<span id="page-0-0"></span>

# **Run of Homozygosity a Procedure to Detecting Inbreeding in Farm Animals**

## **Review Article**

# **M. Nosrati 1\***

**1 Department of Agriculture, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran**

Received on: 11 Nov 2016 Revised on: 7 Apr 2017 Accepted on: 15 Apr 2017 Online Published on: Dec 2017

\*Correspondence E‐mail: m\_nosrati@pnu.ac.ir © 2010 Copyright by Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran Online version is available on: [www.ijas.ir](http://www.ijas.ir/)

#### **ABSTRACT**

Inbreeding depression is a harmful phenomenon in livestock which is outcome of inbreeding. Inbreeding is consequence mating between two individuals who are more related to each other than average relatedness in population, which results in reducing in fitness of progenies and genetic variability in populations. Development of high-density genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array facilitated inbreeding measurement as molecular data through calculating autozygosity region in individual genome. Autozygosity refer to chromosomal fragments that are identical-by-descent (IBD) and can be measured using runs of homozygosity (ROH). ROH is therefore defined as contiguous homozygous regions of the genome where two haplotypes inherited from the parents are IBD. The length and frequency of ROH may describe the history and recent bottlenecks in population and also revealed signatures of positive selection in population. Inbreeding coefficient can be derived from ROH ( $F_{ROH}$ ), which is defined as total lengths of all ROH divided by the total autosomal genome length. A lot of studies calculated inbreeding level as  $F_{ROH}$  in human, cattle, pig, sheep, chicken and other farm animals through SNP array. In most of them, accuracy and preciseness of  $F_{ROH}$  were confirmed in comparing to  $F_{PED}$ , Some of them calculated inbreeding depression by using  $F_{\text{ROH}}$  and some other evaluated the relationship between ROH location with gene and quantitative trait locus (QTL) position on genome. These studies indicated that inbreeding levels in bovine and porcine populations are much higher than those in human populations. Even though most of researches concluded that  $F_{ROH}$ can precisely calculated Inbreeding and it can be useful for estimating inbreeding coefficient in absent of pedigree, but some concern were exist in about of panel density, genotyping errors and undetected heterozygosity within continuous ROH which complicated identifying and quantifying ROH. In this review, run of homozygosity is defined and discussed in detail in human and livestock.

**KEY WORDS** autozygosity, farm animal, inbreeding, run of homozygosity.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Inbreeding refers to mated parents who share one or more ancestors. Despite the importance of inbreeding in evolutionary genetics, animal and plant breeding, it is often used improperly because of absent in agreement to distinguish between related and unrelated individuals. Hence, inbreeding defines as outcome of mating between two individuals who are more related to each other than the average relatedness of population [\(Templeton and Read, 1994\)](#page-7-0). Conse-

quence of inbreeding is genotype frequencies changes though increasing homozygosity by expensing of heterozygosity, without affecting allele frequencies ([Charlesworth](#page-6-0)  [and Willis, 2009\)](#page-6-0). This leads to redistribution of the genetic variations within and between populations [\(Fernandez](#page-6-1) *et al.*  [1995\)](#page-6-1), reduce in performance traits related to fitness [\(Charlesworth and Willis, 2009\)](#page-6-0) and reveal in homozygous recessive defects [\(Alvarez](#page-5-0) *et al*. 2009). Moreover, it results in genetic drift, interrupts Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and change in effective population size. In human populations which kinship is conventional, inbreeding resulted to increase in risk of monogenic disorders [\(Bittles, 2003](#page-5-1)), complex diseases involving recessive variants [\(Bittles and](#page-5-2)  [Black, 2010](#page-5-2)), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol disease and also blood pressure ([Campbell](#page-5-3) *et al.* 2007).

Due to the importance of inbreeding in human health, and for avoiding inbreeding depression on plants and animals performance, some genomic methods to quantifying inbreeding were developed. The first method proposed was estimating the inbreeding coefficient by pedigree, which is called the pedigree inbreeding coefficient  $(F_{\text{PED}})$  (Wright, [1992\)](#page-8-0).

 $F_{\text{PED}}$  calculated by using the path coefficients technique with calculation an individual's probability of inheriting two IBD alleles, when pedigree is known and alleles are transmitted from parent to offspring with a probability of 0.5. Although calculation of this coefficient was not complicated but clarified biological mean was difficult, especially for individuals with arbitrary pedigrees. Inbreeding coefficient defined as the probability which two haplotypes at any locus randomly sampled among all loci in the genome are IBD [\(Malécot, 1948](#page-7-1)).

Despite the fact that, pedigrees must be known and accurate, this method has some disadvantages. First,  $F_{\text{PED}}$  is equal to the expected proportion IBD in the genome without considering to recombination [\(Carothers](#page-6-2) *et al.* 2006). Second,  $F_{\text{PED}}$  does not take in to account the relatedness among founders in base population [\(Suwanlee](#page-7-2) *et al.* 2007). Third,  $F_{\text{PED}}$  assumes equal levels of autozygosity over whole genome and does not account for potential bias resulting from selection. Finally, pedigrees in most of species have some errors due to misinterpretation, misidentification and incorrect recording (Curik *[et al.](#page-6-3)* 2002). The important of inbreeding led researchers to develop several genomic methods to estimate inbreeding. By developing in highdensity genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism array, it has made possible to calculate individual inbreeding coefficients from molecular data. A simple method is discovery of continuous regions of autozygosity in individual genomes [\(Broman and Weber, 1999\)](#page-5-4). Autozygosity define as autosomal segments in genome which are identical-bydescent from both paternal and maternal sides (Wright, [1922\)](#page-8-0). In the absent of mutation or recombination, two alleles are IBD if they have been inherited from the same ancestral alleles, either parental or maternal [\(Crow, 1954\)](#page-6-4). Individual autozygosity can be measured using runs of homozygosity (ROH).This review interrogates ROH for estimating inbreeding based on genetic information. Run of homozygosity are defined and discussed in detail in human and livestock, and the effect of chip density on ROH detection are explored.

# **Runs of homozygosity (ROH) Concepts**

Runs of homozygosity are successive homozygous segments of the genome where the two haplotypes inherited from the parents are identical by descent (Curik *[et al](#page-6-5)*. [2014](#page-6-5)). At first, [Broman and Weber \(1999\)](#page-5-4) defined the concept of ROH. They state recombination events interrupt the length of ROH and also it has broken down as increase number of generations from the common ancestor. ROH is not distributed across the genome as uniform ([Stella](#page-7-3) *et al*. [2010](#page-7-3)), they are more common in some regions, termed ROH islands or ROH hotspots which are suggested to become a sign of selective sweeps and regions of the genome that are under positive selection ([Nothnagel](#page-7-4) *et al*. 2010). These lead to the fixation of favorable alleles in the population in type of "hitchhiking" process [\(McQuillan](#page-7-5) *et al*. [2008](#page-7-5)). The Fst and iHS analysis revealed a significant correlation between small ROH segments and genomic regions under selection ([Zhang](#page-8-1) *et al.* 2015). In human genome population history, genomic properties, and cultural habits could affect the observed islands of ROH [\(Curik](#page-6-5) *et al*. [2014](#page-6-5)), but ROH islands were communally observed to be in gene-rich region of the genome which has been affected by selection [\(Carothers](#page-6-2) *et al*. 2006). The ROH is rarely found in some part of genome called ROH cold spot which is likely to be regions enriched for loci associated with a critical function ([Pemberton](#page-7-6) *et al*. 2012).

It has been shown that the length and frequency of ROH help to describe the history of the population. As long ROH segments are supposed to be autozygous originated from recent common ancestors and short ROH segments originate from distant ancestors because chromosomal segments are broken up by repeated meiosis. So they have older origins or may involve some non-IBD stretches [\(Howrigan](#page-6-6) *et al*[. 2011;](#page-6-6) Kirin *et al*[. 2010](#page-7-7); [Curik](#page-6-5) *et al.* 2014). A small and isolated population, therefore, is expected to display longer ROH as compared to a crossbred population [\(Gibson](#page-6-7) *et al.* [2006](#page-6-7)). The availability of modern genome scan technologies such as high-density SNP arrays has provided an opportunity for investigating ROH regions in various species which leads to possibilities of comparing the extent and patterns of homozygosity between different populations.

## **Measurements**

The first analysis for the lengths, numbers, and distribution of ROH were reported in 2006 on HapMap populations [\(Gibson](#page-6-7) *et al.* 2006).

After that,  $F_{ROH}$  introduced for measuring inbreeding level [\(McQuillan](#page-7-5) *et al.* 2008). It is defined as whole autosomal genome portion lying in runs of homozygosity in comparative to total autosomal genome length:

$$
F_{ROR} = \sum L_{ROR} / L_{RQTO}
$$

## Where:

 $\sum t_{\text{norm}}$  total length of ROH in an individual genome which the domains include the minimum specified number of successive homozygous SNPs.

(1)

**LAUTO:** related to autosomal genome covered by SNPs.

ROH on sex chromosomes in females and centromeric regions was ignored in most of analysis, since sex chromosome on female has different IBD distribution pattern and regions around centromeres has extent genomic domains without SNPs which may lead to biased estimates ([Szmato](#page-7-8)ła *et al.* 2016). Estimation of inbreeding by F<sub>ROH</sub> has several utility in compared to  $F_{\text{PED}}$  such as, estimating of inbreeding in genotyped individual without pedigree, predicting autozygous more precisely in comparing to  $F_{\text{PED}}$ , capturing autozygosity arising from distant common ancestors and finding specific regions on genome with higher levels of autozygosity via autozygosity distribution ([Sölkner](#page-7-9) *et al.* 2010; [Keller](#page-7-10) *et al*. 2011; Ferenčaković *[et al.](#page-6-8)*  [2011;](#page-6-8) Ferenčaković *et al*[. 2013a](#page-6-9); Ferenčaković *et al*[. 2013b](#page-6-10); [Purfield](#page-7-11) *et al.* 2012).

Three general tools were used to detection of ROH segments in SNP array data; PLINK v1.07 [\(Purcell](#page-7-12) *et al.* 2007) ([http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/](http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/))), the Golden Helix SNP and Variation Suite (SVS; [www.goldenhelix.](#page-8-2)  [com\)](#page-8-2) and cgaTOH [\(Zhang](#page-6-10) *et al.* 2013) (Table1). PLINK define an ROH based on minimum specified number of homozygous SNPs within a specified kb distance using sliding window approach. The SVS algorithm work across chromosome to find ROH SNPs starting at every possible marker and distinguish the position of those runs shared among a user-determined number of samples. Although two programs have some different, both of them generate  $F_{ROH}$ with correlation coefficients > 0.99 and cannot recognize heterozygous SNPs lying close together in an ROH (Ferenčaković *et al.* [2013b](#page-6-9)). cgaTOH use SNP-wise approach to calculate ROHs, which it designates tracts of homozygosity (TOH). It also has extra advantage to sort the segments such as allele matching. The literatures represented that ROH density patterns are different among the 3 software, but there is consensus in location of ROH hotspots (Ferenčaković *et al*[. 2013a\)](#page-6-9).

#### **ROH studies**

#### **Human**

At first, long homozygous segments of the human genome were identified using microsatellite markers, which later refer to runs of homozygosity [\(Broman and Weber, 1999](#page-5-4)). In this paper, the authors represented autozygosity may have an effect on gene mapping and health. Since 2005 by progressing on whole genome sequencing, homozygous segments of the genome have been identified using highdensity SNP arrays and, additional development were occurred in analyzing of length, numbers and distribution of ROH in outbreed Hap Map populations ([Gibson](#page-6-7) *et al.* [2006\)](#page-6-7). Other study supposed that ROH can be used to map genes linked to diseases such as schizophrenia [\(Lencz](#page-7-13) *et al.*  [2007\)](#page-7-13). [McQuillan](#page-7-5) *et al*. (2008) performed an extensive analysis in European populations, including island isolates within Croatia and Scotland. They defined a new genomic inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{ROH}$ ), and showed that the correlation of this coefficient with FPED, FPLINK and MLH varied between 0.74-0.82. Since then many researchers apply the concept of ROH in population genomics and demography (Kirin *et al*[. 2010;](#page-7-7) [Nothnagel](#page-7-4) *et al*. 2010; [Palamara](#page-7-14) *et al.* [2012\)](#page-7-14), inbreeding depression [\(Keller](#page-7-10) *et al.* 2011; [McQuillan](#page-7-15)  *et al.* [2012\)](#page-7-15), disease-linked genes (Nalls *et al*[. 2009;](#page-7-16) [Keller](#page-6-11)  *et al*[. 2012;](#page-6-11) [Wang](#page-8-3) *et al.* 2013), and recombination ([Bosse](#page-5-5) *et al*[. 2012](#page-5-5)). Moreover, a lot of studies were carried out on relationship among ROH and different kind of cancer such as lung cancer (Wang *et al.* [2013\)](#page-8-3), vulvar cancer [\(McWhirter](#page-7-17) *et al*. 2014), breast cancer ([Thomsen](#page-8-4) *et al.* [2015\)](#page-8-4) and thyroid cancer [\(Thomsen](#page-8-5) *et al.* 2016). [Yang and](#page-8-6)  [Li \(2014\)](#page-8-6) coined the homozygosity disequilibrium (HD) is a nonrandom sizable ROH in the genome which is related to the population evolution and disease susceptibility. Genome-wide association study reported that diastolic blood pressure and hypertension associated to ROH in Human genomes, and the genes located in these regions associated with renin catalysis (REN), blood groups (ABO), calcium channels (CACNA1S) and apolipoprotein (APOA5). Other evidence has been reported on relationship between ROH and some disease such as Alzheimer disease [\(Ghani](#page-6-12) *et al*. [2013;](#page-6-12) Ghani *[et al.](#page-6-13)* 2015), Parkinson's disease [\(Simón-](#page-7-18)[Sánchez](#page-7-18) *et al*. 2012), psychosis in human ([Melhem](#page-7-19) *et al.* [2014\)](#page-7-19) and physical and psychological human traits [\(Verweij](#page-8-1) *et al.* 2014).

## **Cattle**

The first studies in run of homozygosity regions on cattle were carried out in 2010 ([Sölkner](#page-7-9) *et al.* 2010; Ferenč[akovi](#page-6-8)ć *et al.* [2011\)](#page-6-8). In this paper the pedigree and genotype data from 500 Austrian dual purpose Simmental bulls were used to estimate correlation between  $F_{ROH}$  and  $F_{PED}$ . It revealed that in ROH with length  $> 4$  Mb correlations between  $F_{ROH}$ and  $F_{\text{PED}}$  were highest (0.68) and  $F_{\text{ROH}}$  for segments > 1 Mb indicating old inbreeding in population which cannot be traced using pedigree. In overall they concluded  $F_{ROH}$  is accurate and useful for measuring inbreeding level in cattle. Similar results in correlation between  $F_{ROH}$  and  $F_{PED}$  were obtained in ROH with length  $> 8$  Mb in other studies [\(Zhang](#page-8-1) *et al*. 2015; [Gurgul](#page-6-14) *et al.* 2016).





[Purfield](#page-7-11) *et al.* (2012) extended this study in Holstein, Limousin and Simmental breeds using HD panel  $(n=777962)$ . They found strong correlations  $(r=0.75)$ , P<0.0001) between the  $F_{\text{PED}}$  and  $F_{\text{ROH}}$  with length  $> 0.5$ KB. Also they reported in the absent of pedigree data, ROH could be used to derive recent population history even if it was small population. After that the effects of inbreeding based on  $F_{ROH}$  in dairy cattle performance were calculated. It reported the total milk yield to 205 d postpartum decrease of 20 kg per 1% increase in  $F_{ROH}$ , and increases in open days per 1% increase in  $F_{ROH}$  (1.72 d), it was also noted, an increase in maternal calving difficulty ([Bjelland](#page-5-6) *et al.* [2013\)](#page-5-6). Similar results were obtained in study on daughter pregnancy rate and somatic cell score by increasing in inbreeding on Jersey cattle (Kim *et al.* [2015](#page-7-20)). [Feren](#page-6-10)čaković *et al*[. \(2013b\)](#page-6-10) revealed inbreeding estimated based on the genomic coefficients  $F_{ROH}$  > 1 Mb and  $F_{ROH}$  > 2 Mb were considerably higher than pedigree-derived estimates, while  $F_{ROH}$  > 8Mb and  $F_{ROH}$  > 16 Mb were similar to  $F_{PED}$ . In other study, it revealed the number of ROH < 4 Mb overestimated by less density panel, since heterozygous SNPs on the denser chip could not be identified ([Feren](#page-6-9)čaković *et al.* [2013a](#page-6-9)). It represented the ROH with length > 4 Mb may be related to the strong artificial selection and the use of artificial insemination which led to increases relatedness among animals ([Zhang](#page-8-1) *et al*. 2015; [Szmato](#page-7-8)ła *et al.* 2016; [Kim](#page-7-20) *et al*. [2015](#page-7-20)). In populations with high linkage disequilibrium (LD) and recent inbreeding, the 50 k Bead Chip could provide a good estimate of inbreeding. While in population with low LD and ancient inbreeding, denser panel would have been required to identify short ROH precisely [\(Marras](#page-7-21)  *[et al.](#page-7-21)* 2015).

[Howard](#page-6-15) *et al.* (2015) studied characterize differences and similarities in the location and frequency of homozygosity in Jersey dairy cows and bulls from the United States, Australia and New Zealand. They reported differential ROH45 across all populations, is exhibited locations of the genome are undergoing differential directional selection (ROH45 counts the frequency of a SNP) being in a ROH of at least 45 SNP In beef cattle for the first time [Purfield](#page-7-11) *et al*. (2012) identified ROH for European Holstein, Limousin and Simmental. They found that a mean sum of ROH lengths  $> 5$ Mb identified was the highest for Holstein breed (145 Mb) and comparable for Limousin (45 Mb) and Simmental (55 Mb).Similar results were reported by [Szmato](#page-7-8)ła *et al*. [\(2016\).](#page-7-8) Most of studies represented that the beef cattle had a lower number of ROH compare to dairy cattle and dualpurpose breeds [\(Marras](#page-7-21) *et al*. 2015; [Feren](#page-6-8)čaković *et al.* [2011](#page-6-8)). It represented that Angus and Hereford also showed considerably higher sums ROH than Charolais, Limousin, Simmental and other breeds in the categories 1-5 Mb and 5- 10 Mb [\(Iacolina, 2016b](#page-6-16)).

### **Pig**

The first study for ROH in Pig, was carried out by using Porcine 60 Bead Chip in 52 samples from commercial breeds and wild populations of Eurasia ([Bosse](#page-5-5) *et al*. 2012) (Table1). It was reported ROH is not equally distributed in genome, and some ROH hotspots overlapped to positive selected genes. Moreover, a strong correlation between the size and abundance of ROH with recombination rate and GC content were reported [\(Herrero-Medrano](#page-6-18) *et al.* 2013; [Bosse](#page-5-5) *et al*. 2012). Also it was revealed inbreeding coefficients calculated from pedigree were strongly correlated to Run of homozygosity derived from SNP (r=0.814-0.919). However, these correlations are dependent on the number of SNPs and heterozygosity measured across different loci (Silio *et al*[. 2013](#page-7-23)). [Gomez-Raya](#page-6-20) *et al*. (2015) represented the correlation between chromosomal length and chromosomal inbreeding coefficients were 0.84 (SE=0.14), it supported this hypothesis that  $F_{ROH}$  incorporate information on ROH length as an indication of recent inbreeding. Similar results were obtained in study of Iacolina *et al*[. \(2016a\)](#page-6-21).

## **Sheep**

ROH in sheep was studied using 50k Bead Chip in Swakara breed for first time and a total 436 unique ROH regions that spanned between 1 to 6 Mb on autosomal chromosomes were reported [\(Muchadeyi](#page-7-22) *et al*. 2015). In other study ROH calculated in three pure breeds (Merino, Border Leicester, Poll Dorset) and two crossbred (F1 crosses of Merino and Border Leicester (MxB) and MxB crossed to Poll Dorset) Australian sheep populations. The number of ROH differed significantly between populations and 80% of animals had at least one ROH longer than 1 Mb and 59% of animal had one ROH greater than 5 Mb. In addition to all animals in Pure breeds had at least one ROH longer than 1 Mb and 88% of animals had at least one ROH greater than 5 Mb ([Al-Mamun](#page-5-7) *et al*. 2015). Similar to dairy cattle it represented the pure breeds had more ROH across the whole genome than the crosses.

### **Chicken**

ROH detection in chicken was carried out on three African population include, 72 Ugandan, 100 Rwandan, and 24 Kuroilers chicken ([Fleming](#page-6-19) *et al*. 2016). The number and extent of ROH differed among populations. Ugandan ecotypes have ROH on every chromosome except chromosome 16 and also longest median length of ROH in Genome, while Kuroilers had the fewest chromosomes contained ROH and shortest median length of ROH. In overall, the amount of the genome covered by ROH was  $\sim$ 2% to 40%. The analysis has found that ROH islands and deserts occur frequently in the chicken genome. Islands appear clearly in both macro- and micro-chromosomes, and in all regions of chromosomes. While islands are found less frequently in micro-chromosomes, this is expected due to the high rate of recombination in micro-chromosomes ([Orazietti, 2015\)](#page-7-20).

#### **Horses**

At first, [Khanshour](#page-7-24) *et al.* (2013) performed ROH analysis to reveal signatures of positive selection in Arabian horse and detected longer ROH (>400 kb) and high inbreeding coefficients in Sorraia and Thoroughbred horses. [Metzger](#page-7-2)  *et al.* [\(2015\)](#page-7-2) identified the distribution and the number of ROHs in 10 horse populations by using next generation sequencing data. In this study, in total 3784 ROHs were detected. Small ROH (40-49 kb) were abundance and equally distributed in all animals, whereas ROH longer than 60 kb differently distributed among different populations. Moreover, in non-breed horses, 198 ROHs in 50-SNP windows and seven ROHs in 500-SNP windows overlapped with genes affect reproduction, embryonic development, energy metabolism, muscle and cardiac development. In Seven breed, only three common ROHs in 50-SNP windows revealed which had partial covering on gene YES1 (related to fertility). In the Hanoverian, 18 ROHs be detected in the region of genes related to glycogen balance, reproduction, neurologic control, signaling process.

#### **ROH overlaps to gene location**

In analyzed ROH patterns in human populations, some hotspots on chromosomes 4 and 10 were reported that harbor genes undergo to selection, and some of them have even become fixed [\(Pemberton](#page-7-6) *et al.* 2012). [Simón-Sánchez](#page-7-18) *et al*[. \(2012\)](#page-7-18) reported early onset Parkinson's disease (EOPD) is particularly associated with autosomal recessive mutations, and three genes, *PARK2*, *PARK7* and *PINK1*, which may appear in extended runs of homozygosity. In cattle, the high autozygosity region on chromosome 2 in Limousin cattle was detected which overlapped to the *MSTN* gene locus known as strong QTL for muscling traits ([Szmato](#page-7-8)ła *et al*[. 2016;](#page-7-8) [Esmailizadeh](#page-6-22) *et al.* 2008). Homozygous region on *BTA14* which may be related to *DGAT1* variants which affected milk fat percentage, engaged in free fatty acids binding, transportation and regulation of lipid metabolism [\(Siegenthaler](#page-7-25) *et al.* 1994). A new homozygosity was observed on *BTA16* in Polish Red, Limousin and Simmental breeds which are known to carry several QTLs for meat and carcass traits ([Gutiérrez-Gi](#page-6-3) *et al*. 2008). In Holstein, within ROH islands, the presence of 183 genes was confirmed which possibly associated with other minor QTLs on milk production, Thyrotropin-releasing (TR) hormone receptor signaling pathway or other traits. Secretion of TR hormone by the hypothalamus is critical for the release of prolactin and growth hormones from the pituitary gland ([Kaiser](#page-6-23) *et al*. [1994\)](#page-6-23). In horses, functional analyses of ROHs showed genes involved in embryonic development, energy metabolism, muscle and cardiac development, fertility-related gene *YES1*, neurologic control, signaling, glycogen balance, melanogenesis, haematopoies is and gametogenesis [\(Metzger](#page-7-2) *et al*. 2015). In chicken, genes within runs of homozygosity consensus regions are linked to gene ontology (GO) terms related to lipid metabolism, immune functions and stress-mediated responses [\(Fleming](#page-6-19) *et al.* 2016). [Carothers](#page-6-2) *et al*. (2006) represented ROH hotspot regions have been located in gene-rich region of the genome which has been affected by selection and are suggested to become a sign of selective sweeps and regions of the genome that are under positive selection [\(Nothnagel](#page-7-4) *et al*. 2010). These lead to the fixation of favorable alleles in the population in "hitchhiking" process ([McQuillan](#page-7-5) *et al*. 2008).

## **Dose chip density influences the efficiency of ROH detection?**

<span id="page-5-7"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>Most of research revealed this topic that the efficiency of ROH detection is influenced by SNP chip density. It was reported genome-wide scans with denser panel make it easy identification and count shorter ROHs [\(McQuillan](#page-7-5) *et al*. [2008\)](#page-7-5). The 50 k chip in comparing to denser panel identified only 27.7% of all runs of homozygosity  $\leq 5$  Mb; it means the 50 k chip has lower capability to detect short ROH. In other hand, the most of  $ROH > 5$  Mb were detected with similar sensitivity in 50 k and denser panel. Since total ROH lengths were used to calculate inbreeding level and long ROH have great influence on this parameter, therefore, 50 k is a suitable in compromise between price and reliability in ROH detection ([Purfield](#page-7-11) *et al.* 2012). Hamzić [\(2011\)](#page-6-22) reported greater mean number of ROH shorter than 5 Mb comparing to [Purfield](#page-7-11) *et al.* (2012) study by using 50 k. While the results for ROH with mean lengths greater than 5 Mb are very similar for both SNP panels. The 50 k chips overestimate the number of segments less than 4 Mb since it is not capable to identify heterozygous SNP genotypes within observed ROH. Conversely, the denser chip underestimated the number of ROH longer than 8 Mb (Ferenčaković *et al.* [2013a](#page-6-9)). Therefore, the minimum ROH that can be detected depending on density of SNP chip.

# <span id="page-5-6"></span><span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span> **CONCLUSION**

<span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span>Since that 2005, all studies for estimating inbreeding level were carried out using pedigree. By progressing on whole genome sequencing technology, SNP array was available rapidly, and molecular data was conventionally used to estimate of inbreeding though run of homozygosity. ROH were calculated in a lot of species and population such as human, cattle, sheep, horses, pig, chicken, etc. However, it is difficult to compare these studies because of lack consensus among criteria for defining ROH. Any way most of studies represented highly correlation among  $F_{ROH}$  > 5 Mb and  $F_{\text{PED}}$ . In ROH with length more than 1 or 2 Mb,  $F_{\text{ROH}}$ was higher than  $F_{\text{PED}}$ . The number and patterns of ROH are different across breeds, subspecies and population which are consequence of signatures selection. It means population history can be detected via ROH. A denser panel such as bovine HD underestimated the number of ROH longer than 8 Mb because of incidental heterozygotes arising as a result of genotyping errors and sparse panel such as 50 k tended to overestimate the number of ROH that are shorter than 4 Mb. It supposed to denser panel led to accurate analysis but in compromise between price and reliability in ROH detection 50 k is appropriate. In general run of homozygosity enable preciously estimate inbreeding in population, but some problem may be observed in related to genotyping errors. Therefore improving in inbreeding estimation through next-generation sequencing data were required to reduce the effects of sequencing errors.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

M. Nosrati acknowledges financial support from Payam Noor University.

# **REFERENCES**

- Al-Mamun H.A., Clark S.A., Kwan P. and Gondro C. (2015) Genome-wide linkage disequilibrium and genetic diversity in five populations of Australian domestic sheep*. Genet. Sel. Evol*. **47,** 90.
- Alvarez G., Ceballos F.C. and Quinteiro C. (2009). The role of inbreeding in the extinction of a European royal dynasty. *PLoSOne*. **4,** e5174.
- Bittles A.H. and Black M.L. (2010). Consanguinity, human evolution, and complex diseases. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci*. **107,** 1779-1786.
- Bittles A.H. (2003). Consanguineous marriage and childhood health. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol*. **45,** 571-576.
- Bjelland D., Weigel K., Vukasinovic N. and Nkrumah J. (2013). Evaluation of inbreeding depression in Holstein cattle using whole-genome SNP markers and alternative measures of genomic inbreeding. *J*. *Dairy Sci*. **96,** 4697-4706.
- Bosse M., Megens H.J., Madsen O., Paudel Y., Frantz L., Schook L., [Crooijmans](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Crooijmans%20RP%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23209444) R.P.M.A. and [Groenen](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Groenen%20MA%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23209444) M.A.M. (2012). Regions of homozygosity in the porcine genome: consequence of demography and the recombination landscape. *PLoS Genet*. **8,** e1003100.
- Broman K.W. and Weber J.L. (1999). Long homozygous chromosomal segments in reference families from the centre d'etude du polymorphisme humain. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*. **65,** 1493-500.
- Campbell H., Carothers A.D., Rudan I., Hayward C., Biloglav Z., Barac L., Pericic M., Janicijevic B., Smolej-Narancic N., Polasek O., Kolcic I., Weber J.L., Hastie N.D., Rudan P. and Wright A.F. (2007). Effects of genome-wide heterozygosity

on a range of biomedically relevant human quantitative traits. *Hum. Mol. Genet*. **16,** 233-241.

- <span id="page-6-12"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>Carothers A.D., Rudan I., Kolcic I., Polasek O., Hayward C., Wright A.F., Campbell H., Teague P., Hastie N.D. and Weber J.L. (2006). Estimating human inbreeding coefficients: comparison of genealogical and marker heterozygosity approaches. *Ann. Hum. Genet*. **70,** 666-676.
- <span id="page-6-20"></span><span id="page-6-7"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>Charlesworth D. and Willis J. (2009). The genetics of inbreeding depression*. Nat. Rev. Genet*. **10,** 783-796.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Crow J.F. (1954). Breeding structure of populations. II. Effective population number. Pp. 543-556 in Statistics and Mathematics in Biology. O. Kempthorne, T.A. Bancroft, J.W. Gowen and J.L. Lush, Eds. Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa.
- <span id="page-6-14"></span><span id="page-6-5"></span>Curik I., Ferenčaković M. and Sölkner S. (2014). Inbreeding and runs of homozygosity: A possible solution to an old problem. *Livest. Sci*. **166,** 26-34.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>Curik I., Solkner J. and Stipic N. (2002). Effects of models with finite loci, selection, dominance, epistasis and linkage on inbreeding coefficients based on pedigree and genotypic information. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet*. **119,** 101-115.
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>Esmailizadeh A.K., Bottema C.D., Sellick G.S., Verbyla A.P., Morris C.A., Cullen N.G. and Pitchford W.S. (2008). Effects of the myostatin F94L substitution on beef traits. *J. Anim. Sci*. **86,** 1038-1046.
- <span id="page-6-18"></span><span id="page-6-8"></span>Ferenčaković M., Hamzic E., Gredler B., Curik I. and Solkner J. (2011). Runs of homozygosity reveal genomewideautozygosity in the Austrian fleckvieh cattle. *Agric*. *Conspec. Sci*. **76,** 325-328.
- <span id="page-6-15"></span><span id="page-6-9"></span>Ferenčaković M., Sölkner J. and Curik I. (2013a). Estimating autozygosity from high-throughput information: effects of SNP density and genotyping errors. *Genet. Sel. Evol*. **45,** 42.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span>Ferenčaković M., Hamzić E., Gredler B., Solberg T.R., Klemetsdal G., Curik I. and Sölkner J. (2013b). Estimates of autozygosity derived from runs of homozygosity: empirical evidence from selected cattle populations. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet*. **130,** 286-293.
- <span id="page-6-21"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>Fernandez A., Toro M.A. and Lopez-Fanjul C. (1995). The effect of inbreeding on the redistribution of genetic variance of fecundity and viability in Tribolium castaneum. *Heredity*. **75,** 376-381.
- <span id="page-6-19"></span><span id="page-6-16"></span>[Fleming](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Fleming%20DS%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27230772) D.S., [Koltes](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Koltes%20JE%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27230772) J.E., [Markey](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Markey%20AD%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27230772) A.D.[, Schmidt](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Schmidt%20CJ%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27230772) C.J., [Ashwell](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ashwell%20CM%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27230772) C.M., [Rothschild](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Rothschild%20MF%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27230772) M.F., Persia M.E., Reecy J.M. and Lamont S.J. (2016). Genomic analysis of Ugandan and Rwandan chicken ecotypes using a 600k genotyping array. *[BMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4882793/)  [Genom](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4882793/)*. **17,** 407.
- <span id="page-6-23"></span><span id="page-6-17"></span><span id="page-6-13"></span><span id="page-6-11"></span>Ghani M., Reitz C., Cheng R., Vardarajan B.N., Jun G., Sato C., Naj A., Rajbhandary R., Wang L.S., Valladares O., Lin C.F., Larson E.B., Graff-Radford N.R., Evans D., De Jager P.L., Crane P.K., Buxbaum J.D., Murrell J.R., Raj T., Ertekin-Taner N., Logue M., Baldwin C.T., Green R.C., Barnes L.L., Cantwell L.B., Fallin M.D., Go R.C., Griffith P.A., Obisesan T.O., Manly J.J., Lunetta K.L., Kamboh M.I., Lopez O.L., Bennett D.A., Hendrie H., Hall K.S., Goate A.M., Byrd G.S., Kukull W.A., Foroud T.M., Haines J.L., Farrer L.A., Pericak-Vance M.A., Lee J.H., Schellenberg G.D., St George-Hyslop P., Mayeux R. and Rogaeva E. (2015). Association of long runs of homozygosity with alzheimer disease among African American individuals. *J*[. American Med. Assoc.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26366463) *Neurol*. **72(11),** 1313-1323.
- [Ghani M.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ghani%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23978990), [Sato C](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Sato%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23978990)., [Lee J.H](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Lee%20JH%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23978990)., [Reitz C](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Reitz%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23978990)., [Moreno D](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Moreno%20D%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23978990)., [Mayeux R.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Mayeux%20R%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=23978990), St George-Hyslop P. and Rogaeva E. (2013). Evidence of recessive Alzheimer disease loci in a Caribbean Hispanic data set: genome-wide survey of runs of homozygosity. *[J.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23978990)  [American Med. Assoc. Neurol.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23978990)* **70(10),** 1261-1267.
- Gibson J., Morton N.E. and Collins A. (2006). Extended tracts of homozygosity in outbred human populations. *Hum. Mol. Genet*. **15(5),** 789-795.
- Gomez-Raya L., Rodríguez C., Barragán C. and Silió L. (2015). Genomic inbreeding coefficients based on the distribution of the length of runs of homozygosity in a closed line of Iberian pigs. *Genet. Sel. Evol*. **47,** 81.
- Gurgul A., Szmatoła T., Topolski P., Jasielczuk I., Żukowski K. and Bugno-Poniewierska M. (2016). The use of runs of homozygosity for estimation of recent inbreeding in Holstein cattle. *J. Appl. Genet*. **57(4),** 1-4.
- Gutiérrez-Gi B., Williams J.L., Homer D., Burton D., Haley C.S. and Wiener P. (2008). Search for quantitative trait loci affecting growth and carcass traits in a cross population of beef and dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Sci*. **87,** 24-36.
- Hamzić E. (2011). Levels of inbreeding derived from runs of homozygosity: a comparison of Austrian and Norwegian cattle breeds. MS Thesis. University of Natural Resources and Life Science, Vienna, Austria.
- [Herrero-Medrano J.M.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Herrero-Medrano%20JM%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017), [Megens H.J](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Megens%20HJ%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017)., [Groenen M.A](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Groenen%20MA%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017)., [Ramis G](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ramis%20G%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017)., [Bosse M](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Bosse%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017).,[Pérez-Enciso M.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=P%C3%A9rez-Enciso%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017) and [Crooijmans R.P.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Crooijmans%20RP%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24172017) (2013). Conservation genomic analysis of domestic and wild pig populations from the Iberian Peninsula. *[BMC Genet](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24172017)*. **30**, 106.
- Howard J.T., Maltecca C., Haile-Mariam M., Hayes B.J. and Pryce J.E. (2015). Characterizing homozygosity across United States, New Zealand and Australian Jersey cow and bull populations. *BMC Genom*. **16,** 187.
- Howrigan D., Simonson M. and Keller M. (2011). Detecting autozygosity through runs of homozygosity: a comparison of three autozygosity detection algorithms. *BMC Genom*. **12,** 460.
- Iacolina L., Scandura M., Goedbloed D.J., Alexandri P., Crooijmans R.P.M.A., Larson G., Archibald A., Apollonio M., Schook L.B., Groenen M.A.M. and Megens H.J. (2016a). Genomic diversity and differentiation of a managed island wild boar population. *Heredity*. **116,** 60-67.
- Iacolina L., Stronen A.V., Pertoldi C., Tokarska M., Nørgaard L.S., Muñoz J., Kjærsgaard A., Ruiz-Gonzalez A., Kamiński S. and Purfield D.C. (2016b). Novel graphical analyses of runs of homozygosity among species and livestock breeds. *Int. J. Genom*. **2016,** 1-8.
- Kaiser U.B., Katzenellenbogen R.A., Conn P.M. and Chin W.W. (1994). Evidence that signaling pathways by which Thyrotropin-releasing hormone and gonadotropin-releasing hormone act are both common and distinct. *Mol*. *Endocrinol*. **8(8),** 1038-1048.
- Karimi S. (2013). Runs of homozygosity patterns in taurine and indicine cattle breeds. MS Thesis. BOKU University of Natural Resources and Life Science, Vienna, Austria.
- Keller M.C., Simonson M.A., Ripke S., Neale B.M., Gejman P.V., Howrigan D.P., Hong Lee S., Lencz T., Levinson D.F. and Sullivan P.F. (2012). Runs of homozygosity implicate autozygosity as a schizophrenia risk factor. *PLoSGenet*. **8,**

e1002656.

- <span id="page-7-16"></span><span id="page-7-10"></span>Keller M., Visscher P. and Goddard M. (2011). Quantification of inbreeding due to distant ancestors and its detection using dense SNP data. *Genetics*. **189,** 237-249.
- <span id="page-7-24"></span><span id="page-7-4"></span>Khanshour A., Conant E., Juras R. and Cothran E.G. (2013). Microsatellite analysis of genetic diversity and population structure of Arabian horse populations. *J. Hered*. **104,** 386- 398.
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Kim E.S., Sonstegard T.S., Van Tassell C.P., Wiggans G. and Rothschild M.F. (2015). The relationship between runs of homozygosity and inbreeding in Jersey cattle under selection. *PLoSOne*. **10(7),** e0129967.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span><span id="page-7-7"></span><span id="page-7-6"></span>Kirin M., McQuillan R., Franklin C.S., Campbell H., McKeigue P.M. and Wilson J.F. (2010). Genomic runs of homozygosity record population history and consanguinity. *PLoSOne*. **5,** e13996.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span><span id="page-7-12"></span>Lencz T., Lambert C., DeRosse P., Burdick K.E., Morgan T.V., Kane J.M., Kucherlapati R. and Malhotra A.K. (2007). Runs of homozygosity reveal highly penetrant recessive loci in schizophrenia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci*. **104,** 19942-19947.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Malécot G. (1984). *Les Mathématiques de L'hérédité*. Publisher: Masson et Cie, [France](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elsevier).
- <span id="page-7-21"></span><span id="page-7-11"></span>[Marras G](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Marras%20G%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322)., [Gaspa G](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Gaspa%20G%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322)., [Sorbolini S.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Sorbolini%20S%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322), [Dimauro C.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Dimauro%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322)[,Ajmone-Marsan](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ajmone-Marsan%20P%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322)  [P](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ajmone-Marsan%20P%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322)., [Valentini A.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Valentini%20A%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25530322), Williams J.L. and Macciotta N.P. (2015). Analysis of runs of homozygosity and their relationship with inbreeding in five cattle breeds farmed in Italy. *[Anim. Genet](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25530322)*. **46(2),** 110-121.
- <span id="page-7-25"></span><span id="page-7-15"></span>McQuillan R., Eklund N., Pirastu N., Kuningas M., McEvoy B.P., Esko T., Corre T., Davies G., Kaakinen M., Lyytikäinen L.P., Kristiansson K., Havulinna A.S. and Gögele M. (2012). Evidence of inbreeding depression on human height. *PLoSGenet*. **8,** e1002655.
- <span id="page-7-23"></span><span id="page-7-5"></span>McQuillan R., Leutenegger A.L., Abdel-Rahman R., Franklin C.S., Pericic M., Barac-Lauc L., Smolej-Narancic N., Janicijevic B., Polasek O., Tenesa A., Macleod A.K., Farrington S.M., Rudan P., Hayward C., Vitart V., Rudan I., Wild S.H., Dunlop M.G., Wright A.F., Campbell H. and Wilson J.F. (2008). Runs of homozygosity in European populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*. **83(3),** 359-372.
- <span id="page-7-18"></span><span id="page-7-17"></span>[McWhirter R.E.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=McWhirter%20RE%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24690477), [Thomson R.J.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Thomson%20RJ%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24690477), [Marthick J.R.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Marthick%20JR%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24690477), [Rumbold A.R.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Rumbold%20AR%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24690477), [Brown M.A](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Brown%20MA%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24690477)., [Taylor-Thomson D.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Taylor-Thomson%20D%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24690477), Maypilama E.L., Condon J.R. and Dickinson J.L. (2014). Runs of homozygosity and a cluster of vulvar cancer in young Australian Aboriginal women. *[Gynecol. Oncol](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24690477)*. **133(3),** 421-426.
- <span id="page-7-19"></span><span id="page-7-9"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>[Melhem N.M](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Melhem%20NM%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24980794)., [Lu C.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Lu%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24980794), [Dresbold C.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Dresbold%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24980794), [Middleton F.A.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Middleton%20FA%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24980794), [Klei L.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Klei%20L%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24980794), [Wood S](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Wood%20S%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=24980794)., Faraone S.V., Vinogradov S., Tiobech J., Yano V., Roeder K., Byerley W., Myles-Worsley M. and Devlin B. (2014). Characterizing runs of homozygosity and their impact on risk for psychosis in a population isolate. *[Am. J. Med.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24980794)  [Genet. B Neuropsychiatr Genet](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24980794)*. **165(6),** 521-530.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[Metzger J.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Metzger%20J%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26452642), [Karwath M.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Karwath%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26452642), [Tonda R.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Tonda%20R%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26452642), [Beltran S.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Beltran%20S%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26452642), [Águeda L](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=%C3%81gueda%20L%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26452642)., [Gut M.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Gut%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26452642), Gut I.G. and Distl O. (2015). Runs of homozygosity reveal signatures of positive selection for reproduction traits in breed and non-breed horses. *[BMC Genom](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26452642)*. **9(16),** 764.
- <span id="page-7-22"></span><span id="page-7-8"></span>Muchadeyi F.C., Malesa M.T., Soma P. and Dzomba E.F. (2015). Runs of homozygosity in Swakara pelt producing sheep: implications on sub-vital performance. *Proc. Assoc. Advmt. Anim. Breed*. *Genet*. **21,** 310-313.
- Nalls M., Guerreiro R., Simon-Sanchez J., Bras J., Traynor B., Gibbs J., Launer L., Hardy J. and Singleton A. (2009). Extended tracts of homozygosity identify novel candidate genes associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Neurogenetics*. **10,** 183-190.
- Nothnagel M., Lu T., Kayser M. and Krawczak M. (2010). Genomic and geographic distribution of SNP-defined runs of homozygosity in Europeans. *Hum. Mol*. *Genet*. **19,** 2927-2935.
- Orazietti J. (2015). Islands AND deserts: patterns of runs of homozygosity in chicken breeds. MS Thesis. University of Natural Resources and Life Science, Vienna, Austria.
- Palamara P.F., Lencz T., Darvasi A. and Pe'er I. (2012). Length distributions of identity by descent reveal fine-scale demographic history. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*. **91,** 809-822.
- Pemberton T., Absher D., Feldman M., Myers R., Rosenberg N. and Li J. (2012). Genomic patterns of homozygosity in worldwide human populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **91,** 275- 292.
- [Purcell](#page-0-0) S., Neale B., Todd-Brown K., Thomas L., Ferreira M.A.R., Bender D., Maller J., Sklar P., de Bakker P.I., Daly M.J. and Sham P.C. (2007). Plink: a toolset for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analysis. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*. **81,** 275-291
- Purfield D., Berry D., McParland S. and Bradley D. (2012). Runs of homozygosity and population history in cattle. *BMC Genet*. **13,** 70.
- SAS Institute. (2012). SAS®/STAT Software, Release 9.1. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC. USA.
- Siegenthaler G., Hotz R., Chatellard-Gruaz D., Didierjean L., Hellman U. and Saurat J.H. (1994). Purification and characterization of the human epidermal fatty acid binding protein: localization during epidermal cell differentiation *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Biochem*. *J*. **02,** 363-371.
- Silio L., Rodrıguez M.C., Fernandez A., Barragan C., Ben ıtez R., Ovilo C. and Fernandez A.I. (2013) Measuring inbreeding and inbreeding depression on pig growth from pedigree or SNPderived metrics. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet*. **130,** 349-360.
- [Simón-Sánchez J](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Sim%C3%B3n-S%C3%A1nchez%20J%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22427796)., [Kilarski L.L.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Kilarski%20LL%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22427796), [Nalls M.A.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Nalls%20MA%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22427796), [Martinez M](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Martinez%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22427796)., [Schulte C](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Schulte%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22427796)., [Holmans P](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Holmans%20P%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22427796)., Gasser T., Hardy J., Singleton A.B., Wood N.W., Brice A., Heutink P., Williams N. and Morris H.R. (2012). Cooperative genome-wide analysis shows increased homozygosity in early onset Parkinson's disease. *[PLoSOne](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22427796)*. **7(3),** e28787.
- Sölkner J., Ferencakovic M., Gredler B. and Curik I. (2010). Genomic metrics of individual autozygosity, applied to a cattle population. Pp. 306-307 Proc. 61<sup>st</sup> Ann. Meet. European Assoc. Anim. Prod. Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Stella A., Ajmone-Marsan P., Lazzari B. and Boettcher P. (2010). Identification of selection signatures in cattle breeds selected for dairy production. *Genetics*. **185,** 1451-1461.
- Suwanlee S., Baummung R., Solkner J. and Curik I. (2007). Evaluation of ancestral inbreeding coefficients: ballou's formula versus gene dropping. *Conserv*. *Genet*. **8,** 489-495.
- Szmatoła T., Gurgul A., Ropka-Molik K., Jasielczuk I., Ząbek T. and Bugno-Poniewierska M. (2016). Characteristics of runs of homozygosity in selected cattle breeds maintained in Poland. *Livest. Sci*. **188,** 72-80.
- Templeton A.R. and Read B. (1994). Inbreeding: one word,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**540** Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science (2017) 7(4), 533-541

several meanings, much confusion. Pp. 91-106 in Conservation Genetics. V. Loeschcke, J. Tomiuk and S.K. Jain, Eds. Birkhäuser–Verlag Publications, Basel, Switzerland.

- <span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>[Thomsen H.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Thomsen%20H%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635), [Chen B](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Chen%20B%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635)., [Figlioli G](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Figlioli%20G%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635)., [Elisei R.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Elisei%20R%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635), [Romei C](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Romei%20C%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635)., [Cipollini](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Cipollini%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635)  [M](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Cipollini%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26984635)., Cristaudo A., Bambi F., Hoffmann P., Herms S., Landi S., Hemminki K., Gemignani F. and Försti A. (2016). Runs of homozygosity and inbreeding in thyroid cancer. *[BMC Cancer](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26984635)*. **16,** 227.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span><span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span>[Thomsen H.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Thomsen%20H%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712), [Filho M.I.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Filho%20MI%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712), [Woltmann A](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Woltmann%20A%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712)., [Johansson R.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Johansson%20R%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712), [Eyfjörd](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Eyfj%C3%B6rd%20JE%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712)  [J.E](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Eyfj%C3%B6rd%20JE%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712)., [Hamann U.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Hamann%20U%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26558712), Manjer J., Enquist-Olsson K., Henriksson R., Herms S., Hoffmann P., Chen B., Huhn S., Hemminki K. and Lenner P. (2015). Inbreeding and homozygosity in breast cancer survival. *[Sci. Rep](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26558712)*. **12(5),** 16467.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[Verweij K.J](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Verweij%20KJ%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25062308)., [Abdellaoui A](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Abdellaoui%20A%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25062308)., [Veijola J.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Veijola%20J%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25062308), [Sebert S.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Sebert%20S%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25062308), [Koiranen M.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Koiranen%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25062308), [Keller M.C](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Keller%20MC%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25062308)., Järvelin M.R. and Zietsch B.P. (2014). The association of genotype-based inbreeding coefficient with a range of physical and psychological human traits. *[PLoSOne](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25062308)*.

**9(7),** e103102.

- Wang C., Xu Z., Jin G., Hu Z., Dai J., Ma H., Jiang, Y., Hu L., Chu M. and Cao S. (2013). Genome-wide analysis of runs of homozygosity identifies new susceptibility regions of lung cancer in Han Chinese. *Int. J. Biomed. Sci*. **27,** 208.
- Wright S. (1922). Coefficients of inbreeding and relationship. *Am. Nat*. **56,** 330-338.
- [Yang H.C.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Yang%20HC%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25519368) and [Li H.W](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Li%20HW%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=25519368). (2014). Analysis of homozygosity disequilibrium using whole-genome sequencing data. *[BMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25519368)  [Proc](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25519368)*. **8(1),** 17.
- Zhang L., Orloff M.S., Reber S., Li S., Zhao Y. and Eng C. (2013). cagTOH: extended approach for identifying tracts of homozygosity. *PLoSOne*. **8(3),** e57772.
- Zhang Q., Guldbrandtsen B., Bosse M., Lund M.S. and Sahana G. (2015). Runs of homozygosity and distribution of functional variants in the cattle genome. *BMC Genom*. **16,** 542-545.