



## A New Modified Homotopy Perturbation Method for Solving Linear Second-Order Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations

M. Sotoodeh <sup>a,\*</sup> and M. A. Fariborzi Araghi <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a,b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch,  
P.O.Box 13185.768 Iran;

Received: 12 March 2012; Accepted: 19 August 2012.

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we tried to accelerate the rate of convergence in solving second-order Fredholm type Integro-differential equations using a new method which is based on Improved homotopy perturbation method (IHPM) and applying accelerating parameters. This method is very simple and the result is obtained very fast.

---

**Keywords:** Improved homotopy perturbation method, Laplace transform, Fredholm integro-differential equation.

### Index to information contained in this paper

1. Introduction
2. The new method
3. Numerical examples
4. Conclusion

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this work is to decrease the size of operation and simplify the calculations. In fact, this paper is an enhanced version of [8] which applied simple accelerating parameters for solving second-order Fredholm type Integro-differential equations. In [8] the exact solution was obtained but with complex calculations, while in this work, accelerating parameters have been modified to decrease this complexity and reduce the convergence time. Both these works are based on Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) [4, 6] and Improved version of it [10, 12]. Consider the second-order Fredholm type Integro-differential equation,

$$y''(x) = my'(x) + ny(x) + \int_a^b k(x,t)y(t) d(x) + f(x) \quad a \leq x \leq b \quad (1)$$

with the following initial conditions

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: sotoodehmona@gmail.com

$$y(0) = A, \quad y'(0) = B \quad (2)$$

Let

$$L(u) = u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - \int_a^b k(x,t)u(t) d(x) - f(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

with the solution  $u(x) = y(x)$ . Define  $H(u, p)$  by

$$H(u, p) = F(u), \quad H(u, 1) = L(u) \quad (4)$$

where  $F(u)$  is a functional operator with solution, say,  $u_0$ , which can be obtained easily. We may choose a convex homotopy

$$H(u, p) = (1 - p)F(u) + pL(u) = 0 \quad (5)$$

and continuously trace an implicitly defined curve from a starting point  $H(u_0, 0)$  to a solution  $H(y, 1)$  [9, 11]. The embedding parameter  $p$  monotonically increase from 0 to 1 as the trivial problem  $F(u) = 0$  continuously deformed to the original problem  $L(u) = 0$ . The HPM uses the homotopy parameter  $p \in [0, 1]$  as an expanding parameter [2], to obtain

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i u_i(x) = u_0(x) + pu_1(x) + p^2 u_2(x) + \dots \quad (6)$$

When  $p \rightarrow 1$ , (5) corresponds to (3) and becomes the approximate solution of (3), i.e.

$$y(x) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x). \quad (7)$$

It is well known that the series (7) is convergent in most cases, and also the rate of convergence depend on  $L(u)$ . Taking  $F(u) = u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - f(x)$  and substituting (6) to (5) and equating the terms with identical power of  $p$ , we have

$$p^0 : u''_0(x) - mu'_0(x) - nu_0(x) - f(x) = 0, \quad u_0(0) = A, \quad u'_0(0) = B \quad (8)$$

$$p^n : u''_n(x) - mu'_n(x) - nu_n(x) - \int_a^b k(x,t)u_{n-1}(t) dt = 0, \quad u_n(0) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In the next section the new method is presented.

**2. The new method**

In this section we aim Laplace transforms [8] and combines it with IHPM to be able to solve second-order Fredholm type integro-differential equations with the following kernel  $K(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(x) h_i(t)$ .

At the first step, we consider  $k(x, t) = g(x) h(t)$ , so we define a new convex homotopy perturbation [1] as

$$H(u, p, m) = (1 - p) F(u) + pL(u) + p(1 - p) mk^*s = 0, \tag{10}$$

Where

$$F(u) = u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - f(x)$$

$$L(u) = u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - \int_a^b k(x, t) u(t) dt - f(x) = 0$$

and

$$k^*s = \int_a^b k(x, t) u_0(t) dt,$$

hence we can write

$$(1 - p)(u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - f(x)) + p(u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - \int_a^b g(x)h(t)u(t) dt - f(x)) + mp(1 - p)k^*s = 0,$$

or

$$u''(x) - mu'(x) - nu(x) - f(x) - pg(x) \int_a^b h(t)u(t) dt + mpk^*s - mp^2k^*s = 0 \tag{11}$$

Substituting (6) in (11) and equating the terms with equal power of  $p$ , we obtain

$$p^0 : u''_0(x) - mu'_0(x) - nu_0(x) = f(x), \quad u_0(0) = A, \quad u'_0(0) = B,$$

whose solution with Laplace Transformation is

$$u_0(x) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{F(p) + pA + B - mA}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \tag{12}$$

$$p^1 : u''_1(x) - mu'_1(x) - nu_1(x) - \int_a^b k(x,t) u_0(t) dt + mk^*s = 0, \quad u_1(0) = 0, \quad u'_1(0) = 0,$$

Or

$$u''_1(x) - mu'_1(x) - nu_1(x) = (1 - m) k^*s, \quad u_1(0) = 0, \quad u'_1(0) = 0,$$

$$k^*s = \int_a^b k(x,t) u_0(t) dt,$$

$$u_1(x) = (1 - m) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{K^*(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \quad (13)$$

$$p^2 : u''_2(x) - mu'_2(x) - nu_2(x) - \int_a^b k(x,t) u_1(t) dt - mk^*s = 0, \quad u_2(0) = 0, \quad u'_2(0) = 0$$

$$u''_2(x) - mu'_2(x) - nu_2(x) = (1 - m) \int_a^b k(x,t) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{K^*(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} (t) dt + mk^*s$$

where

$$\alpha = \int_a^b h(t) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{K^*(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} dt,$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u''_2(x) - mu'_2(x) - nu_2(x) &= (1 - m) \alpha g(x) + mg(x) \int_a^b h(t) u_0(t) dt \\ &= [(1 - m) \alpha + mk_1^*s] g(x) \end{aligned}$$

Which

$$k_1^*s = \int_a^b h(t) u_0(t) dt \quad (14)$$

so we obtain

$$u_2(x) = [(1 - m) \alpha + mk_1^*s] \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{G(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\}, \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{cases} p^3 : u''_3(x) - mu'_3(x) - nu_3(x) - \int_a^b k(x,t) u_2(t) dt = 0, \\ u_2(0) = 0, \quad u'_2(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and in general

$$\begin{cases} u''_n(x) - mu'_n(x) - nu_n(x) - g(x) \int_a^b h(t) u_{n-1}(t) dt = 0, \\ u_n(0) = 0, u'_n(0) = 0, \quad n = 3, 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

Now we find m such that  $u_2(x) = 0$ , since if  $u_2(x) = 0$  then  $u_3(x) = u_4(x) = u_5(x) = \dots = 0$ , and the exact solution will be obtained as  $u(x) = u_0(x) + u_1(x)$ , hence for all values of  $x$  we should have

$$[(1 - m)\alpha + mk_1^*s] = 0,$$

Or

$$m = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - k_1^*s} = \frac{\int_a^b h(t) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{K^*(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} dt}{\int_a^b h(t) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{K^*(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} dt - \int_a^b h(t) u_0(t) dt} \tag{16}$$

Now, we consider the general case

$$(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(x) h_i(t).$$

Here we choose the convex homotopy as follow:

$$H(u, p, m) = (1 - p)f(u) + pL(u) + p(1 - p) \sum_{i=1}^N m_i k^* s_i = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$u''_0(x) - mu'_0(x) - nu_0(x) = f(x), \quad u_0(0) = A, u'_0(0) = B,$$

and the solution is

$$u_0(x) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{F(p) + pA + B - mA}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \tag{18}$$

$$u''_1(x) - mu'_1(x) - nu_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_a^b k_i(x, t) u_0(t) dt - m_i k^* s_i$$

so we have

$$u_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ (1 - m_i) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k^*_i(P)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u''_2(x) - mu'_2(x) - nu_2(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_a^b k_i(x,t) u_1(t) dt + m_i k^* s_i \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_a^b k_i(x,t) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ (1 - m_j) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k^*_j(P)(t)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \right] \right) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + m_i k^* s_i \right) \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

⋮

$$u''_n(x) - mu'_n(x) - nu_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_a^b k_i(x,t) u_{n-1}(t) dt \right),$$

We try to find the parameter  $m_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , such that  $u_2(x) = u_3(x) = \dots = 0$ , hence from (18) for every  $x \in [a, b]$ , we should have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(x) &= g_1(x) \left[ (1 - m_1) \alpha_1 + k^* s_1 m_1 \pm \sum_{i \neq 1}^n (1 - m_i) \alpha_i \right] \\
&\pm g_2(x) \left[ (1 - m_2) \beta_2 + k^* s_2 m_2 \pm \sum_{i \neq 2}^n (1 - m_i) \beta_i \right] \tag{20} \\
&\pm \dots \pm g_n(x) \left[ (1 - m_n) \gamma_n + k^* s_n m_n \pm \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - m_i) \gamma_i \right]
\end{aligned}$$

For having  $u_2(x) = 0$ , we should solve the system of equations

$$\begin{cases}
(k^* s_1 \pm \alpha_1) m_1 - \sum_{i \neq 1}^n m_i \alpha_i = \alpha_1 \pm \sum_{i \neq 1}^n \alpha_i \\
(k^* s_2 \pm \beta_2) m_1 - \sum_{i \neq 2}^n m_i \beta_i = \beta_2 \pm \sum_{i \neq 2}^n \beta_i \\
\vdots \\
(k^* s_1 \pm \gamma_n) m_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \gamma_i = \gamma_n \pm \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_i
\end{cases}$$

where

$$k^* s_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_a^b h_i(t) u_0(t) dt, \tag{21}$$

$$\alpha_i = \int_a^b h_1(t) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k^*_i(P)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \right] \right], \tag{22}$$

$$\beta_i = \int_a^b h_2(t) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k^*_i(P)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \right] \right], \tag{23}$$

$$\gamma_i = \int_a^b h_n(t) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k^*_i(P)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\} \right] \right]. \tag{24}$$

### 3. Numerical examples

*Example 3.1*

$$u''(x) = x - \sin x - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} xtu(t) dt, \quad u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 1 \tag{25}$$

with the exact solution  $u(x) = \sin x$  [3].

$$p^0 : u''_0(x) = x - \sin x, \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u'_0(0) = 1,$$

Using Laplace Transport are obtained

$$u_0(x) = \sin x + \frac{x^3}{6},$$

$$p^1 : u''_1(x) = (-1 - m)k^*s, \quad u_1(0) = 0, \quad u'_1(0) = 0,$$

Hence using (13) – (16) in the required order, we get

$$k^*s = \left(\frac{\pi^5}{960} + 1\right)x, \quad m = \frac{-\frac{\pi^5}{960}}{1 + \frac{\pi^5}{960}} \tag{26}$$

so we have,

$$u_1(x) = (-1 - m) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k^*s(p)}{p^2 - mp - n} \right\},$$

Hence we obtain,

$$u_1(x) = \frac{-x^3}{6},$$

and the solution will be obtained as

$$u(x) = u_0(x) + u_1(x) = \sin x,$$

*Example 3.2*

$$u''(x) = x - 2 + 60 \int_0^1 (x-t) u(t) dt, \quad u(0) = 0, u'(0) = 1, \quad (27)$$

with the exact solution  $u(x) = x(x-1)^2$  [8].  
In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x - 2, & m &= 0, & n &= 0, \\ a &= 0, & b &= 1, & g_1(x) &= 60x, \\ g_2(x) &= -60, & h_1(t) &= 1 & \text{and} & h_2(t) = t \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$p^0 : u''_0(x) = x - 2, \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u'_0(0) = 1$$

By applying the Laplace transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= \frac{x^3}{6} - x^2 + x, \\ p^1 : u''_1(x) &= (1 - m_1) x k^* s_1 - (1 - m_2) k^* s_2 \quad u_1(0) = 0, u'_1(0) = 0 \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

From (21) – (24), we have

$$k^* s_1 = \frac{25}{2}, \quad k^* s_2 = 7, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{125}{4}, \quad \alpha_2 = 70, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{105}{8}, \quad \beta_2 = 25,$$

From

$$\begin{cases} (\frac{25}{2} - \frac{125}{4})m_1 + 70m_2 = 70 - \frac{125}{4} \\ (7 + \frac{105}{8})m_2 - 25m_1 = \frac{105}{8} - 25 \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

So we obtain

$$m_1 = \frac{3}{5}, \quad m_2 = \frac{5}{7} \quad (30)$$

now, white replacing (30) in (29) we can write

$$u''_1(x) = 5x - 2, \quad u_1(0) = 0, \quad u'_1(0) = 0,$$



So we have,

$$u_1(x) = \frac{5x^3}{6} - x^2,$$

and the solution will be obtained as

$$u(x) = u_0(x) + u_1(x) = x(x^2 - 1),$$

which is the exact solution.

*Example 3.3* Consider

$$u''(x) = -2u'(x) - 5u(x) + 3e^{-x} \sin x + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^t u(t) dt, \quad u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 2, \quad (31)$$

with the exact solution  $u(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} \sin(2x) + e^{-x} \sin x$  [9].  
As in the previous examples we obtain

$$p^0 : u''_0(x) + 2u'_0(x) + 5u_0(x) = 3e^{-x} \sin x, \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u'_0(0) = 2,$$

Now, we apply the Laplace transform, so we have

$$u_0(x) = e^{-x} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2 + 4} \right\} + e^{-x} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2 + 1} \right\},$$

Hence, we obtain

$$u_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} \sin(2x) + e^{-x} \sin x, \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u'_0(0) = 2 \quad (32)$$

$$p^1 : u''_1(x) + 2u'_1(x) + 5u_1(x) = (1 - m)k^*s, \quad u_1(0) = 0, \quad u'_1(0) = 0 \quad (33)$$

where

$$k^*s = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^t \left( \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} \sin(2t) + e^{-t} \sin t \right) dt = 0,$$

With placing (34) in (33), we obtain

$$u_1(x) = 0$$

So

$$u(x) = u_0(x) + u_1(x) = 0, \quad (34)$$

It is clear that the exact result is obtained just by one iteration.

#### 4. Conclusion

As it was seen in the previous sections, we successfully obtained the exact solution by modifying the accelerating parameters. Besides, the number of iteration is reduced compared to previous method. The new method is totally effective, stable and error free.

#### References

- [1] Aghazadeh N., Mohammadi S. ,A modified Homotopy Perturbation Method for Solving Linear and Nonlinear Integral Equations,**13 (3)** (2012), 308–316.
- [2] Alizadeh S.R., Domairry G.G and Karimpour S., An approximation of the analytical solution of the linear and nonlinear integro-differential equations by homotopy perturbation method. *Acta Appl. Math.*, **104 (3)** ( 2008), 355–366.
- [3] Alizadeh R., Domairry G.G. and Karimpour S. ,An Approximation of the Analytical Solution of the Linear and Nonlinear Integro-Differential equations by Homotopy Perturbation Method, *Acta Appl Math* (2008) , 355–366.
- [4] He J.H., Homotopy perturbation technique. *Compute. Methods Appl. Mech. Engr.*, **178** (1999), 257–262.
- [5] He J.H., A coupling method of a homotopy technique and a perturbation technique for non-linear problems. *Internet J. Non-linear Mech.*, **35** (2000), 37–43.
- [6] He J.H., Homotopy perturbation method: A new non-linear analytical technique. *Appl. Math. Compute*, **135** (2003), 73–79.
- [7] Najafi J.S. and GhorbaniA., Hes homotopy perturbation method: An effective tool for solving non-linear integral and integro-differential equations. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **58** (2009), 2379–2390.
- [8] Sotoodeh M. and Araghi M.A.F., A coupling method of improved homotopy perturbation technique and Laplace transform for solving second order Fredholm integro-differential equation. *Far East Journal of Applied Math.*, **60 (2)** (2011), 117–13
- [9] Wazwaz A.M., *A First Course in Integral Equations*. World Scientific Press. (1997)
- [10] Yusufoglu E., Homotopy perturbation method for solving a nonlinear system of second order boundary value problem. *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **8 (3)** (2007), 353–358.
- [11] Yusufoglu E., A homotopy perturbation algorithm to solve a system of Fredholm.Volterra type integral equations. *Math. Comput. Modelling.*, doi:10.1016/j.mcm.(2007) .06.022.
- [12] Yusufolu E., Improved homotopy perturbation method for solving Fredholm type integro-differential equations. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **41 (1)** (2009), 28–37.