

A Numerical Method Based on RKHS for a Hyperbolic Initial-Boundary-Value Problem

Ebrahim Amini ^{a,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P. O. Box 19395-4697 Tehran, Iran.*

Abstract. The main aim of this article is to propose a computational method on the basis of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space method for solving a hyperbolic initial-boundary-value problem. The solution in reproducing kernel Hilbert space is constructed with series form, and the approximate solution v_m is given as an m -term summation. Furthermore, convergence of the proposed method is presented which provides the theoretical basis of the proposed method. Finally, some numerical experiments are considered to demonstrate the efficiency and applicability of proposed method.

Received: 24 February 2023, Revised: 16 September 2023, Accepted: 30 September 2023.

Keywords: Hyperbolic differential-integral equations; Initial and boundary conditions; Approximate solution; Convergence analysis; Reproducing kernel Hilbert space(RKHS).

AMS Subject Classification: 35L70; 35L10; 35L20.

Index to information contained in this paper

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Reproducing kernel Hilbert space
- 3 Numerical experiments
- 4 Conclusion

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a class of hyperbolic differential equations in the following form:

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \alpha u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{x}} + \beta(u^3 + \gamma_0 u^2 + \gamma u), \quad (\bar{x}, t) \in (a, b) \times (0, T), \quad (1)$$

where $u = u(\bar{x}, t)$ is a sufficiently differentiable function, α is a real parameter, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a positive real number and $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma_0 = -(1 + \gamma)$. The initial

*Corresponding author Email: eb.amini.s@pnu.ac.ir

and boundary conditions of these equations is given by:

$$u(\bar{x}, 0) = \tilde{u}_0(\bar{x}), \quad a \leq \bar{x} \leq b, \quad (2)$$

$$u(a, t) = f_1(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (3)$$

and

$$u(b, t) = f_2(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (4)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_1 : Q_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function with $Q_1 = \{(a, t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, and $f_2 : Q_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function with $Q_2 = \{(b, t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$.

By changing of variable $x = \frac{\bar{x}-a}{b-a}$, we can rewritten Eqs. (1)-(4) in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\alpha}{b-a} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \beta(u^3 + \gamma_0 u^2 + \gamma u), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \\ u(0, t) = f_1(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ u(1, t) = f_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $u_0(x) = \tilde{u}_0(a + (b-a)x)$. Using the following transformation:

$$\begin{cases} v(x, t) = u(x, t) - U(x, t) - u_0(x) + U_0(x), \\ U(x, t) = f_1(t)(1-x) + f_2(t)x, \quad U_0(x) = U(x, 0), \end{cases}$$

Eq (5) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{\alpha}{b-a} v \frac{\partial(U+u_0-U_0)}{\partial x} - \frac{\alpha}{b-a} (U+u_0-U_0) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - 3\beta(U+u_0-U_0)v \\ - 2\beta\gamma_0(U+u_0-U_0)v - \beta\gamma v = F(x, t, v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ v(x, 0) = 0, \\ v(0, t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ v(1, t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t, v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}) = & \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)^2} \frac{\partial^2 (U+u_0-U_0)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\alpha}{b-a} (U+u_0-U_0) \frac{\partial (U+u_0-U_0)}{\partial x} \\ & + \beta((U+u_0-U_0)^3 + \beta\gamma_0(U+u_0-U_0)^2 + \beta\gamma(U+u_0-U_0) \\ & + \frac{\alpha}{b-a} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \beta v^3 + 3\beta(U+u_0-U_0)v^2 + \beta\gamma_0 v^2. \end{aligned}$$

The concept of reproducing kernel was first applied by Zarembo [1] to obtain the approximate solution of boundary value problems for harmonic functions. In 1909, Mercer [2] examined the functions which satisfy reproducing property in the theory of integral equations. He called these functions as positive definite kernels. The concept of reproducing kernels was systematized by Aronszajn [3] around 1948. From 1980, Cui and co-workers [4, 5] are pioneers in linear and nonlinear numerical analysis based on reproducing kernel theory. Recently, a lot of research works have been devoted to the application of RKHS method to solve several linear and nonlinear problems such as variational problems depending on indefinite integrals [6], delay differential equations of fractional order [7], nonlocal initial-boundary value problems for hyperbolic and parabolic integro-differential equations [8], Black-Scholes

equation [9] and so on [10–14].

In this paper, we define the RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. In the following, by using two methods, we investigate the existence of the solution in the RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give our main results concerning to our numerical method. We present an analysis of the numerical method in this section. In Section 3, validations using typical cases with available numerical results in the literature are performed to demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method. Finally, some concluding remarks are presented.

2. Reproducing kernel Hilbert space

Definition 2.1 [15] The RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^1[0, T]$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_2^1[0, T] = \left\{ u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid u \in \mathcal{AC}[0, T], u' \in L^2[0, T] \right\}.$$

Also, the specific inner product in $\mathcal{H}_2^1[0, T]$ is of the following form

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^1} = u(0)v(0) + \int_0^T u'(t)v'(t)dt,$$

and the norm is given by:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^1} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^1}},$$

where $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_2^1$.

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2^1[0, T]$ admits the following reproducing kernel:

$$k_s^1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + s, & s \leq t, \\ 1 + t, & s > t. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 [16] The RKHS ${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1]$ is defined by

$${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1] = \left\{ v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid v, v', v'' \in \mathcal{AC}[0, 1], v(0) = v(1) = 0, v''' \in L^2[0, 1] \right\}.$$

Also, the inner product in ${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1]$ is of the following form

$$\langle v, u \rangle_{{}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1]} = v(0)u(0) + v'(0)u'(0) + v(1)u(1) + \int_0^1 v'''(x)u'''(x)dx,$$

and the norm is given by:

$$\|v\|_{{}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1]} = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_{{}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1]}},$$

where $v, u \in {}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3$.

The Hilbert space ${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^3[0, 1]$ admits the following reproducing kernel:

$$R_y^3(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{120}(x-1)y(yx^4 - 4yx^3 + 6yx^2 \\ + (y^4 - 5y^3 - 120y + 120)x + y^4), & x \geq y, \\ \frac{-1}{120}(y-1)x(xy^4 - 4xy^3 + 6xy^2 \\ + (x^4 - 5x^3 - 120x + 120)y + x^4), & y > x. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3 [17] The RKHS ${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T]$ is defined by

$${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T] = \left\{ v : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid v, v' \in \mathcal{AC}[0, T], \right. \\ \left. v(0) = 0, v'' \in L^2[0, T] \right\}.$$

Also, the specific inner product in ${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T]$ is of the following form

$$\langle v, u \rangle_{{}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T]} = v(0)u(0) + v'(0)u'(0) + \int_0^T v''(t)u''(t)dt,$$

and the norm is given by:

$$\|v\|_{{}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T]} = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_{{}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T]}}, \quad (7)$$

where $v, u \in {}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2$.

The Hilbert space ${}_c\mathcal{H}_2^2[0, T]$ admits the following reproducing kernel:

$$Q_s^2(t) = \begin{cases} ts + \frac{ts^2}{2} - \frac{s^3}{6}, & t \geq s, \\ ts + \frac{st^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{6}, & s > t. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4 [8] Let $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, T] \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$. The RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega) = \{u \mid u \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega), \partial_x \partial_t u \in L^2(\Omega)\},$$

Also, the specific inner product in $\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$ is of the following form

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}} = u(0, 0)v(0, 0) + \int_0^1 \partial_x u(x, 0) \partial_x v(x, 0) dx \\ + \int_0^T \partial_t u(0, t) \partial_t v(0, t) dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \partial_x \partial_t u(x, t) \partial_x \partial_t v(x, t) dx dt,$$

and the norm is given by:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}} = (\langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.5 [8] Let $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, T] \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$. Then the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$ admits the following reproducing kernel

$$K_{(y,s)}^{1,1}(x, t) = k_y^1(x)k_s^1(t).$$

Definition 2.6 [18] Let $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, T] \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$. The RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega) = \{v | \partial_x^2 \partial_t v(x, t) \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega), \partial_x^3 \partial_t^2 v(x, t) \in L^2(\Omega), \\ v(x, 0) = v(0, t) = v(1, t) = 0\}.$$

Also, the specific inner product in $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$ is of the following form

$$\langle v, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)} = \partial_x \partial_t v(0, 0) \partial_x \partial_t u(0, 0) + \int_0^1 \partial_x^3 \partial_t v(x, 0) \partial_x^3 \partial_t u(x, 0) dx \\ + \int_0^T \partial_x \partial_t^2 v(0, t) \partial_x \partial_t^2 u(0, t) dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \partial_x^3 \partial_t^2 v(x, t) \partial_x^3 \partial_t^2 u(x, t) dx dt,$$

and the norm is given by:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} = (\langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $v, u \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.7 [19] Let $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, T] \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$. Then the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$ admits the following reproducing kernel

$$K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t) = R_y^3(x) Q_s^2(t).$$

2.1 Solution in the reproducing kernel Hilbert space

2.1.1 First method to calculate the approximate solution

Suppose that the solution of the problem (6) belongs to RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. Whenever a nonlinear operator $F(x, t, v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x})$ belongs to reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$, then linear operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$ well-defined and as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}v(x, t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{\alpha}{b-a} v \frac{\partial(U + u_0 - U_0)}{\partial x} - \frac{\alpha}{b-a} (U + u_0 - U_0) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ - 3\beta(U + u_0 - U_0)v - 2\beta\gamma_0(U + u_0 - U_0)v - \beta\gamma v.$$

Hence, we can rewritten the problem (6) as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}v(x, t) = F(x, t, v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}), \quad (8) \\ v(x, 0) = v(0, t) = v(1, t) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.8 Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)$. Then \mathcal{L} is bounded linear operator.

Proof It is sufficient to show that $\|\mathcal{L}v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}} \leq \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}$. By using the norm of

Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}} &= \langle \mathcal{L}v, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}} = \mathcal{L}v^2(0, 0) + \int_0^1 (\partial_x \mathcal{L}v(\varsigma, 0))^2 dx \\ &+ \int_0^T (\partial_t \mathcal{L}v(0, t))^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\partial_x \partial_t \mathcal{L}v(x, t))^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the reproduction property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v(\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{L}K_{(y,s)}^3(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} &= \mathcal{L}v(y, s), \\ \langle v(\cdot, \cdot), \partial_y \mathcal{L}K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} &= \partial_y \mathcal{L}v(y, s), \\ \langle v(\cdot, \cdot), \partial_s \mathcal{L}K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} &= \partial_s \mathcal{L}v(y, s), \\ \langle v(\cdot, \cdot), \partial_\eta \partial_s \mathcal{L}K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} &= \partial_y \partial_s \mathcal{L}v(y, s), \end{aligned}$$

We remind that

$$|\partial_{ys}^{i+j} v(y, s)| \leq M_{ij} \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}, i = 0, 1, 2, j = 0, 1, \quad (9)$$

where M_{ij} are positive real number.

Therefore, we get

$$|\mathcal{L}v(0, 0)|^2 \leq c_1 \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\int_0^1 (\partial_y \mathcal{L}v(y, 0))^2 dy \leq c_2 \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\int_0^T (\partial_s \mathcal{L}v(0, s))^2 ds \leq c_3 \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}, \quad (12)$$

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 (\partial_y \partial_s \mathcal{L}v(y, s))^2 dy ds \leq c_4 \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}, \quad (13)$$

where c_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are positive real number. By combining the inequalities (10)-(13), we obtain the inequality (9). Hence, the proof of the Theorem 2.8 is completed. \blacksquare

Since \mathcal{L} is a bounded linear operator, then we can define uniquely the adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}^* : \mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. Suppose that $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a subset countable dense in the domain Ω . By using the adjoint operator L^* , the functions $\vartheta_i(x, t)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(x, t) &= K_{(x_i, t_i)}^{1,1}(x, t), i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \vartheta_i(x, t) &= L^* \psi_i(x, t), i = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9 Suppose that $K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t)$ be a reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. Then, we get

$$\vartheta_i(x, t) = \mathcal{L}_{(y,s)} K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t)|_{(y,s)=(x_i, t_i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the subscript (y, s) of the linear operator \mathcal{L} indicates that \mathcal{L} is a function of (y, s) .

Proof By using the properties of reproducing kernel $K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t)$, it is found

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_i(x, t) &= \mathcal{L}^* \psi_i(x, t) = \langle \mathcal{L}^* \psi_i(y, s), K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle \psi_i(y, s), \mathcal{L}_{(y,s)} K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{(y,s)} K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t)|_{(y,s)=(x_i, t_i)}.\end{aligned}$$

where the subscript (y, s) of the linear operator \mathcal{L} indicates that \mathcal{L} is a function of (y, s) . ■

Theorem 2.10 Suppose that the sequence $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be dense in Ω . Then $\{\vartheta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a independent linear sequence in the RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.11 Suppose that the sequence $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be dense in Ω . Then the sequence $\{\vartheta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is complete in $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$.

Proof Let $v(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. Since $\langle v(x, t), \vartheta_i(x, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)} = 0$, it is found

$$\langle v, \vartheta_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)} = \langle v, \mathcal{L}^* \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)} = \langle \mathcal{L} v, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1,1)}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{L} v(x_i, t_i) = 0, \quad i \in N.$$

Since the subset $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be dense in Ω , we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L} v(x, t) = 0.$$

Since the solution of Eq. (8) is unique, we get

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega.$$

Hence, the sequence $\{\vartheta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are completed in RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. ■

The sequence $\{\vartheta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent in completed RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$, so the solution $v(x, t)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$v(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \vartheta_i(x, t).$$

Now, with the choice m -sentence of Eq. (14), the approximate solution $P_m v(x, t)$ is presented by

$$P_m v(x, t) = v_m(x, t) = P_m v(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \vartheta_i(x, t).$$

where $P_m : \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \{\vartheta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^m$ be orthogonal projection.

Now, we approximate the coefficients c_i with a repeat process.

For the first approximation, we select the function $v_{1,m}(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$ and assume that

$$v_{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_{i,n} \vartheta_i(x, t), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where $c_{i,n}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$, with a duplicate process are calculated by using

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m c_{i,n} \mathcal{L} \vartheta_i(x, t) \big|_{(x,t)=(x_j, t_j)} \\ &= F(x, t, v_{n-1, m}, \frac{\partial v_{n-1, m}}{\partial x}) \big|_{(x,t)=(x_j, t_j)}, j = 1, \dots, m, n = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 The second method to calculate the approximate solution

Let the subset $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be countable dense in Ω . We define the functions $\theta_i(x, t)$ as follows:

$$\theta_i(x, t) = K_{(y,s)}^{(3,2)}(x, t) \big|_{(y,s)=(x_i, t_i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Theorem 2.12 Suppose that the sequence $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be dense in Ω , then the sequence $\{\vartheta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be linear independent in $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$.

Proof Assume that the relation $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \theta_i(x, t) = 0$ is established for the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$.

Therefore, we chose $A_s(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$A_s(x_s, t_s) = 1, \quad A_s(x_l, t_l) = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad l \neq s,$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \theta_i(x, t) = \langle A_s(x, t), \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \theta_i(x, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i A_s(x_i, t_i) = a_s, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefor, the sequence $\{\theta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be linear independent in RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. ■

Theorem 2.13 Suppose that the sequence $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be dense in Ω , then the sequence $\{\theta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be complete in RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$.

Proof Assume that $v(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. Since $\langle v(x, t), \theta_i(x, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} = 0$, we get

$$v(x_i, t_i) = 0.$$

Since the subsequence $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be dense in Ω , we obtain that

$$v(x, t) = 0.$$

Therefore, by applying the Theorem 2.11 the sequence $\{\theta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be complete in RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.13. ■

The sequence $\{\theta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be complete in RKHS $\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$, so the solution $v(x, t)$ is given by:

$$v(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \theta_i(x, t).$$

Now, by chose m -sentence of the Eq. (14), the approximate solution $P_m v(x, t)$ is presented by

$$P_m v(x, t) = v_m(x, t) = P_m v(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \theta_i(x, t).$$

where $P_m : \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \{\theta_i(x, t)\}_{i=1}^m$ be orthogonal projection.

Now, we approximate the coefficients C_i with a repeat process.

For first approximation, we select the function $v_{1,m}(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)$ and assume that

$$v_{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^m C_{i,n} \theta_i(x, t), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where the coefficient $C_{i,n}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ with a duplicate process are calculated by using

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m C_{i,n} \mathcal{L} \theta_i(x, t) |_{(x,t)=(x_j,t_j)} \\ &= F(x, t, v_{n-1,m}, \frac{\partial v_{n-1,m}}{\partial x}) |_{(x,t)=(x_j,t_j)}, j = 1, \dots, m, n = 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Convergence analysis

Theorem 2.14 Let γ be a real constant. Then

$$B = \left\{ v_{n,m}(x, t) \mid \|v_{n,m}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} \leq \gamma \right\} \subset C(\Omega),$$

is a bounded set.

Proof We know that

$$\|v_{n,m}\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha \|v_{n,m}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}(\Omega)},$$

where α is a positive real constant.

Thus for all $(x, t) \in \Omega$ and $v_{n,m}(x) \in B$ there exist $\gamma < \infty$ such that $\|v_{n,m}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma$. This complete the proof of the Theorem 2.14. ■

Theorem 2.15 Let γ be real constant. Then $B = \left\{ v_{n,m}(x, t) \mid \|v_{n,m}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} \leq \gamma \right\} \subset C(\Omega)$ is equicontinuous set.

Proof By applying the Theorem (2.14), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{n,m}(y', s') - v_{n,m}(y'', s'')| &= |\langle v_{n,m}(x, t), K_{(y', s')}^{3,2}(x, t) - K_{(y'', s'')}^{3,2}(x, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}| \\ &\leq \|v_{n,m}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} \|K_{(y', s')}^{3,2} - K_{(y'', s'')}^{3,2}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} \\ &\leq \gamma \|\partial_y K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t)|_{y=(1-c)y'+cy''}(y' - y'') + \partial_s K_{(y,s)}^{3,2}(x, t)|_{s=(1-c)s'+cs''}(s' - s'')\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}} \\ &\leq \omega(|y' - y''| + |s' - s''|), \end{aligned}$$

where ω is a real constant.

By choosing $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\omega}$, we get

$$|y' - y''| + |s' - s''| < \delta \Rightarrow |v_{n,m}(y', s') - v_{n,m}(y'', s'')| < \epsilon.$$

for all $(y', s'), (y'', s'') \in \Omega$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.15. ■

Theorem 2.16 Suppose that $\|v_{n,m}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^{(3,2)}}$ be a bounded set. Then there exist subsequence $\{v_{n_\kappa, m}\}_{\kappa=1}^\infty \subseteq B$ and $v(x, t) \in C(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{n_\kappa, m} - v\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof Assume that the set B be equicontinuous and bounded. So, each sequence in B has a subsequence of convergence in $C(\Omega)$.

Thus there exist a subsequence $\{v_{n_\kappa, m}\}_{\kappa=1}^\infty$ in B such that

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{n_\kappa, m} - v\|_\infty = 0,$$

Hence, it is found

$$\mathcal{L}v_{n_\kappa, m}(x_j, t_j) = F(x, t, v_{n_\kappa-1, m}, \frac{\partial v_{n_\kappa-1, m}}{\partial x})|_{(x, t)=(x_j, t_j)}, j = 1, \dots, m, \kappa = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since \mathcal{L} and F be a continuous functions of v , we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}v(x, t) = F(x, t, v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}).$$

■

In the following theorem, we derive condition for existence and uniqueness of the solution $v_{n,m}$. Furthermore, we establish uniformly converges of the sequence $\{v_{n,m}\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

Theorem 2.17 Assume that the condition of the theorem 2.16 is confirmed. If the solution of the Eq. (8) is exist and unique then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{n,m} - v\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof Assume that the sequence $\{v_{n,m}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset B$ is not converges to v .

Thus, there exist a positive number ϵ_0 and subsequence $\{v_{n_\kappa, m}\}_{\kappa \geq 1} \subset B$ such that

$$\|v_{n_\kappa, m} - v\|_\infty \geq \epsilon_0, \quad \kappa = 1, 2, \dots \quad (14)$$

Since $\{v_{n_\kappa, m}\}_{\kappa \geq 1} \subset B$ be a subset of bounded and continuous functions, then there exists a subsequence of $\{v_{n_\kappa, m}\}_{\kappa \geq 1}$ such that convergence to \hat{v} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the sequence $\{v_{n_\kappa, m}\}_{\kappa \geq 1}$ uniformly convergence to \hat{v} .

Hence, it is found

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{n_\kappa, m} - \hat{v}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

The existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Eq. (8) show that Eq. (15) contradicts with the Eq. (14). This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.17. ■

3. Numerical experiments

The methods presented in this paper are applied on two examples to illustrate the efficiency and the applicability of the proposed methods.

Example 3.1 The generalized Haxley-Burgers equation with initial and boundary and conditions are considered as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \alpha u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \beta(u^3 + \gamma_0 u^2 + \gamma u), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \\ u(x, 0) = \frac{\gamma}{2}[1 + \tanh(a_1 x)], & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(0, t) = \frac{\gamma}{2}[1 + \tanh(a_1(-a_2 t))], & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(1, t) = \frac{\gamma}{2}[1 + \tanh(a_1(1 - a_2 t))], & 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The exact solution of this question is given by:

$$u(x, t) = \frac{\gamma}{2}[1 + \tanh(a_1(x - a_2 t))].$$

where $a_1 = \frac{\gamma}{8}(-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 8\beta})$ and $a_2 = \frac{\alpha\gamma}{2} - \frac{(2-\gamma)(-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 8\beta})}{4}$.

x_i	t_i	Method 1	Method 2
		$m = 30$	$m = 30$
		$n = 10$	$n = 10$
0.1	0.05	$8.7412e - 8$	$1.8756e - 7$
0.1	0.10	$2.8756e - 7$	$2.2321e - 6$
0.1	1.00	$6.8135e - 7$	$8.9134e - 7$
0.5	0.05	$3.5820e - 7$	$4.9612e - 7$
0.5	0.10	$3.9124e - 7$	$4.1258e - 7$
0.5	1.00	$2.5621e - 6$	$5.1263e - 6$
0.9	0.05	$7.3785e - 8$	$8.9125e - 7$
0.9	0.10	$5.4236e - 7$	$1.2031e - 6$
0.9	1.00	$7.0219e - 7$	$2.1206e - 6$

Table 1. Absolute error for different values x and t ($\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$) (Example 3.1).

The absolute error values for proposed methods reported in tables 1 and 2. The results suggest that, the proposed methods are suitable for finding approximate solutions with high degree of accuracy.

Example 3.2 The generalized Haxley-Burgers equation with initial and boundary conditions are considered as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \alpha u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \beta(u^3 + \gamma_0 u^2 + \gamma u), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \\ u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}[\tanh(\frac{\beta x}{r-\alpha})], & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(0, t) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}[\tanh(\frac{-\beta qt}{r-\alpha})], & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(1, t) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}[\tanh(\frac{\beta(1-qt)}{r-\alpha})], & 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The exact solution to this question is given by:

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}[\tanh(\frac{\beta(x - qt)}{r - \alpha})].$$

where $r = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 8\beta}$ and $q = \frac{(\alpha-r)(2\gamma-1)+2\alpha}{4}$.

x_i	t_i	Method 1	Method 2
		$m = 30$	$m = 30$
		$n = 10$	$n = 10$
0.1	0.05	$5.6534e - 8$	$8.1743e - 7$
0.1	0.10	$2.7430e - 7$	$9.1496e - 6$
0.1	1.00	$4.4321e - 8$	$6.1298e - 7$
0.5	0.05	$6.8921e - 8$	$4.1075e - 7$
0.5	0.10	$3.1209e - 7$	$1.1690e - 6$
0.5	1.00	$3.3407e - 7$	$6.8356e - 6$
0.9	0.05	$7.1763e - 8$	$2.2567e - 7$
0.9	0.10	$2.1093e - 7$	$1.8754e - 6$
0.9	1.00	$2.1856e - 7$	$9.6114e - 6$

Table 2. Absolute error for different values x and t ($\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, \gamma = 0.001$)(Example 3.1).

$t = 0.1$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.5$
$m = 40, n = 10$	$2.4521e - 4$	$3.9814e - 4$	$4.8927e - 4$	$3.7310e - 4$
$t = 0.4$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.5$
$m = 40, n = 10$	$9.3745e - 4$	$1.6721e - 4$	$7.935e - 4$	$9.5614e - 4$
$t = 0.9$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.5$
$m = 40, n = 10$	$3.8241e - 3$	$1.4987e - 3$	$4.5267e - 3$	$5.1573e - 3$

Table 3. Maximum error in the first method, $\beta = 1$ for $t = 0.1, 0.4, 0.9$ (Example 3.2).

$t = 0.1$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.5$
$m = 40, n = 10$	$4.1512e - 4$	$4.3212e - 4$	$4.8927e - 4$	$5.4192e - 4$
$t = 0.4$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.5$
$m = 40, n = 10$	$6.1096e - 4$	$5.4012e - 4$	$7.935e - 4$	$1.8346e - 3$
$t = 0.9$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.85$	$\alpha = 3, \gamma = 0.5$
$m = 40, n = 10$	$4.2649e - 3$	$4.2309e - 3$	$2.1643e - 3$	$6.2395e - 3$

Table 4. Maximum error in the second method, $\beta = 1$ for $t = 0.1, 0.4, 0.9$ (Example 3.2)

The maximum error values for proposed methods are reported in tables 3 and 4. The results indicate that our methods are suitable for finding approximate solutions with high degree of accuracy.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, by applying the methods based on reproduction kernel Hilbert space, the approximate solution of the Generalized Huxley-Burgers equations are obtained. First, the appropriate RKHS according to the initial and boundary conditions are defined. Afterwards, the building reproducing kernel are discussed. Since the Gram-Schmidt pronominalization process is unstable due to the rounding error of the process, this removal from the reproduction kernel method in solving this kind of nonlinear problems. In the following, we presented that the approximate solution be a uniformly convergence to the exact solution. In some of the future research, we can find error estimation and calculation the convergence rate of the

reproduction kernel method for nonlinear partial differential equations.

References

- [1] S. Zaremba, Sur le calcul numerique des foundctions demandness dans le problems de dirichlet et le problems hydrodynamique, Bull. Int. Acad. Sci. Cracovie (1908), 125-195.
- [2] J. Mercer, Functions of positive and negative type and their connection with the theory of integral equations, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 209 (1909), 415-446.
- [3] N. Aronszajn, Theory of reproducing kernels, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, (1951).
- [4] M. Cui and Z. H. Deng, Numerical functional method in reproducing kernel space, The Publication of Harbin Institute of Technology, China, (1988).
- [5] M. Cui and Y. Yan, The representation of the solution of a kind of operator equation $Au = f$, Numer. Math. J. Chinese Univ., 1 (1995), 82-86.
- [6] M. Fardi, R. K. Ghaziani, and M. Ghasemi, The reproducing kernel method for some variational problems depending on indefinite integrals, Math. Model. Anal. 21, (2016), 412-429.
- [7] M. Ghasemi, M. Fardi, and R. K. Ghaziani, Numerical solution of nonlinear delay differential equations of fractional order in reproducing kernel Hilbert space, Appl. Math. Comput. 268, (2015), 815-831.
- [8] M. Fardi and M. Ghasemi, Solving nonlocal initial-boundary value problems for parabolic and hyperbolic integro-differential equations in reproducing kernel hilbert space, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ. 33, (2016), 174-198.
- [9] S. Vahdati, M. Fardi, and M. Ghasemi, Option pricing using a computational method based on reproducing kernel, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 328, (2018), 252-266.
- [10] M. Xu, L. Zhang, and E. Tohidi, A fourth-order least-squares based reproducing kernel method for one-dimensional elliptic interface problems, Appl. Numer. Math. 162, (2021), 124-136.
- [11] M. Fardi, I. Pishkar, and J. Alidousti, Numerical investigation of the MHD suction-injection model of viscous fluid using a kernel-based method, Arch. Appl. Mech. 91, (2021), 4205-4221.
- [12] M. Fardi and Y. Khan, Numerical simulation of squeezing Cu-water nanofluid flow by a kernel-based method, Int. J. Model. Simul. Sci. Comput. 13(1), (2022), 2250005.
- [13] E. Amini, Optimal Control Problems: Convergence and Error Analysis in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces, Control and Optimization in Applied Mathematics, 6(2), (2021), 53-77.
- [14] E. Amini, A. Taheri, & M. Abedini, Using reproducing kernal for solving the Lane-Emden equations, arising Astrophysics, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computaions, 10(3), (2020), 179-202.
- [15] M. Cui , and Y. Lin , Nonlinear numerical analysis in the reproducing Kernel space, NY: Nova Science Publ, New York, (2009).
- [16] M. Fardi, and M. Ghasemi, Numerical solution of singularly perturbed 2D parabolic initial-boundary-value problems based on reproducing kernel theory: Error and stability analysis, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 38(4), (2020), 876-903.
- [17] F. Geng, and M. Cui, Solving singular nonlinear second-order periodic boundary value problems in the reproducing kernel space, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 192(2), (2007), 389-398.
- [18] R. D. Russell and L. F. Shampine, A collocation method for boundary value problems, Numer. Math., 19(1), (1972), 1-28.
- [19] Y. Zhou, M. Cui, Y. Lin, Numerical algorithm for parabolic problems with non-classical conditions, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 230(2), (2009), 770-780.