

## **An Approximation Method for Fuzzy Fixed-Charge Transportation Problem**

M. Sanei<sup>a</sup> and A. Mahmoodirad<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran,*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Masjed-Soleiman Branch, Islamic Azad University, Masjed-Soleiman, Iran.*

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we develop the fuzzy fixed charge transportation problem when the costs are the fuzzy numbers. The first step it transform into the classical fuzzy transportation problem. The next, we obtain the best approximation fuzzy on the optimal value of the fuzzy fixed-charge transportation problem. This method obtains a lower and upper bounds both on the fuzzy optimal value of the fuzzy fixed-charge transportation problem which can be easily obtained by using the approximation solution. Finally, the results of this paper have been illustrated by a numerical example.

---

Received: 03 December 2018, Revised: 03 March 2019, Accepted: 22 March 2019.

**Keywords:** Fixed charge Transportation problem; fuzzy numbers; Transportation problem; Approximation.

1. **Introduction**
2. **Preliminaries**
3. **Fixed-charge transportation problem**
4. **The solution scheme**
5. **Numerical example**
6. **Conclusion**

### **1. Introduction**

A special version of the transportation problem (TP) is the fixed-charge transportation problem (FCTP). In the FCTP, each route is associated with a fixed cost and a transportation cost per unit shipped. Since problems with fixed charge are usually NP-hard problems [1], the computational time to obtain exact solutions increases in the distinguished Class P of problems and very quickly become extremely long as the size of the problem increase [1]. Thus, any method which provides a good solution should be considered useful.

According to the available literature, a wide range of different strategies are used in order to find an optimal solution for FCTPs. Generally, the solving methods of the FCTP can be classified as: exact, heuristic and meta-heuristic methods.

Many researchers attempted to solve the small size FCTP using heuristic methods. Although heuristic methods are usually computationally efficient, the major disadvantage of heuristic methods is the possibility of terminating at a local optimum that is far distant from the global optimum. And, the meta-heuristic methods were proposed to solve such hard optimization problems [7,13,15]. All of the aforementioned literatures briefly introduce the FCTP concept in an effort to familiarize the reader with the underlying theory and then present the approaches with precise data to solve the FCTP. In fact, for

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: alimahmoodirad@gmail.com

each possible transportation pattern in the real world, some or all the parameters are not only well-defined, precise data, but also vague or fuzzy data [8,14,17]. Zadeh [18] presented the fuzzy set theory for the first time to handle the unclarity of human's decision making. The role of fuzzy sets in decision processes is best described in the original statements of Bellman and Zadeh [3]. Thus, decision processes are better described and solved by using fuzzy set theory, rather than precise approaches [12]. To this end, the application of the fuzzy set theory to the linear programming and multi-criteria decision making problems was proposed by Zimmermann [19]. Chanas et al. [5] presented a fuzzy linear programming model to solve TP with fuzzy supply and demand values. Chanas and Kuchta [6] developed an algorithm to obtain the optimal solution based on type of TPs with fuzzy coefficients. Kaur and Kumar [11] tried to propose a new approach to solve a special type of fuzzy TPs by representing the transportation costs as generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers (GTFNs). As far as we know, with regard to solve the fuzzy fixed-charge transportation problem (FFCTP), no research has been done. Therefore, any method which provides a good solution for it will be distinguished. In order to, the present paper, first, tries to convert the FFCTP into the fuzzy transportation problem (FTP) by using the development of Balinski's formula. This becomes a linear version of the FFCTP for the next stage, and then, tries to obtain a fuzzy initial basic feasible solution and optimal solution both of the linear version of the FFCTP by using one of the well-known methods, such as generalized north-west corner method, generalized fuzzy least-cost method, generalized fuzzy Vogel's approximation method and fuzzy modified distribution method [11].

Most of the literatures on the FTP topic are only concerned with the normal fuzzy numbers instead of the generalized fuzzy numbers. They, first, try to convert the generalized fuzzy numbers into the normal fuzzy numbers by using the normalization process and then try to solve the real life problems by considering them. There is a serious disadvantage of the normalization process [10]. But in many real-world applications, it is not possible to restrict the membership function to the normal form, and we should avoid it. To this end, a method which is called the best approximation method is proposed to find an approximation solution close to the optimal solution for the FFCTP when the transportation cost and fixed cost are the GTFNs. The proposed method obtains a lower and upper bounds both on the fuzzy optimal value of the FFCTP which can be easily obtained by using the approximation solution. This is an important advantage of the proposed method.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 1, some basic definitions and arithmetic operations between two the GTFNs are reviewed. Then, formulation of the fixed-charge transportation problem is recalled. Later, The FFCTP is presented. In the next section, we proposed the best approximation method to the FFCTP. To explain the method, a numerical example is solved in section 3. Finally conclusions are pointed out in the last section.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly review some fundamental definitions and basic notation of the fuzzy set theory in which will be used in this paper.

**Definition 2.1** (Kaufmann & Gupta, 1988) If  $X$  is a collection of objects denoted generically by  $x$ , then a fuzzy set in  $X$  is a set of ordered pairs,  $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \tilde{A}(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ , where  $\tilde{A}(x)$  is called the membership function which associates with each  $x \in X$  a number in  $[0,1]$  indicating to what degree  $x$  is a number.

**Definition 2.2** ([10]) A fuzzy set  $\tilde{A}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a fuzzy number if the following conditions hold:

- (a) Its membership function is piecewise continuous function.
- (b) There exist three intervals  $[a, b]$ ,  $[b, c]$  and  $[c, d]$  such that  $\tilde{A}$  is strictly increasing on  $[a, b]$ , equal to 1 on  $[b, c]$ , strictly decreasing on  $[c, d]$  and equal to 0 elsewhere.

**Definition 2.3** ([10]) A fuzzy number  $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d)$  is said to be a trapezoidal fuzzy number (TFN) if its membership function is given by

$$\tilde{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leq x < b \\ 1, & b \leq x \leq c \\ \frac{x-d}{c-d}, & c < x \leq d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.4** ([4]) A fuzzy set  $\tilde{A}$ , defined  $\mathbb{R}$ , is said to be generalized fuzzy number if the following conditions hold:

- (a) Its membership function is piecewise continuous function.
- (b) There exist two intervals  $[a, b]$  and  $[c, d]$  such that  $\tilde{A}$  is strictly increasing on  $[a, b]$  and strictly decreasing on  $[c, d]$ .
- (c)  $\tilde{A}(x) = w$  For all  $x \in [a, b]$  where  $0 \leq w < 1$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([4]) A fuzzy number  $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d; w)$  is said to be a generalized trapezoidal fuzzy number (GTFN) if its membership function is given by

$$\tilde{A}(x) = \begin{cases} w \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leq x < b \\ w, & b \leq x \leq c \\ w \frac{x-d}{c-d}, & c < x \leq d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If  $w = 1$ , then the GTFN  $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d; w)$  is called a TFN and denoted as  $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c)$ .

Let  $\tilde{A} = (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1; w_1)$  and  $\tilde{B} = (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2; w_2)$  be two GTFNs. Define,

$$\tilde{A} \oplus \tilde{B} = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2, d_1 + d_2; w) \text{ where } w = \min\{w_1, w_2\}$$

$$\tilde{A} \ominus \tilde{B} = (a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2, c_1 - c_2, d_1 - d_2; w) \text{ where } w = \min\{w_1, w_2\},$$

$$\theta \tilde{A} = \begin{cases} (\theta a_1, \theta b_1, \theta c_1, \theta d_1; w_1) & \theta > 0, \\ (\theta d_1, \theta c_1, \theta b_1, \theta a_1; w_1) & \theta < 0, \end{cases}$$

### 2.1 Ranking function

A ranking function is suited to compare the fuzzy numbers. A ranking function is defined as,  $R: F(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $F(\mathbb{R})$  is a set of fuzzy numbers, that is, a mapping which maps

each fuzzy number into the real line. Now, suppose that  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  be two GTFNs. Therefore,

1.  $R(\tilde{A}) > R(\tilde{B})$  iff  $\tilde{A} > \tilde{B}$  i.e.,  $\text{minimum}\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\} = \tilde{B}$ ,
2.  $R(\tilde{A}) < R(\tilde{B})$  iff  $\tilde{A} < \tilde{B}$  i.e.,  $\text{minimum}\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\} = \tilde{A}$ ,
3.  $R(\tilde{A}) = R(\tilde{B})$  iff  $\tilde{A} \approx \tilde{B}$  i.e.,  $\text{minimum}\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\} = \tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$ .

**Remark 2.1** ([4]) Let  $\tilde{A} = (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1; w_1)$  be any GTFN, Then

$$R(\tilde{A}) = w_1 \left( \frac{a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1}{4} \right).$$

Now, let  $\tilde{A} = (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1; w_1)$  and  $\tilde{B} = (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2; w_2)$  be two GTFNs, then to compare  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$ , we use the following steps [11].

1. Find  $w = \text{minimum}(w_1, w_2)$ .
2. Find  $R(\tilde{A}) = w \left( \frac{a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1}{4} \right)$ , and  $R(\tilde{B}) = w \left( \frac{a_2 + b_2 + c_2 + d_2}{4} \right)$ .
3. i) If  $R(\tilde{A}) > R(\tilde{B})$  then  $\tilde{A} > \tilde{B}$ ,  
 ii) If  $R(\tilde{A}) < R(\tilde{B})$  then  $\tilde{A} < \tilde{B}$ ,  
 iii) If  $R(\tilde{A}) = R(\tilde{B})$  then  $\tilde{A} \approx \tilde{B}$ .

### 3. Fixed-charge transportation problem

Consider a TP with  $m$  sources and  $n$  destinations. Each of the source  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  has  $S_i$  units of supply, and each the destination  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  has a demand of  $D_j$  units and also, each of the  $m$  source can ship to any of the  $n$  destinations at a shipping cost per unit  $c_{ij}$  plus a fixed cost  $f_{ij}$  assumed for opening this route  $(i, j)$ . Let  $x_{ij}$  denote the number of units to be shipped from the source  $i$  to the destination  $j$ . We need to determine which routes are to be opened and the size of the shipment on those routes, so that the total cost of meeting demand, given the supply constraints, is minimized. Then, the FCTP is the following mixed integer programming problem [2].

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Min} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (c_{ij} x_{ij} + f_{ij} y_{ij}) \\
 & \text{s.t.} \\
 & \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = S_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = D_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 & x_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 & y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & x_{ij} > 0, \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $c_{ij}$  and  $f_{ij}$  are the real numbers.

Without losing generality, we assume that the TP is balanced. Let TP be unbalanced, then by introducing a dummy source or a dummy destination it can be converted to a balanced TP. Despite of its similarity to the conventional TP, the FCTP is significantly harder to solve because of the discontinuity in the objective function introduced by the fixed costs.

### 3.1 Fuzzy fixed-charge transportation problem

Now, we assume that the transportation cost and the fixed cost to open a route  $(i, j)$  denote by  $c_{ij}$  and  $f_{ij}$ , respectively, which are not deterministic numbers, but they are the GTFNs, so, total transportation costs become fuzzy as well. The fuzzy fixed-charge transportation problem (FFCTP) is the following mathematical form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Min} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij}x_{ij} \oplus \tilde{f}_{ij}y_{ij}) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \\
 & \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = S_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = D_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 & x_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 & y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & x_{ij} > 0, \\ 0, & o.w. \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where,  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  and  $\tilde{f}_{ij}$  are the GTFNs.

Balinski [2] proposed an approximation solution with heuristic method for the FCTP. This paper tries to develop the Balinski’s heuristic method for the FFCTP. To do so, first, suppose that both of the transportation cost and the fixed cost are GTFNs as  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  and  $\tilde{f}_{ij}$ , respectively, then the Balinski matrix is obtained by formulating a linear version of the FFCTP by relaxing the integer restriction on  $y_{ij}$  in the objective function of model (2) as follows:

$$y_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{M_{ij}}, \text{ where } M_{ij} = \min\{S_i, D_j\}.$$

So, the linear version of the FFCTP can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Min} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij} \oplus \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}})x_{ij} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \\
 & \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = S_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = D_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 & x_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We call this “the Approximation Fuzzy Transportation Problem (AFTP)” in which the unit transportation cost is recalculated according to:

$$C_{ij} = \tilde{c}_{ij} \oplus \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}}.$$

The AFTP is the classical FTP with the fuzzy transportation costs.

Assume that,  $\{x_{ij}^*\}$  is the optimal solution of the AFTP. It can be easily modified into a feasible solution  $\{x'_{ij}, y'_{ij}\}$  of (2) as follows:

$$x'_{ij} = y'_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad x_{ij}^* = 0,$$

and

$$x'_{ij} = x_{ij}^* \quad \text{and} \quad y'_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x_{ij}^* > 0.$$

**Theorem 3.1** The optimal value of the AFTP provides a lower bound to the optimal objective value of problem (2).

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_{ij}^*\}$  be an arbitrary optimal solution of the AFTP, and  $\{\bar{x}_{ij}, \bar{y}_{ij}\}$  be an optimal solution for (2), where  $\bar{y}_{ij} = 1$  if  $\bar{x}_{ij} > 0$ . Since  $\{\bar{x}_{ij}\}$  is a feasible solution of the AFTP, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij} \oplus \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}}) x_{ij}^* &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij} \oplus \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}}) \bar{x}_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij} \bar{x}_{ij} \oplus \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}} \bar{x}_{ij}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij} \bar{x}_{ij} \oplus \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{\bar{x}_{ij}} \bar{x}_{ij}) \quad \text{Since} \quad \bar{x}_{ij} \leq M_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{c}_{ij} \bar{x}_{ij} \oplus \tilde{f}_{ij} \bar{y}_{ij}) \quad \text{Since} \quad \bar{y}_{ij} = 1 = \frac{\bar{x}_{ij}}{\bar{x}_{ij}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose that  $\{\bar{x}'_{ij}, \bar{y}'_{ij}\}$  is an arbitrary feasible solution of the FFCTP. Then, the objective value of  $\{\bar{x}'_{ij}, \bar{y}'_{ij}\}$  of (2) provides an upper bound to the optimal value of (2).

**Proof.** Its Proof is straightforward.

**Corollary 3.1** According to the above theorems, the optimal value of the FFCTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^*$ ) is between the optimal value of the AFTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{AFTP}^*$ ) and the objective value of an arbitrary feasible solution of the FFCTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}$ ). That is,

$$Z_L^* = \tilde{Z}_{AFTP}^* \leq \tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^* \leq \tilde{Z}_{FFCTP} = \tilde{Z}_U^*.$$

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $\{x'_{ij}, y'_{ij}\}$  be a feasible solution of (2), and using this solution  $\tilde{Z}_L^* = \tilde{Z}_U^*$ .

Then  $\{x'_{ij}, y'_{ij}\}$  is an optimal solution of (2) and  $\tilde{Z}_L^* = \tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^* = \tilde{Z}_U^*$ .

#### 4. The solution scheme

In this section, a method is proposed as the best approximation method, to find an approximation solution to the optimal solution of the FFCTP. Its steps are as follow:

**Step1.** Convert the given the FFCTP into the FTP as the AFTP by using the following formula:

$$\tilde{C}_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}} \oplus \tilde{c}_{ij} \text{ where } M_{ij} = \min\{S_i, D_j\}.$$

**Step2.** Apply one of the well-known methods, as such generalized north-west corner method, the generalized fuzzy least-cost method, or the generalized fuzzy Vogel's approximation method (Kaur & Kumar, 2012) to obtain an initial basic feasible solution of the AFTP.

**Step3.** Apply fuzzy modified distribution method (Kaur & Kumar, 2012) to obtain a fuzzy optimal solution of the AFTP.

**Step4.** Provide a lower bound ( $\tilde{Z}_L^*$ ) on the optimal value of the FFCTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^*$ ) according to the theorem 1, by calculating the optimal value of the AFTP.

**Step5.** Provide an upper bound ( $\tilde{Z}_U^*$ ) on the optimal value of the FFCTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^*$ ) according to theorem 2, by calculating the objective value of an arbitrary feasible solution of the FFCTP.

#### 5. Numerical example

Suppose that a company has three factories in three different cities of 1, 2 and 3. The goods of these factories are assembled and sent to the major markets in the three other cities. The demand ( $S_i, i=1,2,3$ ), supply ( $D_j, j=1,2,3$ ) for the cities and the transportation cost associated with each route ( $i, j$ ) are given by the Table 1. Let's also assume that there is a fixed cost in this transportation problem. Namely, the cost of sending no units along route ( $i, j$ ) is zero; but any positive shipment incurs a fixed cost plus a cost proportional to the number of unites transported. Notice that both quantities of the transportation cost ( $\tilde{c}_{ij}, i, j=1,2,3$ ) and the fixed cost ( $\tilde{f}_{ij}, i, j=1,2,3$ ) are fuzzy numbers in this example as shown by the Table 1.

Table1. The fuzzy transportation costs and the fuzzy fixed costs for the numerical example.

		$D_1 = 10$	$D_2 = 30$	$D_3 = 10$
$S_1 = 15$	$S_2 = 20$		((1,2,5,9;0.4),(7,9,13,20;0.3))	((2,5,8,18;0.5),(3,8,18,28;0.1))
$S_2 = 20$	$S_3 = 15$		((3,5,8,12;0.2),(8,13,17,20;0.4))	((7,9,13,28;0.4),(6,18,25,40;0.2))
$S_3 = 15$		((11,12,20,27;0.5),(0,3,8,10;0.2))	((0,5,10,15;0.8),(5,7,18,23;0.5))	((4,5,8,11;0.6), (7,17,20,28;0.3))

The above problem is balanced, because  $\sum_{i=1}^3 S_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 D_j = 50$ . A lower and upper bounds

both for the fuzzy optimal value of the FFCTP in the given example by using the approximation method, proposed in section 5, can be obtained as follows.

**Step1.** The transportation Table with fuzzy quantities for the cost of the problem using

$\tilde{C}_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{f}_{ij}}{M_{ij}} \oplus \tilde{c}_{ij}$  is shown in Table2:

Table2. The transportation table of numerical example.

	$D_1 = 10$	$D_2 = 30$	$D_3 = 10$
$S_1 = 15$	(1.3, 4.5, 9.8, 20; 0.3)	(1.47, 2.6, 5.87, 10.33; 0.3)	(2.3, 5.8, 9.8, 20.8; 0.1)
$S_2 = 20$	(8.2, 9.5, 12.9, 27.3; 0.5)	(3.4, 5.65, 8.85, 13; 0.2)	(7.6, 10.8, 15.5, 32; 0.2)
$S_3 = 15$	(11, 12.3, 20.8, 28; 0.2)	(0.33, 5.47, 11.2, 16.53; 0.5)	(4.7, 6.7, 10, 13.8; 0.3)

**Step2.** The initial solution of the AFTP with the generalized north-west corner method is shown in Table3:

Table3. The initial solution of numerical example.

	$D_1 = 10$	$D_2 = 30$	$D_3 = 10$
$S_1 = 15$	10	5	
$S_2 = 20$		20	
$S_3 = 15$		5	10

**Step3.** We use the generalized fuzzy modified distribution method, to find the fuzzy optimal value of the AFTP. The value of the fuzzy dual variable  $\tilde{u}_i$  and  $\tilde{v}_j$  are computed with  $\tilde{u}_i \oplus \tilde{v}_j = \tilde{c}_{ij}$ , for any basic cell, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1 \oplus \tilde{v}_1 &= (1.3, 4.5, 9.8, 20; 0.3), & \tilde{u}_1 \oplus \tilde{v}_2 &= (1.47, 2.6, 5.87, 10.33; 0.3), \\ \tilde{u}_2 \oplus \tilde{v}_2 &= (3.4, 5.65, 8.85, 13; 0.2), & \tilde{u}_3 \oplus \tilde{v}_2 &= (0.33, 5.47, 11.2, 16.53; 0.5), \\ \tilde{u}_3 \oplus \tilde{v}_3 &= (4.7, 6.7, 10, 13.8; 0.3). \end{aligned}$$

To solving the above fuzzy system of equation, we set  $\tilde{u}_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0; 1)$ , therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1 &= (1.3, 4.5, 9.8, 20; 0.3), & \tilde{v}_2 &= (1.47, 2.6, 5.87, 10.33; 0.3), \\ \tilde{u}_2 &= (-6.93, -0.22, 6.25, 11.53; 0.2), & \tilde{u}_3 &= (-10, -0.4, 8.6, 15.06; 0.3), \\ \tilde{v}_3 &= (-10.36, 1.4, 7.1, 14.7; 0.3). \end{aligned}$$

To compute  $\tilde{d}_{ij} = \tilde{C}_{ij} \ominus (\tilde{u}_i \oplus \tilde{v}_j)$ , for each non-basic cell, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{21} &= (-23.33, -6.55, 8.62, 32.93; 0.2), & \tilde{d}_{31} &= (-24.06, -6.1, 16.7, 36.7; 0.2), \\ \tilde{d}_{13} &= (-12.7, -1.3, 8.4, 31.16; 0.1), & \tilde{d}_{23} &= (-18.63, -2.55, 13.7, 49.29; 0.2), \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R(\tilde{d}_{ij}) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall i, j$ , therefore, this solution is optimal.

**Step4.** A lower bound ( $\tilde{Z}_L^*$ ) on the optimal value of the FFCTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^*$ ) by calculating the optimal value of the AFTP is as follows:

$$\tilde{Z}_L^* = 10\tilde{C}_{11} + 5\tilde{C}_{12} + 20\tilde{C}_{22} + 5\tilde{C}_{32} + 10\tilde{C}_{33} = (137, 265.35, 460.35, 732.3; 0.2).$$

**Step5.** An upper bound ( $\tilde{Z}_U^*$ ) on the optimal value of the FFCTP ( $\tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^*$ ) by calculating the objective value of the initial feasible solution of the FFCTP, obtained in step 2, is as follows:



$$\tilde{Z}_U^* = 10\tilde{c}_{11} + 5\tilde{c}_{12} + 20\tilde{c}_{22} + 5\tilde{c}_{32} + 10\tilde{c}_{33} + \tilde{f}_{11} + \tilde{f}_{12} + \tilde{f}_{22} + \tilde{f}_{32} + \tilde{f}_{33} = (145, 276, 481, 761; 0.2).$$

Therefore, the optimal value of the FFCTP must be between  $Z_L^*$  and  $\tilde{Z}_U^*$  as follows:

$$(137, 265.35, 460.35, 732.3; 0.2) \leq \tilde{Z}_{FFCTP}^* \leq (145, 276, 481, 761; 0.2).$$

## 6. Conclusions

This paper proposed a new method as the best approximation method, with representation both of the transportation cost and the fixed cost of the generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. To this end, it found an approximation solution for the optimal solution to the fuzzy fixed-charge transportation problem. The lower and upper bounds on the fuzzy optimal value of the FFCTP can be easily obtained by using the best approximation method and this is the main advantage of the proposed method. The proposed method has been illustrated using a numerical example.

## References

- [1] V. Adlakha and K. Kowalski, A heuristic algorithm for the fixed-charge problem, *OPSEARCH*, **47** (2) (2010) 166–175.
- [2] M. L. Balinski, Fixed cost transportation problems, *Naval Research Logistic Quarterly*, **8** (1) (1961) 41–54.
- [3] R. Bellman and L. Zadeh, Decision-making in a fuzzy environment, *Management Science*, **17** (4) (1970) 141–164.
- [4] S. J. Chen and S. M. Chen, Fuzzy risk analysis on the ranking of generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, *Applied Intelligence*, **26** (2007) 1–11.
- [5] S. Chanas, W. Kolodziejczyk, and M. Machaj, A fuzzy approach to the transportation problem, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **13** (1984) 211–221.
- [6] S. Chanas and D. Kuchta, A concept of the optimal solution of the transportation problem with fuzzy cost coefficients, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **82** (1996) 299–305.
- [7] M. Hajiaghayi-Keshmeli, S. Molla-Alizadeh-Zavardehi and R. Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, Addressing a nonlinear fixed-charge transportation problem using a spanning tree-based genetic algorithm, *Computer Industrial Engineering*, **59** (2010) 259–71.
- [8] A. Hasani and A. Moghimi, Study of Transport and Transit of Goods in Iran, *Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture*, **9** (4) (2014) 1799–1802.
- [9] A. Kaufmann and M. M. Gupta, *Fuzzy Mathematical Models in Engineering and Management Science*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherland, (1988).
- [10] A. Kaufmann and M. M. Gupta, *Introduction to Fuzzy arithmetic: Theory and Applications*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, (1985).
- [11] A. Kaur and A. Kumar, A new approach for solving fuzzy transportation problems using generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, *Applied Soft Computing*, **12** (2012) 1201–1213.
- [12] Y. J. Lai and C. L. Hwang, *Fuzzy Mathematical Programming: Methods and Applications*, Springer (1992).
- [13] A. Mahmoodirad and M. Sanei, Solving a multi-stage multi-product solid supply chain network design problem by meta-heuristics, *Scientia Iranica*, **23** (3) (2016) 1429–1440.
- [14] A. Mahmoodirad, T. Allahviranloo and S. Niroomand, A new effective solution method for fully intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem. *Soft Computing*, (2018), doi: 10.1007/s00500-018-3115-z.
- [15] S. Molla-Alizadeh-Zavardehi, M. Hajiaghayi-Keshmeli, and R. Tavakkolimoghaddam. Solving a capacitated fixed-charge transportation problem by artificial immune and genetic algorithms with a Prufer number representation, *Expert System with Application*, **38** (2011) 10462–10474.
- [16] S. Molla-Alizadeh-Zavardehi, M. Sanei, R. Soltani and A. Mahmoodirad, Solving a Step Fixed Charge Transportation Problem by a Spanning Tree-Based Memetic Algorithm. *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computations*, **4** (2) (2014) 177–187.
- [17] M. Sanei, A. Mahmoodirad and S. Niroomand, Two-Stage Supply Chain Network Design Problem with Interval Data, *International Journal of e-Navigation and Maritime Economy* **5** (2016) 74 – 84.
- [18] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, **8** (1965) 338–353.
- [19] H. J. Zimmermann, Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **1** (1978) 45–55.