

## $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -contractions in $b$ -metric spaces and applications

M. Sangurlu Sezen<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Giresun University, Turkey.*

Received 14 December 2018; Revised 1 April 2019; Accepted 9 April 2019.

Communicated by Ghasem Soleimani Rad

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce more general contractions called  $\varphi$ -fixed point point for  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$  and  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -weak contractions. We prove the existence and uniqueness of  $\varphi$ -fixed point point for  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$  and  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -weak contractions in complete  $b$ -metric spaces. Some examples are supplied to support the usability of our results. As applications, necessary conditions to ensure the existence of a unique solution for a nonlinear inequality problem are also discussed. Also, some new fixed point results in partial metric spaces are proved.

© 2019 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:**  $b$ -metric space, partial  $b$ -metric space,  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -contraction,  $\varphi$ -fixed point.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

## 1. Introduction

The Banach contraction principle is one of the most important subjects in mathematical analysis, it guarantees the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point [4]. By using this principle, most authors have proved several fixed point theorems for various mappings in several metric spaces (see [1, 2, 5–7, 11–16, 20, 21, 25, 27]). For example, Mathews [17] introduced the concept of partial metric space and showed that the Banach contraction principle can be generalized in partial metric space. Bakhtin [3] and Czerwik [8] introduced  $b$ -metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces and proved the contraction mapping principle in  $b$ -metric spaces that is an extension of the Banach contraction principle in metric spaces. Since then, a number of authors have investigated fixed point theorems in  $b$ -metric spaces (see [9, 10, 18, 22]). Later, Shukla [24] generalized the concept of both  $b$ -metric and partial metric space by presenting the partial  $b$ -metric space.

---

E-mail address: muzeyyen.sezen@giresun.edu.tr (M. Sangurlu Sezen).

On the other hand, some authors proved fixed point results by offering its various variations of the Banach contraction mapping principle. For example, Jleli et al. [25] introduced the concept of  $\varphi$ -fixed point and established some existence results of  $\varphi$ -fixed points for various classes of operators in metric spaces. Also, Samet et al. [23] introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping in metric spaces. Later, Sintunavarat [26] introduced the concepts of  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping type  $S$ , as some generalizations of  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping and then he proved some fixed point theorems by using his new types of  $\alpha$ -admissibility mapping in  $b$ -metric spaces.

In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness of  $\varphi$ -fixed points for  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -contraction and  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -weak contraction in complete  $b$ -metric space. The presented theorems extend and generalize the  $\varphi$ -fixed point results. Some examples are supplied in order to support the useability of our results. As applications of the obtained results, we presented the existence of a unique solution for nonlinear Volterra integral equations. Also, some fixed point theorems in partial  $b$ -metric spaces are derived from our main theorems.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [8] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  a real number. A mapping  $d_b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $b$ -metric if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $d_b(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (ii)  $d_b(x, y) = d_b(y, x)$ ,
- (iii)  $d_b(x, z) \leq s[d_b(x, y) + d_b(y, z)]$ .

In this case,  $(X, d)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.2** [9] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d_b)$  is said to be:

- (i)  $b$ -convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_n, x) = 0$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d_b)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_n, x_m) = 0$ .
- (iii) A  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d_b)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$   $b$ -converges to a point  $x \in X$ .
- (iv) A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $b$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if  $\{x_n\} \subset X$   $b$ -converges to  $x$ , then  $\{fx_n\} \subset Y$   $b$ -converges to  $fx$ , where  $(Y, \rho)$  is a  $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.3** [26] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  a given real number. Let  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. We say  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping type  $S$  if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \geq s$  leads to  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq s$ . In particular,  $T$  is called  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping if  $s = 1$ .

**Definition 2.4** [15] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an operator. We denote by  $T^0 = 1_X$ ,  $T^1 = T$  and  $T^{n+1} = T \circ T^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the iterate operators of  $T$ . The set of all fixed points of the operator  $T$  will be denoted by  $F_T = \{x \in X : Tx = x\}$  and the set all zeros of the function  $\varphi$  will be denoted by  $Z_\varphi = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) = 0\}$ .

(D-1) An element  $z \in X$  is said to be a  $\varphi$ -fixed point of the operator  $T$  if and only if  $z \in F_T \cap Z_\varphi$ .

(D-2)  $T$  is a  $\varphi$ -Picard operator if and only if

- (i)  $F_T \cap Z_\varphi = \{z\}$ ,
- (ii)  $T^n x \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

(D-3)  $T$  is a weakly  $\varphi$ -Picard operator if and only if

- (i)  $F_T \cap Z_\varphi \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges for each  $x \in X$  and the limit is a  $\varphi$ -fixed point of the operator  $T$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of functions  $F : [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (F1)  $\max\{a, b\} \leq F(a, b, c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in [0, \infty)$ ,
- (F2)  $F(0, 0, 0) = 0$ ,
- (F3)  $F$  is continuous.

The following functions are given as examples:

- (i)  $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$ ,
- (ii)  $F(a, b, c) = \max\{a, b\} + c$ ,
- (iii)  $F(a, b, c) = a + a^2 + b + c$ .

**Definition 2.5** [15] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . The operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $(F, \varphi)$ -contraction if and only if

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq kF(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \quad x, y \in X$$

for some constant  $k \in (0, 1)$ .

**Definition 2.6** [15] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . The operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $(F, \varphi)$ -weak contraction if and only if for  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq kF(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)) + L(F(d(y, Tx), \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx)) - F(0, \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx)))$$

for some constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  and  $L \geq 0$ .

### 3. Main Results

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, d_b)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

be a mapping,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be lower semi continuous function,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$  be a constant. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -contraction mapping if

$$x, y \in X \text{ with } \alpha(x, y) \geq s \implies s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq \lambda F(d_b(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)). \tag{1}$$

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(X, d_b)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping type  $S$ .

Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (1) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s$ ,
- (2)  $T$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -contraction mapping,
- (3) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq s$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then

- (i)  $F_T \subseteq Z_\varphi$ ,

(ii)  $T$  is  $\varphi$ - Picard operator. Moreover, if  $\alpha(x, y) \geq s$  for all  $x, y \in F_T$ , then  $T$  has a unique  $\varphi$ -fixed point.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that  $\xi \in X$  is a fixed point of  $T$  such that  $\alpha(\xi, \xi) \geq s$ . Applying (1) with  $x = y = \xi$ , we obtain

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \leq s^\varepsilon F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \leq \lambda F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \quad (2)$$

then we get  $F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \leq \lambda F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi))$ , which implies that

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) = 0. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, from (F1), we have

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)). \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4), we obtain  $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ , which proves (i).

(ii) Let  $x_0 \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By condition (1), we get  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s$  and we deduce that  $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) \geq s$ . Continuing this process, we get  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n = Tx_n$ . Thus,  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Therefore, we assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using condition (1) as  $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), \varphi(x_n), \varphi(x_{n+1})) &\leq s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \varphi(Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda F(d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), \varphi(x_{n-1}), \varphi(x_n)) \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\leq \lambda^n F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then, from (F1), we have

$$\max\{d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), \varphi(x_n)\} \leq \lambda^n F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)), \quad (6)$$

which implies

$$d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)). \quad (7)$$

Now, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k > 0$ . By using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(x_n, x_{n+k}) &\leq s d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 d_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + s^k d_b(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}) \\ &\leq s \lambda^n F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)) + s^2 \lambda^{n+1} F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)) \\ &\quad + \dots + s^k \lambda^{n+k-1} F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} [s^n \lambda^n F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)) + s^{n+1} \lambda^{n+1} F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)) \\ &\quad + \dots + s^{n+k-1} \lambda^{n+k-1} F(d_b(x_0, x_1), \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , the passing to limit in above the inequality, we obtain  $d_b(x_n, x_{n+k}) \rightarrow 0$ . This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d_b)$  is complete, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges some  $z \in X$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_n, z) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Now, we shall prove that  $z$  is a  $\varphi$ -fixed point of  $T$ . Observe that from (6), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is lower semi continuous, from (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\varphi(z) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Using condition (2), we have

$$s^\varepsilon F(d_b(x_{n+1}, Tz), \varphi(x_{n+1}), \varphi(Tz)) \leq \lambda F(d_b(x_n, z), \varphi(x_n), \varphi(z)). \tag{11}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (11), using (8), (9), (10), (F2) and the continuity of  $F$ , we have

$$s^\varepsilon F(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{n+1}, Tz), 0, \varphi(Tz)) \leq \lambda F(0, 0, 0) = 0,$$

which implies from condition (F1) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{n+1}, Tz) = 0. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, from the condition (iii) of definition b-metric space, we have

$$d_b(z, Tz) \leq s[d_b(z, x_{n+1}) + d_b(x_{n+1}, Tz)].$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in above the inequality, using (8) and (12), we get  $d_b(z, Tz) = 0$ , that is  $Tz = z$ . Hence,  $z$  is a  $\varphi$ -fixed point of  $T$ . Now we show that  $z$  is the unique  $\varphi$ -fixed point of  $T$ . Assume that  $w \in X$  is another  $\varphi$ -fixed point of  $T$ . From (1), we have

$$s^\varepsilon F(d_b(z, w), \varphi(z), \varphi(w)) \leq \lambda F(d_b(z, w), \varphi(z), \varphi(w))$$

and thus,  $s^\varepsilon F(d_b(z, w), 0, 0) \leq \lambda F(d_b(z, w), 0, 0)$ , which implies  $d_b(z, w) = 0$ , that is  $z = w$ . ■

**Definition 3.3** Let  $(X, d_b)$  be a b-metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1, \alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

be a mapping,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be lower semi continuous function,  $F \in \mathcal{F}, \lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$  be a constant. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -weak contraction mapping if,

$$x, y \in X \text{ with } \alpha(x, y) \geq s \implies s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq \lambda F(d_b(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)) + L(F(d_b(y, Tx), \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx)) - F(0, \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx))). \tag{13}$$

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $(X, d_b)$  be a complete b-metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping type S. Suppose that the following conditions

hold:

- (1) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s$ ,
- (2)  $T$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ -weak contraction mapping,
- (3) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq s$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then

- (i)  $F_T \subseteq Z_\varphi$ ,
- (ii)  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -weakly Picard operator. Moreover, if  $\alpha(x, y) \geq s$  for all  $x, y \in F_T$ , then  $T$  has a unique  $\varphi$ -fixed point.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that  $\xi \in X$  is a fixed point of  $T$  such that  $\alpha(\xi, \xi) \geq s$ . Applying (13) with  $x = y = \xi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) &\leq s^\varepsilon F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \\ &\leq kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) + L(F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) - F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi))) \\ &= kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Then we have  $F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \leq kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi))$ , which implies that

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) = 0. \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, from (F1), we have

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)). \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16), we obtain  $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ , which proves (i).

(ii) Let  $x_0 \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_n = T^n x_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By condition (1), we get  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s$  and we deduce that  $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) \geq s$ . Continuing this process, we get  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n = Tx_n$ . Thus,  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Therefore, we assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using condition (1) as  $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &F(d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), \varphi(x_n), \varphi(x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \varphi(Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda F(d_b(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_{n-2}), \varphi(Tx_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + L(F(0, \varphi(Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_{n-1})) - F(0, \varphi(Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_{n-1}))) \\ &= \lambda F(d_b(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_{n-2}), \varphi(Tx_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), \varphi(x_n), \varphi(x_{n+1})) &\leq s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \varphi(Tx_{n-1}), \varphi(Tx_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda^n F(d_b(x, Tx), \varphi(x), \varphi(Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows using similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 3.5** Let  $X = [1, \infty)$  and  $d_b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by  $d_b(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $F : [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by  $F(a, b, c) =$

$a+b+c$  and  $\varphi(x) = \ln x$ . Then, it is obvious that  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Z_\varphi = \{1\}$ . Define  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by  $Tx = \frac{x+1}{2}$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x, y \in X, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we will show that  $T$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ - contraction. If we take  $s = 1$ , then we have

$$s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq \lambda F(d_b(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, we conclude that  $T$  has a unique  $\varphi$ -fixed point which is  $F_T \cap Z_\varphi = \{1\}$ .

### 4. Applications

Application to integral equations:

In this section, firstly we shall apply Theorem 3.2 to show the existence of solution of Volterra integral equation. Then, we give some fixed point results in partial b-metric spaces, using the main results in the previous section. Now, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of solution of Volterra integral equation:

$$x(t) = v(t) + \mu \int_a^t K(t, p)x(p)dp,$$

where for all  $t, p \in [a, c]$ ,  $v : [a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $K : [a, c] \times [a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu$  is a real number.

**Theorem 4.1** Consider the Volterra integral equation. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $K : [a, c] \times [a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,
- (ii) for all  $t, p \in [a, c]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 1$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\sup_{a \leq p \leq c} \int_a^c |K(t, p)| dt \leq \frac{\lambda}{3^\varepsilon |\mu|}.$$

Then the Volterra integral equation has a unique solution.

**Proof.** Let  $X = C[a, c]$  and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$Tx(t) = v(t) + \mu \int_a^c K(t, p)x(p)dp$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $d_b(x, y) = \|x(p) - y(p)\|_X = \int_a^c |x(p) - y(p)| dp$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . We define  $F : [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$F(k, l, m) = k + l + m \text{ for all } k, l, m \in [0, \infty)$$

and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Now, we define  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 3 & x(p) \leq y(p) \text{ for all } p \in [a, c], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \geq s = 3$ , that is  $x(p) \leq y(p)$  for all  $p \in [a, c]$ . Here, we will show that  $T$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ - contraction mapping. Suppose that  $x, y \in X$  and  $t, p \in [a, c]$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s^\varepsilon d_b(Tx, Ty) &= 3^\varepsilon \|Tx(p) - Ty(p)\| \\ &= 3^\varepsilon \int_a^c |Tx(p) - Ty(p)| dp \\ &= 3^\varepsilon \int_a^c \left| \mu \int_a^c K(t, p)x(p)dp - \mu \int_a^c K(t, p)y(p)dp \right| dt \\ &= 3^\varepsilon \int_a^c \left| \mu \int_a^c K(t, p)[x(p) - y(p)]dp \right| dt \\ &\leq 3^\varepsilon |\mu| \sup_{a \leq s \leq b} \int_a^c |K(t, p)| dt \int_a^c |x(p) - y(p)| dp \\ &\leq 3^\varepsilon |\mu| \frac{\lambda}{3^\varepsilon |\mu|} d_b(x, y) \\ &= \lambda d_b(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . It follows that

$$s^\varepsilon F(d_b(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq \lambda F(d_b(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus,  $T$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \alpha)_s$ - contraction mapping. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Then,  $T$  has a unique  $\varphi$ -fixed point in  $X$ . This implies that there exists a unique solution of the Volterra integral equation. ■

Application to partial  $b$ -metric spaces:

Now, let us recall some basic definitions on partial  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 4.2** [24] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $p_b : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  is a partial  $b$ -metric if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- (p<sub>1</sub>)  $x = y \Leftrightarrow p_b(x, x) = p_b(x, y) = p_b(y, y)$ ,
- (p<sub>2</sub>)  $p_b(x, x) \leq p_b(x, y)$ ,
- (p<sub>3</sub>)  $p_b(x, y) = p_b(y, x)$ ,
- (p<sub>4</sub>)  $p_b(x, y) \leq s(p_b(x, z) + p_b(z, y) - p_b(z, z)) + (\frac{1-s}{2})(p_b(x, x) + p_b(y, y))$ .

**Definition 4.3** [19] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, p_b)$  is said to be:

- (i)  $p_b$ -convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x, x_n) = p_b(x, x)$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, p_b)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x_n, x_m)$  exists and is finite.
- (iii) A partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, p_b)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to a point  $x \in X$  such that,  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x_n, x) = p_b(x, x)$ .

**Proposition 4.4** [19] Every partial  $b$ -metric  $p_b$  defines a  $b$ -metric  $d_{p_b}$ , where

$$d_{p_b}(x, y) = 2p_b(x, y) - p_b(x, x) - p_b(y, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .



**Lemma 4.5** [19] Let  $(X, p_b)$  be a partial  $b$ -metric space. Then,

(i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, p_b)$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d_b)$ .

(ii) A partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, p_b)$  is complete if and only if the  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d_b)$  is complete.

(iii) Given a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, p_b)$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x, x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_b(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x, x_n) = 0 = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_b(x_n, x_m).$$

Now, we give our some results in partial  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Corollary 4.6** Let  $(X, p_b)$  be a complete partial  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping such that  $s^\varepsilon p_b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda p_b(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some constant  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Consider the metric  $d_{p_b} = 2p_b(x, y) - p_b(x, x) - p_b(y, y)$  on  $X$  and the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = p_b(x, x)$ . Applying Theorem 3.2 with  $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$ , we obtain the desired result. ■

**Corollary 4.7** Let  $(X, p_b)$  be a complete partial  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be given mappings such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some constant  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$ ,

$$s^\varepsilon p_b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda p_b(x, y) + L(p_b(Ty, Tx) - \frac{p_b(y, y) + p_b(Tx, Tx)}{2}).$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Consider the metric  $d_{p_b} = 2p_b(x, y) - p_b(x, x) - p_b(y, y)$  on  $X$  and the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = p_b(x, x)$ . Applying Theorem 3.4 with  $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$ , we obtain the desired result. ■

## References

- [1] A. Akbar, M. Gabeleh, Global optimal solutions of noncyclic mappings in metric spaces, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 153 (2012), 298-305.
- [2] A. Ansari, H. Işık, S. Radenović, Coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mappings involving new function classes and applications, Filomat. 31 (7) (2017), 1893-1907.
- [3] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasi-metric spaces, Funct. Anal. 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [4] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [5] V. Berinde, Contractiî generalize și aplicații, Editura Club Press 22, Baia Mare, 1997.
- [6] V. Berinde, Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory. 3 (1993), 3-9.
- [7] V. Berinde, Sequences of operators and fixed points in quasimetric spaces, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 16 (4) (1996), 23-27.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [9] H. Huang, G. Deng, Z. Chen, S. Radenović, On some recent fixed point results for  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, J. Comp. Anal. Appl. 25 (2) (2018), 255-269.
- [10] N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi, F. Akbar,  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2013), 2013:114.
- [11] H. Işık, N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, Generalized rational contractions endowed with a graph and an application to a system of integral equations, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 22 (6) (2017), 1158-1175.
- [12] H. Işık, P. Kumam, Fixed points under new contractive conditions via cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta, r)$ -admissible mappings, J. Advanced. Math. Studies. 11 (1) (2018), 17-23.
- [13] H. Işık, S. Radenović, A new version of coupled fixed point results in ordered metric spaces with applications. UPB. Sci. Bull. (Series A). 79 (2) (2017), 131-138.

- [14] H. Işık, D. Turkoglu, Generalized weakly  $\alpha$ -contractive mappings and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Miskolc. Math. Notes.* 17 (1) (2016), 365-379.
- [15] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces via  $\varphi$ -fixed point's concept in metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2014), 2014:426.
- [16] A. Latif, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, N. Hussain, Fixed point results via  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings and cyclic contractive mappings in partial  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2014), 2014:345.
- [17] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [18] R. Miculescu, A. Mihail, New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 19 (2017), 2153-2163.
- [19] Z. Mustafa, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, Some common fixed point results in ordered partial  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2013), 2013:562.
- [20] M. Nazam, M. Arshad, C. Park, A common fixed point theorem for a pair of generalized contraction mappings with applications, *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.* 25 (3) (2018), 552-564.
- [21] M. Păcurar, A fixed point result for  $\varphi$ -contractions on  $b$ -metric spaces without the boundedness assumption, *Fasc. Math.* 43 (2010), 127-137.
- [22] S. Phiangsungnoen, W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Fixed point results, generalized Ulam-Hyers stability and well-posedness via  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* (2014), 2014:188.
- [23] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), 2154-2165.
- [24] S. Shukla, Partial  $b$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 11 (2014), 703-711.
- [25] D. Singh, V. Chauhan, R. Wangkeeree, Geraghty type generalized  $F$ -contractions and related applications in partial  $b$ -metric spaces, *Inte. J. Analysis.* (2017), 2017:8247925.
- [26] W. Sintunavarat, Nonlinear integral equations with new admissibility types in  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 18 (2) (2016), 397-416.
- [27] C. Zhu, W. Xu, C. Chen, X. Zhang, Common fixed point theorems for generalized expansive mappings in partial  $b$ -metric spaces and an application, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2014), 2014:475.