Incidence of Virulence Determinants and Antibiotic Resistance in Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Iranian Traditional Fermented Camel Milk (Chal)

N. Soleymanzadeh^{*a*}, S. Mirdamadi^{*b**}, M. Kianirad^{*c*}

^a Ph. D. Student of the Department of Biotechnology, Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran.

^b Associate Professor of the Department of Biotechnology, Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran.

^c Assistant Professor of the Department of Biotechnology, Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 11 January 2017

Accepted: 9 May 2017

ABSTRACT: Lactic acid bacteria, including lactobacilli, enterococci, leuconostoc and weissella species isolated from Iranian traditional fermented camel milk (Chal) were assessed for the incidence of virulence determinants (*gelE*, *efaA_{fm}*, *efaA_{fs}*, *ace*, *esp_{fs}*, *cylM*, *cylA* and *cylB*), sensitivity to various antibiotics and virulence phenotypes. The incidence of virulence genes was determined by polymerase chain reaction and antibiotic susceptibility was tested by disk diffusion method. The results of this study indicated that all of the strains harbor at least two or more of the virulence genes. The most frequent virulence genes detected among tested strains were *cylB*, *gelE* and *efaA_{fs}*. All strains showed no β -hemolysis while tyrosine decarboxylase activity and gelatinase production were observed in enterococcus and leuconostoc strains. Majority of strains were resistant to Polymyxin B and kanamycin. Lactobacillus strains including *L. paraplantarum*, *L. kefiri*, *L. paracasei*, *L. plantarum* and *Weissella cibaria* were resistant to both vancomycin and kanamycin. The possibility of transferring the antibiotic resistance and virulence genes to other starter and commensal strains makes the usage of these strains in food and dairy products controversial without required safety assessments.

Keywords: Enterococcus faecium, Fermented Milk, Lactic Acid Bacteria, Safety Assessment, Virulence Determinants.

Introduction

Fermented dairy products have been an important part of the diet of human along history (Adimpong et al., 2012). Camel milk, which is used as fresh, raw milk or fermented milk, is an essential part of the human diet in the arid regions of the world (Moslehishad et al., 2013).Fermented camel milk (Chal) is a very popular beverage in TurkmanSahra, Golestan province, Iran. It is spontaneous mixed fermented dairy a product which includes yeasts and lactic acid bacteria predominant (LAB) as the

microflora. Chal includes a wide range of different bacterial strains that may have safety issues which should be considered at the time of consuming. Because of their frequent occurrence in fermented food products, long history of safe use and being part of the human commensal microflora, LAB are believed to be GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) by many scientific groups (Zhou *et al.*, 2000; Choi *et al.*, 2005). Also, according to EFSA (European Food Safety Agency) most LAB species are included in the QPS (Qualified Presumption of Safety) list (Munoz-Atienza *et al.*, 2013). However, there have been some reports on

^{*}Corresponding Author: mirdamadi@irost.ir

infectious diseases such as endocarditis, bacteremia and urinary tract infections mostly in patients with underlying illnesses that some lactobacillus strains have been involved. Moreover, the acquisition of vancomycin resistance by enterococcus strains specially Enterococcus faecium resulted in the emergence of vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) which could cause infection in hospitalized patients (Patel, 2003). Today, safety is a priority in food and dairy industry and an important step for introducing the traditional products for industrial production. Therefore, safety assessment is an important criterion to ensure the safety and quality of these fermented products (Tan et al., 2013). In the recent decades spread of antibiotic resistant microorganisms led to worldwide concern, therefore the antibiotic resistance patterns should be tested to document the safety of strains. Because of their wide usage as starter culture and probiotics, lactobacilli could transfer the antibiotic resistance genes to other LAB or pathogens (Bernardeau et al., 2008). There is a poor document about virulence factors and antibiotic resistance of Lactobacillus strains in the literature. LAB group also includes enterococci species that occur or deliberately added to fermented foods and they may have an important role in the development of sensory characteristics (Bhardwaj et al., 2010; Inoğlu and Tuncer 2013; Togay et al., 2010). Due to previous reports, E. faecium and *E*. faecalis predominantly cause disease, notably nosocomial infections in human. Virulence factors such as hyaluronidase, aggregation substance. cytolysine and enterococcal surface protein encoded by hyl, agg, cyla and esp genes, respectively have been detected in enterococcal strains (Inoğlu and Tuncer, 2013). The differences between pathogen and safe strains of enterococci and other LAB are not clear. These strains are introduced as live cultures, especially by some traditional fermented food products

(Eaton and Gasson, 2001). Therefore an individual evaluation of safety of potential probiotic strains should be considered. The aim of this study is to investigate the safety of strains of LAB isolated from common traditional fermented camel milk (Chal) in terms of the presence of virulence genes and antibiotic susceptibility.

Materials and Methods

- Study of Potential Virulence Determinants

Overnight-culture of LAB strains isolated from Chal samples (Soleymanzadeh *et al.*, 2016) was streak-plated on de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) broth (Scharlau, Spain) and incubated at 37°C anaerobically in a candle jar for 24 h. Bacterial culture (10-20 mg) was collected by centrifugation at 5000 ×g for 10 min. Genomic DNA was extracted using the cinnapure DNA extraction kit (SinaClone Co., Tehran, Iran) and following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was stored at -20° C and used for all PCR reactions mentioned in this study.

Total DNA of the 11LAB strains was used to detect the presence of virulence genes, including gelE, $efaA_{fm}$, $efaA_{fs}$, ace, esp_{fs} , cylM, cylA andcylB. The primers are listed in Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers were obtained from Sinaclone Co. (Tehran, Iran). The positive control strain for detection of virulence genes was E. faecalis PTCC 1778. PCR-amplifications were performed using bacterial DNA in 20µL reaction mixtures with 100 ng of extracted DNA, 1.25µM of each primer, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, buffer 1×1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.75 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Sina Clone Co., Tehran, Iran). Samples were subjected to an initial cycle of denaturation (95°C for 5 min), followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 1 min), annealing (48 to 60°C for 1 min) and elongation (72°C for 1 min), ending with a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min (Eaton and Gasson, 2001) in an Eppendorf Master cycler thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). PCR products were

detected by electrophoresis for 30 min at 90 V on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels stained with DNA loading dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and visualized under UV light with the Gel Doc 1000 documentation system (Bio-Rad, Madrid, Spain). The molecular size markers used were 1kb DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

- Determination of antibiotic susceptibility

Antibiotic susceptibility of the 11 strains was determined by commercially antibioticcontaining disks (Padtan-teb, Iran) on MRS previously agar plates seeded with approximately 1×10^{5} CFU/ml of each The antibiotics isolates. tested were ampicillin(AM) (10 µg), kanamycin (K) (30 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP) (5 μg), gentamicin (GM) (10 µg), penicillin G (P) (10 mcg), polymixin B (PB) (300 u), rifampicin (RIF) (5 μ g), tetracycline (TE) (30 μ g), and vancomycin (V) (30 µg). The zone of inhibition was measured after overnight incubation of the plates at 37°C. The tests were carried out in triplicate order.

- Gelatinase and Hemolysine production For determination of gelatinase

production the strains were grown in MRS broth at 37°C for 24 h. These cultures were streaked onto Todd-Hewitt agar plates containing 30 g of gelatin (Biolife, Milano, Italy), incubated overnight at 37°C, and placed at 4°C for 5 h before examination for zones of turbidity around the colonies, indicating hydrolysis. In order to investigate hemolysin production, the strains were streaked onto layered fresh sheep blood agar plates and grown for 1-2 days at 37°C. Clear zones around the colonies indicated the presence of β -hemolysis (Eaton and Gasson, 2001).

- Decarboxylase activity

The decarboxylase test for the production of biogenic amines was carried out by incubation of LAB strains for 2-5 days on 37°C on improved decarboxylase medium (Bover-Cid and Holzapfel, 1999) contained 1 g/l final concentration of the following amino acids as precursors: histidine, tyrosine, lysine and ornithine (Himedia, Mumbai, India). After incubation time the purple color around the colonies indicated the production of biogenic amino acids.

| Gene | The Role of Product | Sequence (5'-3') | (bp) | | |
|-------------|------------------------------|--|------|--|--|
| gel E | gelatinase | F: ACCCCGTATCATTGGTTT | /19 | | |
| | | R: ACGCATTGCTTTTCCATC | 417 | | |
| $efaA_{fa}$ | cell wall adhesion | cell wall adhesion F: AACAGATCCGCATGAATA | | | |
| | | R: CATTTCATCATCTGATAGTA | 155 | | |
| $efaA_{fs}$ | cell wall adhesion | F: GACAGACCCTCACGAATA | | | |
| | | R: AGTTCATCATGCTGTAGTA | 705 | | |
| ace | collagen adhesion | F: AAAGTAGAATTAGATCCACAC | 350 | | |
| | | R: TCTATCACATTCGGTTGCG | 550 | | |
| esp_{fs} | cell wall-associated protein | F: TTGCTAATGCTAGTCCACGACC | 933 | | |
| | | R: GCGTCAACACTTGCATTGCCGAA | 255 | | |
| cylM | cytolysine | F: CTGATGGAAAGAAGATAGTAT | | | |
| | | R: TGAGTTGGTCTGATTACATTT | 142 | | |
| cylA | cytolysine | F: TGGATGATAGTGATAGGAAGT | | | |
| | | R: TCTACAGTAAATCTTTCGTCA | 517 | | |
| cylB | cytolysine | F: ATTCCTACCTATGTTCTGTTA | | | |
| | | R: AATAAACTCTTCTTTTCCAAC | 0+5 | | |

Table 1. Primers for detection of the virulence genes (Eaton and Gasson 2001)

Results and Discussion

- Virulence determinants

The presence of virulence genes in LAB strains are listed in Table 2. A total of 11 strains of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Chal samples including L. paraplantarum, L. kefiri, L. paracasei, L. gasseri, L. plantarum, E. faecium (4 strains), W. cibaria and Leu. lactis. All strains were screened for the presence of eight virulence genes. All of the strains were found to harbor at least two or more of the tested virulence determinants. Among the eight tested virulence genes, the strains of E. faecium were positive for the four following virulence factors: gelE, $efaA_{fm}$, $efaA_{fs}$ and cylB. Togay et al., (2010) investigated E. faecium and E. faecalis strains isolated from fermented Turkish foods and their results showed that majority of tested E. faecium strains carried gelE, $efaA_{fm}$, $efaA_{fs}$ and some other virulence determinants. Eaton and Gasson (2001) studied E. faecium strains and found that all E. faecium starter and food strains were clear of virulence determinants except for $efaA_{fm}$ and only one of the medical E. faecium strains was positive for gelE. In another study, gelE and $efaA_{fm}$ were found to be some of the most frequently presented in E. faecium and E. faecalis strains (Inoğlu and Tuncer, 2013). The role of $efaA_{fm}$ has not yet been identified. Although there is sequence variation in the E. faecium strains that could cause functional differences in the efaA

adhesion and influence pathogenicity *et al.*, 2010). (Bhardwaj Although β hemolytic activity was not found in any of the strains, all of the tested strains were positive for cylB. It might be due to the absence of cylA and cylM or presence of silent genes in our strains. Mannu et al., (2003) reported that none of their tested E. faecium strains harbored the gene for gelE. In the present study, eight out of 11 strains were positive for gelE gene (Figure 1). Gelatinase production was detected in enterococci and leuconostoc strains. Although some of lactobacillus strains and W. cibaria SM09 carried gelE gene, none of them showed gelatinase activity phenotypically biochemical in test. Therefore silent gelE gene might have occurred in these strains. Regarding the obtained results and the literature, it is difficult to say that E. faecium strains are safe for use in food and dairy industry. The incidence of virulence factors is strain specific and in spite of clinical strains, food and starter strains of E. faecium have a lower potential for pathogenicity. However, the possibility of transferring the antibiotic resistance and virulence genes to other starter and commensal strains still exists (Franz et al., 2001). Considering the obtained results, there is a possibility of entering the enterococcal contamination to traditional fermented products like Chal and it might be due to lack of hygiene.

| Table 2. Tresence of virulence genes among strains isolated from (refinenced camer mink) char | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Strains | Genotype | R | Relevant Phenotype | | | |
| L. paraplantarum SM01 | $ace^+, cylB^+$ | ¹ Gel ⁻ , ² Hly ⁻ | ³ Tyr ⁻ , ⁴ Lys ⁻ , ⁵ Orn ⁻ , ⁶ His ⁻ | | | |
| L. kefiri SM02 | $efaA_{fs}^{+}, cylB^{+}$ | Gel ⁻ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr, Lys, Orn, His | | | |
| E. faecium SM03 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fm}^+$, $efaA_{fs}^+$, $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁺ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr ⁺ , Lys ⁻ , Orn ⁻ , His ⁻ | | | |
| L. paracasei SM04 | $efaA_{fs}^{+}, ace^{+}, cylB^{+}$ | Gel ⁻ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr, Lys, Orn, His | | | |
| L. gasseri SM05 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fs}^+$, $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁻ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr, Lys, Orn, His | | | |
| L. plantarum SM06 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fs}^+$, ace^+ , $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁻ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr, Lys, Orn, His | | | |
| E. faecium SM07 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fm}^+$, $efaA_{fs}^+$, $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁺ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr ⁺ , Lys ⁻ , Orn ⁻ , His ⁻ | | | |
| E. faecium SM08 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fm}^+$, $efaA_{fs}^+$, $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁺ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr ⁺ , Lys ⁻ , Orn ⁻ , His ⁻ | | | |
| W. cibaria SM09 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fs}^+$, ace^+ , esp_{fs}^+ , $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁻ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr, Lys, Orn, His | | | |
| Leu. lactis SM10 | $gel E^+$, $efaA_{fm}^+$, ace^+ , $cylB^+$ | Gel ⁺ , Hly ⁻ | Tyr ⁺ , Lys ⁻ , Orn ⁻ , His ⁻ | | | |
| E. faecium SM11 | gel E^+ , efa A_{fm}^+ , efa A_{fs}^+ , cyl B^+ | Gel ⁺ ,Hly ⁻ | Tyr ⁺ , Lys ⁻ , Orn ⁻ , His ⁻ | | | |

Table 2. Presence of virulence genes among strains isolated from (fermented camel milk) Chal

1Gel and 2Hly are respectively gelatinase and cytolysine activities. 3Tyr, 4Lys, 5Orn and 6His are respectively for Tyrosine, Lysine, Ornithine, and Histidine decarboxylase activities.

J. FBT, IAU, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1-8, 2017



Fig. 1. Multiplex PCR for gelE gene. lane 1, molecular weight marker; lane 2,PTCC 1778 reference strain; lane 3, E. faecium SM03; lane 4, E. faecium SM07; lane 5, E. faecium SM08; lane 6, L. paraplantarum SM01; lane 7, E. faecium SM11,; lane 8,L. gasseri SM05; lane9, L. plantarum SM06; lane 10, W. cibaria SM09; lane 11, L. kefiri SM02; lane 12, Leu. lactis SM10; lane 13, L. paracasei SM04.

Lactobacillus strains have been found to harbor some of the virulence genes tested in this study. All of the strains were positive for cylB and except L. paraplantarum the other strains harbored $efaA_{fs}$. Moreover, gelEhas been detected in L. gasseri and L. plantarum. While all enterococcus strains were negative for ace gene, it has been shown that L. paraplantarum, L. paracasei and L. plantarum carried this gene. However the results indicated that all lactobacillus strains were negative for $efaA_{fm}$, cylA, cylMand esp_{fs} . According to our knowledge, there is a lack of reports on the safety assessment of lactobacillus strains in the literature while according to obtained results it seems to be essential to assess the strains present in traditional fermented foods.

- Antibiotic susceptibility

Antibiotic susceptibility of the strains to various antibiotics was determined by a disc diffusion method and results are summarized in Table 3. Most of the strains were found to be resistant to ploymyxin B and kanamycin. No vancomycin resistance was detected among enterococci, indicating that these strains did not acquire resistance determinants for vancomycin.VRE are the possible food reservoirs in the dispersion of vancomycin resistance genes in the environment (Giraffa, 2002) and it seems that the abundance of vancomycin resistance between enterococci is increasing in Europe and cause difficulties in the treatment of infections with them (Arias et al., 2010). Our results were consistent with Bhardwaj et al. (2010) who reports that in contrast with clinical strains, 90% of enterococci isolated from dairy products were susceptible to vancomycin. In another study Teuber et al. reported (1999)low incidence of vancomycin resistance between enterococci isolated from European cheese. Adimpong et al. (2012) showed that all of the tested LAB strains were resistant to kanamycin and vancomycin that was consistent with our results. Although incidence of tetracycline resistance is quite widespread between lactic acid bacteria, all of the strains were sensitive

to tetracycline. As shown in table 3, among the strains, W. cibaria SM09, Leu. Lacis SM10 and L. paracasei SM04 were resistant to gentamicin. Also L. gasseri SM05 and L. plantarum SM06 were resistant to ciprofloxacin. In this study, it was indicated that four of the lactobacillus strains and W. *cibaria* SM09 were resistant to hoth vancomycin and kanamycin and this antibiotic multiple resistance to aminoglycosides and vancomycin makes concerns, especially among some lactobacillus strains and their usage as starter culture. However, resistance against vancomycin could be an intrinsic property according to Ammor et al. (2007) that reported vancomycin resistance of Lactobacillus, Pediococcus and Leuconostoc species is as a result of the absence of D-Ala-D-lactate in their peptidoglycan which is the target of vancomycin and it is different from vancomycin resistant in enterococci encoded transmissible by plasmids. Kanamycin resistance in *E. faecium* is due to the frequent presence of aminoglycoside 6'acetyltransferase which is an intrinsic property. Therefore gentamycin and recommended streptomycin are aminoglycosides for a synergistic therapy in combination with a cell wall agent for enterococci (Arias et al., 2010).

Amino acids histidine, lysine and ornithine were not decarboxylated by any of the strains. However, all of the enterococcus strains and *Leu.lactis* SM10 decarboxylated tyrosine.

Conclusion

The results of this study suggested that according to the potential risk factors, traditional fermented foods need safety assessments in order to investigate their bacterial strains for harboring the virulence genes and transmissible resistance against antibiotics. The majority of strains isolated including from Chal lactobacillus. enterococci. leuconostoc weissella and carried virulence genes and exhibited resistance against some of the antibiotics as vancomycin and kanamycin. such Additionally, some of these strains showed gelatinase and tyrosine decarboxylase activity. Regarding the findings of our study, there is a possibility of entering the clinical strains of enterococci to fermented foods because of the lack of hygiene. It should be born in mind that ingestion of large numbers of these strains through consumption of Chal might be a potential risk factor for consumer health and according to the obtained results consideration of these strains as starter culture requires more safety evaluation.

| - | Evaluation | of | dacarbox | ylase | activity |
|---|-------------------|----|----------|-------|----------|
|---|-------------------|----|----------|-------|----------|

| Strains | Antibiotic Sensitivity | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Strams | ^{1}P | 2 PB | ^{3}V | ⁴ TE | ⁵ AM | ⁶ K | ⁷ GM | ⁸ RIF | ⁹ CP |
| L. paraplantarum SM01 | 10 S | ${}^{11}R$ | R | S | S | R | 12 I | S | S |
| L. kefiri SM02 | S | S | R | S | S | R | S | S | R |
| E. faecium SM03 | S | R | S | S | S | R | S | S | S |
| L. paracasei SM04 | S | R | R | S | S | R | R | S | S |
| L. gasseri SM05 | S | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | R |
| L. plantarum SM06 | S | R | R | S | S | R | S | S | R |
| E. faecium SM07 | S | R | S | S | S | R | S | S | S |
| E. faecium SM08 | S | R | S | S | S | R | S | S | S |
| W. cibaria SM09 | S | R | R | S | S | R | R | S | S |
| Leu. lactis SM10 | S | R | S | S | S | R | R | S | S |
| E. faecium SM11 | S | R | S | S | S | R | S | S | S |

 Table 3. Antibiotic susceptibility determination

Abbreviations: 1P: Penicillin, 2PB: Polymyxin B,3V: Vancomycin,4: Tetracyclin,5AM: Ampicillin, 6K:Kanamycin, 7GM: Gentamicin,8 RIF: Rifampicin, 9CP: Ciprofloxacin, 10S: Sensitive, 11R: Resistant, 12I: Intermediate.

Acknowledgment

support of Iranian Research The Organization for Science and Technology is gratefully acknowledged. The authors wish to thank PTCC (Persian Type Culture Collection) and Dr. Farzaneh Aziz-Mohseni for their help and supplying the control strains. We are grateful Samira to Mahmoudnia for great technical assistance.

References

Adimpong, D. B., Nielsen, D. S., Sorensen, K. I., Derkx, P. M. & Jespersen, L. (2012). Genotypic characterization and safety assessment of lactic acid bacteria from indigenous African fermented food products. BMC Microbiolgy, 12 (75), 1471-2180.

Ammor, M. S., Florez, A. B. & Mayo, B. (2007). Antibiotic resistance in non-enterococcal lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. Food Microbiolgy, 24 (6), 559-570.

Arias, C. A., Contreras, G. A. & Murray, B. E. (2010). Management of multidrug-resistant enterococcal infections. Clincal Microbiolgy and Infection, 16 (6), 555-562.

Bernardeau, M., Vernoux, J. P., Henri-Dubernet, S. & Gueguen, M. (2008). Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: the Lactobacillus genus. International Journal of Food Microbiolgy, 126 (3), 278-285.

Bhardwaj, A., Gupta, H., Kapila, S., Kaur, G., Vij, S. & Malik, R. K. (2010). Safety assessment and evaluation of probiotic potential of bacteriocinogenic Enterococcus faecium KH 24 strain under in vitro and in vivo conditions. International Journal of Food Microbiolgy, 141 (3), 156-164.

Bover-Cid, S. & Holzapfel, W. H. (1999). Improved screening procedure for biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria. International Journal of Food Microbiolgy, 53 (1), 33-41.

Choi, S. S., Kang, B. Y., Chung, M. J., Kim, S. D., Park, S. H., Kim, J. S., Kang, C. Y. & Ha, N. J. (2005). Safety assessment of potential lactic acid bacteria Bifidobacterium longum SPM1205 isolated from healthy Koreans. Journal of Microbiolgy, 43 (6), 493-498.

Eaton, T. J. & Gasson, M. J. (2001). Molecular screening of Enterococcus virulence determinants and potential for genetic exchange between food and medical isolates. Applied and Environmental Microbiolgy, 67 (4), 1628-1635.

Franz, C. M., Muscholl-Silberhorn, A. B., Yousif, N. M., Vancanneyt, M., Swings, J. & Holzapfel, W. H. (2001). Incidence of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance among Enterococci isolated from food. Applied and Environmental Microbiolgy,67 (9), 4385-4389.

Giraffa, G. (2002). Enterococci from foods. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 26 (2), 163-171.

Inoğlu, Z. N. & Tuncer Y. (2013).Safety Assessment of Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis Strains Isolated from Turkish Tulum Cheese. Journal of Food Safety, 33 (3), 369-377.

Mannu, L., Paba, A., Daga, E., Comunian, R., Zanetti, S., Dupre, I. & Sechi, L. A. (2003). Comparison of the incidence of virulence determinants and antibiotic resistance between Enterococcus faecium strains of dairy, animal and clinical origin. International Journal of Food Microbiolgy, 88 (2-3), 291-304.

Moslehishad, M., Mirdamadi, S., Ehsani, M. R., Ezzatpanah, H. & Moosavi-Movahedi, A. A. (2013). The proteolytic activity of selected lactic acid bacteria in fermenting cow's and camel's milk and the resultant sensory characteristics of the products. International Journal of Dairy Technology, 66 (2), 279-285.

Munoz-Atienza, E., Gomez-Sala, B., Araujo, C., Campanero, C., del Campo, R., Hernandez, P., Herranz, C. & Cintas, L. (2013). Antimicrobial activity, antibiotic susceptibility and virulence factors of Lactic Acid Bacteria of aquatic origin intended for use as probiotics in aquaculture. BMC Microbiology, 13 (1), 15.

Patel, R. (2003). Clinical impact of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. J Antimicrob Chemother, 51 (3), 13-21.

Soleymanzadeh, N., Mirdamadi, S. & Kianirad, M. (2016). Antioxidant activity of camel and bovine milk fermented by lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional fermented camel milk (Chal). Dairy Science & Technology, 96,1-15.

Tan, Q., Xu, H., Aguilar, Z. P., Peng, S., Dong, S., Wang, B., Li, P., Chen, T., Xu, F. & Wei, H. (2013). Safety assessment and probiotic evaluation of Enterococcus faecium YF5 isolated from sourdough. J Food Sci, 78 (4), 1750-3841.

Teuber, M., Meile, L. & Schwarz, F. (1999). Acquired antibiotic resistance in lactic acid bacteria from food. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 76 (1), 115-137.

Togay, S. O., Keskin, A. C., Acik, L. & Temiz, A. (2010). Virulence genes, antibiotic resistance and plasmid profiles of Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium from

naturally fermented Turkish foods. Journal of Applied Microbiolgy, 109 (3), 1084-1092.

Zhou, J. S., Shu, Q., Rutherfurd, K. J., Prasad, J., Birtles, M. J., Gopal, P. K. & Gill, H. S. (2000). Safety assessment of potential probiotic lactic acid bacterial strains Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001, Lb. acidophilus HN017, and Bifidobacterium lactis HN019 in BALB/c mice. International Journal of Food Microbiolgy, 56 (1), 87-96.