

# Microbiostratigraphy and Sequencestratigraphy of the Gurpi Formation in central part of Lorestan zone, SW Iran

Ghamarnaz Darabi<sup>1</sup>, Iraj Maghfouri Moghaddam\*<sup>1</sup>, Abbas Sadeghi<sup>2</sup>, Bijan Yusefi<sup>1</sup>

1.Department of Geology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lorestan, Khorram Abad, Iran. 2. Department of Geology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Shahid Behshti, Tehran, Iran.

Received 15 February 2017; accepted 14 November 2017

# Abstract

Gurpi Formations is well distributed along Zagros Foreland Basin particularly in the north west of the Zagros (Lurestan Province). For Microbiostratigraphy and Paleobathymetric studies of the Gurpi Formation in the central part of Lurestan two stratigraphic sections including Soltan and Amiran sections were selected. In this study, 48 foraminiferal species belonging to 25 genera were identified, and 7 biozones were recognized; *Globotruncanita elevata* Taxon Range Zone, *Globotruncana ventricosa* Interval Zone, *Radotruncana calcarata* Taxon Range Zone, *Globotruncana calcarata* Taxon Range Zone, *Globotruncana calcarata* Taxon Range Zone, *Globotruncana calcarata* Interval Zone, *Contusotruncana contusa* Interval Zone. Planktonic foraminiferal morphology was studied in order to assign paleobathymetry and the sequences stratigraphic course of the Gurpi Formation. Three third-order sequences were identified, on the basis of deepening and shallowing patterns in the morphological changes and the distribution of the Gurpi Formation planktonic foraminifers and planktic/benthic (P/B).

Keywords: Lurestan, Gurpi Formation, Planktic foraminifera, Paleobathymetry

## 1. Introduction

The unit previously called the "Dezak marl" or "Globigerina marl" is now divided into the Gurpi and the Pabdeh Formations (Motei 1992). The type section of the Gurpi Formation, measured in the Tange-Pabdeh out crop, north of Lali oil field in Dezful Embayment zone, by James and wynd (1965) is about 320 m thick and consists of marl and shale. The Gurpi Formation was deposited across the elongated Zagros foreland basin and constitutes the source rock for petroleum in the oil-rich southwest Iran. Based on biostratigraphic Gurpi Formation is data, the Santonian-Late Maastrichtian in age in Fars and Khuzestan zones, whereas it was deposited in Santonian-Early Paleocene in Lurestan zone James and Wynd (1965). The main purpose of this research was to identify a biostratigraphic zonation of Gurpi Formation in central part of Lurestan zone and to investigate the relationship between widespread morphological changes in planktonic foraminifera and sea level changes.

## 2. Geological setting

The Zagros foreland basin, as a part of the Alpine-Himalayan mountain chain, extends for about 2000 km in a NW-SE direction from the East Anatolian fault of eastern Turkey to the Oman line in southern Iran (Alavi 2004). The Gurpi Formation consists of two members: The Emam Hassan member and Lopha limestone Member. The Emam Hassan Member occurs in the middle portion of the Lorestan Zone and is composed of

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address (es): irajmaghfouri@gmail.com

deep marine limestone. The Lopha limestone Member is located in the south western (SW) of the Lorestan Zone and along the Kabir Kuh anticline.Lithologically, it consists of limestones and marls.

Based on the sedimentary sequence, structural setting and intensity of deFormation, the Zagros has been subdivided into Six continental For micropalaeontological and biostratigraphic study of the Gurpi Formation two stratigraphic sections Amiran and Soltan were studied. The study sections are located 50 and 80 km from the south of Khorram Abad city, respectively (Fig 1). In these areas, the Gurpi Formation uncomfortably overlies the Ilam Formation and is overlain by a sharp boundary with the Amiran Formation (Fig 2).

### 3. Material and Methods

Two stratigraphical sections of the Gurpi Formation were measured bed by bed, and sampled in two areas in the central part of Lurestan zone (northwest flank of Soltan anticline, 270 m; north flank of Amiran anticline, 275 m thick). A number of 320 specimens were examined to investigate the Campanian - Masstrichtian successions at this two sections. Sampling intervals were generally between 1/5 and 2 m. the number of 18 and 25 specimens of the Ilam Formation and Amiran Formation were examined. The selection of samples was primarily based on changes in colour, lithology, and other sedimentary parameters.



Fig 1. Location of the study area in south of Lurestan zone.

All samples were processed for foraminiferal analysis following the standard method of Keller et al. (1995). A number of 120 thin sections were provided for harder lithologies whilst 200 soft samples were disaggregated and the foraminifera were picked and analyzed. The samples were disaggregated by being soaked in water for several days and then being washed through 200, 120, 63 mm sieve series with tap water. Sediment infilling of foraminiferal tests was removed by repeated sonic agitation of the residues for about 15 minutes. The best-preserved specimens of planktonic foraminiferal species were picked identified and mounted on micro slides for a permanent record and for taking SEM microphotographs. Test shapes of the small planktonic



Fig 2. Geological map of Amiran and Soltan anticlines.

were taken into account for the paleobathymetric interpretation, as their differences depend on the depth. Recognition and identification of Cretaceous planktonic foraminiferal were based on (Bolli et al. 1957; Leoblich and Tappan 1964 and 1987; Sliter 1989; Robaszynski et al. 1984; Premoli Silva and Bolli 1973; Loeblich and Tappan 1988; Premoli silva and Verga 2004; Boudagher–Fadel 2013).

# 4. Results and Discussione 4.1. Biostratigraphy

On the basis of micropalaeontological and biostratigraphic studies of the Gurpi Formation in the Amiran and Soltan stratigraphycal sections, 48 species belonging to 25 genera of planktonic foraminifera were recognized and eventually 7 biozones were introduced (Figs 3,4,5). Correlation of the proposed biostratigraphic zonal scheme in this study was shown with other accepted standard biozones of other parts of the world (Table1).

Stage My 65	James and Wynd (1965)	Caron (1985)	Sliter (1989)	Vaziri - Moghadam (2002)	Robaszynski and Caron (1995)	Premoli Silva (2004)	This study	
	Zagros	Tethys	Tethys	Sarvestan Area	Tethys	Tethys	Lorestan Area	
Maastrictian	Abathomphalus mayaroensis Zone	Abathomphalus mayaroensis Zone	Abathomphalus mayaroensis Zone	///////	Abathomphalus mayaroensis Zone	Abathomphalus mayaroensis Zone	///////	
	Globotruncanita	Gansserina gansseri Zone	Gansserina gansseri Zone	Gansserina gansseri Zone	Contusotruncana	Contusotruncana		
	stuarti + Pesudotextularia varians Zone	Globotruncana aegyptiaca Zone	Globotruncana aegyptiaca Zone	Globotruncanita	contusa + Racemiguembelina fructicosa Zone	contusa + Racemiguembelina fructicosa Zone	contusa Zone	
713		Globotruncanella havanensis Zone	Globotruncanella havanensis Zone	Stuaru Zone				
					Gansserina gansseri Zone	Gansserina gansseri Zone	Gansserina gansseri Zone	
		Radotruncana calcarata Zone			Globotruncana aegyptiaca Zone	Globotruncana	Globotruncana	
Campanian						aegyptiaca Zone	aegyptiaca Zone	
	<i>Globotruncanita</i> elevata Zone		Radotruncana calcarata Zone	Radotruncana calcarata Zone	Globotruncanella havanensis Zone	Globotruncanella havanensis Zone	Globotruncanella havanensis Zone	
					<i>Radotruncana calcarata</i> Zone	Radotruncana calcarata Zone	Radotruncana calcarata Zone	
835		Globotruncana ventricosa Zone	Globotruncana ventricosa Zone	Globotruncana ventricosa Zone	Globotruncana ventricosa Zone	Globotruncana ventricosa Zone	Globotruncana ventricosa Zone	
		Globotruncanita elevata Zone	<i>Globotruncanita elevata</i> Zone	Globotruncanita elevata Zone	Globotruncanita elevata Zone	Globotruncanita elevata Zone	<i>Globotruncanita elevata</i> Zone	
Santonian	Globotruncana cocavata	Dicarinella asymetrica Zone	<i>Dicarinella</i> asymetrica Zone	Dicarinella asymetrica Zone	Dicarinella asymetrica Zone	Dicarinella asymetrica Zone		
855	carinata Zone							

Tabel 1. Correlation of the proposed biostratigraphic zonal scheme at this study with other accepted standardbiozones of other parts of the world.

The biostratigraphic zones are briefly described as follow:

### 4.1.1. Globotruncanita elevata Taxon Range Zone

Definition: Total range zone of *Globotruncanita* elevata.

Characteristics: Within this zone numerous representatives of the genus Contusotruncana fornicata (Fig 6.5a-5c), Globuncotrana mariei (Fig 6.3a-3c), Globotruncanita stuartiformis (Fig 6.5a-5c). Globotruncanita elevata (Fig 7.3a-3c), Globotruncana bulloides (Fig 7.6a-6c), Globotruncana bulloides (Fig. 8. 6a-6c), Globotruncana hilli (Fig 9.5a-5c, Lenticulina sp. (Fig 9.11a-11b), Ventilabrella sp. (Fig 9.12), Ventilabrella austinana (Fig 9.13), Palmula jarvisi (Fig 9.6.14), are also present.

Remarks: The first appearance of *Rugoglobigerina rugosa* occurs within this zone.

Age and Occurrence: Early Campanian. *Globotruncanita elevata* Zone is recorded from 25 and 26 meters of the thick grey marly limestone in Amiran and Soltan sections, respectively. This biozone was

recorded from Zagros (James and Wynd 1965) and Tethys (Caron 1985; Sliter 1989). This biozone is characterized by Planktonic foraminiferal species.

# **4.1.2.** *Globotrnucana ventricosa* Interval Zone Author: Dalbiez (1955)

Definition: Interval zone from the first appearance of *Globotruncana ventricosa* to the first appearance of *Globotruncanita calcarata*.

Characteristics: Within this zone numerous representatives of the genus Globotruncana lapparenti, Pseudotextularia elegans (Fig 6.10), Globotruncana hilli. Macroglobigerinelloides alvarezi. fornicata 7.7a-7c), Contusotruncana (Fig Globotruncana mariei, Globotruncanita stuartiformis, Globotruncana falsostuarti, Rugoglobigerina rugosa, Gavelinella Globotruncana pertusa, orientalis, Muricohedbergella holmdelensis, Dentalina multicostata (Fig 9.1a-1b), Gaudryina pyramidata (Fig 9.2), are also present.

Remarks: The first appearance of *Globotruncana* atlantica approximates the base of the zone which also

contains the first appearance of *Contusotruncana plummerae* in the middle part and *Radotruncana subspinosa* occurs at the top of this zone.

Age and Occurrence: Middle to Late Campanian. *Globotruncanita ventricosa* Zone is recorded from 35 and 34 meters of the thick grey marly limestone in Amiran and Soltan sections, respectively. This biozone was recorded from Tethys by (Caron 1978; Sliter 1989).

# 4.1.3. Radotruncana calcarata Taxon Range Zone

Author: Herm (1962)

Definition: Total range zone of Radotruncana calcarata Characteristics: Whitin this zone numerous representatives of the genus Globotruncana ventricosa, Globotruncana hilli, Macroglobigerinelloides alvarezi, Ventilabrella eggeri (Fig 8,4.8a-8b), Dentalina catenula (Fig 9.9), Lagena hispida (Fig 9.10), Contusotruncana fornicata, Globotruncanita stuartiformiz, Gaudryina pyramidata, Rugoglobigerina rugosa, Frondicularia undulosa, Gavelinella pertusa, Ventilabrella eggeri (Fig 6.8). Globotruncana orientalis, Radotruncana calcarata (Fig 8.2a-2c), Radotruncana subspinosa, Globotruncana linneiana (Fig 8. 1a-1c), are also present. Remarks: The first appearance of Globotruncana stuarti and Globotruncana falsostuarti occurs within this zone.

Age and Occurrence: Late Campanian. *Radutruncana calcarata* Zone is recorded from 20 and 40 meters of the thick grey marly limestone in Soltan and Amiran sections, respectively. This biozone was recorded form Tethys by (Caron 1978; Sliter 1989).

# 4.1.4. *Globltruncanella havanensis* Partial Range Zone

Author: Caron (1978)

Definition: Partial range zone from the Last appearance of *Radotruncana calcarata* to the First appearance of *Globotruncana aegyptica*.

Remarks: The first appearance of *Globotruncanella petaloidea*, *Ventilabrella multicamerata* and *Globotruncana pettersi* occurs within this zone.

this Characteristics: Within zone numerous representatives of the genus Globotruncana ventricosa, Contusotruncana fornicata, Globotruncana mariei, Heterohelix punctulata, Globotruncanita stuartiformis, Globotruncanella havanensis (Fig 7.4a-4c), (8a-8c), Globotruncana falsostuarti (Fig 7.2a-2c), Ammodiscoides cretaceus (Fig 9.15a-15b-15c) Gaudryina faujasi (Fig 9.16), Gavelinella pertusa, Radotruncana subspinosa, Globotruncana orientalis, Muricohedbergella holmdelensis, are also present.

Age and Occurrence: Late Campanian; *Globotruncanella havanensis* Zone is recorded from 25 and 45 meters of the thick grey marly limestone and shale in Soltan and Amiran sections, respectively. This biozone was recorded from Tethys (Caron 1978; Sliter 1989).

# **4.1.5.** *Globotruncana aegyptica* Interval Zone Author: Caron (1985)

Definition: Interval zone from the first appearance of *Globotruncana aegyptica* to the first appearance of *Gansserina gansseri*.

Remarks: The first appearance of *Pseudoguembelina costulata* and *Pseudoguembelina excolata* occurs within this zone.

Within Characteristics: this zone numerous representatives of the genus Globotroncana aegyptiaca (Fig 6.5a-5c), (7a-7c), Dentalina multicostata, Globotruncanella havanensis, Globotruncana lapparenti, pseudotextularia elegans, Lagena hispida, Radotruncana subspinosa, Archaeoglobigerina cretacea, Heterohelix planata, are also present.

Age and Occurrence: Late to latest Campanian. *Globotruncana aegyptica* zone is recorded from 70 and 30 meters of the thick limestone in Soltan and Amiran sections, respectively. This biozone was recorded from Tethys by (Caron 1978; Sliter 1989).

### 4.1.6. Gansserina gansseri Interval Zone

Author: Bronniman (1952)

Definition: Interval zone from the first appearance of *Gansserina gansseri* to the first appearance of *Contusotruncana contusa* and *Racemiguembellina fructicosa*.

Remarks: The first appearance of Pseudoguembelina Pseudoguembelina palpebral and acervulinoides. Contusortuncana walfishensis occurs within this zone. Characteristics: Within this zone numerous representatives of the genus Globotruncana mariei, Globotruncanita pettersi (Fig 7.1a-1c). Gansserina gansseri (Fig 8.1a-1c), (3a-3c), Globotruncanita conica (Fig 8. 4a-4c), Globotruncana ventricosa (Fig 9.7a-7c), Globotruncanita stuartiformis, Globotruncanita stuarti (Fig 9.8a-8c). Globotruncana hilli, Globotruncana arca, Globotroncana aegyptiaca, Frondicularia undulosa (Fig 9.3a-3b), Bolivinoides draco (Fig 9.4), Palmula rugosa (Fig 9.5a-5b), Globotruncanella havanensis, Globotruncana Muricohedbergella arca, monmouthensis. subcircumnodifer, Rugotruncana Rugoglobigerina Archaeoglobigerina cretacea, macrocephala, are also present.

Age and Occurrence: Latest Campanian to Early Maastrichtian. *Gansserina gansseri zone* is recorded from 55 and 60 meters marly limestone in Soltan and Amiran sections, respectively. This biozone was recorded from Tethys by (Caron 1978; Sliter 1989).

# **4.1.7.** *Contusotruncana contusa* Interval Zone

Author: Premoli Silva and Bolli (1973)

Definition: Interval zone from the First appearance of *Contusotruncana contusa* to the extinction of most of Cretaceous biozone.



Fig 3. Biostratigraphic Colum of the Gurpi Formation in section Soltan Anticline

Remarks: The first appearance of *Contusotruncana contusa* occurs within this zone.

Characteristics: Within this zone numerous representatives of the genus Contusotruncana walfishensis, Contusotruncana contusa (Fig 7.6a-6c), Contusotruncana fornicata, Globotruncana mariei, Globotruncanita pettersi (Fig 9.1a-1c), Gansserina gansseri, Globotruncana ventricosa, Globotruncanita stuarti, Globotruncana hilli, Globotruncana arca,

Globotrucanita angulata, Globotroncana aegyptiaca, Globotruncanella havanensis, Macroglobigernelloides prairiehillensis, Contusotruncana contusa, Globotruncanita conica, Rugotruncana subcircumnodifer, Trinitella scotti, Rugoglobigerina macrocephala, are also present. Age and Occurrence: Early to Late Maastrichtian. *Contusotruncana contusa zone* is recorded from 40 and 40 meters of the thick marl and limestone in Soltan and Amiran sections, respectively. This biozone was recorded from Tethys by (Caron 1978; Sliter 1989).

#### 4.2. Paleobathymetry

Various case studies on different kinds of Planktonic foraminifera show that each of the unicellular taxa is congruent with a specific depth of water column. According to the models for the development of planktonic foraminifera, based on depth, some researches have been done (Hart 1980b; Caron 1983). Accordingly, based on the various forms of planktonic foraminifera, we can determine three different morphotypes:



Fig 4. Biostratigraphic Colum of the Gurpi Formation in section Amiran Anticline.

A) Morphotype Type 1 or fauna of shallow waters (0 to 50 m). The species of this group have a direct or trochospiral test with spherical chambers, without karren and with low ornamentation which include: *Heterohelix* sp. *Globogerinelloides* sp. *Hedbergella* sp. *Pseudotextularia* sp. (Martinez 1989; Abramovich et al. 2003).

**B)** Morphotype Type 2 or intermediate fauna (50 to 100 m). The examples of this morphotype have the trochospiral test, compact chambers and primary karrens which include: *Preglobotruncana* sp. *Rugoglobigerina* sp. *Archeoglobigerina* sp.

C) Morphotype Type 3 or fauna of deep waters (more than 100 m). The examples of this group have trochospiral test with compact chambers and karren which include: *Globotruncana* sp. *Globotrucanita* sp. and *Gansserina* sp. The abundance of the keeled taxa indicates deep marine environments (Bandy 1953; Bandy and Arnal 1960; Abramovich et al. 2003; Gallalal et al. 2010; Filkorn et al. 2011).

In the studied sections, Planktonic foraminifera are the most common microfossils. Benthic foraminifera are extremely rare throughout all samples. Based on morphological variations of planktonic foraminifera and Planktonic to benthic ratio (P/B). Tabels (2 and 3), three depositional sequences have been recognized (Figs 10, 11 and 12).

The Early Campanian to Late Maastrichtian sequences of the Lurestan zone are similar to the global sea level curve of Haq (2014). Sequence stratigraphy studies accompanying with paleontological evidences (especially foraminiferals and palynomorphs) indicate four complete sequences of the Gurpi Formation (Campanian–Paleocene) in southwest of Zagros (Zarei and Ghasemi Nejad 2014).

## 4.2.1. Sequence 1

This sequence is Early Campanian in age and is present in Amiran section (110 m thick) and in Soltan section (78 m thick). At Amiran and Soltan sections, the TST and HST comprise a 60, 39 and 50, 39 m-thick, respectively and is indicated by planktonic foraminifer



Fig 5. Correlation between planktonic biozones showing in the two sections (Soltan Anticline and Amiran Anticline).

wackestone/ mudstone facies and increase in relative abundance of morphotype 3. Wackestone with abundant planktonic foraminifers and high ratio of P/B and glauconite represent deep-water facies in sample # 60 of Amiran section and # 39 of Soltan section; this is, therefore, interpreted as the MFS. An upwardshallowing facies trend (HST) is indicated by the reduction of morphotype 3 as well as the P/B ratio. The sequence boundary (SB) is characterized by maximum decrease in the P/B ratio as well as the percentage of morphotype 3.

#### 4.2.2. Sequence 2

This sequence is Late Campanian in age and is present in Amiran section (109 m thick) and in Soltan section (97 m thick). At Amiran and Soltan sections, the TST and HST comprise a 62, 47 and 47, 50 m-thick, respectively and is indicated by planktonic foraminifer wackestone/mudstone facies and increase in relative abundance of morphotype 3. Wackestone with abundant planktonic foraminifers and high ratio of P/B and glauconite represent deep-water facies in sample # 172 of Amiran section and # 129 of Soltan section; this is, therefore, interpreted as the MFS. An upwardshallowing facies trend (HST) is indicated by the reduction of morphotype 3 as well as the P/B ratio. The sequence boundary (SB) is characterized by maximum decrease in the P/B ratio as well as the percentage of morphotype 3.

### 4.2.3. Sequence 3

This sequence is Early Campanian in age and is present in Amiran section (56 m thick) and in Soltan section (95 m thick). At Amiran and Soltan sections, the TST and HST comprise a 41, 50 and 15, 45 m-thick, respectively and is indicated by planktonic foraminifer wackestone/mudstone facies, and increase in relative abundance of morphotype 3. Wackestone with abundant planktonic foraminifers and high ratio of P/B and glauconite represent deep-water facies in sample # 260 of Amiran section and # 223 of Soltan section (Fig 9); this is, therefore, interpreted as the MFS. An upwardshallowing facies trend (HST) is indicated by the reduction of morphotype 3 as well as the P/B ratio. The sequence boundary (SB) is characterized by maximum decrease in the P/B ratio as well as the percentage of morphotype3.



Fig 6. selected assembelage Planktonic Foraminifers of the Gurpi Formation in study area. Scale bar: 100μ m. 1a-spiral side, 1b-axial side, 1c-umbilical sid: *Gansserina gansseri* (Bolli, 1951)., 2a-spiral side, 2b -axial side, 2c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana arca.*, 3a-spiral side, 3b-axial side, 3c-umbilical sid: *Gansserina gansseri* (Bolli, 1951)., 4a-spiral side, 4b-axial side, 4c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana arca* (Cushman, 1927)., 5a-spiral side, 5b - axial side, 5c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana aegyptiaca* (Nakkady, 1950)., 6a-spiral side, 6b-axial side, 6c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana bulloides* (Vogler, 1941)., 7a-spiral side, 7b-axial side, 7c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana aegyptiaca* (Nakkady, 1950)., 8: *Ventilabrella eggeri* (Cushman, 1938) ., 9: *Heterohelix globolosa* (Ehrenberg, 1840)., 10: *Pseudotextularia elegans* (Rzehak,

(Ehrenberg, 1840)., 10: *Pseudotextularia elegans*(Rzehak, 1891).



Fig 8. selected assembelage Planktonic Foraminifers of the Gurpi Formation in study area. Scale bar: 100μ m. 1a-spiral side, 1b-axial side, 1c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana linneiana* (d' Orbigny, 1839)., 2a-spiral side, 2b-axial side, 2c-umbilical sid: *Radotruncana calcarata* (Cushman, 1928)., 3a-spiral side, 3b-axial side, 3c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana mariei* (Banner and Blow, 1960)., 4a-spiral side, 4b-axial side, 4c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana linneiana* (d' Orbigny, 1839)., 5a-spiral side, 5b-axial side, 5c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana hilli* (Pessagno, 1967)., 6a-spiral side, 6b-axial side, 6c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana angulata* (Tilev, 1951)., 7a-spiral side, 7b-axial side, 7c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana ventricosa* (White, 1928).



Fig 7. selected assembelage Planktonic Foraminifers of the Gurpi Formation in study area. Scale bar: 100μ m. 1a-spiral side, 1b-axial side, 1c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana pettersi* (Gandolfi, 1955)., 2a-spiral side, 2b-axial side, 2c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncana falsostuarti* (Sigal, 1952)., 3a-spiral side, 3b-axial side, 3c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncanita elevate* (Brotzen, 1934)., 4a-spiral side, 4b-axial side, 4c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncanella havanensis* (Voorwijk, 1937)., 5a-spiral side, 5b-axial side, 5c-umbilical sid: *Globotruntia stuartiformis* (Dalbiez, 1955)., 6a-spiral side, 6b-axial side, 6c-umbilical sid: *Contusotruncana contusa* (Cushman, 1926)., 7a-spiral side, 7b-axial side, 7c-umbilical sid: *Contusotruncana fornicata* (Plummer, 1931)., 8a-spiral side, 8b-axial side, 8c-umbilical sid: *Globotruncanella havanensis*(Voorwijk, 1937).



Fig 9. selected assembelage Planktonic Foraminifers of the Gurpi Formation in study area. Scale bar: 100µ m. 1a-spiral side, 1b-axial side: Dentalina multicostata (d'Orbigny, 1840)., 2. Gaudryina pyramidata (Cushman, 1926)., 3a-spiral side, 3baxial side: Frondicularia undulosa (Cushman, 1936)., 4, Bolivinoides draco (Marsson, 1878)., 5a-spiral side, 5b-axial side: Palmula rugosa (Cushman, 1944)., 6: Racemiguembelina powelli (Smith & Pessagno, 1973)., 7, 8a-spiral side, 8b-axial side: Ventilabrella eggeri (Cushman, 1938) ., 9: Dentalina catenula (Reuss, 1860)., 10. Lagena hispida (Russ, 1858)., 11a-spiral side, 11b-axial side: Lenticulina sp., 12, 13Ventilabrella sp., 14: Palmula jarvisi (Cushman, 1935)., 15a-spiral side, 15b-axial side, 15c-umbilical sid: Ammodiscoides cretaceous (Chushman, 1835)., 16: Gaudrina pyramidata (Cushman, 1926).



Fig 10. Percentage of morphotype 3, rtio (P/B), Palaeobathymetry and Depositional sequence of the Gurpi Formation in the section Soltan



Fig 11. Percentage of morphotype 3, rtio (P/B), Palaeobathymetry and Depositional sequence of the Gurpi Formation in the section Amiran.



Fig 12. Comparison of change palaeodepth sediments of the Gurpi Formation in this study with those of Haq (2014).

ເ⇔ Sample no.	00 00 Number of Foraminifera	88 Percentage of Morphotype 3	Number of Planktonic Foraminifera	$^{86}_{66}$ Number of Benthic Foraminifera	922 2/32 2/32	Palaeodepth	Sample no. [139 [141]	000 000 Number of Foraminifera	E22 Percentage of Morphotype 3	5년 57 Kumber of Planktonic Foraminifera	46 Number of Benthic Foraminifera	2002 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012	Palaeodepth
5       7       9       11       13       15       17       19       21       23       25       27       29       31       33       35       37       39       41       43       45       47       49       51       53       55       57       59       61       63       65       67       69       71       73       75       777       79       81       83       85       87       99       101       103       105       107       109       111       123       125       127  1	200     2	6701127372700997668670113311007661111666555741116225666678901122332006666666666666667122332121233	$\begin{array}{c} 152\\ 153\\ 154\\ 657\\ 653\\ 152\\ 233\\ 1553\\ 1552\\ 233\\ 1555\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 4864764433574887665444344674477765444444550001444565523355550999665872221508755666697775556676666666666666666666666$	76 77778 78/5 77778 78/5 76 76 76/5 77778 78/5 76/5 777778 78 76/5 777778 78 76/5 777778 78 76/5 777778 78 76/5 777778 78 76/5 777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 7777778 78 76/5 7777778 78 7777778 78 77777778 78 777777	$\begin{array}{c} 528\\ 539\\ 566\\ 575\\ 528\\ 528\\ 528\\ 528\\ 528\\ 528\\ 528\\ 555\\ 556\\ 555\\ 556\\ 555\\ 556\\ 555\\$	143 1445 1447 147 149 151 153 155 157 161 163 165 167 169 171 169 171 173 175 177 179 181 183 185 187 189 191 181 183 195 197 201 205 207 209 201 205 207 209 201 205 207 209 201 213 225 207 209 211 223 225 227 228 227 228 227 229 231 233 235 237 239 241 243 245 247 249 247 247 249 251 255 257 259 261 263 265 267 269	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	73277277277777777777777777777666655677655732324429867011745444472234211722008888665433	$\begin{array}{c} 1554\\ 1556\\$	4564 4637 65564 44 463 110988 469255555555555555554 4466779906554 4430900744434 467799002555880	77/5 778 777 78/5 76/5 77/ 78/5 79/5 80 80/5 81 81 81 72/5 72/5 72/5 72/5 72/5 72/5 72/5 72/5	$\begin{array}{c} 555\\ 556\\ 544\\ 5789\\ 544\\ 5595\\ 554\\ 554\\ 554\\ 555\\ 500\\ 6226\\ 626\\ 626\\ 544\\ 8595\\ 555\\ 556\\ 620\\ 626\\ 626\\ 626\\ 446\\ 4459\\ 2455\\ 556\\ 566\\ 449\\ 955\\ 556\\ 566\\ 807\\ 759\\ 556\\ 566\\ 807\\ 556\\ 556\\ 556\\ 556\\ 556\\ 556\\ 556\\ 55$

Tabel 2. Percentage of planktinic foraminifera, rtio (P/B), Palaeobathymetry of the Gurpi Formation in the section Soltan.

Palaeodepth	$\begin{array}{c} 482\\ 5077\\ 88\\ 555\\ 555\\ 548\\ 844\\ 844\\ 844\\ 845\\ 555\\ 55$
Percentage of Planktonic Foraminifera	73/5 75 76 76/5 77/5 76/5 77/7 78/5/5 79 79/79 80/55 79 79/79 80/55 79 79/79 80/55 79 79/79 80/55 79 79/79 78/55 79 77/55 78/75
Number of Benthic Foraminifera	5300 8 8 5 5 7 6 8 4 4 5 7 8 3 2 2 2 9 3 3 2 5 5 4 4 5 6 3 2 5 5 4 6 8 9 6 6 7 5 8 8 9 9 8 6 5 5 3 2 3 3 5 5 4 4 4 4 6 6 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Number of Planktonic Foraminifera	$\begin{array}{c} 147\\ 1500\\ 1522\\ 1555\\ 342\\ 1553\\ 1522\\ 1555\\ 342\\ 1553\\ 1552\\ 1553\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 15$
Percentage of Morphotype 3	65 7 68 88 7 1 7 2 7 5 4 1 4 3 4 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Number of Foraminifera	200     2
Sample no.	139       141       143       144       144       145       147       149       151       153       155       167       169       171       173       175       179       181       183       185       187       189       191       103       195       201       203       205       207       209       211       2233       215       217       229       231       235       237       239       241       243       245       251       255       257       259       261       263       265       267       269
Palaeodepth	$\begin{array}{c} 502\\ 5078\\ 5184\\ 5444\\ 5455\\ 5395\\ 559\\ 559\\ 559\\ 559\\ 559\\ 555\\ 556\\ 555\\ 555$
Percentage of Planktonic Foraminifera	74/5 756 75/5 777 7775 76/5 75/5 76/5 75/5 76/5 75/5 76/5 777 76 7775 77777 76 7775 777777 76 777577777777
Number of Benthic Foraminifera	510847466575779988568444444444444444444
Number of Planktonic Foraminifera	$\begin{array}{c} 149\\ 1502\\ 1534\\ 155\\ 1553\\ 1553\\ 1553\\ 1553\\ 1551\\ 1552\\ 1556\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1555\\ 1555\\ 1555\\ 1555\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1555\\ 1556\\ 1552\\ 1551\\ 151\\ 1552\\ 151\\ 151\\ 151\\ $
Percentage of Morphotype 3	$\begin{smallmatrix} 667\\ 688\\ 659\\ 666\\ 697\\ 645\\ 668\\ 660\\ 677\\ 77\\ 753\\ 400\\ 669\\ 744\\ 299\\ 672\\ 235\\ 757\\ 78\\ 873\\ 727\\ 70\\ 867\\ 999\\ 998\\ 888\\ 888\\ 888\\ 600\\ 606\\ 606\\ 644\\ 646\\ 666\\ 632\\ 626\\ 666\\ 666\\ 666\\ 666\\ 66$
Number of Foraminifera	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
Sample no.	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 15 \\ 17 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 23 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 29 \\ 31 \\ 33 \\ 35 \\ 37 \\ 39 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 45 \\ 57 \\ 59 \\ 61 \\ 63 \\ 65 \\ 67 \\ 59 \\ 61 \\ 63 \\ 65 \\ 67 \\ 69 \\ 61 \\ 63 \\ 65 \\ 67 \\ 77 \\ 79 \\ 81 \\ 83 \\ 85 \\ 87 \\ 77 \\ 79 \\ 81 \\ 69 \\ 91 \\ 101 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 99 \\ 91 \\ 91 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ 109 \\ 101 \\ 107 \\$

Tabel 3. Percentage of planktinic foraminifera, rtio (P/B), Palaeobathymetry of the Gurpi Formation in the Amiran section.

## 5. Conclusion

Micropalaeontology and biostratigraphical studies of the Gurpi Formation led to identification of 48 species belonging to 25 genera of planktonic foraminifera and to establish of 7 biozones. On the basis of the recognized planktonic foraminifera, the Gurpi Formation in the central part of the Lurestan province ranges from the Early Campanian to Late Maastrichtian. On the basis of the planktonic foraminifera, three third-order sequences were identified.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the Lurestan University for providing financial support.

#### References

- Alavi M (2004) Regional stratigraphy of the Zagros fold-thrust belt of Iran and its proforelan devolution. *American Journal of Science* 304: 1–20.
- Abramovich S, Keller G, Stuben D, Berner Z (2003) Characterization of late Campanian and Maastrichtian planktonic foraminiferal depth habitats and vital activities based on stable isotopes. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 202: 1-29.
- Bandy OL (1953) Ecology and paleoecology of some California foraminifera part 1, the frequency distribution of recent foraminifera California, *journal of paleontology* 22: 161-182.
- Bandy OL, Arnal RE (1960) concepts of foraminiferal paleoecology, *American Associationof petroleum Geologists Bullentin* 44: 1921-1932.
- Banner FT, Blow WH (1960) Some primary types of species belonging to the superfamily Globigerinaaae. Contrib. Cwhan Found. formin. Res 11: 141.
- Bolli HM (1951) The genus Globotruncana in Trinidad, B.W.I. *Journal of Paleontology* 25: 187-199.
- Bolli HM, Loeblich AR, Tappan H (1957) Planktonic foraminifera1 families Hantkeninidae, Orbulinidae, Globomtaliidae and Globotruncanidae. Bull. U.S. Norl. Mus 350 p.
- BouDagher-Fadel MK (2012) Biostratigraphic and Geological Significance of Planktonic Foraminifera. Developments in Palaeontology and Stratigraphy 22, Elsevier, Amsterdam 275p.
- Bronnimam P, Stradner H (1952) Die Foraminiferal und Discoaster Zonen von Kuba und ihre interkontinentale Korrelation, *Erdol* 26: 364-369.
- Brotzen F (1934) Foraminifera from the Senon Palaceinas. Dtsch. Ver. Of Palestine 57: 28-72.
- Caron M (1978) Cretaceous planktonic foraminifers from DSDP leg 40, Southeastern Atlantic Ocean. *Initial Rep. Deep Sea drill. Proj* 40: 651-780.
- Caron M, Homewood P (1983) Evolution of early planktic foraminifers. *Mar. Micropaleontology* 7: 435–462.
- Caron M (1985) Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera; In: Bolli HM, Saunders JB, Perch Nielsen K (Editors).

- Plankton Stratigraphy; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 86p.
- Cushman JA (1926) Some foraminifera from the Mendez Shale of eastern Mexico Contributions from the Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research 2 (1): 16-26.
- Cushman JA (1927) An outline of a reclassification of the foraminifera, Contrib, Cushman Lab, *foramin, Res* 3: 1-105.
- Cushman JA, Jarvis PW (1928) Cretaceous foraminifera from Trinidad. Cushman Lab. *Foram. Res. Contr* 4: 85-102.
- Cushman JA (1935) Notes on some American Flabellinas. Cushman Lab. Foram. *Res. Contr* 11: 83-89.
- Cushman JA (1936) New genera and species of the families Verneuillinidae and Valvulinidae and of the subfamily Virgulininae. Cushm. Lab. Foram. *Res, Spec. Publ* 6: 1-77.
- Cushman JA (1938) Some new spies of rotaliform foraminifera from the American Cretaceous, Conrrib, Cushman Lob, *foramin, Res*, 14: 671.
- Cushman J A, Goodkoff PP (1944) Some foraminifera from the Upper Cretaceous of California. Cushman Lab. *Foram. Res* 20: 53-64.
- Dalbiez F (1955) The genus Globotruncana in the Tunisia. *Micropaleontology* 1: 161-71.
- d'Orbigny AD (1839) Foraminifera, in R. de la Sagra (ed.). Physical history. Political and natural history of the island of Cuba. Paris, A. *Bertrand* 8: 1-224.
- d'Orbigny AD (1840) Memoir on the foraminifera of the white chalk of the Paris basin. Memoirs of *the Geological Society of France. 1st series* 4: 1-23
- Ehrenbng CG (1840) Ober die Bildung der Kreiddelm'und of Kmdemergels durch unsichtbare Organismen, Abh. K. Akad. Wiss. *Berlin, Physik., Berlin* 135p.
- Filkorn HF, Scott RW (2011) Microfossils, paleoenvironments snd biostratigraphy of Mal Paso Formation (Cretaceous, upper Albian), State of Guerrero, Mexico. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias* 28(1): 175-191.
- Gallalal N, Zaghbib-Turki D (2010) High resolution biostratigraphy based on planktic foraminifera across the Cretaceous–Paleogene transition at the Bidart section (SW France), *Journal of Acta Geologica polonica* 60: 243-255.
- Gandolfi R (1955) The genus Globornutcano in Northeastern Colombia. *Bulletins of American Paleontology* 36: 7-118.
- Hart BM (1980) A water depth model for the evolution of *the planktonic foraminifera*.*Nature* 289: 252-254.
- Haq BU (2014) Cretaceous eustasy revisited. National Science Foundation, Washington, DC, USA and Université Pierreet MarieCurie, Paris, France, *journal homepage* 15: 44-58.

- Haq BU, Shutter SR (2008) Sequences (SEPM Global or Tethyan). TS creator: Time Scale Creator(Internet). 2005-2013. Geologic Time Scale Foundation. *Science* 322: 64-68.
- Herm A (1962) Planctonic foraminifera DSDP Leg 34-Nazca Plata: DSDP initial reports, 34pp.
- James GA, Wynd JG (1965) Stratigraphic nomenclature of the Iranian oil consortium agreement area. Am. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 49: 2182–2245.
- Keller G, Li L, MacLeod N (1995) The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary stratotype section at El Kef, Tunisia, how catastrophic was the mass extinction? *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 119: 221-254.
- Loeblich AR, Tappan H (1988) Foraminifera Genera and their Classification, *Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York*, 970p.
- Marsson TF (1878) The foraminifera of the white decals of the island of Rügen. Discussions of the scientific association for New-Vorpommern and Ruegen in Greifswald 10: 115-196.
- Martinez JI (1989) Foraminiferal biostratigraphy and paleoenvironmments of the Maastrichtian Colon mudstones of Northern Southe America. *Micropaleontology* 35: 97-113.
- Nakkady SE (1950) A new foraminiferal fauna from the Esna shales and Upper Cretaceous chalk of Egypt . *Journal of Paleontology* 24: 675-692.
- Pessagno EA (1967) Upper Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera from the Western Gulf Coastal Plain. *Palaeontographica americana* 5: 259-441.
- Plummer HJ (1931) Some Cretaceous Foraminifera in Texas. *University of Texm Bulletin* 31(1): 109-203.
- Premoli Silva I, Bolli HM (1973) Late Cretaceous to Eocene planktonic foraminifera and stratigraphy of Legs 15 sites in the Carribean Sea. In: *Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Program* 15: 449-547.
- Premoli Silva I, Verga D (2004) Practical Manual of Cretaceous Planktonic Foraminifera course 3, in Verga D, Rettori R (Editors), International School on Planktonic Foraminifera: University of Perugia and Milano, Tipografidi Pontefelcino, Perugia, Italy 283p.
- Reuss AE (1860) The Foraminifera of the Westphalian Chalk Formation, Conference Reports of the

Mathematical and Natural Sciences Class of the Kayserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften, *Vienna* 40: 147-238.

- Reuss AE (1858) About the Foraminifera of Pietzpuhl.Zeiidchr. *d. Cleutsch, geol. Gesell* 433 p.
- Rzehak A (1891) The foraminifemfauna of the oldfashioned deposits of Bmdmdorf in Niederlistueich with consideration of the chalky anchovy of Leitndorf. Aden ds K. K. *Iwhisrwischn Hofnum* 6: 1-12.
- Robaszynski F, Caron M, Gonzalez–Donoso JM, Wonders AAH (1984) Atlas of late Cretaceous *Globotruncanids, Micropaleontology* 26: 145-305.
- Smith CC, Pessagno EA (1973) Planktonic foraminifera and stratigraphy of the Comcana Formation (MacaIrichtian) NorthCentral Texas. Spec. *Publ. Cwh Fd. fwmnin.Rcs* 12p.
- Sigal J (1952) Stratigraphic overview of the Cretaceous Micropaleontology. XIXth International Geological Congress (Series 1), *Algiers* 26: 3-4.
- Sliter W (1989) Biostratigraphic Zonation for Cretaceous Planktonic Foraminifera examind in the section. *Cretaceous Research* 19: 1-19.
- Tilev N (1951) Study of the Rosalins maatrichtimnes (grnre South-eastern Turkey (Sondag de.Ramandag), (in French, aith Turkish summary). Twkq, Ma & Terkik w Arma en stir Yayhharinhn (Mining Research ad Explorarion, the title of Turkey PUM.),*Ankara, xr. B*, 16p.
- Vogler J (1941) Ober-Jura und Kreide von Misol (Niederländisch-Ostindien) *Palaeontographica* 4: 243-293.
- Voorwijk GH (1937) Foraminifera from the Upper Cmacmus of Habana. Cuba. Proc.Kd. Nederl. *Akd, Wercmchapp* 40: 190-8.
- White MP (1928) Some index foraminifera of tk Tampica embayment area, *Paleonld*, 2: 280-313.
- Wynd JG (1965) *Biofacies of Iranian oil Consortium Agreement Area, (I.O.O.C) Report No.* 1082 (Unpublished paper), 88p.
- Zarei E, Ghasemi- Nejad E (2014) Sequence stratigraphy of the Gurpi Formation (Campanian– Paleocene) in southwest of Zagros, Iran, based on palynomorphs and foraminifera in the *Arabian Journal of Geosciences* 13: 1-13.