Transactions on Fuzzy Sets and Systems





Transactions on Fuzzy Sets and Systems ISSN: 2821-0131

https://sanad.iau.ir/journal/tfss/

Some Result on Fuzzy Integration

Vol.3, No.2, (2024), 100-107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.71602/tfss.2024.1122252

Author(s):

Reza Chaharpashlou, Department of Mathematics, Jundi-Shapur University of Technology, Dezful, Iran. E-mail: chaharpashlou@jsu.ac.ir

Ehsan Lotfali Ghasab, Department of Mathematics, Jundi-Shapur University of Technology, Dezful, Iran. E-mail: e.l.ghasab@jsu.ac.ir

Jan States Systems

Article Type: Original Research Article

Some Result on Fuzzy Integration

Reza Chaharpashlou^{*}, Ehsan Lotfali Ghasab

This article was presented at the 22nd Iran Fuzzy Systems Conference in Kerman, Iran.

Abstract. In this article, we introduce a new concept of fuzzy measurement, the space of fuzzy measurable functions and fuzzy integral, which has a dynamic position and is different from previous approaches. With this concept, we create a new version of measurement theory and fuzzy integral. The main goal of this paper is to define the fuzzy integral in the fuzzy size space. First, we introduce fuzzy measurable functions and L^+ essential and related concepts in fuzzy space. In the continuation of the work, with the help of fuzzy measurable functions, we define the fuzzy integral in the fuzzy measurement space and examine the theorems related to it and the relationship between them in the fuzzy measurement space. The next step is to establish one of the fundamental convergence theorems with the uniform convergence theorem in the fuzzy measurement space and prove it. Finally, we prove Fatou's lemma as an application of the theorems raised in the fuzzy measurement space.

AMS Subject Classification 2020: Primary 54C40; 14E20; Secondary 46E25; 20C20 **Keywords and Phrases:** Fuzzy measure space, Fuzzy measure, Fuzzy measurable function, Fuzzy integral.

1 Introduction

Here, we let $\Xi = [0, 1]$, $\Upsilon = [0, \infty]$ and $\mho = (0, \infty)$.

Let us assume $\star : \Xi \times \Xi \to \Xi$ is a topological monoid with unit 1 and $\varrho \star \gamma \leq \varsigma \star \delta$ whenever $\varrho \leq \gamma$ and $\varsigma \leq \delta$ $(\varrho, \varsigma, \gamma, \delta \in \Xi)$. In this case, \star is called a continuous t-norm and $\star_{i=1}^{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \star_{i=1}^{n}$. For some examples $\varrho \star \varsigma = \varrho.\varsigma$ and $\varrho \star \varsigma = \wedge(\varrho, \varsigma)$ are continuous t-norms.

Let us consider $U \neq \emptyset$, \star is a continuous *t*-norm and ρ is a fuzzy set on $U^2 \times \mathcal{O}$. Then (U, ρ, \star) is said to be a fuzzy metric space where for arbitrary $\varepsilon, v, \eta \in U$ and $\tau, \theta > 0$,

(FM1) $\rho(\varepsilon, \upsilon, \tau) = 1$ for every $\tau \in \mho$ iff $\varepsilon = \upsilon$;

(FM2) $\rho(\varepsilon, \upsilon, \tau) = \rho(\upsilon, \varepsilon, \tau), \forall \varepsilon, \upsilon \in U, \quad \forall \tau \in \mho;$

(FM3) $\rho(\varepsilon, \eta, \tau + \theta) \ge \rho(\varepsilon, \upsilon, \tau) \star \rho(\upsilon, \eta, \theta), \quad \forall \varepsilon, \upsilon, \eta \in U, \quad \forall \tau, \theta \in \mho;$

(FM4) $\rho(\varepsilon, v, \cdot) : \mho \to (0, 1]$ is continuous.([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8])

Definition 1.1. Suppose that $\mathfrak{X} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{\mathfrak{X}}$ such that

*Corresponding Author: Reza Chaharpashlou, Email: chaharpashlou@jsu.ac.ir, ORCID: 0000-0003-2817-9711 Received: 9 June 2024; Revised: 11 September 2024; Accepted: 12 September 2024; Available Online: 7 October 2024; Published Online: 7 November 2024.

How to cite: Chaharpashlou R, Lotfali Ghasab E. Some result on fuzzy integration. Transactions on Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 2024; 3(2): 100-107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.71602/tfss.2024.1122252

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) if $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathfrak{A}^c \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (iii) if $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{A}_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{A}_i$ are in \mathcal{C} .
- (iv) whenever $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \cdots$ are in \mathcal{C} , then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{A}_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{A}_i$ are in \mathcal{C} .

So \mathcal{C} is called a σ -algebra and $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{C})$ is called a measurable space.

Definition 1.2. Let us assume that $\mathfrak{X} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{\mathfrak{X}}$ a σ -algebra. A fuzzy function $\nu : \mathcal{C} \times \mathfrak{V} \to \Xi$ such that

- (i) $\nu(\emptyset, \tau) = 1, \quad \forall \tau \in \mho;$
- (ii) if $\mathfrak{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ are pairwise disjoint,

$$\nu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathfrak{A}_{i}^{\infty},\tau) = \star_{i=1}^{\infty}\nu(\mathfrak{A}_{i},\tau), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathfrak{G}$$

So ν is said to be a fuzzy measure and $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \star)$ is said to be a fuzzy measure space.

Definition 1.3. Consider $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M})$ and $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{N})$ measurable spaces, a mapping $j : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$ is called $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ measurable if $j^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}) \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathcal{N}$. We know $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ as σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} .

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Consider \mathfrak{X} is a metric (or topological) space, then every cotinuous $j : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable.

Proof. j is continuouse iff $j^{-1}(U)$ in \mathfrak{X} for every $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. \Box

Theorem 2.2. Consider $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M})$, $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ measurable spaces and $j : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, then the following statements are equivalence.

- (i) j is \mathcal{M} -measurable;
- (*ii*) $j^{-1}((q,\infty]) \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R};$
- (*iii*) $j^{-1}([q,\infty]) \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}.$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $j, i : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ are \mathcal{M} -measurable so $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathfrak{F}(p) = (j(d), \iota(p))$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable.

Proof. We know $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \bigotimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. So \mathfrak{F} is a $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable. \Box

Theorem 2.4. If $j, i : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ are \mathcal{M} -measurable, then $j + i : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ with (j + i)(p) = j(p) + i(p) is a \mathcal{M} -measurable.

Proof. Define $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathfrak{F}(p) = (\mathfrak{g}(p), \mathfrak{i}(p)), \phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(z, w) = z + w$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \bigotimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{F}$ is $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}})$ -measurable, wherease ϕ is $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable by theorem 2.1. Thus $\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{i} = \phi \circ \mathfrak{F}$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable. \Box

Theorem 2.5. If j_j is a sequence of \mathbb{R} -valued measurable functions on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M})$, then the functions $i_n(p) = \sup_{j \ge n} j_j(p)$, $i(p) = \limsup_{j \ge n} j_j(p)$, $\mathfrak{h}_n(p) = \inf_{j \ge n} j_j(p)$, $\mathfrak{h}(p) = \liminf_{j \ge n} j_j(p)$ are \mathcal{M} -measurable. If $j(p) = \lim_{j \to \infty} j_j(p)$ exists for every $p \in \mathfrak{X}$, then j is \mathcal{M} -measurable.

Proof. We have $i_n^{-1}((q, \infty]) = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} J_j^{-1}((q, \infty]) \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathfrak{h}_n^{-1}((q, \infty]) = \bigcap_{j=n}^{\infty} J_j^{-1}((q, \infty]) \in \mathcal{M}$, more generally, if $\mathfrak{h}_k(p) = \sup_{j\geq n} \mathfrak{I}_j(p)$ then \mathfrak{h}_k is measurable for each k, so $\iota(p) = \inf_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{h}_k(p)$ is measurable, and likewise for \mathfrak{h} . Finally, \mathfrak{I} exists then $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{h}$, so \mathfrak{I} is measurable. \Box

Corollary 2.6. If $j, i : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ are fuzzy measurable, then so $\max\{j, i\}$ and $\min\{j, i\}$.

Proof. We now discuss the functions that are building blocks for the theory of integration. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M})$ be a measurable space. If $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$, the characteristic function $\chi_{\mathfrak{E}}$ of \mathfrak{E} is given as

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{E}}(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \in \mathfrak{E}, \\ 0 & p \notin \mathfrak{E}. \end{cases}$$

 $\chi_{\mathfrak{E}}$ is measurable iff $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathcal{M}$. A simple function on \mathfrak{X} is a finite linear combination, with coefficients in \mathbb{R} , of characteristic functions of sets in \mathcal{M} . So $j: \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is simple iff j is measurable and the range of j is a finite subset of \mathbb{R} . Indeed, we have $j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_j}$, where $\mathfrak{E}_j = j^{-1}(\{z_j\})$ and $range(j) = \{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n\}$, we call this the standard representation of j. \Box

Theorem 2.7. If $j, i : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ are simple functions, so then j + i.

Theorem 2.8. Consider $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M})$ measurable space. If $j: \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is fuzzy measurable, there exist a sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ of fuzzy simple functions such that $0 \le \phi_1 \le \phi_2 \le \cdots \le j$ pointwise, and $\phi_n \to j$ uniformly on \mathfrak{X} .

Definition 2.9. Consider $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ measure space, define

 $L^+ = \left\{ \jmath : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{O} \to [0, \infty) \mid \ \jmath \text{ is measurable function and increase with second component} \right\}.$

Consider $\phi \in L^+$ a simple function by $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i}$, for every $q_i \ge 0$, we define the fuzzy integral of ϕ with respect to ν by

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau) = \star_{i=1}^{n} \nu(\mathfrak{E}_{i}, \frac{\tau}{q_{i}})$$

for every $\tau \in \mathcal{O}$. If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\phi \chi_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is also simple function and define $\int_{\mathfrak{A}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$ to be $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} (\phi \chi_{\mathfrak{A}})(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$.

Theorem 2.10. Consider ϕ and ψ simple functions in L^+ .

- (i) If $c \in \Xi$ then $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} (c\phi)(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \ge c \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$, if $c \in (1,\infty)$ then $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} (c\phi)(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \le c \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$, $\forall \tau \in \mho$;
- (*ii*) $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} (\phi + \psi)(p) d\nu(p, \tau) \ge \left(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p, \tau) \star \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \psi(p) d\nu(p, \tau) \right), \quad \forall \tau \in \mho;$
- (iii) If $\phi \leq \psi$, then $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \geq \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \psi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$, $\forall \tau \in \mho$;
- (iv) The map $\mathfrak{A} \to \int_{\mathfrak{A}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$ is a measure on \mathcal{C} , $\forall \tau \in \mathfrak{O}$.

Proof.

(i) : If $c \in \Xi$ then

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} (c\phi)(p)d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} ca_{i}\chi_{\mathfrak{E}_{i}}(p) \right) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \star_{i=1}^{n} \nu \left(\mathfrak{E}_{i}, \frac{\tau}{ca_{i}} \right) \\ \geq & \star_{i=1}^{n} \nu \left(\mathfrak{E}_{i}, \frac{\tau}{q_{i}} \right) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} (c\phi)(p)d\nu(p,\tau) \\ \geq & c \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p)d\nu(p,\tau), \end{split}$$

if If $c \in (1, \infty)$ then

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} (c\phi)(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} ca_{i}\chi_{\mathfrak{E}_{i}}(p) \right) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \star_{i=1}^{n} \nu \left(\mathfrak{E}_{i}, \frac{\tau}{ca_{i}} \right) \\ \leq & \star_{i=1}^{n} \nu \left(\mathfrak{E}_{i}, \frac{\tau}{q_{i}} \right) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} (c\phi)(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ \leq & c \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau). \end{split}$$

 ${\rm (ii)} \ :$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} (\phi + \psi)(p) d\nu(p, \tau) \tag{1}$$

$$= \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i}(p) \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j \chi_{\mathfrak{F}_j}(p) \right) \right) d\nu(p, \tau)$$

$$= \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i,j} (q_i + b_j) \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{F}_j}(p) \right) d\nu(p, \tau)$$

$$= \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \nu \left((\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{F}_j), \frac{\tau}{(q_i + b_j)} \right)$$

Since

$$\begin{split} &\left(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \star \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \psi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)\right) \\ = & \left(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_{i}}(p)\right) d\nu(p,\tau)\right) \star \left(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} \chi_{\mathfrak{F}_{j}}(p)\right) d\nu(p,\tau)\right) \\ = & \left(\star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{q_{i}}\right)\right) \star \left(\star_{j=1}^{m} \star_{i=1}^{n} \nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{b_{j}}\right)\right) \\ = & \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \left(\nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{q_{i}}\right) \star \nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{b_{j}}\right)\right) \\ \leq & \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \left(\nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{(q_{i} + b_{j})}\right) \star \nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{(q_{i} + b_{j})}\right)\right) \\ \leq & \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \left(\nu\left(\left(\mathfrak{E}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{j}\right), \frac{\tau}{(q_{i} + b_{j})}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

(2)

(iii) : If $\phi \leq \psi$, then $q_i \leq b_j$ whenever $\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{E}_j = \emptyset$, so

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i}(p) \right) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i \cup (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{E}_j)}(p) \right) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{E}_j}(p) \right) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ &= \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \nu \left((\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{F}_j), \frac{\tau}{q_i} \right) \\ &\geq \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{j=1}^{m} \nu \left((\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{F}_j), \frac{\tau}{b_j} \right) \\ &\geq \star_{j=1}^{m} \nu \left(\mathfrak{F}_j, \frac{\tau}{b_j} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \psi(p) d\nu(p,\tau). \end{split}$$

(iv) : Assume that $\mathfrak{A}_k \in \mathcal{C}$ is a disjoint sequence and $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{A}_k$ where,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathfrak{A}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} (\phi\chi_{\mathfrak{A}})(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{E}_i} \right) \chi_{\mathfrak{A}}(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \chi_{\cup_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mathfrak{A}_k \cap \mathfrak{E}_i)} \right) (p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\mathfrak{A}_k \cap \mathfrak{E}_i} \right) (p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\sum_{i,k} q_i \chi_{\mathfrak{A}_k \cap \mathfrak{E}_i} \right) (p) d\nu(p,\tau) \\ = & \star_{i=1}^{n} \star_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu \left((\mathfrak{E}_i \cap \mathfrak{A}_k), \frac{\tau}{q_i} \right) \\ = & \star_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{A}_k} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau). \end{split}$$

Now we will have the definition of integral with expansion for all functions $j \in L^+$ as follows

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{g}(p) d\nu(p,\tau) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \mid \quad 0 \le \phi \le \mathfrak{g}, \quad \phi \text{ is a simple} \right\}.$$
(3)

It is obvious from the definition that theorem 2.10 satisfying for every $j, i \in L^+$.

Theorem 2.11. Consider $j_n \in L^+$ such that $j_i \leq j_{i+1}$ for every i, and $j = \lim j_n (= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j_n)$, then $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} j(p) d\nu(p,\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} j_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$.

Proof. The sequence $\{j_n(p)\}$, for every $p \in \mathfrak{X}$, is an increasing sequence of numbers, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} j_n(p) = j(p)$, moreover $j_n(p) \leq j_n(p)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{g}(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \le \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{g}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \ge \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}(p) d\nu(p,\tau).$$
(4)

Now, Consider ϕ a simple function with $0 \le \phi \le j$ and $\mathfrak{E}_n = \{p \mid j_n(p) \ge \phi(p)\}$, then \mathfrak{E}_n is a measurable set. We claim $\mathfrak{E}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{E}_n = \mathfrak{X}$, sine for any $p \in \mathfrak{E}_n$

$$\phi(p) \le j_n(p) \le j_{n+1}(p),$$

so $p \in \mathfrak{E}_{n+1}$. If $p \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $p \notin \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{E}_n$, we have $j_n(p) \leq \phi(p)$, for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$, so $j(p) \leq \phi(p)$, it is a contradiction. Then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{E}_n = \mathfrak{X}$ and there is $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $p \in \mathfrak{E}_m$, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} j_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{E}_n} j_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{E}_n} \phi(p) d\nu(p,\tau)$$

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathfrak{E}_n}\phi(p)d\nu(p,\tau)=\int_{\mathfrak{X}}\phi(p)d\nu(p,\tau)\text{ and hence }\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathfrak{X}}\jmath_n(p)d\nu(p,\tau)\leq\int_{\mathfrak{X}}phi(p)d\nu(p,\tau).$ By taking the infimum over all simple $0\leq\phi\leq\jmath$, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \le \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}(p) d\nu(p,\tau).$$
(5)

From (4) and (5) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} j_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \lim_{n \to \infty} j_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} j(p) d\nu(p,\tau).$$

3 Application

In this section, as an application of the theorems raised in the previous section, we prove Fatou's lemma fuzzy measure space.

Theorem 3.1. If j_n is any sequence in L^+ , then

r

$$\liminf \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \liminf \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau).$$

Proof. For each $k \ge 1$, $\inf_{n \ge k} \mathfrak{I}_n \le \mathfrak{I}_j$ for $j \ge k$, hence

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \inf_{n \ge k} j_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \ge \int_{\mathfrak{X}} j_j(p) d\nu(p,\tau),$$

for $j \geq k$, hence

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \inf_{n \ge k} \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \ge \inf_{j \ge k} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}_j(p) d\nu(p,\tau).$$

Now let $k \to \infty$ and apply the monotone convergence theorem

$$\lim \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \inf_{n \ge k} \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \liminf \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau) \ge \liminf \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{z}_n(p) d\nu(p,\tau).$$

4 Conclusion

We worked on a new concept of fuzzy measurement. We define a new type of fuzzy measure with distance functions. With this concept, we introduced a new version of measurement theory and fuzzy integral and addressed theorems about it. As a continuation of this research, by defining the fuzzy outer measure, a new concept of fuzzy measurement can be defined and using it, new theorems in the fuzzy measure theory can be proposed.

Acknowledgements: The authors are thankful to the area editor for giving valuable comments and suggestions.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Altenbach H, Eremeyev V. Advances in Linear and Nonlinear Continuum and Structural Mechanics. Springer; 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43210-1
- [2] Bass RF. Real Analysis for Graduate Students: Measure and Integration Theory. CreateSpace, 2011.
- [3] Chaharpashlou R, Saadati R, Abdeljawad T. Existence, uniqueness and HUR stability of fractional integral equations by random matrix control functions in MMB-space. *Journal of Taibah University for Science*. 2021; 15(1): 574-578. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2021.1994787
- [4] Chaharpashlou R, ORegan D, Park C, Saadati R. C*-Algebra valued fuzzy normed spaces with application of HyersUlam stability of a random integral equation. Advances in Difference Equations. 2020; 2020(1): 326. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02780-0
- [5] Hadzic O, Pap E. Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1560-7.
- [6] Nazarianpoor M, Rassias JM, Sadeghi Gh. Solution and stability of quatturvigintic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*. 2018; 15(4): 13-30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22111/IJFS.2018.4112
- Saadati R, Vaezpour SM. Some results on fuzzy Banach spaces. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing. 2005; 17(1-2): 475-484. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02936069
- [8] Vijayakumar S, Karthikeyan S, Rassias JM, Baskaran B. A quartic functional equation originating from the sum of the medians of a triangle in fuzzy normed space. In: American Institute of Physics Conference Series. 2020. p.020006. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0028290

Reza Chaharpashlou Department of Mathematics Jundi-Shapur University of Technology Dezful, Iran E-mail: chaharpashlou@jsu.ac.ir

Ehsan Lotfali Ghasab Department of Mathematics Jundi-Shapur University of Technology Dezful, Iran E-mail: e.l.ghasab@jsu.ac.ir

• By the Authors. Published by Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas Branch. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/