

A New Iterative Method of Successive Approximation to Solve Nonlinear Urysohn Integral Equations by Haar Wavelet

M. Kazemi^{a,*}, V. Torkashvand^b and E. Fathizadeh^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Ashtian Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ashtian, Iran,

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan,
Iran,

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

Abstract. In this paper, a new method for calculating the numerical approximation of the nonlinear Urysohn integral equations is proposed based on Haar wavelets. Also, the convergence analysis and numerical stability of these method are discussed. Conducting numerical experiments confirm the theoretical results of the applied method and endorse the accuracy of the method.

Received: 14 June 2020, Revised: 12 October 2020, Accepted: 16 October 2020.

Keywords: Integral equations; Haar wavelet; Lipschitz condition; Successive approximations.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

Index to information contained in this paper

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Main results
- 4 Numerical experiments
- 5 Conclusions

1. Introduction

In this research, the Haar wavelets constructed on $[a, b]$ are applied for solving the numerical solution of the nonlinear Urysohn Fredholm integral equations of the second kind (NUFIEs) of the form

$$u(s) = f(s) + \lambda \int_a^b K(s, x, u(x))dx, \quad s \in [a, b], \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author. Email: univer_ka@yahoo.com; m.kazemi@aiau.ac.ir

where the functions $f(s)$ and $K(s, x, u(x))$ are known and $u(s)$ is a solution to be determined. The mathematical modeling of physical phenomena, many problems in applied mathematics, engineering, mechanics, mathematical physics and many other fields can be turned into integral equations of the second type [12, 13, 17, 18, 26, 27, 29]. The two most commonly used methods for the numerical solutions of these equations are the Glerkin and collocation methods [2, 14].

Recently, some the numerical methods including, operational matrices [32], block-pulse functions (BPFs)[10, 23], triangular functions (TFs)[15], degenerate kernel method [1], Chebyshev polynomials [35], Least squares approximation method[33], Taylor-series expansion method [22], wavelet method [3, 25] and Bernoulli polynomials [4] have been proposed to obtain approximate solutions of these equations. In [17, 34], the classical theorems on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of nonlinear integral equations can be observed. Existence results for functional integral equations are obtained using the measure of noncompactness and Darbo conditions in [19] and [24] respectively. The method of successive approximations and its iterative methods are used in [6, 20].

In this paper, the iterative method of successive approximations based on the Haar wavelet to obtain the numerical solution of (1) is described. The structure of this article is divided into five sections. The second section, the basic definitions and preliminaries of Haar wavelet are presented. In Section 3, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1) is obtained using the fixed point technique. Also, the convergence of the method of successive approximations used to approximate the solution of (1), is described in this section. In order to confirm the theoretical results and show the accuracy of the method, some numerical examples in Section 4 are considered. Section 5 includes the conclusion of the proposed method.

2. Preliminaries

One of the most simple and popular types of wavelet, is the Haar wavelet. Haar function was introduced by Alfred Haar in 1910, [11] and later developed by others. There are different definitions of Haar function and various generalizations have been used [8, 21].

Definition 2.1 ([7]) The Haar scaling function also, called the father wavelet, is defined on the interval $[a, b)$ as

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , a \leq x < b, \\ 0 & , otherwise, \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 ([7]) The mother wavelet for Haar wavelets family is also defined on the interval $[a, b)$ as follows

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , a \leq x < \frac{a+b}{2}, \\ -1 & , \frac{a+b}{2} \leq x < b, \\ 0 & , otherwise, \end{cases}$$

All the other functions in the Haar wavelets family are defined on subintervals of $[a, b)$ and are generated from $\psi(x)$ by the operations of dilation and translation. Each function in the Haar wavelets family defined for $x \in [a, b)$ except the scaling

function can be expressed as

$$h_i(x) = \Psi(2^j - k) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \alpha \leq x < \beta, \\ -1 & , \beta \leq x < \gamma, \\ 0 & , otherwise, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\alpha = a + (b - a)\frac{k}{n}, \quad \beta = a + (b - a)\frac{k + 0.5}{n}, \quad \gamma = a + (b - a)\frac{k + 1}{n}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, 2N$$

In the above definition the integer $n = 2^j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ shows the level of the wavelet and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ is the translation parameter. The maximal level of resolution is the integer J .

The wavelet numbers i is calculated according the formula $i = n + k + 1$. In the case of minimal values $n = 1, k = 0$, we have $i = 2$. The maximum of i is $i = 2N = 2^{J+1}$. For $i = 1, 2$, the function $h_1(x)$ is called scaling function whereas $h_2(x)$ is the mother wavelet for the Haar wavelet family. In [3, 16], some authors uniform Haar wavelets for integration of real integrals. Here, we decide to use the method presented to obtain the numerical solution of the equation (NUFIEs). In this paper, suppose for Haar wavelets approximations collocation points $x_i = a + (b - a)\frac{2i-1}{4N}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$, are considered.

Proposition 2.3 *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous integrable function. Consider the integral*

$$I = \int_a^b f(x)dx$$

over the $[a, b]$. Using the quadrature formula with respect to Haar wavelets the above integral can be approximated as follows:

$$I \simeq \frac{(b - a)}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} f(a + (b - a)\frac{2i - 1}{4N}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N.$$

Definition 2.4 For $L \geq 0$, the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is L -Lipschitz if

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$$

Theorem 2.5 *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable function on $[a, b]$ of L -Lipschitz type. Then the following quadrature formula with respect to Haar wavelets*

$$S_N(f) = \frac{(b - a)}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} f(a + (b - a)\frac{2i - 1}{4N}) \tag{2}$$

where $N = 2^J$ is the maximal level of resolution of Haar wavelets, approximates the integral $\int_a^b f(x)dx$. Also we have

$$|\int_a^b f(x)dx - S_N(f)| \leq L\frac{(b - a)^2}{4N}. \tag{3}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_a^b f(x)dx - S_N(f) \right| &= \left| \int_a^b f(x)dx - \int_a^b \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} f\left(a + (b-a)\frac{2i-1}{4N}\right)dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2N} \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \left| f(x) - f\left(a + (b-a)\frac{2i-1}{4N}\right) \right| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2N} \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \left(L \left| x - \left(a + (b-a)\frac{2i-1}{4N}\right) \right| \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

According to the $x \in [a + (b-a)\frac{2i-1}{4N}, a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i)]$ we get

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x)dx - S_N(f) \right| \leq L \frac{(b-a)^2}{4N}.$$

Thus, the proof is complete. ■

3. Main results**3.1 The sequence of successive approximations**

Here, we consider the nonlinear equation (1), where $\lambda > 0$. We assume that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $K : [a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. Let $\mathbf{X} = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f \text{ is continuous}\}$ be the space of continuous functions with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\| = \sup\{|f(s) - g(s)|; s \in [a, b], \},$$

Now, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1) by the method of successive approximations. We define the operators $A : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ by

$$A(u)(s) = f(s) + \lambda \int_a^b K(s, x, u(x))dx, \quad \forall s \in [a, b], \forall u \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $K(s, x, u(x))$ be continuous for $s, x \in [a, b]$, and $f \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover suppose that there exist $\mu > 0, \alpha > 0$, such that*

$$|K(s, x, u) - K(s', x', u')| \leq \mu(|s - s'| + |x - x'|) + \alpha|u - u'|, \quad \forall u, u' \in \mathbf{X}. \quad (4)$$

If $\alpha\lambda(b-a) < 1$, then equation (1) has a unique solution $u^ \in \mathbf{X}$, which can be obtained by the following successive approximations method*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0(s) &= f(s), \\
u_m(s) &= f(s) + \lambda \int_a^b K(s, x, u_{m-1}(x))dx, \quad m \geq 1
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Also, the sequence of successive approximations, $(u_m)_{m \geq 1}$ converges to the solution u^* . Furthermore, the following error estimates hold

$$d(u^*, u_m) \leq \frac{(\alpha\lambda(b-a))^m}{(1-\alpha\lambda(b-a))} d(u_0, u_1) \tag{6}$$

and choosing $u_0 = f \in \mathbf{X}$, the inequality (6) becomes

$$d(u^*, u_m) \leq \frac{(\alpha\lambda(b-a))^{m+1}}{\alpha(1-\alpha\lambda(b-a))} M_0 \tag{7}$$

where

$$M_0 = \max\{|K(s, x, u_0)|; s, x \in [a, b], u_0 \in R\}.$$

Proof Firstly, we prove that $A(\mathbf{X}) \subset \mathbf{X}$. To this purpose, we see that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_1 + \lambda(b-a)\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon$. Since f is continuous on compact set of $[a, b]$, we infer that it is uniformly continuous and therefore for $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ exists $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$|f(s_1) - f(s_2)| < \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in [a, b],$$

with $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta'$.

As mentioned above, K also is uniformly continuous thus, for $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ exists $\delta'' > 0$ such that

$$|K(s_1, x, u(x)) - K(s_2, x, u(x))| < \varepsilon_2 \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in [a, b],$$

with $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta''$.

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta', \delta''\}$ and $s_1, s_2 \in [a, b]$, with $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A(u)(s_1) - A(u)(s_2)| &\leq |f(s_1) - f(s_2)| + \lambda \int_a^b |K(s_1, x, u(x)) - K(s_2, x, u(x))| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 + \lambda(b-a)\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

we derive

$$|A(u)(s_1) - A(u)(s_2)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $A(u)$ is uniformly continuous for any $u \in \mathbf{X}$, and so continuous on $[a, b]$, and hence A maps \mathbf{X} into \mathbf{X} , (i.e. $A(\mathbf{X}) \subset \mathbf{X}$).

Now, we show that the operator A is a contraction map. So, for $u, F \in \mathbf{X}$ and $s \in [a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(u)(s) - A(F)(s)| &\leq \lambda \int_a^b |K(s, x, u(x)) - K(s, x, F(x))| dx \\ &\leq \lambda\alpha(b-a) \|F - K\| \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\| A(F) - A(N) \| \leq \lambda \alpha (b - a) \| F - K \| .$$

Since $\lambda \alpha (b - a) < 1$, the operator A is a contraction on Banach space $(\mathbf{X}, \| \cdot \|)$. Using the Banach's fixed point principle implies that (1) has a unique solution u^* in \mathbf{X} .

The same Banach's fixed point principle leads to the estimates (6).

Choosing $u_0 = f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_0 - u_1| &\leq \lambda \int_a^b |K(s, x, u_0(x))| dx \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^b \max_{a \leq s \leq b} |K(s, x, u_0(x))| dx \\ &\leq \lambda (b - a) M_0. \end{aligned}$$

taking supremum from the above inequality we get

$$\| u_0 - u_1 \| \leq \lambda (b - a) M_0.$$

In this way we obtain the inequality (7), which completes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 3.2 Indeed, in previous theorem the existence and uniqueness of solution of (1) and the convergence of the sequence of successive approximations $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ to its exact solution in $(\mathbf{X}, \| \cdot \|)$ are proved.

Now, we consider a uniform partition $D : a = s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{2N-1} < s_{2N} = b$ of $[a, b]$ with $s_i = a + i \frac{b-a}{2N}$ and $i = 0, 2N$. Applying the quadrature rule (2) and (3) in the computation of the integrals from (5) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_0(s) &= f(s) \\ \bar{u}_m(s) &= f(s) + \frac{b-a}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} K\left(s, a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1), \bar{u}_{m-1}\left(a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1)\right)\right) \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Convergence analysis

In this section, we investigate the convergence of the iterative proposed method to the solution of equation (1).

Lemma 3.3 Consider the iterative procedure 8. Moreover suppose there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$|f(s) - f(s')| \leq \beta |s - s'|, \quad \forall s, s' \in [a, b].$$

Under all assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the functions $K(s, x, u_m(x))$ are Lipschitzian.

Using the conditions (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K(s, x, u_m(x)) - K(s, x', u_m(x'))| &\leq |K(s, x, u_m(x)) - K(s, x, u_m(x'))| \\ &\quad + |K(s, x, u_m(x')) - K(s, x', u_m(x'))| \\ &\leq \alpha |u_m(x) - u_m(x')| + \mu |x - x'|. \end{aligned}$$

and $\forall m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |u_m(x) - u_m(x')| &\leq \beta |x - x'| + \lambda(b - a)|x - x'| \\ &\leq (\beta + \lambda(b - a))|x - x'|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $x, x' \in [a, b]$ we have

$$|K(s, x, u_m(x)) - K(s, x', u_m(x'))| \leq (\alpha(\beta + \lambda(b - a)) + \mu)|x - x'|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |K(s, x, u_0(x)) - K(s, x', u_0(x'))| &\leq \alpha |f(x) - f(x')| + \mu |x - x'| \\ &\leq \alpha\beta |x - x'| + \mu |x - x'| \\ &\leq (\alpha\beta + \mu)|x - x'|. \end{aligned}$$

Supposing

$$L = \max\{(\alpha(\beta + \lambda(b - a)) + \mu), \alpha\beta + \mu\},$$

we have

$$|K(s, x, u_m(x)) - K(s, x', u_m(x'))| \leq L|x - x'|$$

Thus, the functions $K(s, x, u_m(x))$ for all m are Lipschitzian.

Theorem 3.4 Consider the NUFIEs (1) with the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. If $\alpha\lambda(b - a) < 1$, then the iterative procedure (8) converges to the unique solution of (1), u^* , and its error estimate is as follows

$$d(u^*, \bar{u}_m) \leq \frac{(\alpha\lambda(b - a))^{m+1}}{\alpha(1 - \alpha\lambda(b - a))} M_0 + \frac{L(b - a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha\lambda(b - a))}$$

where

$$L = \max\{(\alpha(\beta + \lambda(b - a)) + \mu), \alpha\beta + \mu\},$$

Proof. Using (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u^*, \bar{u}_m) &\leq d(u^*, u_m) + d(u_m, \bar{u}_m) \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha\lambda(b - a))^{m+1}}{\alpha(1 - \alpha\lambda(b - a))} M_0 + \|u_m(s) - \bar{u}_m(s)\| \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

therefore, we shall to obtain the estimates for $\|u_m(s) - \bar{u}_m(s)\|$. Computing the integrals from (5) we apply the quadrature formula (3) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0(s) &= f(s) \\
 u_m(s) &= f(s) + \frac{b-a}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} K\left(s, a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1), u_{m-1}\left(a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1)\right)\right) \\
 &\quad + E_m(s). \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$|E_m(s)| \leq \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N}.$$

Form (8) and (10), for $m = 1$, we obtain

$$|u_1(s) - \bar{u}_1(s)| \leq |E_1(s)| \leq \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} \tag{11}$$

Now, from (11) for $m = 2$ it follow that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_2(s) - \bar{u}_2(s)| &\leq \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} + \lambda\alpha \frac{b-a}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \left| u_1\left(a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1)\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \bar{u}_1\left(a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1)\right) \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} + \lambda\alpha \frac{b-a}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} \\
 &= (1 + \lambda\alpha(b-a)) \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, for $m \in N, m \geq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_m(s) - \bar{u}_m(s)| &\leq [1 + \lambda\alpha(b-a) \dots + (\lambda\alpha(b-a))^{m-1}] \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} \\
 &\leq \frac{1 - (\lambda\alpha(b-a))^m}{1 - \lambda\alpha(b-a)} \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} \tag{12} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda\alpha(b-a)} \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N} \\
 &= \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha\lambda(b-a))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (9), (11) and (12) we conclude that

$$d(u^*, \bar{u}_m) \leq \frac{(\alpha\lambda(b-a))^{m+1}}{\alpha(1 - \alpha\lambda(b-a))} M_0 + \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha\lambda(b-a))}$$

Remark 3.5 Since $\alpha\lambda(b - a) < 1$, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta_x \rightarrow 0}} d(u^*, \bar{u}_m) = 0,$$

that shows the convergence of the method.

3.3 The numerical stability analysis

With the purpose of studying the numerical stability of the iterative method (8), considering the small changes in the first iteration, an another first iteration term $v_0(s) = g(s) \in C([a, b], R)$ is considered in such a way that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which $|v_0(s) - u_0(s)| < \varepsilon, \forall s \in [a, b]$. Let β', M'_0 such that

$$|g(s) - g(s')| \leq \beta' |s - s'| \quad \forall s, s' \in I,$$

and let $L' > 0$ be a Lipschitz constant having similar meaning as L similarly, as in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

The new sequence of successive approximations is:

$$v_m(s) = g(s) + \lambda \int_a^b K(s, x, v_{m-1}(x))dx, \quad m \geq 1$$

using the same iterative method (8) to solve (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}_0(s) &= g(s) \\ \bar{v}_m(s) &= g(s) + \frac{b-a}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} K(s, a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1), \bar{v}_{m-1}(a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1))) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.6 *Let the conditions of Theorem 3.4 are fulfilled. Then the iterative approach (8) is numerically stable with respect to the selection of the first iteration.*

Proof We reintroduce the proof of Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$|v_m(s) - \bar{v}_m(s)| \leq \frac{L'(b-a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha\lambda(b-a))}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}_m(s) - \bar{v}_m(s)| &\leq |\bar{u}_m(s) - u_m(s)| + |u_m(s) - v_m(s)| + |v_m(s) - \bar{v}_m(s)| \\ &\leq |u_m(s) - v_m(s)| + \frac{L(b-a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha\lambda(b-a))} + \frac{L'(b-a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha\lambda(b-a))}. \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$|u_0(s) - v_0(s)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall s \in [a, b],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_1(s) - v_1(s)| &\leq |u_0(s) + \lambda \int_a^b K(s, x, v_0(x)) dx \\
 &\quad - v_0(s) - \lambda \int_a^b K(s, x, v_0(x)) dx dy dz| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon + \alpha \lambda \int_a^b |u_0(s) - v_0(s)| dx \\
 &\leq (1 + \alpha \lambda (b - a)) \varepsilon = (1 + \alpha \lambda (b - a)) \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 2$, by induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_m(s) - v_m(s)| &\leq |u_0(s) - v_0(s)| \\
 &\quad + \lambda \int_a^b |K(s, x, u_{m-1}(x)) - K(s, x, v_{m-1}(x))| dx \\
 &\leq \varepsilon + \alpha \lambda \int_a^b |u_{m-1}(s) - v_{m-1}(s)| dx dy \\
 &\leq (1 + \alpha \lambda (b - a) + \dots + (\alpha \lambda (b - a))^m) \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in [a, b]$ and $m \geq 0$. Then,

$$d(u_m(s), v_m(s)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \lambda (b - a)} \varepsilon.$$

Now, we get,

$$|\bar{u}_m(s) - \bar{v}_m(s)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \lambda (b - a)} \varepsilon + \frac{(L + L')(b - a)^2}{4N(1 - \alpha \lambda (b - a))}$$

■

Remark 3.7 Since $\alpha \lambda (b - a) < 1$, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\delta_x, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} d(\bar{u}_m, \bar{v}_m) = 0.$$

this shows the stability of the method.

3.4 Algorithm of the approach

The iterative procedure 8 gives the following algorithm of computation for the solution of (1):

Step 1: The data are introduced as, $a, b, \lambda, \varepsilon, N$ and the functions K, f .

Step 2: For $j = \overline{0, 2N}$ compute $\bar{u}_m(s_j)$ by

$$\bar{u}_m(s) = f(s) + \frac{b-a}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} K\left(s, a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1), \bar{u}_{m-1}\left(a + \frac{b-a}{4N}(2i-1)\right)\right).$$

Step 3: Compute $|\bar{u}_m(s_j) - \bar{u}_{m-1}(s_j)|$.

Step 4: If $|\bar{u}_m(s_j) - \bar{u}_{m-1}(s_j)| < \varepsilon$, Print $\bar{u}_m(s_j)$, $j = \overline{0, 2N}$. STOP.

4. Numerical experiments

We have applied our method on some numerical examples, to observe the accuracy and efficiency of the present method for solving NUFIEs. Also, we compare the numerical solutions obtained by using the proposed method with the exact solutions. In order to analyze the error of the method we introduce notations

$$e_{2N} = |u^*(s) - \bar{u}(s)|,$$

and

$$\|e_{2N}\|_\infty := \max\{|e_{2N}(s_j)|, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2N\},$$

where $\bar{u}(s), u^*(s)$ are the approximate solution and the exact solution of integral equations, respectively. Moreover, the number of iterations, $NI = m$, and the errors $\|e_{2N}\|_\infty$ are inserted in the tables. In this section, points are proposed as $s_j = \frac{j}{10}$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 9$. and we assumed that $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $\lambda = 1$. The computations have been done using Maple 17.

Example 4.1 The following nonlinear Fredholm integral equation has been considered by other authors as a numerical test [5, 9, 28, 30, 31],

$$u(s) = f(s) + \int_0^1 K(s, x, u(x))dx,$$

where

$$f(s) = \sin(\pi s)$$

$$K(s, x, u(x)) = \frac{1}{5} \cos(\pi s) \sin(\pi x)(u(x))^3,$$

with the exact solution

$$u(s) = \sin(\pi s) + \frac{20 - \sqrt{391}}{3} \cos(\pi s),$$

Ezquerro et al. studied existence of the solutions of the above equation (4.1) in [9]. Moreover, Rashidinia et al. in [30] analytically solutions for the mentioned equation, including $u_1(s) = \sin(\pi s) + \frac{20 - \sqrt{391}}{3} \cos(\pi s)$ and $u_2(s) = \sin(\pi s) + \frac{20 + \sqrt{391}}{3} \cos(\pi s)$. But in [5] just $u(s) = \sin(\pi s) + \frac{20 - \sqrt{391}}{3} \cos(\pi s)$ has been considered. In [5] the minimum absolute errors of approximation is 3.6765×10^{-7} and the error just in the point $s = 0.5$ is zero. Also, in [31] the minimum absolute errors of approximation

is 7.796×10^{-3} . By using the proposed method, we can present the approximate solution for this example. Table 1 shows that the numerical results for this example.

Table 1. Numerical results for $2N = 10, 2N = 20, 2N = 40$, in Example 1.

s_j	exact	$e_{2N=10}$	$e_{2N=20}$	$e_{2N=40}$
0.1	0.380752038	$4.0580526341 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.2713913659 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.330998663 \times 10^{-6}$
0.2	0.648806725	$3.4519857540 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.4841133266 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.290888307 \times 10^{-6}$
0.3	0.853351689	$2.5080144563 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.2578990321 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.673434132 \times 10^{-6}$
0.4	0.974364644	$1.3185412293 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.7127788813 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.640715164 \times 10^{-6}$
0.5	1	0	0	0
0.6	0.9277483875	$1.3185412293 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.7127788813 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.640715164 \times 10^{-6}$
0.7	0.7646822990	$2.5080144563 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.2578990321 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.673434132 \times 10^{-6}$
0.8	0.5267637791	$3.4519857540 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.4841133266 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.290888307 \times 10^{-6}$
0.9	0.2372819503	$4.0580526341 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.2713913659 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.330998663 \times 10^{-6}$
NI		12	12	12
$\ e_n\ _\infty$		7.1664×10^{-5}	5.2714×10^{-6}	6.3310×10^{-7}

Example 4.2 Consider the following nonlinear Fredholm integral equation

$$u(s) = f(s) + \int_0^1 K(s, x, u(x))dx,$$

where

$$f(s) = \cos(s) - \frac{1}{9}\sqrt{s}(\cos(1)^3 + 3\cos(1)^2\sin(1) + 6\cos(1) + 6\sin(1) - 7)$$

$$K(s, x, u(x)) = \sqrt{sx}(u(x))^3,$$

and exact solution $u(s) = \cos(s)$. We have to obtain the absolute error, for the grid points s_j , for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 9$. Numerical results (error between exact and approximate value of $\bar{u}(s)$) with $2N = 10, 2N = 20, 2N = 40$ are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Numerical results for $2N = 10, 2N = 20, 2N = 40$, in Example 2.

s_j	$e_{2N=10}$	$e_{2N=20}$	$e_{2N=40}$
0.1	$1.994941976 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.43383339 \times 10^{-7}$	9.3314031×10^{-9}
0.2	$3.941226833 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.83269524 \times 10^{-7}$	1.8435212×10^{-8}
0.3	$5.840613257 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.19784957 \times 10^{-7}$	2.7319650×10^{-8}
0.4	$7.694776196 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.53050023 \times 10^{-7}$	3.5992555×10^{-8}
0.5	$9.505311771 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.83179439 \times 10^{-7}$	4.4461391×10^{-8}
0.6	$1.127374186 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.10282591 \times 10^{-7}$	5.2733278×10^{-8}
0.7	$1.300151840 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.34463832 \times 10^{-7}$	6.0815007×10^{-8}
0.8	$1.469002728 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.05582277 \times 10^{-6}$	6.8713059×10^{-8}
0.9	$1.634059214 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.17445454 \times 10^{-6}$	7.6433628×10^{-8}
NI	9	9	9
$\ e_N\ _\infty$	1.63406×10^{-5}	1.17445×10^{-6}	7.64336×10^{-8}

Example 4.3 ([1, 4]) Consider the following nonlinear Fredholm integral equation

$$u(s) = f(s) + \int_0^1 K(s, x, u(x))dx, s \in [0, 1]$$

where

$$f(s) = s - \frac{1}{2}(-\cos(1) + \sin(1))e^{(s-1)} - \frac{1}{2}e^{(s)}$$

$$K(s, x, u(x)) = e^{s-x} \cos(u(x)),$$

and exact solution $u(s) = s$.

By using the proposed method, we can present the approximate solution for this example. Table 3 shows that the numerical results for this example.

Table 3. Numerical results for $2N = 10, 2N = 20, 2N = 40$, in Example 3.

s_i	$e_{2N=10}$	$e_{2N=20}$	$e_{2N=40}$
0.1	$2.1552794792 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.357367721 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.91759361 \times 10^{-7}$
0.2	$4.2579911663 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.608458180 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.76402643 \times 10^{-7}$
0.3	$6.3100351018 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.275711272 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.54187040 \times 10^{-7}$
0.4	$8.3132208484 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.680698978 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.12535753 \times 10^{-6}$
0.5	$1.0269272812 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.076157561 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.39014753 \times 10^{-6}$
0.6	$1.2179835196 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.462419433 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.64877963 \times 10^{-6}$
0.7	$1.4046476605 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.839801722 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.90146617 \times 10^{-6}$
0.8	$1.5870694346 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.208607140 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.14840982 \times 10^{-6}$
0.9	$1.7653918430 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.569124796 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.38980419 \times 10^{-6}$
NI	11	11	11
$\ e_N\ _\infty$	1.76539×10^{-4}	3.56912×10^{-5}	2.38980×10^{-6}

5. Conclusions

In this work a computational method has been presented for numerical solution of nonlinear Urysohn integral equations based on Haar wavelet series. This method is very simple and involves lower computation. In the Theorem 3.1 sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness solution of the (NUFIEs) are presented. Proof of the convergence and the error estimation of the proposed method in terms of Lipschitz condition are provided in the Theorem 3.4. To illustrate the efficiency of the presented method, three examples are given.

References

- [1] C. Allouch, D. Sbibi and M. Tahrichi, Bernoulli superconvergent Nystrm and degenerate kernel methods for Hammerstein integral equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **258** (2014) 30–41.
- [2] K. Atkinson and F. Potra, Projection and iterated projection methods for nonlinear integral equations, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, **24** (1987) 1352–1373.
- [3] I. Aziz, S. Islam and W. Khan, Quadrature rules for numerical integration based on Haar wavelets and hybrid functions, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **61** (9) (2011) 2770–2781.
- [4] S. Bazm, Bernoulli polynomials for the numerical solution of some classes of linear and nonlinear integral equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **275** (2015) 44–60.
- [5] J. Biazar and H. Ghazvini, Numerical solution for special nonlinear Fredholm integral equation by HPM, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **195** (2008) 681–687.
- [6] A. M. Bica, M. Curila and S. Curila, About a numerical method of successive interpolations for functional Hammerstein integral equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **236** (2) (2012) 2005–2024.
- [7] A. Boggess and F. J. Narcowich, *First Course in Wavelets with Fourier Analysis*, Prentice Hall, (2001).
- [8] C. S. Burrns, R. A. Gopinath and H. Guo, *Introduction to Wavelet and Wavelet Transform*, Houston, Texas, Prentice Hall, (1998).

- [9] J. A. Ezquerro and M. Á. Hernández-Verón, On the existence of solutions of nonlinear Fredholm integral equations from Kantorovichs technique, *Algorithms*, **10** (3) (2017), 89, doi:10.3390/a10030089.
- [10] E. Fathizadeh, R. Ezzati and K. Maleknejad, Hybrid rational Haar wavelet and block pulse functions method for solving population growth model and Abel integral equations, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, **2017** (2017), Article ID 2465158, doi:10.1155/2017/2465158.
- [11] A. Haar, Zur theorie der orthogonalen funktionensystem, *Mathematische Annalen*, **69** (1910) 331–371.
- [12] A. A. Hamoud and K. P. Ghadle, Approximate solutions of fourthorder fractional integrodifferential equations, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, **55** (2018) 49–61.
- [13] R. Hanson and J. P. hillips, Numerical solution of two-dimensional integral equations using linear elements, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, **15** (1978) 113–121.
- [14] E. Hashemizadeh and M. Rostami, Numerical solution of Hammerstein integral equations of mixed type using the Sinc-collocation method, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **279** (2015) 31–39.
- [15] S. Hatamzadeh-Varmazyar and Z. Masouri, Numerical solution of second kind Volterra and Fredholm integral equations based on a direct method via triangular functions, *International Journal of Industrial Mathematics*, **11** (2) (2019) 79–87.
- [16] S. Islam, I. Aziz and F. Haq, A comparative study of numerical integration based on Haar wavelets and hybrid functions, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **59** (6) (2010) 2026–2036.
- [17] A. Jerri, *Introduction to Integral Equations with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, (1999).
- [18] A. C. Kaya and F. Erdogan, On the solution of integral equation with a generalized Cauchy kernel, *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, **45** (3) (1997) 455–469.
- [19] M. Kazemi and R. Ezzati, Existence of solutions for some nonlinear Volterra integral equations via Petryshyns fixed point theorem, *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, **9** (1) (2018) 1–12.
- [20] M. Kazemi, V. Torkashvand and E. Fathizadeh, An approximation method for the solution of nonlinear Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, *The 44th Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference*, (2018).
- [21] M. Kumar and S. Pandit, Wavelet tranform and wavelet based numerical methods: an introduction, *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, **13** (3) (2012) 325–345.
- [22] K. Maleknejad, N. Aghazadeh and M. Rabbani, Numerical solution of second kind Fredholm integral equations system by using a Taylor-series expansion method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **175** (2006) 1229–1234.
- [23] K. Maleknejad and Y. Mahmoudi, Numerical solution of linear Fredholm integral equation by using hybrid Taylor and Block-Pulse functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **149** (2004) 799–806.
- [24] K. Maleknejad, R. Mollapourasl and K. Nouri, Study on existence of solutions for some nonlinear functional-integral equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **69** (2008) 2582–2588.
- [25] K. Maleknejad and M. Yousefi, Numerical solution of the integral equation of the second kind by using wavelet bases of Hermite cubic splines, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **183** (2006) 134–141.
- [26] S. Mckee, T. Tang and T. Diogo, An Euler-type method for two-dimensional Volterra integral equations of the first kind, *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, **20** (3) (2000) 423–440.
- [27] N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Some Basic Problems of Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*, Noordhoff, Holland, (1953).
- [28] M. Nadir and A. Khirani, Adapted Newton-Kantorovich method for nonlinear integral equations, *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **12** (2016) 176–181.
- [29] A. G. Ramm, *Dynamical systems method for solving operator equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (2007).
- [30] J. Rashidinia and A. Parsa, Analytical-numerical solution for nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein type, *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computations*, **2** (2012) 61–69.
- [31] J. Saberi-Nadjafi and M. Heidari, Solving nonlinear integral equations in the Urysohn form by Newton-Kantorovich-quadrature method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **60** (2010) 2058–2065.
- [32] M. Tavassoli Kajani, A. Hadi Vencheh and M. Ghasemi, The Chebyshev wavelets operationalmatrix of integration and product operation matrix, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, **86** (7) (2009) 1118–1125.
- [33] Q. Wang, K. Wang and S. H. Chen, Least squares approximation method for the solution of Volterra-Fredholm integral equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **272** (2014) 141–147.
- [34] A.-M. Wazwaz, *Linear and Nonlinear Integral Equations: Methods and Applications*, Springer Science & Business Media, (2011).
- [35] C. Yang, Chebyshev polynomial solution of nonlinear integral equations, *Journal of The Franklin Institute*, **34** (2012) 9947–9956.