Analysis of Nonlinear Vibrations of Slightly Curved Tripled-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Resting on Elastic Foundations in a Magneto-Thermal Environment

M.G. Sobamowo^{1,*}, J.O. Akanmu², O.A. Adeleye³, A.A. Yinusa¹

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria

²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria

³Department of System Engineering, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria

Received 8 February 2020; accepted 29 March 2020

ABSTRACT

In this work, nonlocal elasticity theory is applied to analyze nonlinear free vibrations of slightly curved multi-walled carbon nanotubes resting on nonlinear Winkler and Pasternak foundations in a thermal and magnetic environment. With the aid of Galerkin decomposition method, the systems of nonlinear partial differential equations are transformed into systems of nonlinear ordinary differential equations that are solved using homotopic perturbation method. The influences of elastic foundations, magnetic field, temperature rise, interlayer forces, small scale parameter and boundary conditions on the frequency ratio are investigated. It is observed form the results that the frequency ratio for all boundary conditions decreases as the number of walls increases. In addition, it is established that the frequency ratio is highest for clamped-simple supported and lowest for clamped-clamped supported. Further investigations on the controlling parameters of the phenomena reveal that the frequency ratio decreases with increase in the value of spring constant (k_l) temperature and magnetic field strength. It is hoped that this work will enhance the applications of carbon nanotubes in structural, electrical, mechanical and biological applications especially in a thermal and magnetic environment.

© 2020 IAU, Arak Branch. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Multi-walled carbon nanotubes; Magnetic and thermal environment; Nonlocal elastic theory; Small-scale effects; Winkler and Pasternak foundations.

1 INTRODUCTION

IJIMA [1] discovered the novel nanostructure materials and due to their promising applications of the nanomaterials in Nano devices, Nano electronics, and nanocomposites, this novel discovery has led to

*Corresponding author.



E-mail address: mikegbeminiyi@gmail.com (M.G. Sobamowo).

considerable number of studies on carbon nanotubes (CNTs). In fact, it could be said that the excellent mechanical, electrical, structural and thermal properties coupled with high strength to weight ratio property of carbon nanotubes have continuously and tremendously expanded their applications in various industrial, engineering, physical and natural sciences processes. Indisputably, the nanostructures have merits when applied to the function ability of transistors and diodes. However, carbon nanotubes are capable of undergoing large deformations within the elastic limit and vibrate at frequency in the order of GHz and THz. Consequently, logical investigations and analysis of carbon nanotube have been a subject of interest such as the vibrations of a micro-resonator that is excited by electrostatic and piezoelectric actuations. Various studies have been carried out on beams, CNT, nano-wires, nanorods and nano-beam so as to specifically understand and achieve their area of best fit [2-13]. In achieving this, the well know beam models were employed and dynamic ranges were obtained in the scope of the structures. In such studies, Liew et al. [5], Pantano et al. [6,7], Qian et al. [8] and Salvetat et al. [9] examined the mechanics of single and multiwalled carbon nanotubes. Sears and Batra [10] analyzed carbon nanotubes buckling under the influence of axial compression. Yoon et al. [11] and Wang and Cai [12] investigated the impacts of initial stress on multiwall carbon nanotube with a focus on non-coaxial resonance. Wang et al. [13] explored the dynamic response of multiwalled carbon nanotubes using Timoshenko beam model. Zhang et al. [14] scrutinized the influence of compressive axial load on the transverse dynamic behaviour of double-walled carbon nanotubes. Elishakoff and Pentaras [15] presented another study on the vibration of double-walled carbon nanotubes. In addition, studies on nonlinear vibration of nanomechanical resonator, nanotube and nanowire-based electromechanical systems have been carried out by Buks and Yurke [16] and Postma et al. [17] while Fu et al. [18] examined nonlinear vibration analysis of embedded carbon nanotubes. In the same year, Xu et al. [19] considered the dynamic response of a double-walled carbon nanotube under the influence of nonlinear inter-tube van der Waals forces. The vibration of carbon nanotubebased switches with focus on static and dynamic responses was analyzed by Dequesnes et al. [20]. Few years later, Ouakad and Younis [21] investigated the nonlinear vibration of electrically actuated carbon nanotube resonators. In an earlier work, Zamanian et al. [22] presented the non-linear vibrations analysis of a micro resonator subjected to piezoelectric and electrostatic actuations. As a continuation of the tremendous work, Abdel-Rahman, Hawwa, Hajnayeb, and Belhadj [23-26] performed a vibration and instability studies of a DWCNT using a nonlinear model and considering an electrostatic actuation as an external excitation agent. In their work, a DWCNT was situated and conditioned to a direct and alternating voltage and different behaviors of the nanotubes were recorded as the exciting agent is varied. They went further to determine the bifurcation point of the DWCNT and concluded that both walls have the same frequency of vibration under the two resonant conditions considered. Belhadj et al. [26] carried out the vibration analysis of a pinned-pinned supported SWCNT employing nonlocal theory of elasticity and obtained natural frequency up to third mode. The authors also put forward an explanation on the advantages of the high frequency obtained in their work to optical applications. Lei et al. [27] studied the dynamic behaviour of DWCNT by employing the well-known Timoshenko theory of beam. The nonlinear governing equations generated by Sharabiani and Yazdi [28] derived relations in the application to Nano beams that are graded and have surface roughness. Wang [29] generated a close form model for the aforementioned surface roughness effect for an unforced fluid conveying nanotubes and beams based on nonlocal theory of elasticity and ascertained the significance of the study for reasonably small thickness of the tube considered. Interesting foundation studies have been considered after modelling of CNTs as structures resting or embedded on elastic foundations such as Winkler, Pasternak and Visco-Pasternak medium [30-35]. Other interesting works through modelling and experiment have also been presented to justify the widespread application of SWCNTs [36-41]. However, the development of internal noncoaxial deformation and distort due to van der Wall forces in the MWCNTs has been considered [42,43]. In another study, Ansari and Hemmatnezhad [44] applied variational approach to analyze nonlinear vibrations of embedded MWCNTs while Ghorbanpour et al. [45] utilized averaging method to theoretically investigate the nonlinear vibrations of MWCNTs embedded in an elastic medium. Yoon et al. [46] explored the resonant frequencies and the modes of vibration of embedded in a Winkler elastic medium. Fu et al. [47] adopted continuum mechanics to study the nonlinear free vibration of embedded MWCNTs. With the aids of Timoshenko beam model and differential quadrature method, Wang et al. [48] presented the analysis of a free vibration of MWCNTs. Avdogdu [49] presented the free vibration of MWCNTs applying generalized shear deformation-beam theory.

The dynamic behaviour of SWCNTs, DWCNTs and MWCNTs have been characterized and their dynamic behaviour have been investigated with the aids of experimental measurements, density functional theory, molecular dynamics simulations, and continuum mechanics. However, there are difficulties in performing experiment at the nanoscale level. Consequently, over the years, the classical continuum models (which do not consider the small-scale effects) have been widely applied to the small-scale structures as reviewed in the preceding section. The demerit of such classical continuum theories is witnessed in their scale-free models, as they cannot incorporate the small-scale effects in their formulations. Therefore, in other to correct the inadequacy in the classical continuum

298

models, Eringen [50-53] developed nonlocal continuum mechanics based on nonlocal elasticity theory. The nonlocal elasticity theory considers the stress state at a given point to be a function of the strain field at all points in the body. Therefore, in this work, nonlocal elasticity theory is used to analyze nonlinear vibrations of slightly curved multi-walled resting on nonlinear Winkler and Pasternak foundation in a thermal and magnetic environment. With the aid of van der Waals interlayer interaction, the nested slender multi-walled nanotubes are coupled with each other. Such study on the simultaneous influences of thermal and magnetic field, Winkler and Pasternak foundations on the vibration of multi-walled carbon nanotubes using nonlocal elasticity theory has not been presented in literature. Additionally, the development of analytical expressions for the frequencies, frequency ratio and deflections of the multi-walled carbon nanotubes is shown to be another novel idea of the present study. The analytical solutions are used to investigate the influences of elastic foundations, magnetic field, temperature rise, interlayer forces, small-scale parameter and boundary conditions on the frequency ratio.

2 PROBLEM DESCRIPTION AND THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Consider a slightly curved triple-walled carbon nanotube under the influence of stretching effects and resting on Winkler and Pasternak foundations in a thermal and magnetic environment as depicted in Fig. 1. We applied the Eringen's nonlocal elasticity theory, Euler-Bernoulli beam theory, Hamilton's principle and followed Sobamowo [54], the governing equation becomes



Fig.1

The embedded slightly curved clamped TWCNT on two-parameter elastic foundation. [55, 56, 60]

$$EI\frac{\partial^{4}w_{i}}{\partial x^{4}} + m_{c}\frac{\partial^{2}w_{i}}{\partial t^{2}} + k_{1}w_{i} + k_{3}w_{i}^{3} - \left(\frac{EA}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}w_{i}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)$$

$$-\left(EA\alpha_{x}T + \eta AH_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}w_{i}}{\partial x^{2}} + \left(e_{o}a\right)^{2}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x^{2}} + k_{1}\frac{\partial^{2}w_{i}}{\partial x^{2}} + 6k_{3}w_{i}\left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + 3k_{3}w_{i}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x}\right)$$

$$-\left(\frac{EA}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{4}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}w_{i}}{\partial x^{4}}\right)$$

$$-\left(EA\alpha_{x}T + \eta AH_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{4}w_{i}}{\partial x^{4}}$$

$$(1)$$

where i=1,2 and 3 and $k_w w = k_1 w + k_3 w^3$, w(x,t) is the bending deflection of the tube, t is the time coordinate, *EI* is the bending rigidity, m_c is the mass of tube per unit length and Z_o is the initial curvature of the tube. The term $EA \alpha_x T$ denotes the constant axial force due to thermal effects and the term $\eta A H_x^2$ is the magnetic force per unit length due to Lorentz force exerted on the tube in z-direction. In addition, A is the cross-sectional area of the tube, α_x is the coefficient of thermal expansion and T is the change in temperature. In addition, the term η is the magnetic field permeability and H_x is the magnetic field strength. Further works on the SWCNTs can be found in Sobamowo [57, 58] and Sobamowo et al. [59]. In order to incorporate the interlayer interactions for the TWCNTs with 3 layers, it is established that the pressure at any point between any two adjacent tubes depends on the difference of their deflections at that point. Therefore, one can express the linear form of the van der Waals forces as:

$$F_i = c_i \left(\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{w}_{i-1} \right) \tag{2}$$

where F_i is the van der Waals force between the *ith* tube and the (i-1)th tube, c_i is the coefficient of the van der Waals force between the *ith* tube and the (i-1)th tube. Assuming that all nested individual tubes of the TWCNT vibrate in the same plane. Using the van der Waals forces in Eq. (2), the developed nonlinear governing equations of vibration for the embedded TWCNT in a thermal and magnetic environment with N layers are given as:

$$EI_{1}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{1}}{\partial x^{4}} + m_{c1}\frac{\partial^{2}w_{1}}{\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{EA_{1}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}w_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) - \left(EA_{1}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{1}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}w_{1}}{\partial x^{2}} - \left(e_{o}a\right)^{2}\left(m_{c1}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{1}}{\partial x^{2}\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{EA_{1}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{4} Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}w_{1}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) - \left(EA_{1}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{1}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{4}w_{1}}{\partial x^{4}} + c_{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}w_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)\right) = -c_{1}(w_{2} - w_{1})$$

$$(3)$$

$$EI_{2}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{2}}{\partial x^{4}} + m_{c2}\frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{EA_{2}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) - \left(EA_{2}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{2}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} - \left(e_{o}a\right)^{2}\left(m_{c2}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{EA_{2}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{4}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}w_{2}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) - \left(EA_{2}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{2}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{4}w_{2}}{\partial x^{4}} - c_{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}w_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) + c_{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}w_{3}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) = c_{2}(w_{2} - w_{1}) - c_{2}(w_{3} - w_{2})$$

$$(4)$$

$$EI_{3}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{3}}{\partial x^{4}} + m_{c3}\frac{\partial^{2}w_{3}}{\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{EA_{3}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}w_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) - \left(EA_{3}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{3}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}w_{3}}{\partial x^{2}} - \left(e_{o}a\right)^{2}\left(m_{c3}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{3}}{\partial x^{2}\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{EA_{3}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{4}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}w_{3}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) - \left(EA_{3}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{3}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{4}w_{3}}{\partial x} - c_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}w_{3}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) + c_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}w_{4}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}w_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)\right) = c_{3}(w_{3} - w_{2}) - c_{3}(w_{4} - w_{3})$$

$$(5)$$

It should be noted that the k_1 and k_3 would not enter into the equations of the inner tubes since only the outer tube interacts with the elastic medium. The displacements of the nanotubes are subjected to the following boundary conditions:

For simply supported (S-S) nanotube,

$$w_i(0,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 w_i(0,t)}{\partial^2 x} = 0, \quad w_i(L,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 w_i(L,t)}{\partial^2 x} = 0.$$
 (6)

For clamped-clamped supported (C-C) nanotube,

$$w_i(0,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w_i(0,t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad w_i((L,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w_i(L,t)}{\partial x} = 0.$$
(7)

For a clamped-simply supported (C-S) nanotube,

300

$$w_i(0,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w_i(0,t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad w_i(L,t) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 w_i((L,t))}{\partial^2 x} = 0.$$
(8)

The basic functions corresponding to the above boundary conditions [37].

Cases	Mode shape, $\phi(x)$	Value of β	
Simply support	$sin\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right)$	π	
Clamped-Clamped support	$\left(\cosh\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{\sinh\beta + \sin\beta}{\cosh\beta - \cos\beta}\right) \left(\sinh\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right)\right)$	4.730041	
Clamped-Simply support	$\left(\cosh\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{\cosh\beta - \cos\beta}{\sinh\beta - \sin\beta}\right) \left(\sinh\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\beta x}{L}\right)\right)$	3.926602	

3 SOLUTION METHODOLOGY

Using the Galerkin's decomposition procedure to separate the spatial and temporal parts of the lateral displacement functions,

$$w_i(x,t) = \phi(x) W_i(t)$$
 $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., N$ (9)

where $w_i(x,t)$ is the lateral displacement functions, $W_i(t)$ is the time-dependent parameter or time-dependent maximum amplitude of oscillation of the i_{th} layer of the nanotube and $\phi(x)$ is a trial/comparison function that will satisfy both the geometric and natural boundary conditions.

Applying one-parameter Galerkin, we have

$$\int_{0}^{L} R_{i}(x,t)\phi(x)dx = 0$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

where R(x,t) is the equation of motion for each wall. For the outer wall of multi wall carbon nanotubes,

$$R(x,t) = EI_{N} \frac{\partial^{4}w_{N}}{\partial x^{4}} + m_{cN} \frac{\partial^{2}w_{N}}{\partial t^{2}} + k_{1}w_{N} + k_{3}w_{N}^{3} - \left(\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}w_{N}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) - \left(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}w_{N}}{\partial x^{2}} - \left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + 3k_{3}w_{N}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right) + 3k_{3}w_{N}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right) - \left(\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + k_{p}\frac{\partial^{2}w_{N}}{\partial x^{2}} + 6k_{3}w_{N}\left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + 3k_{3}w_{N}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right) + 3k_{3}w_{N}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right) - \left(\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial x^{2}} + 6k_{3}w_{N}\left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{4}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}w_{N}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) - \left(\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}\int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)dx\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{4}Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}w_{N}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) - \left(\frac{EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}}{\partial x^{4}}\right)\frac{\partial^{4}w_{N}}{\partial x^{4}} + c_{N-1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}w_{N}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}w_{N-1}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)\right) = -c_{N-1}(w_{N} - w_{N-1})$$

$$(11)$$

One arrives at

$$\alpha_{1}EI_{N}W_{N} + \alpha_{2}m_{CN}\frac{d^{2}W_{N}}{dt^{2}} + \alpha_{2}k_{1}W_{N} + \alpha_{3}k_{3}W_{N}^{3} - \alpha_{4}\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}W_{N}^{3} - (a_{0}a)^{2}\alpha_{5}m_{cN}\frac{d^{2}W_{N}}{dt^{2}} - (a_{0}a)^{2}\alpha_{5}k_{4}W_{N} - 6\alpha_{6}(a_{0}a)^{2}k_{3}W_{N}^{3} - 3\alpha_{7}(a_{0}a)^{2}k_{3}W_{N}^{3} + \alpha_{8}(a_{0}a)^{2}\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}W_{N}^{3} + \alpha_{1}(a_{0}a)^{2}(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{t} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2})W_{N}$$

$$-\alpha_{5}(a_{0}a)^{2}C_{N-1}(W_{N} - W_{N-1}) - \alpha_{5}(N_{tN} + Q_{N})W_{N} + \alpha_{2}(a_{0}a)^{2}C_{N-1}(W_{N} - W_{N-1}) = 0$$
(12)

After collecting like terms, we have

$$\frac{d^{2}W_{N}}{dt^{2}} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{1} + \alpha_{2}k_{1} - \alpha_{5}\mu k_{1} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{5})(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{i} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p})}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{N}}\right) + \left(\frac{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)c_{N-1}}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{N}}\right)(W_{N} - W_{N-1}) + \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}k_{3} - \alpha_{4}\frac{EA_{N}}{2L} - 6\alpha_{6}\mu k_{3} - 3\alpha_{7}\mu k_{3} + \alpha_{8}\mu\frac{EA_{N}}{2L}}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{N}}\right)W_{N}^{3} = 0$$
(13)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1} &= \int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \frac{d^{4} \phi(x)}{dx^{4}} dx \quad , \quad \alpha_{2} = \int_{0}^{L} \phi^{2}(x) dx \quad , \quad \alpha_{3} = \int_{0}^{L} \phi^{4}(x) dx \\ \alpha_{4} &= \int_{0}^{L} \left(\left(\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \right)^{2} \right) dx \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{2} Z_{o}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(x)}{\partial x^{2}} \right) \right) dx \quad , \end{aligned}$$
(14)
$$\alpha_{5} &= \int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \frac{d^{2} \phi(x)}{dx^{2}} dx \quad , \quad \alpha_{6} = \int_{0}^{L} \phi^{2}(x) \left(\frac{d \phi(x)}{dx} \right)^{2} dx \\ \alpha_{7} &= \int_{0}^{L} \phi^{3}(x) \frac{d \phi(x)}{dx} dx \quad , \quad \alpha_{4} = \int_{0}^{L} \left(\left(\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \left(\frac{\partial Z_{o}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \right)^{2} \right) dx \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{4} Z_{o}}{\partial x^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4} \phi(x)}{\partial x^{4}} \right) \right) dx \quad , \qquad (15)$$

$$\mu &= (e_{0}a)^{2} \quad , \quad m_{cN} = \rho A_{N} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the same procedure is applied to other inner walls appropriately. Therefore, the general governing equations of motion for nonlinear vibrations of embedded MWCNTs in a thermal and magnetic environment in ODE form is obtained as:

$$\frac{d^{2}W_{1}}{dt^{2}} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{1} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{5})(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{i} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p})}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{1}}\right)W_{1} - \frac{c_{1}}{\rho A_{1}}(W_{2} - W_{1}) + \left(\frac{(\alpha_{8}\mu - \alpha_{4})\frac{EA_{1}}{2L}}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{1}}\right)W_{1}^{3} = 0$$
(16)

$$\frac{d^{2}W_{2}}{dt^{2}} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{2} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{5})(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{i} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p})}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{2}}\right)W_{2} + \frac{c_{1}}{\rho A_{2}}(W_{2} - W_{1}) - \frac{c_{2}}{\rho A_{2}}(W_{3} - W_{2}) + \left(\frac{(\alpha_{8}\mu - \alpha_{4})\frac{EA_{2}}{2L}}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{2}}\right)W_{2}^{3} = 0$$
(17)

$$\frac{d^{2}W_{3}}{dt^{2}} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{3} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{3})(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{t} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p})}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{3}}\right)W_{3} + \frac{c_{2}}{\rho A_{3}}(W_{3} - W_{2}) - \frac{c_{3}}{\rho A_{3}}(W_{4} - W_{3}) + \left(\frac{(\alpha_{8}\mu - \alpha_{4})\frac{EA_{3}}{2L}}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{3}}\right)W_{3}^{3} = 0$$
(18)

and the initial conditions are

$$W_i\left(0\right) = X \tag{19}$$

and

$$\frac{dW_i(0)}{dt} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$$
(20)

3.1 Homotopic perturbation method

The nonlinear terms in Eqs. (16)- (18) make the development of exact analytical solution tedious. Therefore, for the purpose of generating a symbolic solution for the nonlinear equations, we made a recourse to homotopic perturbation method. The principle and the procedures of the method can be found in our previous works [60, 61].

Substituting the following dimensionless parameters

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{I_1}{A_1}}, \quad a_1 = \frac{W_1}{r}, \quad a_2 = \frac{W_2}{r}, \quad a_3 = \frac{W_3}{r}, \quad \tau = \omega_0 t$$
(21)

These equations are transformed to the following dimensionless nonlinear system of equations using the dimensionless parameters in Eq. (21)

$$\omega_0^2 \frac{d^2 a_1}{d\tau^2} + f_1 a_1 + f_2 a_1^3 - f_3 a_2 = 0$$
(22)

$$\omega_0^2 \frac{d^2 a_2}{d\tau^2} + g_1 a_2 + g_2 a_2^3 - g_3 a_1 - g_4 a_3 = 0.$$
⁽²³⁾

$$\omega_0^2 \frac{d^2 a_3}{d\tau^2} + h_1 a_3 + h_2 a_3^3 - h_3 a_2 = 0$$
(24)

where,

$$f_{1} = \frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{1} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{5})\left(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{t} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{1}} + \frac{c_{1}}{\rho A_{1}}, \quad f_{2} = \frac{(\alpha_{8}\mu - \alpha_{4})EI_{1}}{2L(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{1}}, \quad f_{3} = \frac{c_{1}}{\rho A_{1}}$$

$$g_{1} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{2} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{5})\left(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{t} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{2}}\right) + \frac{c_{1}}{\rho A_{2}} + \frac{c_{2}}{\rho A_{2}}, \quad g_{2} = \frac{(\alpha_{8}\mu - \alpha_{4})EI_{1}}{2L(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{1}}$$

$$g_{3} = \frac{c_{1}}{\rho A_{2}}, \quad g_{4} = \frac{c_{2}}{\rho A_{2}}, \quad h_{1} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}EI_{3} + \alpha_{2}k_{1} - \alpha_{5}\mu k_{1} + (\alpha_{1}\mu - \alpha_{5})\left(EA_{N}\alpha_{x}T_{t} + \eta A_{N}H_{x}^{2} + k_{p}\right)}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{3}}\right) + \frac{c_{2}}{\rho A_{3}}$$

$$(25)$$

$$h_{2} = \frac{\left(\alpha_{3}k_{3} - \alpha_{4}\frac{EA_{3}}{2L} - 6\alpha_{6}\mu k_{3} - 3\alpha_{7}\mu k_{3} + \alpha_{8}\mu\frac{EA_{3}}{2L}\right)}{(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5}\mu)\rho A_{3}}\left(\frac{I_{1}}{A_{1}}\right), \quad h_{3} = \frac{c_{2}}{\rho A_{3}}$$

We construct a homotopy on Eqs. (22-24) as follows:

M.G. Sobamowo et.al.

$$(1-p)\left\{\omega_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{d^{2}a_{1}}{d\tau^{2}}+a_{1}\right)\right\}+p\left\{\omega_{0}^{2}\frac{d^{2}a_{1}}{d\tau^{2}}+f_{1}a_{1}+f_{2}a_{1}^{3}-f_{3}a_{2}\right\}=0$$
(26)

$$(1-p)\left\{\omega_0^2\left(\frac{d^2a_2}{d\tau^2}+a_2\right)\right\}+p\left\{\omega_0^2\frac{d^2a_2}{d\tau^2}+g_1a_2+g_2a_2^3-g_3a_1\right\}=0$$
(27)

$$(1-p)\left\{\omega_0^2\left(\frac{d^2a_3}{d\tau^2}+a_3\right)\right\}+p\left\{\omega_0^2\frac{d^2a_3}{d\tau^2}+h_1a_3+h_2a_3^3-h_3a_2\right\}=0$$
(28)

Assuming the solution of Eqs. (26), (27) and (28) to be in the following form

$$a_{1}(\tau) = a_{10}(\tau) + pa_{11}(\tau) + p^{2}a_{12}(\tau) + p^{3}a_{13}(\tau) + \dots,$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$a_{2}(\tau) = a_{20}(\tau) + pa_{21}(\tau) + p^{2}a_{22}(\tau) + p^{3}a_{23}(\tau) + \dots,$$
(30)

$$a_{3}(\tau) = a_{30}(\tau) + pa_{31}(\tau) + p^{2}a_{32}(\tau) + p^{3}a_{33}(\tau) + \dots,$$
(31)

$$\omega = \omega_0 + p\omega_1 + p^2\omega_2 + p^3\omega_3 + \dots$$
(32)

Substituting Eqs. (29-32) into the homotopic in Eqs. (29)- (32), collecting and rearranging the coefficients of the terms with identical powers of p, we have a series of linear differential equations

$$p^{0}:\begin{cases} \frac{d^{2}a_{10}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{10} = 0, & a_{10}(0) = X_{1}, & \frac{da_{10}(0)}{d\tau} = 0, \\ \frac{d^{2}a_{20}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{20} = 0, & a_{20}(0) = X_{1}, & \frac{da_{20}(0)}{d\tau} = 0, \\ \frac{d^{2}a_{30}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{30} = 0, & a_{30}(0) = X_{1}, & \frac{da_{30}(0)}{d\tau} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(33)

$$p^{1}: \begin{cases} \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{11}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{11} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{10} + f_{1}a_{10} + f_{2}a_{10}^{3} - f_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{21}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{21} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{20} + g_{1}a_{20} + g_{2}a_{20}^{3} - g_{3}a_{10} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{31} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{30} + h_{1}a_{30} + h_{2}a_{30}^{3} - h_{3}a_{20} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}a_{31}}{d\tau^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}a_{30}}{d\tau^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}a_{30}$$

$$p^{2}: \begin{cases} \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{12}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{12} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{11} + 2\omega_{0}\omega_{1} \frac{d^{2}a_{10}}{d\tau^{2}} + f_{1}a_{11} + 3f_{2}a_{10}^{2}a_{11} - f_{3}a_{21} = 0, \qquad a_{12}(0) = 0 \quad \frac{da_{12}(0)}{d\tau} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{22}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{22} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{21} + 2\omega_{0}\omega_{1} \frac{d^{2}a_{20}}{d\tau^{2}} + g_{1}a_{21} + 3g_{2}a_{20}^{2}a_{21} - g_{3}a_{11} = 0, \qquad a_{22}(0) = 0, \quad \frac{da_{22}(0)}{d\tau} = 0, \\ \omega_{0}^{2} \left\{ \frac{d^{2}a_{32}}{d\tau^{2}} + a_{12} \right\} - \omega_{0}^{2}a_{31} + 2\omega_{0}\omega_{1} \frac{d^{2}a_{30}}{d\tau^{2}} + h_{1}a_{31} + 3h_{2}a_{30}^{2}a_{31} - h_{3}a_{21} = 0, \qquad a_{32}(0) = 0, \quad \frac{da_{32}(0)}{d\tau} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(35)

In order to calculate the nonlinear natural frequencies for TWCNT, we assumed initial zeroth approximations given as:

$$a_{10}(\tau) = X_{1} \cos \tau \tag{36}$$

$$a_{20}(\tau) = X_2 \cos \tau \tag{37}$$

$$a_{30}(\tau) = X_{3} \cos \tau \tag{38}$$

Substituting Eqs. (33-35) into Eqs. (22-24), we have the following nonlinear system of equations

$$-X_1\omega_0^2 + f_1X_1 + \frac{3}{4}f_2X_1^3 - f_3X_2 = 0$$
(39)

$$-X_{2}\omega_{0}^{2} + g_{1}X_{2} + \frac{3}{4}g_{2}X_{2}^{3} - g_{3}X_{1} - g_{4}X_{3} = 0$$

$$\tag{40}$$

$$-X_1\omega_0^2 + h_1X_3 + \frac{3}{4}h_2X_3^3 - h_3X_2 = 0$$
(41)

From Eq. (39)

$$X_{2} = \frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}$$
(42)

Substituting and making X_3 the subject to obtain,

$$X_{3} = \frac{-\omega_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right) + g_{1} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right) + \frac{3}{4}g_{2} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right)^{3} - g_{3}X_{1}}{g_{4}}$$
(43)

Substituting again, we arrived at

$$-\omega_{0}^{2} \left(-\frac{\omega_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}} \right) + g_{1} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}} \right) + \frac{3}{4}g_{2} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}} \right)^{3}}{g_{4}} \right)$$

$$+h_{1} \left(-\omega_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}} \right) + g_{1} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}} \right) + \frac{3}{4}g_{2} \left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + f_{1}X_{1} + \frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}} \right) \right)$$

$$+h_{1} \left(\frac{-g_{3}X_{1}}{g_{4}} \right)$$

$$(44)$$

$$+\frac{3}{4}h_{1}\left(\frac{-\omega_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2}+f_{1}X_{1}+\frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right)+g_{1}\left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2}+f_{1}X_{1}+\frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right)+}{\frac{3}{4}g_{2}\left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2}+f_{1}X_{1}+\frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right)^{3}-g_{3}X_{1}^{3}}{g_{4}}\right)-h_{3}\left(\frac{-X_{1}\omega_{0}^{2}+f_{1}X_{1}+\frac{3}{4}f_{2}X_{1}^{3}}{f_{3}}\right)$$
(44)

The above Eq. (44) gives a nonlinear algebraic equation of degree 18. Although, it is very difficult to develop analytical expressions for such equation, recourse is made to numerical method using Newton-Raphson to solve the equation by finding the roots of solving for ω_0 in the equation. The smallest real value of ω_0 obtained from the solutions is the nonlinear natural frequency for TWCNT.

To calculate the linear natural frequencies for TWCNT, substitute

$$a_{10}(\tau) = X_1 \cos \omega \tau \tag{45}$$

$$a_{20}(\tau) = X_2 \cos \omega \tau \tag{46}$$

$$a_{30}(\tau) = X_3 \cos \omega \tau \tag{47}$$

Into Eqs. (39-41) and neglecting the nonlinear terms give

$$-X_1\omega^2 + f_1X_1 - f_3X_2 = 0 \tag{48}$$

$$-X_2\omega^2 + g_1X_2 - g_3X_1 = 0 \tag{49}$$

$$-X_1\omega^2 + h_1X_2 - h_3X_1 = 0 (50)$$

These equations can be written in matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\omega^{2} + f \, 1 & -f \, 3 & 0 \\ -g \, 3 & -\omega^{2} + g \, 1 & g \, 4 \\ 0 & h \, 3 & -\omega^{2} + h \, 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ X_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(51)

Since, $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{bmatrix}$ cannot be equal to zero, for nontrivial case, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\omega^{2} + f_{1} & -f_{3} & 0\\ -g_{3} & -\omega^{2} + g_{1} & g_{4}\\ 0 & h_{3} & -\omega^{2} + h_{1} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
(52)

By equating the determinant of the matrix of Eq. (52) to zero, the characteristic equation is obtained as:

$$\omega^{6} - (f_{1} + g_{1} + h_{1})\omega^{4} + (2f_{1}h_{1} - f_{1}g_{1} - f_{3}g_{3} - h_{3}g_{4})\omega^{2} - (f_{1}g_{1}h_{1} - f_{1}h_{3}g_{4} - f_{3}h_{1}g_{3}) = 0$$
(53)

Eq. (53) can be written as:

$$\omega^6 + \gamma_1 \omega^4 + \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \gamma_3 = 0 \tag{54}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= -(f_1 + g_1 + h_1) \\ \gamma_2 &= (2f_1h_1 - f_1g_1 - f_3g_3 - h_3g_4) \\ \gamma_3 &= -(f_1g_1h_1 - f_1h_3g_4 - f_3h_1g_3) \end{aligned}$$

The roots of the sextic equations are

$$\omega_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}}$$
(55)

$$\omega_{2} = -\sqrt{\sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}}} + \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}}$$
(56)

$$\omega_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2\lambda_{1}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}} \right] + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ + \sqrt{\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2\lambda_{1}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\left(-\gamma_{1}^{3} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{27} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt{\left(-\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}$$

$$(57)$$

$$\omega_{4} = - \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2\lambda_{1}} \left[\sqrt{\sqrt{\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ + \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2\lambda_{1}} \left[\sqrt{\sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \\ \end{array} \right] - \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (58) \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \\ - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{9}\right)^{3} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right)^{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right) - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{9}\right)^{3} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{$$

$$\omega_{5} = \left[\frac{-1}{2\lambda_{1}} \left[\left[\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}} \right] \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{9}\right)^{3} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{9}\right)^{3} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{7} - \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{9}\right)^{3} +$$

$$\omega_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2\lambda_{1}} \left[\left[\sqrt{\sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ + \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt{-3} \left[\left[\sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ - \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{3} - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{9}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_{1}^{3}}{27} + \frac{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}{6} - \frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}} \\ \end{bmatrix} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{3} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

The fundamental linear vibration frequency of TWNT is the lowest root which gives.

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{-\gamma_1^3}{27} + \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_3}{6} - \frac{\gamma_3}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{3} - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{9}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{-\gamma_1^3}{27} + \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_3}{6} - \frac{\gamma_3}{2}\right)^2}}{+\sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{-\gamma_1^3}{27} + \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_3}{6} - \frac{\gamma_3}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{3} - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{9}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{-\gamma_1^3}{27} + \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_3}{6} - \frac{\gamma_3}{2}\right)^2}} \right)}}$$
(61)

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using the material and geometric parameters of the carbon nanotubes, E = 1.1 TPa, $\rho = 1300$ kg/m³, l = 45 nm, the outer diameter do = 3 nm, and the thickness of each layer, h = 0.68 nm, the frequency ratio against non-dimensional maximum amplitude for the nonlinear vibrations of SWCNTs and DWCNTs in a thermal and magnetic environment are presented. The results of the simulation and the effects of various parameters on the frequency ratio of nonlinear vibrations of embedded single- and double-walled carbon nanotubes in a thermal and magnetic environment are presented and discussed.

4.1 Effects of boundary conditions on the frequency ratio of the carbon nanotubes

Fig. 2 shows the effects of boundary conditions on the frequency ratio for the nonlinear vibrations of CNTs in thermal and magnetic environment, $(k_1=10^7 N/m^2, k_3=10^8 N/m^2, T=40K, H_x=10^7 A/m, e_o a=1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ and $c_1=c_2=c_3=0.3 \times 10^{12} N/m^2$). As it is depicted in the figures, the frequency ratio for all boundary conditions decreases as the number of wall increases. This is due to the fact that carbon nanotubes generally have weak shear interactions

between adjacent tubes and become more predominant as the number of walls increases. It could therefore be inferred that in an application where linear vibration is preferred for system stability, MWCNTs will perform better than SWCNTs of the same geometry and size. In addition, the figures show that for both the SWCNTs and MWCNTs, the frequency ratio is highest for clamped simple supported and least for clamped-clamped supported. This establishes that the clamped-clamped supported system provided the best grip (support) for the nanotubes and this can be used to control



Fig.2 Frequency ratio versus non-dimensional amplitude for TWCNT under various boundary conditions.

4.2 Effects of spring stiffness (k_1) on the frequency ratio of the carbon nanotubes

The impacts of the spring stiffness (k_1) on the dimensionless frequency ratio of the carbon nanotubes in thermal and magnetic environment is shown in Fig 3. It is depicted that he frequency ratio decreases with increases in the value of spring constant (k_1) for CNTs. This is because, the linear frequency increases as the value spring constant increases. At large value of k_1 ($k_1 = 10^{10} N/m^2$), the vibration of the system becomes stable and this can be used as good measure in controlling nonlinear vibration of the system.



Fig.3 Effect of Winkler constant (k_1) on amplitude-frequency ratio curve for TWCNTs.

4.3 Effects of nonlinear spring stiffness (k_3) on the frequency ratio of the carbon nanotubes

Fig. 4 shows the effect of nonlinear spring stiffness (k_3) on the frequency ratio of outer walled of embedded DWCNTs in a thermal and magnetic environment. It could be seen that the frequency ratio increases with increases in the value of the nonlinear spring constant. This is because the nonlinear frequency increases as the value of the nonlinear spring constant increases without producing any effect on the linear frequency. The value of nonlinear spring constant should be kept as low as possible since it makes the vibration of the system to be nonlinear and this can lead to the instability of the system.



Fig.4 Effect of nonlinear spring constant constant (k_3) on amplitude-frequency ratio curve for TWCNTs.

4.4 Effects of Van der Waal force on the frequency ratio of the carbon nanotubes

Fig. 5 presents the effects of Van der Waal force on the frequency ratio of the SWCNTs and DWCNTs, respectively, in a thermal and magnetic environment. It can be seen that when the coefficient of the van der Waals forces is zero i.e. $c=0 N/m^2$, it means a single-walled carbon nanotube with the same dimension and geometry with double-walled carbon nanotubes. The results reveals that the frequency ratio decreases as the number of walls increases. Increasing the number of walls can be used as a good parameter to control the nonlinear vibration of the system.



Fig.5 Effect of Van der waals force on amplitude-frequency ratio curve for TWCNTs.

4.5 Effects of Temperature on the frequency ratio of outer wall of TWCNTs

Fig. 6 illustrates the influence of temperature on the frequency ratio the outer wall of DWCNTs in a thermal and magnetic environment. The result presents that as the temperature increases, the frequency ratio decreases. This shows that increase in temperature leads to increase in the value of linear natural frequency of the system.



Fig.6

Effect of magnetic force strength on amplitude-frequency ratio curve on outerwall of TWCNTs.

4.6 Effects of magnetic force strength on the frequency ratio of outer wall of TWCNTs

Fig. 7 presents the impact of magnetic force strength on the dimensionless frequency ratio. From the figure, it is established that as the magnetic field strength increases, the vibration of the system approaches linear vibration and become linear at higher value of magnetic force strength, $H=10^9 A/m$.



Fig.7 Effect of magnetic force strength on amplitude-frequency ratio curve on outerwall of TWCNTs.

4.7 The linear and nonlinear vibration deflection-time curve of outer wall of TWCNTs

Fig. 8 shows the comparison of the linear vibration with nonlinear vibration of the TWCNT. It could be seen in the figure that the discrepancy between the linear and nonlinear amplitudes increases as the vibration time progresses.





4.8 Verification of the results

In order to verify the results of the developed approximate analytical solutions, the results are compared from the used scheme with the results of the numerical solutions in previous work of Pentaras and Elishakoff [60] where Bubnov–Galerkin and Petrov–Galerkin methods were used. Table 1, shows the comparison of results between the homotopy perturbation method and the numerical method for the linearized models as presented [60]. From, the table, it is established that there is an excellent agreement between the numerical solutions and the approximate analytical solutions computed in the present study.

Table	1
	-

Com	parison of	Natural	frequencies	for the fir	st 5 vibrati	onal mode	es and <i>L/a</i>	l = 10	(simply	y supported	TWCNTs at	both ends).
-----	------------	---------	-------------	-------------	--------------	-----------	-------------------	--------	---------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Mode number, <i>n</i>	$\omega_{n(1)}$ [60]	$\omega_{n(1)}$ (Present)	$\omega_{n(2)}$ [60]	$\omega_{n(2)}$ (Present)	$\omega_{n(3)}$ [60]	$\omega_{n(3)}$ (Present)	
1	0.647954	0.647955	5.953946	5.953945	9.642204	9.642207	
2	2.531134	2.531136	6.302394	6.302397	9.879866	9.879863	
3	5.038042	5.038047	7.915761	7.915765	10.965936	10.965932	
4	7.131562	7.131565	11.299882	11.299882	14.266972	14.266975	
5	12.069053	12.069051	15.452939	15.452935	20.559854	20.559858	

5 CONCLUSION

In this work, nonlocal elasticity theory has been used to analyze the nonlinear vibrations of slightly curved multiwalled carbon nanotubes resting on Winkler and Pasternak foundations in a thermal and magnetic environment. The effects of the various controlling parameters on the nonlinear to linear frequency ratio have been analyzed and discussed. The results established that the frequency ratio for all boundary conditions decreases as the number of wall increases. In addition, it is established that the frequency ratio is highest for clamped-simple supported and least for clamped-clamped supported. Additionally, the results revealed that the frequency ratio decreases with increase in the value of spring constant (k_1), temperature and magnetic field strength. This work will enhance the applications of carbon nanotubes in structural, electrical, mechanical and biological applications especially those nano-devices in a thermal and magnetic environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by University of Lagos, Nigeria under the research grant CRC NO. 2019/07.

REFERENCES

- [1] Iijima S., 1991, Helical micro tubes of graphitic carbon, *Nature* **354**: 56-58.
- [2] Terrones M., Banhart F., Grobert N., Charlier J., Terrones C., Ajayan H., 2002, Molecular junctions by joining singlewalled carbon nanotubes, *Physical Review Letters* 89: 07550.
- [3] Nagy P., Ehlich R., Biro L.P., Gjyulai J., 2000, Y-branching of single walled carbon nanotubes, *Applied Physics A* **70**: 481-483.
- [4] Chernozatonskii L.A., 1992, Carbon nanotubes connectors and planar jungle gyms, Applied Physics A 172: 173-176.
- [5] Liew K.M., Wong C.H., He X.Q., Tan M.J., Meguid S.A., 2004, Nanomechanics of single and multiwalled carbon nanotubes, *Physical Review B* 69: 115429.
- [6] Pantano A., Boyce M.C., Parks D.M., 2004, Mechanics of axial compression of single and multi-wall carbon nanotubes, *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology* **126**: 279-284.
- [7] Pantano A., Parks D.M., Boyce M.C., 2004, Mechanics of deformation of single- and multi-wall carbon nanotubes, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 52: 789-821.
- [8] Qian D., Wagner G.J., Liu W.K., Yu M.F., Ruoff R.S., 2002, Mechanics of carbon nanotubes, *Applied Mechanics Reviews* 55: 495-533.
- [9] Salvetat J.P., Bonard J.-M., Thomson N.H., Kulik A.J., Forro L., Benoit W., Zuppiroli L., 1999, Mechanical properties of carbon nanotubes, *Applied Physics A* 69: 255-260.
- [10] Sears A., Batra R.C., 2006, Buckling of carbon nanotubes under axial compression, *Physical Review B* 73: 1595220.
- [11] Yoon J., Ru C.Q., Mioduchowski A., 2002, Noncoaxial resonance of an isolated multiwall carbon nanotube, *Physical Review B* **66**: 233402.
- [12] Wang X., Cai H., 2006, Effects of initial stress on non-coaxial resonance of multi-wall carbon nanotubes, *Acta Materialia* 54: 2067-2074.
- [13] Wang C.M., Tan V.B.C., Zhang Y.Y., 2006, Timoshenko beam model for vibration analysis of multi-walled carbon nanotubes, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **294**: 1060-1072.
- [14] Zhang Y., Liu G., Han X., 2005, Transverse vibrations of double-walled carbon nanotubes under compressive axial load, *Physics Letters A* **340**: 258-266.
- [15] Elishakoff I., Pentaras D., 2009, Fundamental natural frequencies of double-walled carbon nanotubes, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **322**: 652-664.
- [16] Buks E., Yurke B., 2006, Mass detection with nonlinear nanomechanical resonator, *Physical Review E* 74: 046619.
- [17] Postma H.W.C., Kozinsky I., Husain A., Roukes M.L., 2005, Dynamic range of nanotube- and nanowire-based electromechanical systems, *Applied Physics Letters* **86**: 223105.
- [18] Fu Y.M., Hong J.W., Wang X.Q., 2006, Analysis of nonlinear vibration for embedded carbon nanotubes, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **296**: 746-756.
- [19] Xu K.Y., Guo X.N., Ru C.Q., 2006, Vibration of a double-walled carbon nanotube aroused by nonlinear intertube van der Waals forces, *Journal of Applied Physics* **99**: 064303 .
- [20] Dequesnes M., Tang Z., Aluru N.R., 2004, Static and dynamic analysis of carbon nanotube-based switches, *Transactions of the ASME* **126**: 230-237.
- [21] Ouakad H.M., Younis M.I., 2010, Nonlinear dynamics of electrically actuated carbon nanotube resonators, *Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics* **5**: 011009.

- [22] Zamanian M., Khadem S.E., Mahmoodi S.N., 2009, Analysis of non-linear vibrations of a micro resonator under piezoelectric and electrostatic actuations, *Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* **223**: 329-344.
- [23] Abdel-Rahman E.M., Nayfeh A.H., 2003, Secondary resonances of electrically actuated resonant micro sensors, *Journal of Micromechnics and Microengineering* 13: 491-501.
- [24] Hawwa M.A., Al-Qahtani H.M., 2010, Nonlinear oscillations of a double-walled carbon nanotube, *Computational Materials Science* **48**: 140-143.
- [25] Hajnayeb A., Khadem S.E., 2012, Nonlinear vibration and stability analysis of a double-walled carbon nanotube under electrostatic actuation, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **331**: 2443-2456.
- [26] Belhadj A., Boukhalfa A., Belalia S., 2016, Carbon nanotube structure vibration based on non-local elasticity, *Journal of Modern Materials* **3**(1): 9-13.
- [27] Lei X.W., Natsuki T., Shi J.X., Ni Q.Q., 2012, Surface effects on the vibrational frequency of double-walled carbon nanotubes using the nonlocal Timoshenko beam model, *Composites Part B* **43**: 64-69.
- [28] Sharabiani P.A., Yazdi M.R.H., 2013, Nonlinear free vibrations of functionally graded Nano beams with surface effects, *Composites Part B* **45**: 581-586.
- [29] Wang L., 2010, Vibration analysis of fluid-conveying nanotubes with consideration of surface effects, *Physica E* **43**: 437-439.
- [30] Biro L.P., Horvat Z.E., Mark G.I., Osvath Z., Koos A.A., Santucci S., Kenny J.M., 2004, Carbon nanotube Y junctions: growth and properties, *Diamond and Related Materials* **13**: 241-249.
- [31] Lin R.M., 2012, Nanoscale vibration characterization of multi-layered graphene sheets embedded in an elastic medium, *Computational Materials Science* **53**: 44-52.
- [32] Pradhan S.C., Phadikar J.K., 2009, Small scale effect on vibration of embedded multilayered graphene sheets based on nonlocal continuum models, *Physics Letters A* **373**: 1062-1069.
- [33] Ghorbanpour A., Zarei M.S., Amir S., Khoddami M.Z., 2013, Nonlinear nonlocal vibration of embedded DWCNT conveying fluid using shell model, *Physica B* 410: 188-196.
- [34] Ghorbanpour A., Amir S., 2013, Electro-thermal vibration of visco-elastically coupled BNNT systems conveying fluid embedded on elastic foundation via strain gradient theory, *Physica B* **419**: 1-6.
- [35] Eichler A., Moser J., Chaste J., Zdrojek M., Wilson-Rae R.I., Bachtold A., 2011, Nonlinear damping in mechanical resonators made from carbon nanotubes and grapheme, *Nature Nanotechnology* **6**: 339-342.
- [36] Dodds H.L., Runyan H., 1965, Effects of high velocity fluid flow in the bending vibrations and static divergence of a simply supported pipe, *National Aeronautical and Space Administration Report*, NASA TN D-2870.
- [37] Sobamowo M.G., 2017, Nonlinear thermal and flow induced vibration analysis of fluid-conveying carbon nanotube resting on Winkler and Pasternak foundations, *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress* **4**: 133-149.
- [38] Liu F., Wagterveld R.M., Gebben B., Otto M.J., Biesheuvel P.M., Hamelers H.V., 2014, Carbon nanotube yarns as strong flexible conductive capacitive electrodes, *Colloid and Interface Science Communications* **3**: 9-12.
- [39] Sobamowo M.G., Yinusa A.A., 2018, Thermo-fluidic parameters effects on nonlinear vibration of fluid-conveying nanotube resting on elastic foundations using Homotopic Perturbation method, *Journal of Thermal Engineering* **4**(4): 2211-2233.
- [40] Coşkun S.B., Atay M.T., Öztürk B., 2011, Transverse vibration analysis of Euler-Bernoulli beams using analytical approximate techniques, *Advances in Vibration Analysis Research*.
- [41] Sobamowo M.G., Yinusa A.A., 2017, Power Series -After Treatment Technique for Nonlinear Cubic Duffing and Double-Well Duffing Oscillators, *Journal of Computational Applied Mechanics* 48(2): 297-306.
- [42] Yoon J., Ru C.Q., Mioduchowski A., 2002, Non-coaxial resonance of an isolated multiwall carbon nanotube, *Physical Review B* 66: 233402-233414.
- [43] Yoon J., Ru C.Q., Mioduchowski A., 2003, Vibration of an embedded multiwalled carbon nanotube [J], *Composites Science and Technology* **63**: 1533-1542.
- [44] Ansari R., Hemmatnezhad M., 2011, Nonlinear vibrations of embedded multi-walled carbon nanotubes using a variational approach, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **53**(5-6): 927-938.
- [45] Ghorbanpour Arani A., Rabbani H., Amir S., Khoddami Maraghi Z., Mohammadimehr M., Haghparast E., 2011, Analysis of nonlinear vibrations for multi-walled carbon nanotubes embedded in an elastic medium, *Journal of Solid Mechanics* 3(3): 258-270.
- [46] Yoon J., Ru C.Q. Miodochowski A., 2003, Vibration of an embedded multiwalled carbon nanotubes, *Composites Science and Technology* **63**:1533-1542.
- [47] Fu Y.M., Hong J.W., Wang X.Q., 2006, Analysis of nonlinear vibration for embedded carbon nanotubes, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **296**: 746-756.
- [48] Wang C.M., Tan V.B.C., Zhang Y.Y., 2006, Timoshenko beam model for vibration analysis of multi-walled carbon nanotubes, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **294**: 1060-1072.
- [49] Aydogdu M., 2008, Vibration of multi-walled carbon nanotubes by generalized shear deformation theory, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* **50**: 837-844.
- [50] Eringen A.C., 1983, On differential equations of nonlocal elasticity and solutions of screw dislocation and surface wave, *Journal of Applied Physics* 54(9): 4703-4710.
- [51] Eringen A.C., 1972, Linear theory of nonlocal elasticity and dispersion of plane waves, International Journal of Engineering Science 10(5): 425-435.

314

- [52] Eringen A.C., Edelen D.G.B., 1972, On nonlocal elasticity, *International Journal of Engineering Science* **10**(3): 233-248.
- [53] Eringen A.C., 2002, Nonlocal Continuum Field Theories, Springer, New York.
- [54] Sobamowo M.G., 2016, Nonlinear vibration analysis of single-walled carbon nanotube conveying fluid in slip boundary conditions using variational iterative method, *Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics* **2**(4): 208-221.
- [55] Arefi A., Nahvi H., 2017, Stability analysis of an embedded single-walled carbon nanotube with small initial curvature based on nonlocal theory, *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures* 24: 962-970.
- [56] Kitipornchai S., He X.Q., Liew K.M., 2005, Buckling analysis of triple-walled carbon nanotubes embedded in an elastic matrix, *Journal of Applied Physics* **97**: 114318.
- [57] Sobamowo M.G., 2017, Nonlinear analysis of flow-induced vibration in fluid-conveying structures using differential transformation method with cosine-aftertreatment technique, *Iranian Journal of Mechanical Engineering Transactions of the ISME* **18**(1): 5-42.
- [58] Sobamowo M.G., 2017, Nonlinear thermal and flow-induced vibration analysis of fluid-conveying carbon nanotube resting on Winkler and Pasternak foundations, *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress* **4**:133-149.
- [59] Sobamowo M.G., Ogunmola B.Y., Osheku C.A., 2017, Thermo-mechanical nonlinear vibration analysis of fluidconveying structures subjected to different boundary conditions using Galerkin-Newton-Harmonic balancing method, *Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics* **3**(1): 60-79.
- [60] Pentaras D., Elishakoff I., 2011, Free vibration of triple-walled carbon nanotubes, Acta Mechanica 221: 239-249.
- [61] Sobamowo M.G., Akinshilo A.T., 2018, Double diffusive magnetohydrodynamic squeezing flow of nanofluid between two parallel disks with slip and temperature jump boundary conditions, *Applied and Computational Mechanics* **11**(2): 167-182.
- [62] Sobamowo M.G., Adeleye O.A., 2018, Homotopy perturbation method for kinetic analysis of thermal inactivation of jack bean urease, *Karbala International Journal of Modern Science* **4**: 187-199.
- [63] Yinusa A.A., Sobamowo M.G., 2019, Analysis of dynamic behaviour of a tensioned carbon nanotube in thermal and pressurized environment, *Karbala International Journal of Modern Science* **5**: 1-11.