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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. The domination number of graph $G$, denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum size of a dominating set of vertices $V(G)$. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two disjoint copies of graph $G$ and $f: V\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow V\left(G_{2}\right)$ be a function. Then a functigraph $G$ with function $f$ is denoted by $C(G, f)$, its vertices and edges are $V(C(G, f))=V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $E(C(G, f))=E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right) \cup\left\{v u \mid v \in V\left(G_{1}\right), u \in\right.$ $\left.V\left(G_{2}\right), f(v)=u\right\}$, respectively. In this paper, we investigate domination number of complements of functigraphs. We show that for any connected graph $G, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 3$. Also we provide conditions for the function $f$ in some graphs such that $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$. Finally, we prove if $G$ is a bipartite graph or a connected $k$ - regular graph of order $n \geqslant 4$ for $k \in\{2,3,4\}$ and $G \notin\left\{K_{3}, K_{4}, K_{5}, H_{1}, H_{2}\right\}$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
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## 1. Introduction

All graphs throughout this paper considered simple, finite and undirected. The open neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $N_{G}(v)$, is the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ in $G$. The closed neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in graph $G$ is $N_{G}[v]=N_{G}(v) \cup\{v\}$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. We denote the maximum degree of

[^0]$G$ with $\Delta(G)$ and its minimum degree with $\delta(G)$. A vertex is called a universal vertex if it is adjacent to all of the vertices of the graph.

The complement of graph $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$ and defined as a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ which $e \in E(\bar{G})$ if and only if $e \notin E(G)$. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, the induced subgraph on $S$ is denoted by $G[S]$.

A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. The domination number of a graph $G$, denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum size of a dominating set of $G$.

The notations $P_{n}, C_{n}, K_{n}, K_{1, n}, W_{n}$ and $K_{3}^{n}$ are used for path, cycle, complete graph, star, wheel and friendship graph, respectively.

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two disjoint copies of graph $G$ and $f: V\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow V\left(G_{2}\right)$ be a function, where $V\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$. Then a functigraph $G$ with function $f$ is denoted by $C(G, f)$, its vertices and edges are $V(C(G, f))=V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $E(C(G, f))=E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right) \cup\left\{v u \mid v \in V\left(G_{1}\right), u \in V\left(G_{2}\right), f(v)=u\right\}$, respectively. For $u \in V\left(G_{2}\right), f^{-1}(u)=\left\{v \in V\left(G_{1}\right): f(v)=u\right\}$ and $R(f)=\left\{f(v) \mid v \in V\left(G_{1}\right)\right\}$. Also for each $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n$ we define $B_{\ell}=\left\{u \in V\left(G_{2}\right)| | f^{-1}(u) \mid=\ell\right\}$, where $n=|V(G)|$.

In recent years much attention drawn to the domination theory which is very interesting branch in graph theory. Recently, the concept of domination expanded to other parameters of domination such as 2 -rainbow domination, total domination, signed domination and Roman domination. For more details we refer reader to [1, 3, 4]. In 2012, Erol et al. studied the domination in functigraphs, see [2]. They proved that $\gamma(G) \leqslant \gamma(C(G, f)) \leqslant 2 \gamma(G)$ and studied the domination number of $C\left(C_{n}, f\right)$.
In this paper, we study domination number of complements of functigraphs. We show that for any connected graph $G, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 3$ and provide conditions for the function $f$ such that $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$. Finally, we prove if $G$ is a bipartite graph or a connected $k$ - regular graph of order $n \geqslant 4$ for $k \in\{2,3,4\}$ and $G \notin\left\{K_{3}, K_{4}, K_{5}, H_{1}, H_{2}\right\}$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
The main results are the following.
Theorem A. Let graph $G$ has a universal vertex. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if:
(1) $\delta(G) \neq 1$,
(2) $B_{1}=\emptyset$,
(3) For any $i \geqslant 2$ and any $u \in B_{i}, \delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(u)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$,
(4) Every vertex in $B_{0}$ is adjacent to all of the vertices of $B_{i}$, for any $i \geqslant 2$,
(5) If $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \cup_{i \geqslant 2} B_{i}$ and $u$ is not adjacent to $u^{\prime}$, then all of the vertices of $f^{-1}(u)$ are adjacent to each vertex of $f^{-1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem B. Let $n \geqslant 6$ and $G$ be a $(n-2)$-regular graph of order $n$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if:

1) $B_{1}=\emptyset$.
2) If $u \in B_{0}$ and $u^{\prime} \notin N_{G_{2}}(u)$, then $u^{\prime} \in B_{0}$.
3) For each $x \in \cup_{i \geqslant 2} B_{i} ; \delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(x)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$.

Theorem C. Let $G$ be a connected $k$-regular graph of order $n \geqslant 4$, which is not isomorphic to $K_{3}, K_{4}, K_{5}, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. If $k \in\{2,3,4\}$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

## 2. Preliminary

For investigating the domination number of complements of functigraphs, the following Lemmas are useful.

Lemma 2.1 For any connected graph $G, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 3$.
Proof. Let $v_{i} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $u_{j} \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{f\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}$. Then $v_{i}$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{f\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}, u_{j}$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash S_{j}$ and $f\left(v_{i}\right)$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash S_{i}$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$, where $S_{j}=f^{-1}\left(u_{j}\right)$ and $S_{i}=f^{-1}\left(f\left(v_{i}\right)\right)$. Since $S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\emptyset$, so $\left\{v_{i}, f\left(v_{i}\right), u_{j}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Hence $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 3$.
Lemma 2.2 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=1$ if and only if there is an isolated vertex $x$ in $G$ such that $x \notin R(f)$.

Proof. If $x \in V(G)$ is an isolated vertex and $x \notin R(f)$, then $x$ is an isolated vertex in $C(G, f)$. So $x$ is a universal vertex in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Thus $\{x\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$ and $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=1$.
Conversely, let $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=1$ and $\{x\}$ be a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Then $x$ is an isolated vertex in $C(G, f)$. Hence $x$ is an isolated vertex in $G$ and $x \notin R(f)$.
Lemma 2.3 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$. If $B_{0}=\emptyset$ or $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Proof. If $B_{0}=\emptyset$, then $B_{1}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$. It is easy to see that for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $\left\{v_{i}, f\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. So $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$. Since $G$ does not have any isolated vertex, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
If $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $u \in B_{1}$, then we can see that $\left\{u, f^{-1}(u)\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Hence $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$. Since $G$ does not have any isolated vertex, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

By Lemma 2.3, if $f$ is a bijective function, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. So, in the following lemmas and theorems, $f$ is not a bijective function.
Lemma 2.4 Let $G$ be a graph and $\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\} \subseteq V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then

1) if $u_{i}$ is not adjacent to $u_{j}, u_{i} \in R(f)$ and $u_{j} \notin R(f)$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$.
2) if $N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{i}\right) \cap N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{j}\right)=\emptyset$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$.

## Proof.

1) Let $v_{\ell} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(v_{\ell}\right)=u_{i}$. Then $v_{\ell}$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ and $u_{j}$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. So $\left\{v_{\ell}, u_{j}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Thus $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$.
2) Let $N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{i}\right)=N_{i}, N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{j}\right)=N_{j}, f^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)=S_{i}$ and $f^{-1}\left(u_{j}\right)=S_{j}$. Then $u_{i}$ dominates all of the vertices $\left(V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash S_{i}\right) \cup\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash N_{i}\right)$ and $u_{j}$ dominates all of the vertices $\left(V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash S_{j}\right) \cup\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash N_{j}\right)$. So $\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Therefore $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$.

Lemma 2.5 Let $G \cong H_{1}$ and $R(f)=\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$. If $\delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$, for $i \in\{2,4,5\}$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$.

Proof. Let $\{a, b\}$ be a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Since $\overline{H_{1}}$ is a disconnected graph with two component of $C_{4}$ and $K_{3}$, so $\{a, b\} \nsubseteq V\left(G_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2\}$. Hence we may assume that $a \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. We know that $f(a)$ is not dominated by $a$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. So $b \in\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$. Since $\delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$, there is at least one vertex in $f^{-1}(b)$ that is not dominated by $a$ and $b$, which is a contradiction. So $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$.


Figure 1: $H_{1}$
Lemma 2.6 Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$ and $x$ a vertex of $G$ such that the induced subgraph on $N_{G}(x)$ has at least an isolated vertex. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

Proof. Let $u_{i} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ be corresponding to vertex $x \in V(G)$. Then all of the vertices $\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash N_{i}\right) \cup\left(V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash S_{i}\right)$ are dominated by $u_{i}$, where $S_{i}=f^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $N_{i}=N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{i}\right)$. Let $u_{j}$ be an isolated vertex in $G_{2}\left[N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{i}\right)\right]$. Then all of the vertices $N_{i}$ and $S_{i}$ are dominated by $u_{j}$. So $\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$ and $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$. By Lemma 2.2, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

## 3. The proof of our main results

The main results are proven in this section.
Theorem 3.1 Let $G$ be a bipartite graph and $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Proof. Let $V\left(G_{2}\right)=X \cup Y$. If $B_{0}=\emptyset$, then by Lemma 2.3, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. Let $B_{0} \neq \emptyset$ and $u \in B_{0}$. If $u \in X$, then $u$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup X$ and a vertex $u_{i} \in Y$ dominates all of the vertices $Y$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. So $\left\{u, u_{i}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Corollary 3.2 If $G$ is a tree, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.1, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Theorem 3.3 Let graph $G$ has a universal vertex. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if:
(1) $\delta(G) \neq 1$,
(2) $B_{1}=\emptyset$,
(3) For any $i \geqslant 2$ and any $u \in B_{i}, \delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(u)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$,
(4) Every vertex in $B_{0}$ is adjacent to all of the vertices of $B_{i}$, for any $i \geqslant 2$,
(5) If $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \cup_{i \geqslant 2} B_{i}$ and $u$ is not adjacent to $u^{\prime}$, then all of the vertices of $f^{-1}(u)$ are adjacent to each vertex of $f^{-1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ and $w$ be a universal vertex of $G_{2}$.

1) Let $\delta(G)=1, u_{i} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}\left(u_{i}\right)=1$. Then $u_{i}$ dominates all of the vertices
$\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{w\}\right) \cup\left(V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash f^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)$ and $w$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash f^{-1}(w)$. So $\left\{u_{i}, w\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Hence $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$, which is a contradiction. 2) Let $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$, which is a contradiction.
2) If there exists an $i \geqslant 2$ and a $u \in B_{i}$ such that $G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(u)\right]$ has an isolated vertex $v$, Then $v$ dominates all of the vertices $\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{u\}\right) \cup f^{-1}(u)$ and $u$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash f^{-1}(u)$. Hence $\{v, u\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Hence, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$, which is not true.
3) If there exists a $u_{0} \in B_{0}$ that is not adjacent to $u \in B_{i}$ for some $i \geqslant 2$, then $u_{0}$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup\{u\}$ and $v_{k}$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{u\}$, where $f\left(v_{k}\right)=u$. Hence $\left\{u_{0}, v_{k}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Therefore $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant$ 2, which is a contradiction to the fact $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$.
4) If $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \cup_{i \geqslant 2} B_{i}, u$ is not adjacent to $u^{\prime}$ and choose $v \in f^{-1}(u)$ such that $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex of $f^{-1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$, then $v$ dominates all of the vertices $\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{u\}\right) \cup$ $f^{-1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. Also all of the vertices $\left(V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash f^{-1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup\{u\}$ are dominated by $u^{\prime}$. Hence $\left\{v, u^{\prime}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$ and so $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$, which is impossible.
Conversely, on the contrary let $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$ and $D=\{a, b\}$ be a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. We need only consider 3 cases:
Case 1: Let $D=\{a, b\} \subseteq V\left(G_{1}\right)$. If $a$ and $b$ are universal vertices of $G$, then by (1), $G \nexists P_{2}$ and so there is a $v_{k} \in V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash\{a, b\}$ such that it is not dominated by $D$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. If $a$ is a universal vertex and $b$ is not a universal vertex, then by (1), there is a $v_{k} \neq a$ such that it is adjacent to $b$. So $D$ does not dominate $v_{k}$. If $a$ and $b$ are not universal vertices, then universal vertices of $G_{1}$ are not dominated by $D$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$, which is a contradiction.
Case 2: Let $D=\{a, b\} \subseteq V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Similarly, $D=\{a, b\} \subseteq V\left(G_{2}\right)$ leads to a contradiction. Case 3: Now let $a \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then all of the vertices $V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash f(a)$ are dominated by $a$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. If $f(a)=b$, then since $\{a, b\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$, so all of the vertices $f^{-1}(b)$ are dominated by $a$. By $(2),\left|f^{-1}(b)\right| \geqslant 2$ and $a$ must be an isolated vertex of $G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(b)\right]$, which contradicts to (3). Let $f(a) \neq b$. Since $\{a, b\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}, b$ is not adjacent to $f(a)$ in $G_{2}$. Since $B_{1}=\emptyset$, by (4), $b \notin B_{0}$. Hence, $\left|f^{-1}(b)\right| \geqslant 2$. Therefore, $a$ is not adjacent to any vertices of $f^{-1}(b)$, which contradicts to (5). This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.4 Let $n \geqslant 3$ and $G \cong K_{n}$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if $B_{1}=\emptyset$
Corollary 3.5 Let $n \geqslant 5$ and $G \cong W_{n}$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if $R(f)=\{w\}$, where $w$ is a universal vertex of $W_{n}$.

Proof. Let $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$. Then by Theorem $3.3, B_{1}=\emptyset$ and so $B_{0} \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $u_{i} \in B_{0}$. By (4) in Theorem 3.3, $R(f) \subseteq\left\{w, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\right\}$. Hence, $\left\{u_{i-2}, u_{i+2}\right\} \subseteq B_{0}$ and by (4) in Theorem 3.3, $R(f) \subseteq\left\{w, u_{i+1}, u_{i+3}\right\}$ and $R(f) \subseteq\left\{w, u_{i-1}, u_{i-3}\right\}$. Thus $R(f)=\{w\}$. Conversely, let $R(f)=\{w\}$. Then, by Theorem 3.3, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$.

Corollary 3.6 Let $m \geqslant 2$ and $G \cong K_{3}^{m}$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if $R(f)=\{w\}$, where $w$ is a universal vertex of $K_{3}^{m}$.

Proof. Let vertices of $i$-th triangle of $G$ be $\left\{w, u_{i 1}, u_{i 2}\right\}$ and $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$. Then by Theorem 3.3, $B_{1}=\emptyset$ and so $B_{0} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $u_{i 1} \in B_{0}$. By (4) in Theorem 3.3, $R(f) \subseteq\left\{w, u_{i 2}\right\}$. So for every $j \neq i, u_{j 1} \in B_{0}$ and by (4) in Theorem $3.3, R(f) \subseteq\left\{w, u_{j 2}\right\}$. Therefore $R(f)=\{w\}$. Conversely, let $R(f)=\{w\}$. By Theorem 3.3, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$.

Theorem 3.7 Let $n \geqslant 6$ and $G$ be an ( $n-2$ )-regular graph of order $n$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if:

1) $B_{1}=\emptyset$.
2) If $u \in B_{0}$ and $u^{\prime} \notin N_{G_{2}}(u)$, then $u^{\prime} \in B_{0}$.
3) For each $x \in \cup_{i \geqslant 2} B_{i} ; \delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(x)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$.

Proof. Let $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$ and $D=\{a, b\}$ be a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Since $\bar{G} \cong \bigcup P_{2}$, so $\{a, b\} \nsubseteq G_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Without loss of generality, let $a \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. If $f(a)=b$, then vertex $a$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{b\}$ and vertex $b$ dominates all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash f^{-1}(b)$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Since $\{a, b\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$, so vertex $a$ dominates $f^{-1}(b)$. Thus $a$ is an isolated vertex of $G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(b)\right]$, which is a contradiction. Let $f(a) \neq b$. Since $f(a)$ is not dominated by $a$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$, So $f(a)$ is dominated by $b$. Hence $b \notin N_{G_{2}}(f(a))$. If $b \notin B_{0}$, then since $B_{1}=\emptyset$, so $\left|f^{-1}(b)\right| \geqslant 2$. It is clear that the vertices of $f^{-1}(b)$ are not dominated by $b$. Thus the vertices of $f^{-1}(b)$ are dominated by $a$ and so they are not adjacent to $a$. This is impossible. So $b \in B_{0}$, this is contradicts to (2). Therefore $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}=3$.
Conversely, on the contrary if $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then by Lemma 2.3, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $\gamma \overline{(\overline{C(G, f)}}=3$.
Assume that there are $u$ and $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime} \notin N_{G_{2}}(u), u \in B_{0}$ and $u^{\prime} \notin B_{0}$. If $v_{k} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(v_{k}\right)=u^{\prime}$, then $\left\{v_{k}, u\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Hence $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$, which is impossible.
Finally, let $u_{i} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ such that $G_{1}\left[f^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)\right]$ has an isolated vertex $v_{k}$. Then $\left\{v_{k}, u_{i}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$ and so $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$, which is impossible. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.8 Let $G \cong H_{2}$. Then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$ if and only if $|R(f)|=2, G_{2}[R(f)]=\emptyset$ and $\delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(x)\right] \geqslant 1\right.$ for every $x \in R(f)$.
Proof. If $|R(f)|=2, G_{2}[R(f)]=\emptyset$ and $\delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(x)\right] \geqslant 1\right.$ for every $x \in R(f)$, then by Theorem 3.7, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$. Conversely, let $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=3$. Then by Theorem 3.7 (1), $B_{1}=\emptyset$. So $|R(f)| \neq 4$. If $|R(f)| \in\{1,3\}$, then there is an $u_{j} \in R(f)$ such that $u_{j}$ is not adjacent to $u_{i}$, where $u_{i} \notin R(f)$. By Theorem 3.7 (2), $u_{j} \in B_{0}$ that is not true. So $|R(f)|=2$. Let $R(f)=\{a, b\}$ and $x \in B_{0}$. Then by Theorem $3.7(2),\{a, b\} \subseteq N_{G_{2}}(x)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(a)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(b)=4$, so $a$ is not adjacent to $b$. Thus $G_{2}[R(f)]=\emptyset$. By (3) in Theorem 3.7, $\delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(a)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$ and $\delta\left(G_{1}\left[f^{-1}(b)\right]\right) \geqslant 1$. This completes the proof.


Figure 2: $H_{2}$

Theorem 3.9 Let $G$ be a connected $k$-regular graph of order $n \geqslant 4$, which is not isomorphic to $K_{3}, K_{4}, K_{5}, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. If $k \in\{2,3,4\}$, then $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

Proof. Let $k=2$ and $v \in V(G)$. Then since $n \geqslant 4$, induced subgraph on $N_{G}(v)$ has an isolated vertex. By Lemma 2.6, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

Let $k=3, a \in V(G)$ and $N_{G}(a)=\{x, y, z\}$. If $G\left[N_{G}(a)\right]$ has an isolated vertex, then by Lemma 2.6, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
If $G\left[N_{G}(a)\right]$ has no isolated vertex, then since $G \nsubseteq K_{4}$, we have $G\left[N_{G}(a)\right] \cong P_{3}$. (See Figure 3) Since $G$ is a 3 -regular graph, there is a $t \in V(G) \backslash\{x, y\}$ such that $t \in N_{G}(z)$. It is easy to see that $z$ is an isolated vertex of $G\left[N_{G}(t)\right]$. By Lemma 2.6, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

Let $k=4$. If $B_{0}=\emptyset$ or $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then by Lemma 2.3, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. Let $B_{0} \neq \emptyset$, $u \in B_{0}$ and $N_{G_{2}}(u)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$. If $R(f) \nsubseteq N_{G_{2}}(u)$ and $B_{1}=\emptyset$, then there is an $u_{i} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ such that $u_{i} \notin N_{G_{2}}(u)$ and $\left|f^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)\right| \geqslant 2$. Suppose, $v_{k} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(v_{k}\right)=u_{i}$. Then all of the vertices $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup\left(V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}\right)$ are dominated by vertex $u$ and the vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ are dominated by $v_{k}$ in $\overline{C(G, f)}$. So $\left\{u, v_{k}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $\overline{C(G, f)}$. Thus $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)}) \leqslant 2$. Therefore $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$ by Lemma 2.2.

If $R(f) \subseteq N_{G_{2}}(u)$, we have three following cases:
Case 1: Let induced subgraph on $N_{G_{2}}[u]=\left\{u, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ has a vertex of degree 1. Then by Lemma 2.6, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Case 2: Let $\delta\left(G_{2}\left[N_{G_{2}}[u]\right]\right) \geqslant 2$ and $G_{2}\left[N_{G_{2}}[u]\right]$ has a vertex of degree 2. Without loss of generality, let $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}\left[N_{G_{2}}[u]\right]}\left(u_{4}\right)=2$ and $u_{4}$ is adjacent to $u_{3}$. Also let $u_{4}$ be adjacent to $u_{5}$ and $u_{6}$. (See Figure 4) If $N_{G_{2}}(u)=N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{5}\right)=N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{6}\right)$, then since $\delta\left(G_{2}\left[N_{G_{2}}[u]\right]\right) \geqslant 2$, $u_{1}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}$ and $G \cong H_{1}$. (See Figure 1) $u_{5}$ or $u_{6}$ is not adjacent to at least one of the vertices $N_{G_{2}}(u) \backslash\left\{u_{4}\right\}$.
If $|R(f)|=4$, then by Lemmas 2.2 and $2.4, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Let $|R(f)|=3$. If $u_{4} \notin R(f)$, then $u_{i} \in R(f)$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Since $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are not adjacent to $u_{4}$, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. Assume that $u_{4} \in R(f)$. If $u_{1} \notin R(f)$ or $u_{2} \notin R(f)$, then since $u_{4}$ is not adjacent to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.

Let $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right\}=R(f)$. If $u_{3} \notin N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ or $u_{3} \notin N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)$, then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. Let $u_{3} \in N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right) \cap N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)$. Since $G \nsubseteq H_{1}$, (See Figure 1) so there is a vertex $x \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash R(f)$ such that $x$ is not adjacent to $u_{4}$. Therefore by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Let $|R(f)|=2$. If $u_{4} \in R(f)$, then $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ is not in $R(f)$. If $u_{4} \notin R(f)$, then $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ is in $R(f)$. However, by Lemmas 2.2 and $2.4, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Finally, let $|R(f)|=1$. If $R(f) \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right\}$, then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. Let $R(f)=\left\{u_{3}\right\}$. If $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are adjacent to $u_{3}$, then $u_{5}$ is not adjacent to $u_{3}$. So by Lemmas 2.2 and $2.4, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$. If $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ is not adjacent to $u_{3}$, then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $\gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.
Case 3: Let $\delta\left(G_{2}\left[N_{G_{2}}[u]\right]\right) \geqslant 3$. Since $G \nsubseteq K_{5}$, we may assume that there is a vertex $u_{5} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ such that $u_{5} \notin N_{G_{2}}(u)$ and $u_{5} \in N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{4}\right)$. This involves no loss of generality (See Figure 5). If $N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{5}\right)=N_{G_{2}}(u)$, then $G \cong H_{2}$ (See Figure 2), which is impossible. So $u_{5}$ is adjacent to vertex $u_{6}$, where $u_{6} \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{u, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$. Since $G$ is a 4-regular graph and $R(f) \subseteq N_{G_{2}}(u)$, for each $y \in R(f)$ if $y \in N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{5}\right)$, then $y \notin N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{6}\right)$ or if $y \in N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{6}\right)$, then $y \notin N_{G_{2}}\left(u_{5}\right)$. By Lemmas 2.2 and $2.4, \gamma(\overline{C(G, f)})=2$.


Figure 3
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