International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computations Vol. 01, No. 01, January 2011, 45-58



# Numerical solution of Boussinesq equation using modified Adomian decomposition and homotopy analysis methods

Sh. Sadigh Behzadi <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Iran

**Abstract.** In this paper, a Boussinesq equation is solved by using the Adomian's decomposition method, modified Adomian's decomposition method and homotopy analysis method. The approximate solution of this equation is calculated in the form of series which its components are computed by applying a recursive relation. The existence and uniqueness of the solution and the convergence of the proposed methods are proved in detail. A numerical example is studied to demonstrate the accuracy of the presented methods.

**Keywords:** Boussinesq equation, Adomian decomposition method (ADM), Modified Adomian decomposition method (MADM), Homotopy analysis method (HAM).

#### Index to information contained in this paper

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The iterative methods
  - 2.1. Description of the MADM and ADM
    - 2.1.1. Adomian decomposition method
  - 2.1.2. The modified Adomian decomposition method
  - 2.2. Description of the HAM
- 3. Existence and convergence of iterative methods
- 4. Numerical example
- 5. Conclusion

## 1. Introduction

Boussinesq equation describes motions of long waves in shallow water under gravity and in a one-dimensional nonlinear lattice [1-4]. In recent years some works have been done in order to find the numerical solution of this equation, for example [5-12]. In this work, we develop the ADM, MADM and HAM to solve the Boussinesq equation as follows:

$$u_{tt} = \alpha u_{xx} + \beta (u^2)_{xx} + u_{xxxx},\tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are arbitrary constants and the initial conditions are:

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: Shadan\_Behzadi@yahoo.com

$$u(x,0) = f(x), u_t(x,0) = g(x).$$
(2)

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the mentioned iterative methods are introduced for solving Eq.(1). In section 3 we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution and convergence of the proposed methods. Finally, a numerical example is solved in section 4.

In order to obtain an approximate solution of Eq.(1), let us integrate two times from Eq.(1) with respect to t using the initial conditions we obtain,

$$u(x,t) = z(x,t) + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^t D^2(u(x,t)) dt dt + \beta \int_0^t \int_0^t F(u(x,t)) dt dt + \int_0^t \int_0^t D^4(u(x,t)) dt dt,$$
(3)

where,

$$D^{i}(u(x,t)) = \frac{\partial^{i}u(x,t)}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad i = 2, 4,$$
  
$$F(u(x,t)) = \frac{\partial^{2}u^{2}(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}},$$
  
$$z(x,t) = f(x) + tg(x).$$

The double integrals in (3) can be written as [16]:

$$\int_0^t \int_0^t (D^2(x,t)) dt dt = \int_0^t (x-t) D^2(u(x,t)) dt,$$
$$\int_0^t \int_0^t F(u(x,t)) dt dt = \int_0^t (x-t) F(u(x,t)) dt,$$
$$\int_0^t \int_0^t (D^4(x,t)) dt dt = \int_0^t (x-t) D^4(u(x,t)) dt.$$

So, we can write Eq.(3) as follows:

$$u(x,t) = z(x,t) + \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) D^2(u(x,t)) dt + \beta \int_0^t (x-t) F(u(x,t)) dt + \int_0^t (x-t) D^4(u(x,t)) dt.$$
(4)

In Eq.(4), we assume z(x,t) is bounded for all x, t in  $J = [a,T](a,T \in \mathbb{R})$ . The

terms  $D^i(u(x,t))$  (i=2,4) and F(u(x,t)) are Lipschitz continuous with

$$|D^{2}(u) - D^{2}(u^{*})| \leq L_{1}|u - u^{*}|,$$
  

$$|F(u) - F(u^{*})| \leq L_{2}|u - u^{*}|,$$
  

$$|D^{4}(u) - D^{4}(u^{*})| \leq L_{3}|u - u^{*}|.$$

also,

$$|x-t| \leq M, \quad \forall \ a \leq x, \quad t \leq T, \quad M \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

#### 2. The iterative methods

#### 2.1 Description of the MADM and ADM

The Adomian decomposition method is applied to the following general nonlinear equation

$$Lu + Ru + Nu = g_1,\tag{5}$$

where u(x, t) is the unknown function, L is the highest order derivative operator which is assumed to be easily invertible, R is a linear differential operator of order less than L, Nu represents the nonlinear terms, and  $g_1$  is the source term. Applying the inverse operator  $L^{-1}$  to both sides of Eq.(5), and using the given conditions we obtain

$$u(x,t) = f_1(x) - L^{-1}(Ru) - L^{-1}(Nu),$$
(6)

where the function  $f_1(x)$  represents the terms arising from integrating the source term  $g_1$ . The nonlinear operator  $Nu = G_1(u)$  is decomposed as

$$G_1(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n,\tag{7}$$

where  $A_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$  are the Adomian polynomials determined formally as follows:

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} [N(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i u_i)] \right]_{\lambda=0}.$$
(8)

The first Adomian polynomials are [13-15]:

$$A_{0} = G_{1}(u_{0}),$$

$$A_{1} = u_{1}G'_{1}(u_{0}),$$

$$A_{2} = u_{2}G'_{1}(u_{0}) + \frac{1}{2!}u_{1}^{2}G''_{1}(u_{0}),$$

$$A_{3} = u_{3}G'_{1}(u_{0}) + u_{1}u_{2}G''_{1}(u_{0}) + \frac{1}{3!}u_{1}^{3}G'''_{1}(u_{0}), \dots$$
(9)

## 2.1.1 Adomian decomposition method

The standard decomposition technique represents the solution of u(x,t) in (5) as the following series,

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x,t),$$
 (10)

where, the components  $u_0, u_1, \ldots$  which can be determined recursively

$$u_{0} = z(x,t),$$

$$u_{1} = \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) A_{0}(x,t) dt + \beta \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) B_{0}(x,t) dt + \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) L_{0}(x,t) dt,$$
(11)

$$u_{n+1} = \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) \ A_n(x,t) \ dt + \beta \int_0^t (x-t) \ B_n(x,t) \ dt + \int_0^t (x-t) \ L_n(x,t) \ dt, n \ge 0.$$

Substituting (11) into (9) leads to the determination of the components of u.

#### 2.1.2 The modified Adomian decomposition method

The modified decomposition method was introduced by Wazwaz [16]. The modified forms was established on the assumption that the function z(x,t) can be divided into two parts, namely  $z_1(x,t)$  and  $z_2(x,t)$ . Under this assumption we set

$$z(x,t) = z_1(x,t) + z_2(x,t).$$
(12)

Accordingly, a slight variation was proposed only on the components  $u_0$  and  $u_1$ . The suggestion was that only the part  $z_1$  be assigned to the zeroth component  $u_0$ , whereas the remaining part  $z_2$  be combined with the other terms given in (12) to define  $u_1$ . Consequently, the modified recursive relation

$$u_{0} = z_{1}(x, t),$$

$$u_{1} = z_{2}(x, t) - L^{-1}(Ru_{0}) - L^{-1}(A_{0}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$u_{n+1} = -L^{-1}(Ru_{n}) - L^{-1}(A_{n}), \quad n \ge 1,$$
(13)

was developed.

To obtain the approximation solution of Eq.(1), according to the MADM, we can write the iterative formula (13) as follows:

:

$$u_{0} = z_{1}(x,t),$$

$$u_{1} = z_{2}(x,t) + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) A_{0}(x,t) dt + \beta \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) B_{0}(x,t) dt + \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) L_{0}(x,t) dt$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f^{t} \qquad f^{t} \qquad f^{t}$$

$$u_{n+1} = \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) \ A_n(x,t) \ dt + \beta \int_0^t (x-t) \ B_n(x,t) \ dt + \int_0^t (x-t) \ L_n(x,t) \ dt, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(14)

The operators  $D^{j}(u)$  (j = 2, 4) and F(u) are usually represented by the infinite series of the Adomian polynomials as follows:

$$D^{2}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_{i},$$
$$F(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{i},$$
$$D^{4}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} L_{i}$$

where  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $L_i$  are the Adomian polynomials. Also, we can use the following formula for the Adomian polynomials [17]:

$$A_{n} = D^{2}(s_{n}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_{i},$$
  

$$B_{n} = F(s_{n}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_{i},$$
  

$$L_{n} = D^{4}(s_{n}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L_{i}$$
(15)

where  $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n u_i(x,t)$  is the partial sum.

# 2.2 Description of the HAM

Consider

$$N[u] = 0,$$

where N is a nonlinear operator, u(x,t) is an unknown function and x is an independent variable. Let  $u_0(x,t)$  denotes an initial guess of the exact solution u(x,t),  $h \neq 0$  an auxiliary parameter,  $H_1(x,t) \neq 0$  an auxiliary function, and L an

auxiliary linear operator with the property L[s(x,t)] = 0 when s(x,t) = 0. Then using  $q \in [0,1]$  as an embedding parameter, we construct a homotopy as follows:

$$(1-q)L[\phi(x,t;q) - u_0(x,t)] - qhH_1(x,t)N[\phi(x,t;q)] = \hat{H}[\phi(x,t;q); u_0(x,t), H_1(x,t), h, q].$$
(16)

It should be emphasized that we have great freedom to choose the initial guess  $u_0(x,t)$ , the auxiliary linear operator L, the non-zero auxiliary parameter h, and the auxiliary function  $H_1(x,t)$ .

Enforcing the homotopy (16) to be zero, i.e.,

$$\hat{H}_1[\phi(x,t;q); u_0(x,t), H_1(x,t), h, q] = 0,$$
(17)

we have the so-called zero-order deformation equation

$$(1-q)L[\phi(x,t;q) - u_0(x,t)] = qhH_1(x,t)N[\phi(x,t;q)].$$
(18)

When q = 0, the zero-order deformation Eq. (18) becomes

$$\phi(x;0) = u_0(x,t),$$
(19)

and when q = 1, since  $h \neq 0$  and  $H_1(x, t) \neq 0$ , the zero-order deformation Eq. (18) is equivalent to

$$\phi(x,t;1) = u(x,t).$$
 (20)

Thus, according to (19) and (20), as the embedding parameter q increases from 0 to 1,  $\phi(x,t;q)$  varies continuously from the initial approximation  $u_0(x,t)$  to the exact solution u(x,t). Such a kind of continuous variation is called deformation in homotopy [18-21].

Due to Taylor's theorem,  $\phi(x,t;q)$  can be expanded in a power series of q as follows

$$\phi(x,t;q) = u_0(x,t) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t)q^m,$$
(21)

where,

$$u_m(x,t) = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m \phi(x,t;q)}{\partial q^m}|_{q=0}.$$

Let the initial guess  $u_0(x,t)$ , the auxiliary linear parameter L, the nonzero auxiliary parameter h and the auxiliary function  $H_1(x,t)$  be properly chosen so that the power series (21) of  $\phi(x,t;q)$  converges at q = 1, then, we have under these assumptions the solution series

$$u(x,t) = \phi(x,t;1) = u_0(x,t) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t).$$
 (22)

From Eq.(21), we can write Eq.(18) as follows

$$(1-q)L[\phi(x,t,q) - u_0(x,t)] = (1-q)L[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t) \ q^m] = q \ h \ H_1(x,t)N[\phi(x,t,q)]$$
  
$$\Rightarrow L[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t) \ q^m] - q \ L[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t)q^m] = q \ h \ H_1(x,t)N[\phi(x,t,q)]$$
  
(23)

By differentiating (23) m times with respect to q, we obtain

$$\{L[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t) \ q^m] - q \ L[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(x,t)q^m]\}^{(m)}$$
  
=  $\{q \ h \ H_1(x,t)N[\phi(x,t,q)]\}^{(m)}$   
=  $m! \ L[u_m(x,t) - u_{m-1}(x,t)]$   
=  $h \ H_1(x,t) \ m \ \frac{\partial^{m-1}N[\phi(x,t;q)]}{\partial q^{m-1}} |_{q=0} .$ 

Therefore,

$$L[u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = hH_1(x,t)\Re_m(u_{m-1}(x,t)),$$
(24)

where,

$$\Re_m(u_{m-1}(x,t)) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1} N[\phi(x,t;q)]}{\partial q^{m-1}}|_{q=0},$$
(25)

and

$$\chi_m = \begin{cases} 0, \, m \leqslant 1\\ 1, \, m > 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that the high-order deformation Eq. (24) is governing the linear operator L, and the term  $\Re_m(u_{m-1}(x,t))$  can be expressed simply by (25) for any nonlinear operator N.

To obtain the approximation solution of Eq. (1), according to HAM, let

$$N[u(x,t)] = u(x,t) - z(x,t) - \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) D^2(u(x,t)) dt - \beta \int_0^t (x-t) F(u(x,t)) dt - \int_0^t (x-t) D^4(u(x,t)) dt,$$

 $\mathrm{so},$ 

$$\Re_m(u_{m-1}(x,t)) = u_{m-1}(x,t) - z(x,t) - \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) \ D^2(u_{m-1}(x,t)) \ dt - \beta \int_0^t (x-t) \ F(u_{m-1}(x,t)) \ dt - \int_0^t (x-t) \ D^4(u_{m-1}(x,t)) \ dt.$$
(26)

Substituting (26) into (24)

$$L[u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = hH_1(x,t)[u_{m-1}(x,t) - \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) D^2(u_{m-1}(x,t)) dt$$
  
-  $\beta \int_0^t (x-t) F(u_{m-1}(x,t)) dt - \int_0^t (x-t) D^4(u_{m-1}(x,t)) dt + (1-\chi_m)z(x,t)(x)].$   
(27)

We take an initial guess  $u_0(x,t) = z(x,t)$ , an auxiliary linear operator Lu = u, a nonzero auxiliary parameter h = -1, and auxiliary function  $H_1(x,t) = 1$ . This is substituted into (27) to give the recurrence relation

$$u_{0}(x,t) = z(x,t),$$

$$u_{n+1}(x,t) = \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) D^{2}(u_{n}(x,t)) dt + \beta \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) F(u_{n}(x,t)) dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} (x-t) D^{4}(u_{n}(x,t)) dt, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(28)

Therefore, the solution u(x,t) becomes

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x,t) = z(x,t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) \ D^2(u_n(x,t)) \ dt + \beta \int_0^t (x-t) \ F(u_n(x,t)) \ dt + \int_0^t (x-t) \ D^4(u_n(x,t)) \ dt \right).$$
(29)

# 3. Existence and convergence of iterative methods

In the following theorem we consider,

$$\alpha_1 := TM(|\alpha|L_1 + |\beta|L_2 + L_3).$$

# Theorem 3.1.

Let  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$ , then Boussinesq equation (1), has a unique solution. *Proof.* Let u and  $u^*$  be two different solutions of (3) then

$$\begin{split} |u-u^*| &= \left| \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) [D^2(u(x,t)) - D^2(u^*(x,t))] dt + \beta \int_0^t (x-t) [F(u(x,t)) - F(u^*(x,t))] dt \right| \\ &+ \int_0^t (x-t) [D^4(u(x,t)) - D^4(u^*(x,t))] dt \right| \\ &\leq |\alpha| \int_0^t |x-t| |D^2(u(x,t)) - D^2(u^*(x,t))| dt + |\beta| \int_0^t |x-t| |F(u(x,t)) - F(u^*(x,t))| dt \\ &+ \int_0^t |x-t| |D^4(u(x,t)) - D^4(u^*(x,t))| dt \\ &\leq \mathrm{TM}(|\alpha|L_1 + |\beta|L_2 + L_3) |u-u^*| = \alpha_1 |u-u^*|. \end{split}$$

From which we get  $(1 - \alpha_1)|u - u^*| \leq 0$ . Since  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$ , then  $|u - u^*| = 0$ . Implies  $u = u^*$  and completes the proof.

# Theorem 3.2.

The series solution  $u(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x,t)$  of equation (1) using ADM (or MADM) is convergent when

$$0 < \alpha_1 < 1, |u_1(x,t)| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Denote as  $(C[J], \| . \|)$  the Banach space of all continuous functions on J with the norm  $\| f(t) \| = \max |f(t)|$ , for all t in J. Define the sequence of partial sums  $s_n$ , let  $s_n$  and  $s_m$  be arbitrary partial sums with  $n \ge m$ . We are going to prove that  $s_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in this Banach space:

$$\| s_n - s_m \| = \max_{\forall t \in J} |s_n - s_m| = \max_{\forall t \in J} \sum_{i=m+1}^n u_i(x, t)|$$
$$= \max_{\forall t \in J} \left| \alpha \int_0^t (x - t) (\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} A_i) dt + \beta \int_0^t (x - t) (\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} B_i) dt + \int_0^t (x - t) (\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} L_i) dt \right|.$$

From [18], we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} A_i = D^2(s_{n-1}) - D^2(s_{m-1}),$$
$$\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} B_i = F(s_{n-1}) - F(s_{m-1}),$$
$$\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} L_i = D^4(s_{n-1}) - D^4(s_{m-1}).$$

 $\operatorname{So},$ 

$$\begin{split} \| s_n - s_m \| &= \max_{\forall t \in J} \left| \alpha \int_0^t (x - t) [D^2(s_{n-1}) - D^2(s_{m-1})] dt \\ &+ \beta \int_0^t (x - t) [F(s_{n-1}) - F(s_{m-1})] dt + \int_0^t (x - t) [D^4(s_{n-1}) - D^4(s_{m-1})] dt \right| \\ &\leq |\alpha| \int_0^t |x - t| |D^2(s_{n-1}) - D^2(s_{m-1}) dt \\ &+ |\beta| \int_0^t |x - t| |F(s_{n-1}) - F(s_{m-1})| dt + \int_0^t |x - t| |D^4(s_{n-1}) - D^4(s_{m-1}) dt \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \| s_n - s_m \| . \end{split}$$

Let n = m + 1, then

$$|| s_n - s_m || \leq \alpha_1 || s_m - s_{m-1} || \leq \alpha_1^2 || s_{m-1} - s_{m-2} || \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_1^m || s_1 - s_0 ||.$$

From the triangle inequality we have

$$\| s_n - s_m \| \leq \| s_{m+1} - s_m \| + \| s_{m+2} - s_{m+1} \| + \dots + \| s_n - s_{n-1} \|$$
  
 
$$\leq [\alpha_1^m + \alpha_1^{m+1} + \dots + \alpha_1^{n-m-1}] \| s_1 - s_0 \|$$
  
 
$$\leq \alpha_1^m [1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_1^{n-m-1}] \| s_1 - s_0 \|$$
  
 
$$\leq \alpha_1^m \left[ \frac{1 - \alpha_1^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha_1} \right] \| u_1(x, t) \| .$$

Since  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$ , we have  $(1 - \alpha_1^{n-m}) < 1$ , then

$$\| s_n - s_m \| \leqslant \frac{\alpha_1^m}{1 - \alpha_1} \max_{\forall t \in J} |u_1(x, t)|.$$
(30)

But  $|u_1(x,t)| < \infty$ , so, as  $m \to \infty$ , then  $|| s_n - s_m || \to 0$ . We conclude that  $s_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in C[J], therefore the series is convergent and the proof is complete.

#### Theorem 3.3.

If the series solution (28) of problem (1) using HAM is convergent then it converges to the exact solution of the problem (1).

*Proof.* We assume:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m(x,t),$$
$$\widehat{D}^2(u(x,t)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D^2(u_m(x,t)),$$
$$\widehat{F}(u(x,t)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F(u_m(x,t)),$$
$$\widehat{D}^4(u(x,t)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D^4(u_m(x,t)),$$

where,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} u_m(x,t) = 0.$$

We can write,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} [u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = u_1 + (u_2 - u_1) + \dots + (u_n - u_{n-1})$$
  
=  $u_n(x,t).$  (31)

Hence, from (31),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(x,t) = 0.$$
(32)

So, using (32) and the definition of the linear operator L, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} L[u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = L\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)]\right] = 0.$$

Therefore from (31), we can obtain that,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} L[u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = hH_1(x,t) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Re_{m-1}(u_{m-1}(x,t)) = 0.$$

Since  $h \neq 0$  and  $H_1(x, t) \neq 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Re_{m-1}(u_{m-1}(x,t)) = 0.$$
(33)

By substituting  $\Re_{m-1}(u_{m-1}(x,t))$  into the relation (33) and simplifying it, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Re_{m-1}(u_{m-1}(x,t)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [u_{m-1}(x,t) - \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (x-t)D^{2}(u_{m-1}(x,t))dt - \beta \int_{0}^{t} (x-t)F(u_{m-1}(x,t))dt - \int_{0}^{t} (x-t)D^{4}(u_{m-1}(x,t))dt + (1-\chi_{m})z(x,t)]$$

$$= u(x,t) - z(x,t) - \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (x-t)\widehat{D}^{2}(u(x,t))dt - \beta \int_{0}^{t} (x-t)\widehat{F}(u(x,t))dt - \int_{0}^{t} (x-t)\widehat{D}^{4}(u(x,t))dt.$$
(34)

From (33) and (34), we have

$$u(x,t) = z(x,t) + \alpha \int_0^t (x-t) \,\widehat{D}^2(u(x,t)) \,dt + \beta \int_0^t (x-t) \,\widehat{F}(u(x,t)) \,dt + \int_0^t (x-t) \,\widehat{D}^4(u(x,t)) \,dt.$$

Therefore, u(x,t) must be the exact solution.

# 4. Numerical example

In this section, we compute a numerical example which is solved by the ADM, MADM and HAM. The program has been provided with Mathematica 6 according to the following algorithm where  $\varepsilon$  is a given positive value.

Algorithm :

Step 1. Set  $n \leftarrow 0$ .

**Step 2.** Calculate the recursive relations (11) for ADM, (14) for MADM and (28) for HAM.

**Step 3.** If  $|u_{n+1} - u_n| < \varepsilon$  then go to step 4,

else  $n \leftarrow n+1$  and go to step 2.

**Step 4.** Print  $u(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i(x,t)$  as the approximate of the exact solution.

Example 4.1

Consider the Boussinesq equation as follows:

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx} - 6(u^2)_{xx} + u_{xxxx},$$

56

subject to the initial conditions:

$$u(x,0) = \frac{1}{x^2}, u_t(x,0) = \frac{-2}{x^2}.$$

Table 1. Numerical results of the Example 4.1

(x,t)		Errors	
	ADM(n=15)	MADM(n=12)	HAM(n=4)
$\begin{array}{c} (0.1, 0.12) \\ (0.2, 0.15) \\ (0.3, 0.22) \\ (0.4, 0.26) \\ (0.5, 0.30) \\ (0.7, 0.33) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.070513\\ 0.071572\\ 0.072673\\ 0.072785\\ 0.073472\\ 0.074185\end{array}$	0.062725 0.063668 0.064235 0.064788 0.065325 0.065864	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023378\\ 0.023706\\ 0.024458\\ 0.024842\\ 0.025173\\ 0.025663\end{array}$

Table 1, shows that the approximate solution of the Boussinesq equation is convergent with 4 iterations by using the HAM. By comparing the results of Table 1, one can observe that the HAM is more rapid convergent than the ADM and MADM.

#### 5. Conclusion

The HAM has been shown to solve effectively, easily and accurately a large class of nonlinear problems with the approximations which are convergent rapidly to exact solutions. In this work, the HAM has been successfully employed to obtain the approximate solution to analytical solution of the Boussinesq equation. For this purpose, we showed that the HAM has more rapid convergence than the ADM and MADM. Also, the example shows that the number of computations in HAM is less than the number of computations in ADM and MADM.

#### References

- R. Hirota, Direct methods in soliton theory, In: Bullogh RK, Caudrey PJ, editors. Solitons. Berlin: Springer; 1980.
- [2] R. Hirota, Exact envelope-Soliton solutions of a nonlinear wave, J.Math.Phys. 14(1973) 805-809.
- R. Hirota, Exact-N-Soliton solutions of the wave equation of long waves in shallow-water and in nonlinear lattices, J.Math.Phys. 14(1973) 810-814.
- [4] L. Debnath, Nonlinear partial differential equations for scientists and engineers, Berlin: Birkhauser;1998.
- [5] Z. Dai, J. Huang, M. Jiang, S. Wang, Homoclinic orbits and periodic solitons for Boussinesq equation with even constraint, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 26(2005) 1189-1194.
- [6] D. Kaya, Explicit solutions of generalized nonlinear Boussinesg equation, J.Appl.Math. 1(2001) 29-37.
- [7] Z. Feng, Traveling solitary wave solutions to the generalized Boussinesq equation, Wave motion. 37(2003) 17-23.
- [8] A. M. Waz waz, Construction of soliton olutions and periodic solutions of the Boussinesq equation by the modified decomposition method, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 12(2001) 1549-1556.
- [9] A. M. Waz waz, The variational iteration method for rational solutions for KdV, K(2,2), Burgers and cubic Boussinesq equation, J.Comput.Appl.Math. 207(2007) 18-23.
- [10] D. Chae, Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms, Advanced in Mathematics. 203(2006) 497-513.
- [11] A.G. Bratsos, A parametric scheme for the numerical solution of the Boussinesq equation, Korean J.Comput.Appl.Math. 8(2001) 45-57.
- [12] A.M. Waz waz, New traveling wave solutions to the Boussinesq equation and the Klein-Gordon, Commun.Non.Sci.Num.Simul. 13(2008) 889-901.
- [13] S.H. Behriy, H. Hashish, I.L. E-Kalla, A. Elsaid, A new algorithm for the decomposition solution of nonlinear differential equations, App.Math.Comput. 54(2007) 459-466.

- [14] M.A. Fariborzi Araghi, S.S. Behzadi, Solving nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral differential equations using the modified Adomian decomposition method, Comput. Methods in Appl. Math. 9(2009) 1-11.
- [15] A.M. Wazwaz, Construction of solitary wave solution and rational solutions for the KdV equation by ADM, Chaos, Solution and fractals. 12(2001) 2283-2293.
- [16] A.M. Wazwaz, A first course in integral equations, WSPC, New Jersey; 1997.
- [17] I.L. El-Kalla, Convergence of the Adomian method applied to a class of nonlinear integral equations, Appl.Math.Comput. 21(2008) 372-376.
- [18] S.J. Liao, Beyond Perturbation: Introduction to the Homotopy Analysis Method, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton. 2003.
- [19] S.J. Liao, Notes on the homotopy analysis method: some definitions and theorems. Communication in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 14(2009)983-997.
- [20] M.A. Fariborzi Araghi, S.S. Behzadi, Numerical solution of nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations using Homotopy analysis method, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing. DOI 10.1007/s12190-010-0417-4.
- [21] M.A. Fariborzi Araghi, S.S. Behzadi, Numerical solution for solving Burger's-Fisher equation by using iterative methods, Mathematical and Computational Applications. In Press, 2011.