The Effect of Ultrasound-Assisted Osmotic Dehydration Pretreatment on the Convective Drying of Apple Slices (var.Golab)

F. Shekar^a, A. Javadi^{b*}

^a Ph.D. Student of the Department of Food Science, Mamaghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mamaghan, Iran.

^b Associate Professor of the Department of Food Hygiene, Biotechnology Research Center, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran.

Received: 28 May 2017

Accepted: 31 July 2017

ABSTRACT: Drying is one of the widely used methods of food preservation and it is a sensitive food processing operation due to undesirable changes in the quality of dried product. In this study the effect of osmotic dyhedration and ultrasound pretreatment prior to convective drying on quality properties of apple slices was investigated. Apple slices were pretreated by osmotic dehydration in two concentration levels of sucrose solution (30, 60°Brix) at 25 °C for 15 and 30 minutes and were subjected to ultrasound pretreatment modulates osmotic dehydration by ultrasonic waves in a water bath at the frequency of 60 kHz in osmotic sucrose solution (30, 60°Brix) at 25 °C for 15 and 30 minutes. The quality of dehydrated apple was analyzed by rehydration ratio, shrinkage and color changes. The results showed that the application of ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration led to a decrease in rehydration ratio as compared to the control samples and observed more decrease in the samples of ultrasound-assisted osmotic than osmotic samples. Most of shrinkage belonged to the control samples and the least shrinkage was related to the samples of ultrasound-assisted osmotic. Control samples had darker color whereas the treated samples had higher lightness (L*) and lower redness (a*), yellowness (b*) and colour intensity (Δ E) were represented. Based on the findings it can be concluded that the ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration ratio in the dried samples.

Keywords: Hot Air Driving, Osmotic Dehydration, Quality Properties, Ultrasonic.

Introduction

Apple is one of the most widely produced fruits in the world and it is considered as one of the most important raw material for many food products. It is consumed not only as fresh, but also in processed form such as juice, jam, paste and dried (Doymaz, 2009). Apple has been known as an excellent source of phenolic compounds with considerable antioxidant activities. However apple processing can have a destructive impact on the antioxidant properties.The composition of polyphenols present in apples important due are to their contribution to the sensory quality of fresh fruit and the processed products (Khanizadeh et al., 2008). Apples play a significant role in diet as they contain appreciable amount of carbohydrate (12-14%), dietary fibre, vitamins and minerals. (Kaleta and Górnicki, 2010). Drying is a classical method of food preservation and is a sensitive food processing operation due to the undesirable changes in the quality of dried product (Unal & Sacilik, 2011: Doymaz, 2009). The basic objective in

^{*}Corresponding Author: javadi@iaut.ac.ir

agricultural products drving the is the reduction of water to a certain level, at which microbial spoilage and deterioration chemical reactions are minimized and in addition, dried food has longer shelf life and lower transportation and storage costs (Unal & Sacilik, 2011; Doymaz, 2009). Convective drying is the most widely employed method due to its simplicity and cost effectiveness and on the other hand the hot air drying is considered as a highly destructive method. particularly for thermally sensitive materials like biomaterials (Kowalski and Szadzińska. Excessive temperatures 2014). lead to organoleptic and nutritional structural, changes. The extent of changes of the most important quality characteristics like colour and nutritional value usually increase by increasing temperature (Sturm et al., 2014). Convective drying requires a high amount of and longer duration for drying energy because it is a simulataneous heat and mass transfer process accompanied by phase change and it could cause severe shrinkage, reduced bulk density and rehydration capacity especially at high temperatures (Onwude et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2016; Fernandes et al., 2008). Recently, the use of ultrasounds in drying is of great interest because it does not influence the main characteristics and quality of the products. The application of alternative techniques in food. drving of such as ultrasound accelerates the moisture removal and improves nutritional aspect of bioproducts by drying at lower temperatures than in conventional methods (Kowalski and Szadzińska., 2014; Onwude et al., 2016; Ricce et al., 2016). Osmotic dehydration is a pretreatment process, which depends upon the phenomenon of diffusion of moisture from food materials by immersing in a aqueos solutions. During the hypertonic osmotic process a pressure difference is generated across the cellular surface, which effective semi-permeable acts as an

membrane, therefore the solution of different sugars such as sucrose, glucose or fructose moves into free space of the tissue while water comes out of the cells (Ahmed et al., 2016; Mavroudis et al., 2012; Shukla and Osmotic dehydration is Singh, 2007). generally used for removal of water from biomaterials, but it is usually timeconsuming. One of the possibilities to increase the rate of mass transfer during osmotic dehydration is the enhancement of this process with ultrasound (Nowacka et al., Barman and Badwaik, 2014; 2017). Ultrasound technology is one of the nonthermal techniques, which can be used to accelerate mass transfer processes. The basis of ultrasound as a pretreatment before drying is its mechanical effect and the accompanied cavitation phenomenon. ultrasonic Specifically the propagation of ultrasound in liquid medium can cause a rapid series of alternative compression and expansion, as well as the formation of cavitation bubbles. mechanical force can remove The the moisture strongly attached to the food materials, deform porous food materials and create microscopic channels, thus enhancing the mass transfer during convective drying (Tao et al., 2016; Nowacka et al., 2014). Kowalski and Szadzińska (2014) reported that ultrasonic assisted osmotic dehydration could contribute to shorten drying time, improve color preservation and decline water activity. Fernandes et al. (2008) investigated the effect of ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration pretreatment on the air drying on cell structure Melon. According to the basic results, water loss and sugar gain happend due to the concentration gradient of water and sugar between the fruit and the liquid medium. The effective water diffusivity in fruits is dependent on tissue structure since the cell wall act as a semipermeable membrane. Fernandes et al. (2009)described that the osmotic dehydration and ultrasonic pre-treatment induced changes on pineapple cell structure.

The ultrasonic waves created microscopic channels in the fruit that increased the effective water diffusivity because water could use these microscopic channels as an easier pathway to diffuse towards the surface of the fruit and osmotic dehydration by breaking down part of the cell walls reducing the resistance for water to diffuse through the cells. The aim of this study is to evalute the effect of osmotic dehydration and ultrasound pre-treatments on physical and chemical properties of dried apple slices, thus rehydration ratio, shrinkage and colour parameters were investigeted.

Materials and Methods

- Preparation of the samples

Fresh apple (varieties of Golab) were purchased at local market in Mahabad, Iran. The samples were cut into three mm thick slices and subjected to pre-treatments process and then were dried in an oven at 60 °C to obtain a constant weight. The initial moisture content was determined by heating in a drying oven at 105 °C for 48 h (AOAC, 1990). The initial moisture content of the fresh apple was found to be 85.32% (wet basis).

- Osmotic dehydration and ultrasound pretreatments

Osmotic dehydration treatment was carried out by immersing the samples in 30 and 60% (w/w) sucrose solution for a constant period of 15 and 30 minutes. The ratio of raw material to osmotic solution was maintained at 1:4 (w/w). In order to apply ultrasound-assisted the osmotic pretreatment, the apple slices were immersed in the osmotic solution at the concentrations of 30 and 60% (w/w) and subsequently submitted to the ultrasonic waves in a water bath at the frequency of 60 kHz for 15 and 30 minutes. After the osmotic dehydration with or without the ultrasound, the samples were removed from the osmotic medium and immediately rinsed with distilled water (30 s) to remove the excess osmotic solotion. The samples were then gently blotted out with clean tissue paper (2 min) to remove excess water and then weighed. All the experiments were performed in triplicate and at room temperature $(25^{\circ}C)$.

- Rehydration ratio

Apple slices for rehydration ratio were obtained at the end of drying process. Rehydration experiments were performed by immersing the known weight of each sample in a glass beaker containing 50 mL of deionised water at room temperature (25°C). Apple slabs were removed at predetermined time intervals and allowed to drip of on a screen for 1 minute then weighed and immediately returned to the same soaking water. This procedure was repeated until reached to a constant weigh. The rehydration ratio (RR) is defined as the mass ratio of rehydrated sample to that of dry sample (Ramallo and Mascheroni, 2012) and calculated using the following equation:

- Shrinkage

Percentage of shrinkage was determined from the changes of the bulk volume of the apple slices using the liquid displacement method. In this study, toluene was used because it caused reduction of liquid absorption into the dried apple (Yan *et al.*, 2008). Shrinkage (Sh) was calculated from the following equation:

$$\mathrm{Sh} = \frac{\mathrm{V_o} - \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V_o}} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

where V is the apparent volume of the sample after drying and V_0 is the apparent volume of the raw sample.

- Colour measurments

The colour of the fresh and dreied apple samples were measured using colorimeter

(3)

(Colorimeter Minolta model CR-410), and recorded using L*, a* and b* values. Where L* indicates lightness, and ranges from 0 (black) to 100 (withe), a* is the measure of greenness-redness and b* is the measure of blueness-yellowness (Falade *et al.*, 2007). Chroma (C), colour intensity (ΔE) and hue angle (h) were calculated by the following equations:

$$(\Delta E) = \sqrt{(L_i - L)^2 + (a_i - a)^2 + (b_i - b)^2}$$

$$(C) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$
(4)

(h) = arc tan
$$\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$
 (5)

- Statistical analysis

The analysis of data were statically evaluted using SPSS software, Version 24. Tukey Test was applied to compare the means of data and concentration and immersion time varibles between the samples at t 5% level of significance.

Results and Discussion

- The effect of pretreatment on rehydration ratio

The results of statistical analysis showed that significant differences were observed between both of pretreatment at 95% confidence level (p < 0.05), also significant differences were observed between osmoticsamples and ultrasound treated control there were amples (p<0.05), but not ststistically significant differences (p > 0.05)between treated samples with osmotic and untreated samples (Table 1). Table 2 indicates that the highest rehydration ratio was observed in the control samples and the lowest rehydration ratio was allocated to the osmosis-ultrsonicated samples. In the osmosed and osmotic-ultrasonicated sampels lower rehydration ratio was observed as compared to the untreated samples due to the sugar gain. The intercellular spaces of the tissue was increased due to the exposed ultrasonic waves as the result of this phenomenon the water loss and solid gain

were increased extremely. The impact of the osmotic-ultrasound dehydration was studied by Fernandes et al. (2009). The water loss and solid gain were the highest when an osmotic solution was employed in the higher concentration. They reported that this could be attributed not only to the higher osmotic pressure of the process but also to the changes that occurred in fruit tissue. The formation of micro-channels facilitated the mass transfer through the tissue and entered more sugar into the apple tissue structure in osmotic-ultrasound treated samples. Similar results were also found in the studies of other researchers who reported that by increacing the process duration and osmotic solution concentration, the rehydration ratio decreased because of cell permeabilization due to the osmotic stress, hence osmosed samples could not absorb water as much as control samples (Rastogi et al., 2004; Bakalis and Karathanos, 2005; Singh et al., 2007). Regading the presented results in the Table 3, the comparsion results of sugar concentration and immersion time variables showed that there was a significant influence on the osmostic process between treatmeants 1 and 4 and between treatmeants 1 and 3 (P < 0.05) and in ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration a significant influence was observed in all the pretreatments (P < 0.05), except the treatments 1 and 2 (P > 0.05). Osmotic solution concentration and immersion time had effects on rehydration ratio and by increasing the concentration of sucrose solutions and processing time, rehydration ability decreased in both of pretreatments than untreated samples. The higher concentration of sucrose leads to greater osmotic pressure gradients, thereby leading to higher solid gain and water loss throughout the osmotic treatment period (Ahmed et al., 2016). The difference in osmotic potential between the solution and fruit sample resulted in a higher diffusion rate of solute and water. The water loss and solid gain of the fruit treated with the higher

osmotic solution concentration were found to be higher (Ahmed et al., 2016). Ispir and Toğrul, (2009) evaluated the mass transfer rate of apricot during osmotic dehydration. Apricot fruits were immersed in three different sucrose concentrations. The higher concentration of sucrose leads to greater osmotic pressure gradients, thereby leading higher solid gain and water loss to throughout the osmotic treatment period. Mundada et al. (2011) studied the influence of various sucrose concentrations (40, 50 and 60 °Brix) on mass transfer rate of pomegranate arils during osmotic dehydration. Pomegranate arils soaked in 60 °Brix sucrose solution showed higher solid gain and water loss as compared to the samples soaked in 40 and 50 °Brix osmotic solution. Noshad et al. (2012) reported that the lower rehydration ratios in the osmoticultrasonic convective dried quince were attributed to the added sugar, which reduced the amount of water that could be absorbed during rehydration and were found that water loss and solid gain increased with increasing cocentration and processing time. Therefore, concerned with the result of sugar uptake into the apple tissue, the water absorption decreased during rehydration in pretreated dried apple slices.

- The effect of pretreatment on shrinkage

Shrinkage is an important phenomenon that appears during drying process of food. The obtained results indicated that significant differences existed between osmotic and ultrasound-assisted osmotic pretratments at 95% confidence level (p < 0.05) and also between osmotic and ultrasoundassisted pre-treatmeants with osmotic control group (Table 1). Table 2 indicates that ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration application led to a decrease in the shrinkage. One of the most important physical changes that the food suffers during drying is the reduction of its external volume. The loss of water and heating cause stresses in the cellular structure of the food leading to changes in the shape and a decrease in dimension (Mayor and Sereno, 2004; Dehghannya et al., 2015). According to the obtained results in this research less shrinkage in treated apple slices was observed than untreated apple slices and the highest shrinkage was observed in the control apple samples and the lowest

 Table 1. The results obtained from the comprasion of shrinkage and rehydration ratio in treated samples with osmotic dehydration, ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration and untreated samples

(P-value) Shrinkage	(P-value) Rehydration	Drying method				
0.001**	0.042*	Osmotic - ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration				
0.001**	0.255	Osmotic – control dehydration				
0.000**	0.009**	Ultrasound-assisted osmotic - control dehydration				
* significant at confidence level of 5% ** significant at confidence level of 1%						

* significant at confidence level of 5%, ** significant at confidence level of 1%

Rehydrati								
Ultrasound-assisted Osmotic Ult		Ultrasound-assisted	Osmotic	Treatment				
osmotic treatment	treatment	osmotic treatment	treatment					
5.767	6.328	79.866	82.63	Treatment 1				
5.458	5.859	78.618	81.95	Treatment 2				
4.807	5.434	75.401	80.373	Treatment 3				
3.548	5.073	74.13	78.6	Treatment 4				
6.439		87.112		Control samples (untreated)				

 Table 2. The means of shrinkage and rehydration in treated samples with osmotic dehydration, ultrasoundassisted osmotic dehydration and untreated samples

Treatment 1 (concentration 30%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 2 (concentration 30%, immersion time 30 min), Treatment 3 (concentration 60%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 4 (concentration 60%, immersion time 30 min).

the

shrinkage appeared in the pretreated samples with ultrasound-assisted osmotic. Shrinkage depends on the temperature and time of drying and more shrinkage was observed while the temperature and drying time were increased (Sturm et al., 2014; Mayor and Sereno, 2004; Noshad et al., 2011). Osmotic dehydration reduced the moisture content with the passage of time until equilibrium condition was reached, (Ahmed et al., 2016; Shukla and Singh., 2007), especially when osmotic process combined with the the formation of ultrasound that induced microscopic channels which lowered the resistance to water diffusion because of these channels. Consequently the mass transfer acceleration was enhanced during drying, thus the sampels was exposed to the high temprature in shorter time (Jambrak et al., 2007; Cárcel et al., 2007). The other effect of the ultrasound application is the increase in sugar uptake, after combining the osmotic process with ultrasound as the pretreatment because of appearance of cell disruption micro-channels and wall (Fernandes et al., 2009), therefore as the result the shrinkage diminished after pretreatments in comparison utilizing the with the untreated apple samples. The lowest shrinkage was allocated to ultrasoundassisted osmotic processed samples. Riva et al. (2005) reported that added sugar during osmotic dehydration helps to decrease the of reduction slightly volume during dehydration and there is a protective effect of sugar on the roundness parameter. The osmotic dehydrated fruit cubes showed less deformation and the original shape retained original shape better with sucrose the showing a slightly higher tissue structure protection. The less shrinkage was observed in the treated samples with osmostic and ultrasound-assisted osmotic process as al., explained by Fernandes et 2008. Significant changes on tissue structure in osmotic-ultrasound treated samples caused the cell wall disruption and formation of

dehydration caused changes on the cell structure by disrupting the cell walls due to the osmotic pressure gradients, thereby sugar penetrated into the apple tissue (Fernandes et al., 2009), but this was at lower amount than osmosis-ultrasonicated samples. Regading Table 3 the comparsion of variables of concentration and sucrose immersion time indicated that there were differences in the significant osmose pretreatment between the tratments 1 and 4 and between the tratments 2 and 4 (p < 0.05) in the pretreatment of ultrasoundand assisted osmotic between the treatment 1 with treatments 3 and 4 and also between the treatments 2 and 4 (p < 0.05). By increasing sucrose concentration and immersion time due to the incorporeating solid gain into tissue, resistence tissue aginest shrinkage was increased, as the penetrating suger inside the created empty space and tissue channels caused the obestraction of these channels. Dehghannya et al. (2015)evaluated the shrinkage of Mirabelle Plum during hot air drying by ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration and observed that the shrinkage of pretreated plum samples was decreased by increasing ultrasonication time from 10 to 30 minutes and osmotic solution concentration from 50 to 70% at the langest period of immersion times (240 min) in osmotic solutions. Amami et al. (2017) observed higher shrinkage for the samples with lower osmotic solution concentrations and reported that the utilization of low osmotic solution concentration and immersion time in osmotic process might lead to an increase in shrinkage. Similar results were obtained by Mundada et al. (2011) and Singh et al. (2007) that reported

less

microscopic channels by ultrasonic waves

and consequently solid uptake into the

intercellular space during the ultrasound-

assisted osmotic process was increased and

hence the microscopic channels and pore

spaces are occupied by sugar, therefore

shrinkage has been decreased. The osmotic

Pretreatment		Drying with ultrasound	l-assisted osmotic process	Drying with osmotic process		
		Rehydration (P-value)	Shrinkage (P-value)	Rehydration (P-value)	(P-value) Shrinkage	
	Treatment 2	0.076	0.724	0.332	0.901	
Treatment 1	Treatment 3	0.000 **	0.023 *	0.034 *	0.185	
	Treatment 4	0.000 **	0.006 **	0.005 **	0.016 *	
Treatment 2	Treatment 1	0.076	0.724	0.332	0.901	
	Treatment 3	0.001 **	0.099	0.406	0.437	
	Treatment 4	0.000 **	0.022 *	0.062	0.04 *	
	Treatment 1	0.000 **	0.023 *	0.034 *	0.185	
Treatment 3	Treatment 2	0.001 **	0.099	0.406	0.437	
	Treatment 4	0.000 **	0.713	0.532	0.347	
Treatment 4	Treatment 1	0.000 **	** 0.006	0.005 **	0.016 *	
	Treatment 2	0.000 **	0.022 *	0.062	0.04 *	
	Treatment 3	0.000 **	0.713	0.532	0.347	

 Table 3. The comparison of the results of concentrations and immersion times in treated samples with osmotic dehyration and ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration

* significant at confidence level of 5%, ** significant at confidence level of 1%; Treatment 1 (concentration 30%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 2 (concentration 30%, immersion time 30 min), Treatment 3 (concentration 60%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 4 (concentration 60%, immersion time 30 min).

the solid gain and water loss of the samples treated with the higher osmotic solution concentration were found to be higher.

- The effect of pretreatment on colour parametrs

The results of statistical analysis between pretreatments and control samples for redness (a*), lightness (L*), yellowness (b*), hue angle (h), chroma (C) and colour difference parameters 95% (ΔE) at confidence level showed significant difference (p < 0.05), except values of yellowness and chroma between the samples of osmotic-ultrasound treated and the control samples and values of lightness and colour difference between the osmotically samples and osmotic-ultrasound samples (p > 0.05)(Table 4). Regading the demonstrated results in Table 5 in treated samples with osmoticultrasound, chroma, redness and yellowness values were higher and lightness and hue angle values were lower than the treated osmotic samples. The comparison of the samples with the osmotic control and ultrasound-osmotic samples indicated that the pretreated samples had higher lightness and lower redness and yellowness than the control samples while chroma and difference

colour parameters were higher in the control samples. Similar results were obtained by Amami et al. (2017) that investigated the effect of ultrasound - assisted osmotic dehydration pretreatment on the convective drying of strawberry and observed that chroma changes were more intense during conventional than during ultrasound assisted osmotic dehydration and lightness and redness value were found to be better in strawberries by pretreatment. dried the According to the obtained results in this study, Krokida et al. (2000) investigeted the effect of osmotic dehydration on the colour characteristics of apple and banana and observed the osmotically pretreated samples kept their colour intact that the lightness decreased only a little and redness and vellowness increased slightly, the while colour of untreated samples changed significantly. The osmotic dehvdration inhibited the decolourisation of fruit by inactivating the enzymes responsible for the enzymatic browning due to infusion of extensive sugars. The addition of sugar resulted in the reduction of the water activity of the samples and the non-enzymatic browning reaction was decreased. In another research, Mandala et al. (2005) investigeted

the influence of the osmotic dehydration on apple by air drying and reported that after air drying lightness values were higher in the osmosed samples than in the untreated samples. The increases in redness and vellowness were clear and seemed to be the result of the solid uptake during the osmosis pre-treatment. The colour difference was higher for the untreated samples as compared to the osmosed samples during air drying. This occurred due to the solute uptake, which resulted in lower amount of O_2 being transferred to the surface and consequently observed the less discolouration of the osmosed samples by enzymatic browning. These samples had lower moisture content after the osmosic and this could inhibit the enzymatic oxidation. In a similar study, Garcia-Noguera et al. (2012) studied the effect of the ultrasonic and osmotic pre-treatments on the colour of freeze dried strawberries and founded that angle decreased by increasing hue immersion time, which is a positive and desirable result in strawberries, because of the decrease in hue angle strawberries became more colourful. The increase in lightness is also a positive contribution because it will produce bright redness in strawberry. According to another research enzymatic and oxidative browning is prevented as the fruit pieces are surrounded by sugar, thus making it possible to retain good colour (Yadav and Singh, 2014). Silva et al. (2014) studied the effect of osmotic dehydration on the quality of pineapple and observed that the treated samples had higher lightness and by increasing the concentration lighness decreased and chroma increased. also the same result was in papaya by Rodrigues et al. (2003) and in pumpkin by Silva et al. (2011). In this study the compasion of the results between the osmotic dehydration and osmotic-ultrasound process as pretreatments indicated that osmotically treated samples had somewhat lightness than osmotic-ultrasound more

samples because of incorporated sugar throughout the created micro-channels by ultrasonic waves in the tissue structure of treated samples with the ultrasound-assisted osmotic was more than the treated samples with osmotic, thereby this process might be the cause of the darkening appearance of the ultrasound-assisted osmotic samples. The results showed that between concentrations immersion there and times was no significant difference (P > 0.05) on the colour parametrs in the treated samples except in the lightness parameter between the treatment 1 with 3 and 4 in treated samples with osmotic process. The results are shown in Tables 6 and 7. Based on the obtained results from the comprasion of concentrations and immersion times, it was found that the lightness and hue angle parameters decreased and the redness, yellowness and difference colour parameters increased by increasing the concentration and immersion time, hence in both of the pretreatments, treatment 1 had the more while 4 had lightness treatment more darkness than the other treatments. Silva et al. (2014) found that an increase in the concentration sucrose solution of the resulted in a greater water loss, which might increase the pigment concentration in the tissue and consequently enhanced the chromaticity of the product. In another study, Rodrigues et al. (2003) reported that Chroma values increased during osmotic dehydration processing and tended to stabilization after dehydration. The increase in redness and yellowness is clear and seems to be the result of matrix concentration and solid uptake. Azarpazhooh and Ramaswamy, (2011) reported that the increase in redness and yellowness values might be due to solid accumulation during osmotic pretratment and possible membrane plasticizing effect, might increased the which have cell membrane permeability to sucrose molecules. The comparsion of the treatment 2 and 3 indicated that the concentration

difference was more effective on water loss and solid gain than the time difference but this effect was not very prominent. The treated samples with treatment 2 were slightly brighter and chroma and differance colour valuees were slightly lower than the treated samples with treatment 3, probably the enhancement of sugar penetration into the apple slices by increasing of concentration was greater than increase of time, therefore solid gain was somewhat lower in the treated samples with 30% concentration in a period of 30 minutes than the treated sampels with 60% concentreation in a period of 15 minutes. The moisture loss from the product takes place at a faster rate in the first few hours, and then the rate decreases slowly. The chemical potential semi-permeable difference across a membrane between the cellular material and osmotic solution is the driving force for osmotic dehydration mass flow. The phenomena precede until the water activity of both the solution and the sample attain the equilibrium state. Due to the permeability of the cell wall, the volume between the plasmalemma and cell wall gets filled with the osmotic solution (Ahmed et al, 2016; Mavroudis et al, 2012; Rastogi et al., 2002). The results of Garcia-Noguera et al. (2012) showed an increase in water loss by increasing osmotic solution concentration, because of the increase in the gradient between the soluble solids concentration in the fruit and in the osmotic solution. The effectiveness on the colour is more related to the concentration of solids in the fruit, which

may impact the luminosity and chroma parameters. The increase in the osmotic solution concentration and immersion time had a negative effect on lightness.

Conclusion

In this study the effect of ultrasoundassisted osmotic dehydration pretreatment on the convective drying of the apple slices was investigated. The results showed that solid gain and water loss were increased by increasing concentration of sucrose solution immersion time. The utilization of and ultrasound-assisted osmotic faciliated the mass transfer by the created micro-channels intercellular tissue, consequently the solid gain and water loss were more increased The lowest than the osmotic process. shrinkage was observed in the treated samples with ultrasound-assisted while the highest rehydration rate related to the control samples. All the treated sampels represented higher lightness (L*) and lower redness (a*) vellowness (b*) and colour intensity (ΔE) than the ones of untreated sampels. The lightness in the treated samples decreased after prolonged ultrasonic exposure and the utilization of high sucrose concentrations. The highest lightness and the lowest redness, vellowness were observed in the treated samples with osmotic dehydration. Thus the application of ultrasound technology as one of the non-thermal techniques is able to improve the quality characteristics of dried food.

Table 4. The comprasion of the results of the colour parameters in treated samples with osmotic dehydration,
ultasound-assisted osmotic dehydration and untreated samples

Drying method	P-value	P-value	P-value	(L*)P-value	P-value	P-value
	(Δ E)	(h)	(C)		(b*)	(a*)
Drying osmotic -						
ultrasound-assisted osmotic	0.113	0.002 **	0.024 *	0.563	0.044 *	0.002 **
Drying osmotic – control	0.001 **	0.000 **	0.005 **	0.003 **	0.038 *	0.000 **
Drying ultrasound-assisted osmotic - control	0.025 *	0.002 **	0.21	0.013 *	0.581	0.001 **

* Significant at confidence level of 5%, ** Significant at confidence level of 1%

F. Shekar & A. Javadi

		5 1	
Parameters	Control	Ultrasound-assisted osmotic process	Osmotic process
Redness (a*)	13.663	8.542	5.089
Yellowness (b*)	34.689	33.33	30.404
Lightness (L*)	44.851	52.127	53.802
Hue angle (h)	68.506	75.763	81.407
Chroma (C)	37.290	34.453	30.877
Colour intensity (ΔE)	28.705	20.491	16.17

 Table 5. The means of the results from the colour parameters in the treated samples with osmotic dehydration, ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration and the untreated samples

Table	6.	The comprasion	of the results	of concentra	ations and	l immersion	times in	the treated	samples	with
				osmotic	dehvdrati	on				

	oblibite dell'j diation								
Drotrootmont	P-value		P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value		
rieueaunent	$(\Delta \mathbf{E})$		(C)	(h)	(L*)	(b*)	(a*)		
	Treatment 2	0.98	0.991	0.949	0.976	0.991	0.981		
Treatment 1	Treatment 3	0.616	0.872	0.877	0.485	0.87	0.91		
	Treatment 4	0.3	0.618	0.525	0.267	0.656	0.531		
Treatment 2	Treatment 1	0.98	0.991	0.949	0.976	0.991	0.981		
	Treatment 3	0.822	0.964	0.996	0.706	0.963	0.991		
	Treatment 4	0.741	0.777	0.814	0.436	0.81	0.739		
	Treatment 1	0.616	0.872	0.877	0.485	0.87	0.91		
Treatment 3	Treatment 2	0.822	0.964	0.996	0.706	0.963	0.991		
	Treatment 4	0.913	0.959	0.905	0.957	0.974	0.876		
	Treatment 1	0.3	0.618	0.525	0.267	0.656	0.531		
Treatment 4	Treatment 2	0.741	0.777	0.814	0.436	0.81	0.739		
	Treatment 3	0.913	0.959	0.905	0.957	0.974	0.876		

The comprasion of the results of concentrations and immersion times are not significant effect (P > 0.05); Treatment 1 (concentration 30%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 2 (concentration 30%, immersion time 30 min), Treatment 3 (concentration 60%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 4 (concentration 60%, immersion time 30 min).

 Table7. The comprasion of the results of concentrations and immersion times in the treated samples with ultrasound-assisted osmotic dehydration

Drug from a from a set		P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value
Pretreatment		(Δ E)	(C)	(h)	(L*)	(b*)	(a*)
	Treatment 2	0.472	0.221	0.339	0.383	0.179	0.351
Treatment 1	Treatment 3	0.178	0.147	0.24	0.038*	0.127	0.239
	Treatment 4	0.087	0.072	0.098	0.018*	0.075	0.097
Treatment 2	Treatment 1	0.472	0.221	0.339	0.383	0.179	0.351
	Treatment 3	0.862	0.99	0.992	0.384	0.994	0.989
	Treatment 4	0.589	0.85	0.794	0.188	0.992	0.774
	Treatment 1	0.178	0.147	0.24	0.038*	0.127	0.239
Treatment 3	Treatment 2	0.862	0.99	0.992	0.384	0.994	0.989
	Treatment 4	0.952	0.955	0.912	0.942	0.982	0.91
Treatment 4	Treatment 1	0.087	0.072	0.098	0.018*	0.075	0.097
	Treatment 2	0.589	0.85	0.794	0.188	0.992	0.774
	Treatment 3	0.952	0.99	0.912	0.942	0.982	0.91

The comprasion of the results of concentrations and immersion times are not significant effect except in the lightness parameter between treatment 1 with 3 and 4 (P > 0.05); Treatment 1 (concentration 30%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 2 (concentration 30%, immersion time 30 min), Treatment 3 (concentration 60%, immersion time 15 min), Treatment 4 (concentration 60%, immersion time 30 min).

References

Ahmed, I., Mabood Qazi, I. & Jamal, S. (2016). Developments in osmotic dehydration technique for the preservation of fruits and vegetables. Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies, 34, 29-43.

Amami, E., Khezami, W., Mezrigui, S., Badwaik, L. S., Bejar, A. K., Perez, C. T. & Kechaou, N. (2017). Effect of Ultrasound – assisted osmotic dehydration pretreatment on the convective drying of strawberry. Ultrasonics sonochemistery, 36, 286-300.

Azarpazhooh, E. & Ramaswamy, S. H. (2011). Optimization of microwave-osmotic pretreatment of apples with subsequent airdrying for preparing high-quality dried product. International Journal of Microwave Science and Technology, 1-12.

AOAC. (1990). Official methods of analysis. Washington: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

Bakalis, S. & Karathanos, V. T. (2005). Study of rehydration of osmotically pretreated dried fruit samples. Drying Technology, 23(3), 533–549.

Barman, N. & Badwaik, L. S. (2017). Effect of ultrasound and centrifugal force on carambola (Averrhoa carambola L.) slices during osmotic dehydration. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 34, 37-44.

Cárcel, J. A., Benedito, J., Rossello, C. & Mulet, A. (2007). Influence of ultrasound intensity on mass transfer in apple immersed in a sucrose solution. Journal of Food Engineering, 78(2), 472-479.

& Dehghannya, J., R. Gorbani, Ghanbarzadeh, B. (2016). Shrinkage of mirabelle plum during hot air drying as ultrasound-assisted influenced by osmotic dehydration. International Journal of Food Properties, 19(5), 1093-1103

Doymaz, I. (2009). An experimental study on drying of green apples. Drying Technology, 27(3), 478–485.

Falade, O. K., Igbeka, J. C. & Ayanwuyi, F. A. (2007).Kinetics of mass transfer, and colour changes during osmotic dehydration of watermelon. Journal of Food Engineering, 80, 979–985.

Fernandes, F. A. N., Gallão, M. I. & Rodrigues, S. (2008). Effect of osmotic dehydration and ultrasound pre-treatment on cell structure: Melon dehydration. Journal of LWT Food Science and Technology, 41(4), 604-610.

Fernandes, F. A. N., Gallão, M. I. & Rodrigues, S. (2009). Effect of osmosis and ultrasound on pineapple cell tissue structure during dehydration. Journal of Engineering, 90(2), 186-190.

Garcia-Noguera, J., Oliveira, F. I. P., Weller, C. L., Rodrigues, S. & Fernandes, F. A. N. (2014). Effect of ultrasonic and osmotic dehydration pre-treatments on the colour of freeze dried strawberries. Journal Food Science and Technology, 51(9), 2222–2227.

Ispir, A. & Toğrul, D.T. (2009). Osmotic dehydration of apricot: Kinetics and the effect of process parameters. Chemistry of Engineering Research and Design, 87(2), 166-180.

Jambrak, A. R., Mason, T. J., Paniwnyk, L. & Lelas, V. (2007). Accelerated drying of button mushrooms, Brussels sprouts and cauliflower by applying power ultrasound and its rehydration properties. Journal of Food Engineering, 81(1), 88-97.

Kaleta, A. & Górnicki, K. (2010). Evaluation of drying models of apple (var. McIntosh) dried in a convective dryer. Food Science and Technology, 45(5), 891-898.

Khanizadeh, S., Tsao, R., Rekika, D., Yang, R., Charles, M. T. & Rupasingh, H. P.V. (2008). Polyphenol composition and total antioxidant capacity of selected apple genotypes for processing, Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 21(5), 396–401

Krokida, M. K., Karathanos, V. T. & Maroulis, Z. B. (2000). Effect of osmotic dehydration on color and sorption characteristics of apple and banana. Drying Technology, 18(4-5), 937-950.

Kowalski, S. J. & Szadzińska, J. (2014). Convective-intermittent drying of cherries preceded by ultrasonic assisted osmotic dehydration. Chemical Engineering and Processing, 82, 65-70.

Mavroudis, N. E., Gidley, M. J. & Sjoholm, I. (2009). Osmotic processing: Effects of osmotic medium composition on the kinetics and texture of apple tissue. Food Research International, 48(2), 839-847.

Mayor, L. & Sereno, A. M. (2004). Modelling shrinkage during convective drying of food materials: a review. Journal of Food Engineering, 61(3), 373–386.

Mandala, I. G., Anagnostaras, E. F. & Oikonomou, C. K. (2005). Influence of

osmotic dehydration conditions on apple air drying kinetics and their quality characteristics. Journal of Food Engineering, 69(3), 307–316.

Mundada, M., Hathan, B. S. & Maske, S. (2011). Mass transfer kinetics during osmotic dehydration of pomegranate arils. Journal of Food Science, 76(1), 31–39.

Noshad, M., Mohebbi, M., Shahidi, F. & Mortazavi, S. A. (2012). Multi-objective optimization of osmotic-ultrasonic pretreatments and hot-air drying of quince using response surface methodology. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 5(6), 2098–2110.

Nawacka, M., Tylewicz, U., Laghi, L., Dalla Rosa, M. & Witrowa-Rajchert, D. (2014). Effect of ultrasound treatment on the water state in kiwifruit during osmotic dehydration. Food Chemistry, 144, 18-25.

Onwude, D. I., Hashim, N. & Chen, G. (2016). Recent advances of novel thermal combined hot air drying of agricultural crops. Trends in food science and Technology, 57, 132-145.

Ramallo, L. A. & Mascheroni, R. H. (2012). Quality evaluation of pineapple fruit during drying process. Food and Bioproducts Processing, 90(2), 275-283.

Rastogi, N. K., Nayak, C. A. & Raghavarao, K. S. M. S. (2004). Influence of osmotic pre-treatments on rehydration characteristics of carrots. Journal of Food Engineering, 65(2), 287–292.

Rastogi, N. K., Raghavarao, K. S. M. S., Niranjan, K. & Knorr, D. (2002). Recent developments in osmotic dehydration: methods to enhance mass transfer. Trends in Food Science and Technology, 13(2), 48-49.

Ricce, C., Rojas, M. L., Miano, A. C., Siche, R. & Augusto, P. E. D. (2016). Ultrasound pre-treatment enhances the carrot drying and rehydration. Food Research International, 89,701-708.

Riva, M., Campolongo, S., Leva, A. A., Maestrelli, A. & Torreggiani, D. (2005). Structure–property relationships in osmo-airdehydrated apricot cubes. Food Research International, 38(5), 533–542.

Rodrigues, A. C. C., Cunha, R. L. &

Hubinger, M. D. (2003). Rheological properties and colour evaluation of papaya during osmotic dehydration processing. Journal of Food Engineering, 59(2-3), 129– 135.

Shukla, B. D. & Singh, S. P. (2007). Osmoconvective drying of cauliflower, mushroom and greenpea. Journal of Food Engineering, 80(2), 741–747.

Silva, S. K., Fernandes, A. M. & Mauro, A. M. (2014). Effect of calcium on the osmotic dehydration kinetics and quality of pineapple. Journal of Food Engineering, 134, 37–44.

Silva, S. K., Caetano, L. C., Garcia, C. C., Romero, J. T., Santos, A. B. & Mauro, M. A. (2011). Osmotic dehydration process for low temperature blanched pumpkin. Journal of Food Engineering, 105(1), 56-64.

Singh, B., Panesar, P. S., Gupta, A. K. & Kennedy, J. F. (2007). Optimisation of osmotic dehydration of carrot cubes in sucrose-salt solutions using response surface methodology. European Food Research and Technlogy, 225(2), 157–165.

Sturm, B., Vega, A. N. & Hofacker, W. C. (2014). Influence of process control strategies on drying kinetics, colour and shrinkage of air dried apples. Applied Thermal Engineering, 62(2), 455-460.

Tao, Y., Wang, P., Wang, Y., Kadam, S.U., Han, Y., Wang, J. & Zhou. J. (2016). Power ultrasound as a pretreatment to convective drying of mulberry (Morus alba L.) leaves: Impact on drying kinetics and selected quality properties. Ultrasonic Sonochemistry, 31, 310-318.

Unal, G. H. & Sacilik, K. (2011). Drying characteristics of hawthhorn fruit in a convective hot-air drying. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 35(2), 272-279.

Yadav, K. A & Singh, S. V. (2014). Osmotic dehydration of fruits and vegetables: a review. Journal of Food Science and Technology, 51 (9), 1654–1673.

Yan, Z., Sousa-Gallagher, M. J. & Oliveira, F. A. R. (2008). Shrinkage and porosity of banana, pineapple and mango slices during airdrying. Journal of Food Engineering, 84(3), 430-440.