$  and  $a \pi_{\sigma wc}(M) = a$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is known that Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to existence of a  $\sigma wc$ -virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ . The reader is referred to [9] for the proofs and more details.

From [9], we also know that  $\pi^*(\sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)) \subseteq \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)$ . So if  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $\varphi \otimes \varphi = \pi^*(\varphi) \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)$ , where  $\varphi \otimes \varphi(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ , for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra, and let  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . An element  $M \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  is a  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$  if

- (i)  $a \cdot M = \varphi(a)M$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ );
- (ii)  $\langle \varphi \otimes \varphi, M \rangle = 1$ .

**Remark 2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra. Taking adjoint of the restriction map  $\pi^*|_{\sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)}$ , we obtain an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule homomorphism  $\pi_{\sigma wc}^0 : \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^* \rightarrow \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)^*$ . Because we choose homomorphisms from  $\sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$ , which is larger than  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , working with  $\pi_{\sigma wc}^0$  seems more natural than that of  $\pi_{\sigma wc}$ . As a consequence, we observe that  $\langle \varphi \otimes \varphi, M \rangle = \langle \varphi, \pi_{\sigma wc}^0(M) \rangle$ , whenever  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $M \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$ .

With these preparations, we can now characterize  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable dual Banach algebras through the existence of  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonals.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra, and let  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable.
- (ii) There is a  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\longrightarrow$  (ii) Consider the Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  with the module actions given by

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) = ab \otimes c \quad \text{and} \quad (b \otimes c) \cdot a = \varphi(a)b \otimes c \quad (a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}) .$$

Put  $E = \frac{\sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)}{\mathbb{C}(\varphi \otimes \varphi)}$ . Then  $E^* = \mathbb{C}(\varphi \otimes \varphi)^\perp = \{\Lambda \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^* : \Lambda(\varphi \otimes \varphi) = 0\}$  is a normal, dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule for which the right module action is given by  $\Lambda \cdot a = \varphi(a)\Lambda$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Lambda \in E^*$ . Choose  $M_0 \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  such that  $M_0(\varphi \otimes \varphi) = 1$ . Then we see that the image of the inner derivation  $ad_{M_0} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  is a subset of  $E^*$ . By our assumption, there exists  $M_1 \in E^*$  such that  $ad_{M_0} = ad_{M_1}$ . Then it is easy to check that  $M := M_0 - M_1$  is a  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(ii)  $\longrightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $M \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  is a  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is clear that  $\pi_{\sigma wc}^0(M)(\varphi) = 1$ . For  $f \in \sigma wc(\mathcal{A}^*)$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then we have

$$\pi_{\sigma wc}^0(M)(f \cdot a) = \langle f, a \cdot \pi_{\sigma wc}^0(M) \rangle = \langle f, \pi_{\sigma wc}^0(a \cdot M) \rangle = \varphi(a)\pi_{\sigma wc}^0(M)(f) .$$

This shows that  $\pi_{\sigma wc}^0(M)$  is a  $\varphi$ -Connes mean for  $\mathcal{A}$ , as required. ■

#### 4. $\varphi$ -splitting

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules. We recall that a short exact sequence  $\Theta : 0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$  is *admissible*, if there exists a bounded linear map  $\rho : Y \longrightarrow X$  such that  $\rho \circ f$  is the identity map on  $X$ . Further,  $\Theta$  *splits* if we may choose  $\rho$  to be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule homomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  be a unital dual Banach algebra. Then the short exact sequence

$$\sum : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*) \longrightarrow \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)/\pi^*(\mathcal{A}_*) \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules is admissible (indeed, the map  $\rho : \sigma wc(\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_*$  defined by  $\rho(T) = T(e)$  is a bounded left inverse to  $\pi^*|_{\mathcal{A}_*}$ ). In this section we restrict ourselves to the case where  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_*$ . In fact, we choose  $\varphi$ 's in  $\mathcal{A}_*$  because we are interested in the splitting of the short exact sequence  $\sum$ . Then our result would be comparable to the Daws's theorem;  $\mathcal{A}$  is Connes-amenable if and only if  $\sum$  splits [2, Proposition 4.4].

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  be a unital dual Banach algebra, and let  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_*$ . We say that  $\sum$   $\varphi$ -splits if there exists a bounded linear map  $\rho : \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_*$  such that  $\rho \circ \pi^*(\varphi) = \varphi$  and  $\rho(T \cdot a) = \varphi(a)\rho(T)$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $T \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  be a unital dual Banach algebra, and let  $\varphi \in \Delta_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_*$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable;
- (ii) the short exact sequence  $\sum$   $\varphi$ -splits.

**Proof.** (i)  $\longrightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $M$  is a  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define the map

$\rho : \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  by

$$\langle a, \rho(T) \rangle := \langle T \cdot a, M \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, T \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)).$$

The same argument as in the proof of [2, Proposition 4.4], shows that  $\rho$  maps into  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . Then for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\langle a, \rho \circ \pi^*(\varphi) \rangle = \langle \pi^*(\varphi) \cdot a, M \rangle = \langle \pi^*(\varphi), a \cdot M \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle \pi^*(\varphi), M \rangle = \varphi(a),$$

hence  $\rho \circ \pi^*(\varphi) = \varphi$ . Next, for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, \rho(T \cdot a) \rangle &= \langle T \cdot ab, M \rangle = \langle T, ab \cdot M \rangle = \varphi(ab) \langle T, M \rangle \\ &= \varphi(a) \langle T, b \cdot M \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle T \cdot b, M \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle b, \rho(T) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\rho(T \cdot a) = \varphi(a)\rho(T)$ , as required.

(ii)  $\longrightarrow$  (i) Let the map  $\rho : \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_*$  be such that  $\rho \circ \pi^*(\varphi) = \varphi$  and  $\rho(T \cdot a) = \varphi(a)\rho(T)$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $T \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)$ . Take  $M = \rho^*(e)$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we have

$$\langle T, a \cdot M - \varphi(a)M \rangle = \langle \rho(T \cdot a), e \rangle - \varphi(a) \langle T, M \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle \rho(T), e \rangle - \varphi(a) \langle T, M \rangle = 0.$$

We also observe that

$$\langle \varphi \otimes \varphi, M \rangle = \langle \pi^*(\varphi), M \rangle = \langle \rho \circ \pi^*(\varphi), e \rangle = \langle \varphi, e \rangle = 1,$$

so that  $M$  is a  $\varphi$ - $\sigma wc$  virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$  and therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes amenable, by Theorem 3.3.  $\blacksquare$

## Acknowledgement

This research was supported by Islamic Azad University Central Tehran Branch and the author acknowledge it with thanks.

## References

- [1] H. G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity, *Clarendon Press*, Oxford, 2000.
- [2] M. Daws, Connes-amenability of bidual and weighted semigroup algebras, *Math. Scand.* **99** (2006), 217-246.
- [3] M. Daws, Dual Banach algebras: representations and injectivity, *Studia Math.* **178** (2007), 231-275.
- [4] B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972).
- [5] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau, J. Pym, On  $\varphi$ -amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **144** (2008), 85-96.
- [6] M. S. Monfared, Character amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **144** (2008), 697-706.
- [7] V. Runde, Amenability for dual Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **148** (2001), 47-66.
- [8] V. Runde, Lectures on amenability, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1774**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [9] V. Runde, Dual Banach algebras: Connes-amenability, normal, virtual diagonals, and injectivity of the predual bimodule, *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 124-144.