

The effect of the silicon and aluminum interaction on the physiological parameters of maize

Kourosh Delavar¹, Faezeh Ghanati^{1*}, Hassan Zare-Maivan¹ and Mehrdad Behmanesh²

1. Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of Biological Science, Tarbiat Modares University (TMU), Tehran, Iran 2. Department of Genetics, Faculty of Biological Science, Tarbiat Modares University (TMU), Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Aluminum and silicon are usually abundant in the soil and most plants are affected by them. Therefore, in the present study, the effect of the interaction of Si (0 and 2 mM) and low concentrations of Al (0, 50, 100, and 150 μ M) on some physiological parameters of *Zea mays* var. Merit were investigated and were analyzed by cluster heatmaps for better interpretation of results. Results showed that application of Al increased the fresh and dry weight of maize, and plant growth rate was increased by increasing Al concentration in treatments. However adding Si to Al-treated plants had no effects on the maize plant biomass. Pigments content of plant increased and decreased in Al treatments and Ai + Si treatments, respectively. Also, both Al and Si had negative effect on the activity of antioxidant enzyme and proline content of maize in general. Aluminum treatments mostly enhanced the K and Fe content of plants, but decreased Mg and Ca content. However, adding Si to Al-treated plants reversed this trend. Apparently, Ai and Si have an antagonist effect on the mineral content of the plant. Results of the present study suggested that increasing of the plant pigment content contributes to the enhancing of plant growth rate, and the K content of the shoot in maize has an important role in increasing the plant growth.

Key words: Aluminum; antioxidants; maize; mineral content; silicon

Delavar,K., F. Ghanati, H. Zare-Maivan and M. Behmanesh. 2016. The effect of the silicon and aluminum interaction on the physiological parameters of maize. *Iranian Journal of Plant Physiology* 6 (4), 1785-1794.

Introduction

Some minerals that are naturally present in the soil may be beneficial or toxic for plants. Excess amount of these elements may have some common or specific effects on plants (Rout et al., 2001). Aluminum and silicon are usually abundant in soils. The silicon availability for plant uptake is limited by low solubility; however, Al absorption is dependent on the soil pH (Osaki et al., 1997). Due to the abundance of these two

*Corresponding author *E-mail address*: ghangia@modares.ac.ir Received: August, 2015 Accepted: February, 2015 elements in soils, most plants are usually affected by them. Moreover, Al and Si have various interactions both in plants or the soil (Liang et al., 2007). Therefore, in the present study simultaneous presences of both Al and Si in the plant nutrient solution were investigated.

Silicon (Si) is the second most abundant element after oxygen in soil and its concentration in most soil solution is between 100 to 500 μ M in the form of monosilicic acid (Sommer et al., 2006). As a consequence, almost all plants grown in soil contain some Si in their tissues, and concentration of Si in the plant species range

between 0.1% and 10% in the dry weight (Hodson et al., 2005). Silicon is not considered as an essential element for plants; however, it plays many important roles in the growth and development of plant species (Epstein, 1999; Ma, 2004). The beneficial effects of Si in plants are particularly revealed under abiotic and biotic stress conditions such as disease, insects, drought, flood, frost, salinity, heavy metals toxicity, and nutritional stress (Guntzer et al., 2012; Corrales et al., 1997). The most important mechanisms of Si function in alleviation of abiotic stresses in plants are: (1) precipitation of heavy metals by create complex with them, (2) stimulation of plant antioxidant systems, (3) effects on the uptake process in roots, (4) inactivation of toxic metals in plant growth medium, and (5) compartmentation of heavy metals within the plant cell (Ma and Takahashi, 2002).

Aluminum toxicity to plants is a serious problem in low pH soils, and its toxic effects on plants have been demonstrated (Delhaize and Ryan, 1995). Aluminum uptake is very fast in both apoplastic and symplastic paths (Vazquez et al., 1999) and therefore it can affect a variety of intercellular and intracellular regions of the cell (Jones et al., 1998). Aluminum ions (Al³⁺) can bind to various organic and inorganic ligands such as PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, lipids, organic acids, and proteins, and suppress cells division and development (Teresa, 2001). Although Al is not regarded as an essential nutrient, sometimes its low concentrations can increase plant growth or induce other desirable effects (Rout et al., 2001). For example, Al can contribute to the alleviation of H+ toxicity (Kinraide 1993; Llugany et al. 1995).

Also, there are some studies reporting the alleviative effect of silicon on the aluminum toxicity (Liang et al., 2007). These effects of silicon may be based on ex or in planta mechanisms. Silicon has a high affinity with Al (Dorneles et al., 2016) and can alleviate its toxicity by several mechanisms. Silicon can decrease the phytotoxic levels of Al in the medium by production of Al-Si subcloids complexes (Liang et al., 2007). Also, Kidd et al. (2001) indicated that silicon may stimulate phenolic exudation through roots and by chelated Al and thus Al absorption reduced by the corn roots. Moreover, Al can be detoxified either by forming of hydroxyl aluminum silicates in the root apoplast (Wang et al., 2004; Ryder et al., 2003) or by a sequestration in phytoliths (Hodson and Sangster, 1993).

Beneficial effects of Si on the maize are well known, and maize has been recognized as a moderate sensitive plant to Al toxicity. On the other hand, some of our previous experiments (in press) revealed that Zea mays var. Merit is a tolerant plant to Aluminum toxicity. Therefore, in the present study, the interaction of Si and low concentrations of Al on the physiological parameters of Zea mays var. Merit were investigated. Moreover, the data were analyzed by cluster heat maps for better interpretation of results. Heat maps allow users to easily visualize changing patterns in metabolite concentrations across samples and across experimental conditions.

Materials and Methods Plants materials

Seeds of maize (Zea mays var. Merit) were surface sterilized with 5% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite (15 min), and then rinsed with distilled water, sown in guartz sand bed and keep (kept) in the greenhouse (relative humidity of 65-75% with a 12h light period at a 25/15 °C day/night temperature, and a photosynthetic photon flux of 250 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (400–700 nm) at the plant level). The seedlings were irrigated with distilled water and after one week, uniform size of seedlings were selected and transferred to pots containing 1500 mL of full-strength Long Ashton nutrient solution (Hewitt, 1966) with or without 2 mM of Na₂SiO₃.5H₂O as silicon source. All hydroponic culture media were continuously aerated and maintained) under the above mentioned conditions. The pH of the nutrient solution was adjusted to 5.5 and the solution was renewed every 5 days. After two weeks, AICI₃ was added to the nutrient solution at 0, 50, 100, and 150 µM concentrations and the pH was reduced to 4.5. The experiments were arranged based on a completely randomized design with three replications. Treatments included Si (0 and 2 mM), AlCl₃ (0, 50, 100, and 150 μ M) and interaction of them. The concentrations of Si were decided based on preliminary studies. Two weeks after application of treatments, the plants were harvested, washed thoroughly, and then either immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C, or dried at 70 °C for 48 h.

Measurements

The fresh weight of plants was measured immediately after harvesting and dry weight was measured after oven drying at 70 °C for 48 h, to give a constant weight. Plants extracts were provided by homogenizing plant samples (root or shoot) in 3 % sulfosalicylic acid and then by centrifuging at 10000 × g for 5 min. Free proline was determined in plant extracts by Bates et al. (1973) method. Total content of reduced sugars was detected by Nelson-Somogyi method using glucose as standard, and CuSO₄ and H₃PMO₁₂O₄₀ as reducing agent (Somogy - Nelson, 1952). For measurement of chlorophylls and carotenoids contents, fresh leaf samples were ground and extracted with 80% acetone, centrifuged at 1500 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was collected and its absorbance was read at 663.6, 646.6 and 440.5 nm. The contents of chlorophyll a and b and carotenoids in collected supernatants were calculated using the equations of Porra et al. (1989) and Holm (1954), respectively.

For measurements of enzymes (CAT, SOD, and AOX) activity, leaf samples were homogenized with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.5 mΜ EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) with prechilled pestle and mortar. The extract was centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min at 15000 × g and supernatant was used for the assessment of enzymes activity. Superoxide dismutase activity (SOD) was measured as described by Gianopolitis and Reis (1977) method. In this way the inhibition of photochemical reduction of NBT (nitroblue tetrazolium) was determinate and the absorbance of solution was measured at 560 nm. For determination of APX activity, reaction mixture was prepared as a blend of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM ascorbate, 0.1 mM H₂O₂, and appropriate amounts of the enzyme extract (Nakano and Asada, 1981). The absorbance at 290 nm was read (as kenetic mode) in the final extract. Catalase activity was

determined by following the consumption of H_2O_2 at 240 nm for 1 min, as described by Aebi (1984).

For determination of mineral contents, dry matters of the plant samples were digested with nitric acid containing perchloric acid (1%) and the ion content was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP- Optima 7300dv) (Basitas et al., 2004).

Statistical Analysis

Data from quantitative parameters were analyzed using ANOVA (SAS version 8.1) and means were compared by Duncan's multiple range tests at the 5% level. To design cluster heat maps, data files were prepared as comma separated values (.csv) format and uploaded in MetaboAnalyst web server (www.metaboanalyst.ca). After processing of uploaded data files by the MetaboAnalyst software, results were downloaded. All data were transformed as Log Normalization to make features more comparable.

Results

Results of the present study indicated that application of Al (50, 100 and, 150 μ M) increased the fresh and dry weight of maize, and plant growth rate increased by the increasing Al concentration in treatments. Also, the biomass of Si 2 mM treated plants significantly increased compared with control group. However, simultaneous application of Si and Al had no significant effect on the plants biomass compared with AI treatments (Fig. I. a). Although the low concentration of AI (50 µM) decreased the pigments contents of plants, in Al 150 µM treatments the pigments content of plants significantly increased compared with control group. Adding Si to Al treated plants also reduced the pigments contents of maize plants (Fig. I. b).

Results showed that the catalase activity increased in AI treatments while it significantly decreased when Si was added to AI treatments plants. Also, the SOD and APX activities reduced in AI treatments and application of Si to AI treatments decreased their activity (Fig. II. a).



Fig. I. Cluster heat map visualization of relative differences in fresh and dry weight (a) or pigments content (b) of maize between different treatments; Red indicates high weight or concentration, whereas low weight or concentrations is deep blue. Data were normalized. Each evaluated parameter is visualized in a single row and each replicate of each treatment is represented by a single column. Top (column) and left (row) dendrograms are the result of a hierarchical clustering calculation in heat map. Si(0)-Al(0)⁻¹ represents replicate 1 from Si 0 mM and Al 0 mM treatment, ...etc.

Results showed that the proline contents of shoots decreased in Al treatments, and addition of Si to Al treatments decreased it further. On the other hand, application of Si, Al or Si + Al treatments had no effects on the proline contents of roots (Fig. II. b).

Application of the Al or Si treatments increased the K content of shoot, but in Si + Al treatments its contents decreased compared with Al treatments. Unlike the shoot, application of Al treatments often decreased K content of roots, and adding Si to Al treated plants enhanced its contents (Fig. III). Magnesium contents in Al treated plants decreased in general, and adding Si to them enhanced its concentration (Fig. III). Similar to the Mg, Ca contents of plants decreased in Al treatments. Application of Si in Al treated plants enhanced the Ca concentration of shoot, but it had no effects on roots (Fig. III). Also, the application of Al treatments increased the Fe concentration of shoot, but adding Si to Al treated plants decreased its content. Finally, both Al and Al + Si treatments had no effects on the iron content of roots (Fig. III).

Discussion

Plant growth and pigment contents



Fig. II. Cluster heat map visualization of relative differences in enzymes activity (a) or metabolites concentrations (b) of maize between different treatments; Red indicates high activity or concentrations, whereas low relative activity or concentrations is deep blue. Data were normalized. Each evaluated is visualized in a single row and each replicate of each treatment is represented by a single column. Top (column) and left (row) dendrograms are the result of a hierarchical clustering calculation in heat map. Si(0)-Al(0)-1 represent replicate 1 from Si 0 mM and Al 0 mM treatment, ...etc.

Maize is recognized as a sensitive plant to Al stress while in some studies it is known as a plant with moderate tolerance to Al stress (Poschenrieder et al., 2008). However, according to the results of present study, the Zea mays var. Merit can be introduced as a tolerant plant to Al stress. Corrales et al. (1997) showed that application of Al treatments (20 and 50 μ M) in a sensitive var. of barley to Al stress decreased dry weight of roots; however, it had no effects on shoot dry weights. Interestingly, Corrales et al. (1997) found that the application of Si (1 mM) under Al stress condition significantly decreased the dry weight of roots and shoots compared with Al stressed plants. Similarly, Liang et al. (2001) observed that the dry weight of barley plants decreased under Al stress (50 and 150 μ M) condition and adding Si to Al treated plant decreased its dry weight. Also, unlike this study, there are some reports about the beneficial

effects of Si on plants under Al stress (Liang et al., 2007).

Pigments contents of maize in 150 μ M Al treatments significantly increased, and adding Si to Al treated plants also reduced its contents. Similarly, Singh et al. (2011) indicated that application of 50 μ M Al decreased the carotenoids and total chlorophylls of rice seedlings, and application of Si in Al stressed plants increased chlorophylls content of plants, but had no effects on the carotenoids contents. Also, Malekzade et al., (2015) found that the application of different concentrations of Al (25-100 mM) in *Zea mays* seedlings significantly decreased its pigment content.

Antioxidants enzymes

Based on the results of the present study, it can be concluded that both Al and Si have



Fig. III. Cluster heat map visualization of relative differences in mineral concentration of maize between different treatments; Red indicates high concentrations, whereas low relative concentrations are deep blue. Data were normalized. Each evaluated ion is visualized in a single row and each replicate of each treatment is represented by a single column. Top (column) and left (row) dendrograms are the result of a hierarchical clustering calculation in heat map. Si(0)-Al(0)-1 represent replicate 1 from Si 0 mM and Al 0 mM treatment, ...etc.

negative effect on the activity of antioxidant enzymes of maize in general. Shen et al. (2014) observed that the antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, and CAT) activity in roots and leaves of peanut decreased after Al exposure (160 mg/L) and the application of silicon enhanced these antioxidant activities under Al stress condition. Mandal et al. (2013) exposed Salvinia to different concentrations of Al (240, 360, and 480 μ M) and observed that the SOD and APX activity increased by increasing the Al concentration, but the CAT activity decreased. Enhancing root CAT activity under Al stress condition was reported by Malekzadeh et al., (2015) in maize seedlings. Therefore, some of the above results are inconsistent with the results of the present study while others support them.

Adjustment of osmolytes



Apparently Si and Al have a reducing



Fig. IV. Heat map representation of the correlations between some growth and physiological parameters in response to the different silicon and AI treatments in maize; Correlations coefficients were calculated based on Pearson's correlation. Red and deep blue indicates positive and negative correlation, respectively. Top (column) or left (row) dendrograms are the result of a hierarchical clustering calculation in heat map. These dendrograms show the distance (or similarity) between rows (or column) and which nodes each row (or column) belongs to as a result of the clustering calculation.

effect only on the proline contents of the shoot. Unlike this finding, decrease in the proline content of leaves and roots of borage was reported by Gagoonani et al. (2011) when they exposed the plant to Al or Si or both treatments. Reduced sugar content of shoot was affected by different Al, Si or Al + Si treatments, but increased in the roots in 150 μ M Al treated plants indicated that application of Si under NaCl stress in borage significantly increased reduced sugar content of plant. Generally, it can be concluded that Si, Al or Si + Al treatments have little impact in on the adjustment of osmolytes content of maize.

Mineral content

Results showed that apparently Al and Si have different effects on the K content of roots and shoots in maize. Similar decreases in K absorption under AI stress condition was observed by Gerzabek and Edelbauer (1986) in Zea mays. Also, Liang et al. (2001) indicated that K contents of barley decreased under Al stress condition (100 and 150 μ M). However, adding Si greatly reduced root K concentration and the uptake of K by barley plants exposed to 75 µmol L-1 Al and beyond. These results suggest that at higher levels of Al, Si caused mineral nutritional imbalance, which in turn adversely affected the growth of plants (Liang et al. 2001). Aluminum competes with K for root absorption site and depresses K uptake (Alam, 1981). Decreases in K content of root in different cultivars of rice under Al stress condition was also reported by Macedo and Jan (2008). Therefore, according to the above investigations and the results of the present study it can be concluded that AI has a decreasing effect on the K content of plants, and adding of Si somewhat eliminated this effect.

Results showed that application of Al decreased Mg contents of plants in general, and adding Si enhanced its concentration (Fig. III). Similarly, Singh et al. (2011) found that application of Al 50 µM in rice seedlings decreased Mg content of plant, and using Si in Al treated plant increased Mg concentration. There are many reports demonstrating that Mg contents of plants reduced under Al stress condition (Roy et al., 1988). Also, some study indicated that low concentrations of Al elevated Mg in some plants such as Acer (Thornton et al., 1986a) and Gleditsia (Thornton et al., 1986b), but higher concentrations reduced Mg level (Thornton et al., 1986b).

Based on the results of the current study it is suggested that Si can alleviate the Ca decreasing effect of Al in plants. The Al3+ affects cell membrane structure and permeability by blocking the Ca²⁺ channels and thereby decreases Ca uptake (Ryan and Kochian, 1993; Plieth, 2005). Elevation of the Ca content by application of Si in Al treated plants may be due to the interaction of Si and Al in plant and formation of aluminosilicate complex. Probably, formation of Al-Si complex by reducing the Al content in the plant eliminated its reducing effects on the Ca concentration. Results indicated that application of different treatments of Al or Si has effects on the iron content of shoots and not that of roots. Similarly, accumulation of Fe with increasing Al levels (up to 10 ppm) was observed in rice (Alam, 1983) and potato (Lee, 1971). However, some investigations indicated that treatment with Al reduced Fe in leaves and roots of *Zea mays* (Gerzabek & Edelbauer, 1986), and in tomato cultivars, Al exposure decreased the content of Fe in roots, stems, and leaves (Simon et al., 1994).

Correlation analyses

Results indicated that there is a positive correlation between plants growth (dry and fresh weight) and its pigments contents. According to the results of the present study, a similar pattern was observed between plants growth and plant pigment content charts (Fig. IV). Therefore, it is suggested that increasing plants pigment content contributes to the enhancing of plants growth rate. Also, a positive correlation was observed between K contents of shoot and plants' growth rate. Apparently, the K content of shoot in maize has an important role in increasing plant growth (Fig. IV). A negative correlation also was observed between pigment and calcium content of shoots, which suggests that Ca may have an unfavorable effect on the pigment content of maize leaves.

References

- **Aebi, H.** 1984. 'Catalase *in vitro*'. *Method. Enzymol.*, 105: 121-126.
- Alam, S.M. 1981. 'Influence of aluminum on plant growth and mineral nutrition of barley'. *Commun, Soil Sci. Pl. Anal.,* 12: 121-138.
- Basitas, E.L., M.B. Gonzalez-Moro and C. Gonzales-Murua. 2004. '*Zea mays* L. amylacea from the Lita Valley (Arica- Chile) tolerates salinity stress when high levels of boron are available'. *Plant Soil*, 267: 73-84.
- Bates, L.S., R.P. Waldren and I.D. Teare. 1973. 'Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies'. *Plant Soil*, 39: 205-207.
- **Corrales, I., C. Poschenrieder** and **J. Barcelo.** 1997. 'Influence of silicon pretreatment on

aluminium toxicity in maize roots'. *Plant Soil,* 190(2): 203–209.

- **Delhaize, E.** and **P. R. Ryan. 1995.** 'Aluminum toxicity and tolerance in plants'. *Plant Physiol*, 107: 315-321.
- Dorneles, A.O.S., A.S. Pereira, L.V. Rossato, G. Possebom, V.M. Sasso, K.B.R. Quadros Sandri, F.T. Nicoloso, P.A.A. Ferreira and L.A. Tabaldi. 2016. 'Silicon reduces aluminum content in tissues and ameliorates its toxic effects on potato plant growth'. *Ciencia Rural*, 46(3): 506-512.
- Enteshari, S., R. Alishavandi and K. Delavar.2011. 'Interactive effects of silicon and NaCl on the some physiological and biochemical parameters in *Borago officinalis* L'. *Iranian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 2 (1): 315-320.
- **Epstein, E.** 1999. 'Silicon'. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, 50: 641–664.
- Gagoonani, S., S. Enteshari, K. Delavar and M. Behyar. 2011. 'Interactive effects of silicon and aluminum on the malondialdehyde (MDA), proline, protein and phenolic compounds in *Borago officinalis* L'. *J. Med. Plants Res.*, 5: 5818–5827.
- Gerzabek, M.H. and A. Edelbauer. 1986. 'Aluminum toxicity in corn (*Zea mays* L.). Influence of aluminum on the yields and nutrient contents'. *Bodenkultur*, 37(4): 309-319.
- Giannopolitis, C.N. and S.K. Ries. 1977. 'Superoxide dismutase Occurrence in higher plants'. *Plant Physiol.*, 59: 309-314.
- Guntzer, F., C. Keller and J.D. Meunier. 2012. 'Benefits of plant silicon for crops: a review'. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 32: 201–213.
- Hewitt, E.J. 1966. 'Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition'. Tech. Commun. 22, Common wealth Bureaux of Hort. and Plantation Crops, East Malling, England.
- Hodson, M.J. and A.G. Sangster. 1993. 'The Interaction between silicon and aluminum in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench—growth analysis and X-Ray microanalysis'. *Ann Bot.*, 72: 389–400.
- Hodson, M.J., P.J. White, A. Mead and M.R. Broadley. 2005. 'Phylogenetic variation in the

silicon composition of plants'. *Annals of Botany*, 96: 1027–1046.

- Holm, G., (1954) Chlorophyll mutations in barley'. *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 4: 457-461.
- Jones, D. L., L.V. Kochain and S. Gilary. 1998. 'Aluminum induced a decrease in cytosolic calcium concentration in BY-2 cell culture'. *Plant Physiol*, 116: 81-89.
- Kidd, P.S., M. Llugany, C. Poschenrieder, B. Gunse and J. Barcel. 2001. 'The role of root exudates in aluminum resistance and siliconinduced amelioration of aluminum toxicity in three varieties of maize (*Zea mays* L.)'. *J Exp Bot.*, 359: 135-1339.
- **Kinraide, T.B.** 1993. 'Aluminum enhancement of plant growth in acid rooting media. A case of reciprocal alleviation of toxicity by two toxic cations'. Physiol. Plant., 88: 619-625.
- **Lee, C.R.** 1971. 'Influence of Al on plant growth and tuber yield of potatoes'. *Agron. J.*, 63: 363-364.
- Liang, Y., W. Sun, Y-G. Zhu and P. Christie. 2007. 'Mechanisms of silicon-mediated alleviation of abiotic stresses in higher plants: A review'. *Environmental Pollution*, 147: 422-428.
- Liang, Y.C., C.G. Yang and H.H. Shi. 2001. 'Effects of silicon on growth and mineral composition of barley grown under toxic levels of aluminum'. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 24: 229-243.
- Llugany, M., C. Poschenrieder and J. Barcelo. 1995. 'Monitoring of aluminium-induced inhibition of root elongation in four maize cultivars differing in tolerance to aluminium and proton toxicity'. *Physiol. Plant.*, 93: 265-271.
- Ma, J.F. 2004. 'Role of silicon in enhancing the resistance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses'. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 50(1): 11-18.
- Ma, J.F. and E. Takahashi. 2002. 'Soil, Fertilizer, and Plant Silicon Research in Japan'. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Macedo, C.E.C. and V.V.S. Jan. 2008. 'Effect of aluminum stress on mineral nutrition in rice cultivars differing in aluminum sensitivity'. *R. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Ambiental*, 12(4): 363–369.
- Malekzadeh, P., R. Sheikhakbari Mehr and A.A. Hatamnia. 2015. 'Effects of aluminum toxicity on maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings'. Iranian Journal of Plant Physiology, 5(2): 1289-1296.

- Mandal, C., N. Ghosh, S. Maiti, K. Das, S. Gupta, N. Dey and M.K. Adak. 2013. 'Antioxidative responses of Salvinia (*Salvinia natans* L.) to aluminium stress and it's modulation by polyamine'. *Physiol Mol Biol Plants*, 19(1): 91–103.
- Nakano, Y. and K. Asada. 1981. 'Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts'. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 22: 867-880.
- Osaki, M., T. Watanabe and T. Tadano. 1997. ' Beneficial Effect of Aluminum on Growth of Plants Adapted to Low pH Soils'. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 43 (3): 551-563.
- **Plieth, C.** 2005. 'Calcium: Just another regulator in the machinery of life'? *Ann Bot-London.* 96: 1-8.
- **Porra, R.J., W.A. Thampson** and **P.E. Kriedelman.** 1989. 'Determination of accurate extraction and simultaneously equation for assaying chlorophyll a and b extracted with different solvents: verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy'. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 975: 384-394.
- **Poschenrieder, C., B. Gunse, I. Corrales** and J. **Barcelo.** 2008. 'A glance into aluminum toxicity and resistance in plants'. *Science of the Total Environment,* 400: 356–368.
- Rout, G.R., S. Samantary and P. Das. 2001. 'Aluminium toxicity in plants: a review'. *Agronomie*, 21: 3–21.
- **Roy, A.K., A. Sharma** and **G. Talukder.** 1988. 'Some Aspects of Aluminum Toxicity in Plants'. *The Botanical Review*, 54(2): 145-178.
- **Ryan, P.R.** and **L.V. Kochian.** 1993. 'Interaction between aluminum toxicity and calcium uptake at the root apex in near-Isogenic lines of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) differing in aluminum tolerance'. *Plant Physiol.*, 102: 975-982.
- Ryder, M., F. Gerard, D.E. Evans and M.J. Hodson. 2003. 'The use of root growth and modelling data to investigate amelioration of aluminium toxicity by silicon in *Picea abies* seedlings'. *J Inorg Biochem.*, 97: 52–58.

- Shen, X., X. Xiao, Z. Dong and Y. Chen. 2014. 'Silicon effects on antioxidative enzymes and lipid peroxidation in leaves and roots of peanut under aluminum stress'. Acta Physiol Plant, 36: 3063–3069.
- Simon, L., T.J. Smalley, J. Benton Jones and F.T. Lasseigne. 1994. 'Aluminum toxicity in tomato: Growth and mineral-nutrition'. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 17(2-3): 293–306.
- Singh, V.P., D.K. Tripathi and D.K. Chauhan. 2011. 'Influence of Exogenous Silicon Addition on Aluminium Tolerance in Rice Seedlings'. *Biol Trace Elem Res.*, 144: 1260– 1274.
- Sommer, M., D. Kaczorek, Y. Kuzyakov and J. Breuer. 2006. 'Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and landscapes: a review'. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 169: 310–329.
- **Somogyi, M.** 1952. 'Notes on sugar estimation'. *J. Biol. Chem.,* 200: 245-247.
- **Teresa, M.P.** 2001. 'Effect of aluminium on plant growth and metabolism'. Department of biochemistry, institute of molecular biology and biotechnology. Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznan, Poznan, Poland.
- Thornton, F.C., M. Schaedle and D. J. Raynai. 1986a. 'Effect of aluminum on the growth of sugar maple in solution culture'. *Canad. J. Forest Res.* 16: 892-896.
- Thornton, F.C., M. Schaedle, D.J. Raynai and C. Zipperer. 1986b. 'Effects of aluminum on honeylocust (Gle- ditsia triacanthos L.) seedlings in solution culture'. J. Exp. Bot. 37(179): 775-785.
- Vazquez, M. D., C. Poschenrieder, I. Corrales and J. Barcelo. 1999. 'Change in apoplastic aluminium during the initial growth response to aluminium by roots of a tolerant maize variety'. *Plant Physiol*, 119: 435-444.
- Wang, Y., A. Stass and W.J. Horst. 2004. 'Apoplastic Binding of Aluminum Is Involved in Silicon-Induced Amelioration of Aluminum Toxicity in Maize'. *Plant Physiology*, 136: 3762–3770.