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#### Abstract

In this article we study Steffensen method to solve nonlinear matrix equation $X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=Q$, when $A$ is a normal matrix. We establish some conditions that generate a sequence of positive definite matrices which converges to solution of this equation. (C) 2014 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

The nonlinear matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=Q, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is a positive definite matrix and $A \in R^{n \times n}$, has some applications in some branches of applied mathematics, for example, in optimal control theory $[4,11,13,14]$, dynamic programming [12], statistics [7,10], and network analysis $[8,9,15]$. When $Q$ is positive definite matrix, then there exists $Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, s.t. by multiplying on both side of (1) by $Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ we have the simple form of this equation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=I \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $I$ is the unite matrix. There are some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the solution of this equation in $[4,6]$. When $A$ is a normal matrix some necessary and sufficient conditions, for existence the positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=I$, also can be found in $[4,6]$. In $[4,5]$ the iterative fixed point method are used for solving the matrix equation (2). In this paper, we apply the Steffensen method to solve the matrix equation (2) with initial solution $X_{0}^{(0)}$, when $A$ is a normal matrix.

In section 2 we prove some of the results of fixed point method that are needed in this article.

Although when $A$ is a normal matrix, the nonlinear matrix equation (2) was studied in [6], but in section 3 we apply the Steffensen method to solve this equation, and obtain some remarkable results for special cases of normal matrix $A$.

In section 4 we present some numerical examples with comparing the fixed point iterative method.

## 2. Some results of Fixed point method

Consider the nonlinear matrix equation (2). The following matrix sequence is a fixed point iterative method with initial value $X_{0}=I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{0}=I \\
& X_{k+1}=I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([4], Lemma 2) If the matrix equation (2) has positive definite solution $X$, then $X>A A^{T}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([4], Lemma 4) If the sequence (3) converges, then there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that $X_{k}>\alpha I, \forall k \in N$.

Theorem 2.3 ([4], Theorem 5) The matrix sequence (3) is decreasing matrix sequence i.e. $X_{k+1}<X_{k}$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$.

Theorem 2.4 ([4], Theorem 5) The matrix sequence (3) has a positive definite solution if and only if the matrix equation (2) has a solution.

Theorem 2.5 ([4], Theorem 11) If $A$ is a normal matrix, then the nonlinear matrix equation (2) has a solution if and only if $\rho(A) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radiuse of A.

Lemma 2.6 If $A$ and $B$ are nonsingular matrices, then
a) $A B^{-1}=B^{-1} A \Leftrightarrow A B=B A$
b) $A^{-1} B=B A^{-1} \Leftrightarrow A B=B A$
c) $A B=B A \Rightarrow A^{2} B^{-1}=B^{-1} A^{2}$
d) $A B^{-1}=B^{-1} A \Rightarrow A^{2} B^{-1}=B^{-1} A^{2}$
e) $A^{2} B=B A^{2} \Rightarrow A^{2} B^{-1}=B^{-1} A^{2}$.

Proof. Proof is trivial.
Definition 2.7 Let $A$ and $B$ be two positive definite matrices, and let $A<B$, then $X$ belongs to $[A, B]$ if $A \leqslant X$ and $X \leqslant B$, i.e. the matrices $X-A$ and $B-X$ are positive definite matrices.

Definition 2.8 For matrix sequence $\left\{X_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ forward difference $\Delta X_{k}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\Delta X_{k}=X_{k+1}-X_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and $\Delta^{i} X_{k}$ is defined by

$$
\Delta^{i} X_{k}=\Delta^{i-1}\left(\Delta X_{k}\right), \quad i=2,3,4, \ldots
$$

Lemma 2.9 If $A$ is a normal matrix, and $X_{s}$ be the produced sequence from iterative fixed point method (3), then

$$
A X_{s}=X_{s} A, \quad s=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $s$. For $s=0$, we have

$$
A X_{0}=A I=I A=X_{0} A
$$

If $s=1$, then

$$
A X_{1}=A\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right)=A\left(I-A^{T} A\right)=A-A A^{T} A=\left(I-A^{T} A\right) A=X_{1} A
$$

Now assume that $A X_{k}=X_{k} A$, we have $\left(A X_{k}\right)^{-1}=\left(X_{k} A\right)^{-1}$ hence $X_{k}^{-1} A^{-1}=A^{-1} X_{k}^{-1}$,

$$
A X_{k+1}=A\left(I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A\right)=A-A A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A=A-A^{T} A X_{k}^{-1} A
$$

Thus by lemma 2.6

$$
A X_{k+1}=A-A^{T} A^{2} X_{k}^{-1}=A-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A^{2}=\left(I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A\right) A=X_{k+1} A
$$

Lemma 2.10 If $A$ is a normal matrix, and $X_{s}$ is the produced sequence from iterative fixed point method (3), then

$$
A^{T} X_{s}=X_{s} A^{T} . \quad s=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.9.
Lemma 2.11 If $A$ is a normal matrix, and $X_{s}$ is the produced sequence from iterative fixed point method (3), then

$$
X_{s} X_{s+1}=X_{s+1} X_{s}, \quad s=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $s$. If $s=0$, then

$$
X_{0} X_{1}=X_{0}\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right)=I-A^{T} A=\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right) X_{0}=X_{1} X_{0}
$$

For $s=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} X_{2} & =\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A\right) \\
& =I-A^{T} X_{1}^{-1}-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{2} X_{1} & =\left(I-A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right) \\
& =I-A^{T} X_{1}^{-1}-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (4) and (5) it suffices to prove

$$
A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A=A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A
$$

Since $A$ is a normal matrix, $A^{T} A=A A^{T}$ and so, $A^{T} A A A^{T}=A A^{T} A^{T} A$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
A A^{T} & -A^{T} A A A^{T}=A A^{T}-A A^{T} A^{T} A \\
& \Rightarrow\left(I-A^{T} A\right) A A^{T}=A A^{T}\left(I-A^{T} A\right) X_{1} A A^{T}=A A^{T} X_{1} \\
& \Rightarrow A A^{T} X_{1}^{-1}=X_{1}^{-1} A A^{T} \Rightarrow X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{1}^{-1}=X_{1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} \\
& \Rightarrow A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A=A^{T} X_{1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A,
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently $X_{0} X_{1}=X_{1} X_{0}$. Now assume that $X_{k-1} X_{k}=X_{k} X_{k-1}$, we prove that $X_{k} X_{k+1}=X_{k+1} X_{k}$. By Lemma 2.9 we have $A X_{k}=X_{k} A$, multiplying it by $A^{T}$ on the right, we have $A X_{k} A^{T}=X_{k} A A^{T}$. By Lemma 2.10 we have $A A^{T} X_{k}=X_{k} A A^{T}$, if we multiply it from left and right by $X_{k}^{-1}$, then $X_{k}^{-1} A A^{T}=A A^{T} X_{k}^{-1}$. Again we multiply this latter equation from the right by $X_{k-1}^{-1}$ to get

$$
X_{k}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1}=A A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} X_{k-1}^{-1}=A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} X_{k}^{-1}=X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} .
$$

Finally, by multiplying the last equations from the right by $A$ and from the left by $A^{T}$, we have

$$
A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A=A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A \\
& \quad=I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A \\
& \quad \Rightarrow\left(I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A\right)=\left(I-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A\right) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow X_{k+1} X_{k}=X_{k} X_{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.12 If $A$ is a normal matrix, and $X_{s}$ is the produced sequence from iterative fixed point method (3), then

$$
X_{s} X_{s+2}=X_{s+2} X_{s}, \quad s=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $s$. If $s=0$, then

$$
X_{0} X_{2}=I X_{2}=X_{2} I=X_{2} X_{0} .
$$

for $s=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} X_{3} & =\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A\right) \\
& =I-A^{T} X_{2}^{-1}-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{3} X_{1} & =\left(I-A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A\right)  \tag{7}\\
& =I-A^{T} X_{2}^{-1}-A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A .
\end{align*}
$$

To show (6) and (7) it is sufficent to prove $A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A=A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A$. By Lemma 2.9 we have $A X_{0}=X_{0} A \Rightarrow A X_{0} A^{T}=X_{0} A A^{T}$, now by Lemma 2.10, $A A^{T} X_{0} A^{T}=X_{0} A A^{T}$, if we multiply it from right and left by $X_{0}^{-1}$ and by multiplying its result from the right by $X_{2}^{-1}$, so by Lemmas 2.6, 2.9, 2.10 we have

$$
X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{2}^{-1}=X_{2}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1}
$$

therefore $A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A=A^{T} X_{2}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{0}^{-1} A$, and consequently $X_{1} X_{3}=X_{3} X_{1}$.
Now assume that $X_{k-1} X_{k+1}=X_{k+1} X_{k-1}$, we prove that $X_{k} X_{k+2}=X_{k+2} X_{k}$.
By Lemma 2.9 we have $A X_{k-1}=X_{k-1} A$, multiplying from the right by $A^{T}$ we have $A X_{k-1} A^{T}=X_{k-1} A A^{T}$, then by Lemma 2.10, $A A^{T} X_{k-1}=X_{k-1} A A^{T}$. We multiply this equation from right and left by $X_{k-1}^{-1}$ to get $X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T}=A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1}$. Multiplying from the right by $X_{k+1}^{-1}$ then by hypothesis of induction and Lemmas $2.6,2.9,2.10$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} & =X_{k+1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} \\
\Rightarrow A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A & =A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & -A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A-A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A \\
& =I-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A-A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A+A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A \\
& \Rightarrow\left(I-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A\right)=\left(I-A^{T} X_{k+1}^{-1} A\right)\left(I-A^{T} X_{k-1}^{-1} A\right) \\
& \Rightarrow X_{k} X_{k+2}=X_{k+2} X_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.13 ([17]) If $A$ and $B$ are two symmetric positive definite of same order, and $A B=B A$ then $(A B)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\frac{A+B}{2}$.

Theorem 2.14 ([16]) If $A$ and $B$ are two symmetric semi-positive definite of same order, then $A B \geqslant 0$ if and only if $A B=B A$.

Theorem 2.15 If $\sigma_{\max }$ is the greatest singular value of normal matrix $A$, and $0 \leqslant$ $\sigma_{\max } \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\left\{X_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the matrix sequence (2), then $X_{k}>\frac{1}{2} I \forall k \in N$.

Proof. We have $0 \leqslant \sigma_{\max }=\sqrt{\lambda_{\max }\left(A^{T} A\right)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, so $0 \leqslant \lambda\left(A^{T} A\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$ and consequently $A A^{T} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} I$, now by induction on $k$, we show that $X_{k}>\frac{1}{2} I$.

For $k=0$, we have $X_{0}=I>\frac{1}{2} I$. Let $X_{k}>\frac{1}{2} I$, then

$$
X_{k+1}=I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A=\left[I+A^{T}\left(X_{k}-A A^{T}\right)^{-1} A\right]^{-1}
$$

Recall that for two invertible matrices $A$ and $B$ we have

$$
(A+B)^{-1}=A^{-1}-A^{-1}\left(B^{-1}+A^{-1}\right)^{-1} A^{-1}
$$

On the other hand $A^{T} A \leqslant \frac{1}{4} I \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} I+A^{T} A \leqslant \frac{1}{2} I<X_{k}$. Now we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k+1} & =\left[I+A^{T}\left(X_{k}-A A^{T}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \\
& >I+A^{T}\left(\left(\frac{1}{4} I+A^{T} A-A A^{T}\right)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(I+4 A^{T} A\right)^{-1} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} I
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is obtained from $I+4 A^{T} A \leqslant 2 I$.
Corollary 2.16 If $A$ is a normal matrix, then the matrix sequence which obtained from (2) is a decreasing positive definite sequence with lower bound $\frac{1}{2} I$. Therefore, if the equation (2) has a solution, then this solution lies in interval the $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$.

## 3. Steffensen method

In this section we use the iterative Steffensen method for solving the nonlinear matrix equation $X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=I$, where $A$ is a normal matrix. The Steffensen method for solving nonlinear equation is explained in [2]. Assume the sequence of fixed point iterative for solving nonlinear matrix equation $X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=I$ is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0}=I, \\
& X_{k+1}=I-A^{T} X_{k}^{-1} A, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\Delta^{2}$-Aietken sequence is defined by

$$
\hat{X}_{k}=X_{k}-\left(\Delta X_{k}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{k}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\Delta X_{k}=X_{k+1}-X_{k}$ and $\Delta^{2} X_{k}=\Delta\left(\Delta X_{k}\right)$. By applying the $\Delta^{2}$-Aietken in fixed point iterative method we obtain the Steffensen method:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{0}^{(0)} & =I \\
X_{1}^{(k)} & =I-A^{T}\left(X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} A \\
X_{2}^{(k)} & =I-A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} A  \tag{8}\\
X_{0}^{(k+1)} & =X_{0}^{(k)}-\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \\
& =X_{0}^{(k)}-\left(X_{1}^{(k)}-X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(X_{2}^{(k)}-2 X_{1}^{(k)}+X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

We begin this iterative method by initial approximation solution $X_{0}=I$. In this section we show that the Steffensen method generates a decreasing sequence of positive definite matrices. Consequently this sequence of positive definite matrices is convergent.

Remark 1 Recall that $X_{0}^{(k)}$ is positve definte for $k=1,2, \ldots$, whenever $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}$ is positve definite. We know that the matrix $\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}$ is Hermition, so by lemma (2.17) the matrix $\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ is a positive definite, furthermore by Lemma 2.14 it is necessary that the two matrices $\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ have comutative property in ordinary product of two matrices. It is clear that the comutative property holds, since it is sufficient that $\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}$ and $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}$ have comutative property in ordinary product and this is true by Lemmas 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12 for example, for $k=0$ we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}\right) & =\left(X_{1}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(0)}\right)\left(X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{0}^{(0)}\right) \\
& =X_{1}^{(0)} X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)} X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{1}^{(0)} X_{0}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(0)} X_{2}^{(0)}  \tag{9}\\
& +2 X_{0}^{(0)} X_{1}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(0)} X_{0}^{(0)}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12 comutativity in product holds, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X_{2}^{(0)} X_{1}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)} X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{0}^{(0)} X_{1}^{(0)}-X_{2}^{(0)} X_{0}^{(0)}+2 X_{1}^{(0)} X_{0}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(0)} X_{0}^{(0)} \\
& =\left(X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{0}^{(0)}\right) X_{1}^{(0)}-\left(X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{0}^{(0)}\right) X_{0}^{(0)} \\
& =\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}\right)\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In orther to we can show for, $k=1,2, \ldots$.
Theorem 3.1 Let $X_{k}$ is the sequence of positve definte matrix that converg to $X$. Then the matrix sequence $\hat{X}_{k}=X_{k}-\left(\Delta x_{k}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} x_{k}\right)^{-1}$ faster than $X_{k}$ coverge to $X$, if $X_{k+1}-X=\left(H+T_{k}\right)\left(X_{k}-X\right)$ wher $H$ is matrix with $\|H\| \leq 1$ and $T_{k}$ is sequence of matrices that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} T_{k}=0$.

Proof. Since $E_{k}=X_{k}-X$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k+2}-2 X_{k+1}+X_{k} & =E_{k+2}-2 E_{k+1}+E_{k} \\
& =\left(H+T_{k+1}\right)\left(X_{k+1}-X\right)-2\left(H+T_{k}\right)\left(X_{k}-X\right)+E_{k} \\
& =\left(H+T_{k+1}\right)\left(H+T_{k}\right)\left(E_{k}\right)-2\left(H+T_{k}\right) E_{k}+E_{k} \\
& =\left(\left(H+T_{k+1}\right)\left(H+T_{k}\right)-2\left(H+T_{k}\right)\right) E_{k}+E_{k} \\
& =\left(\left(H+T_{k+1}\right)\left(H+T_{k}\right)-2\left(H+T_{k}\right)+I\right) E_{k} \\
& =\left(H^{2}+H T_{k}+H T_{k}+T_{k} T_{k+1}-2 H-2 T_{k}+I\right) E_{k} \\
& =\left((H-I)^{2}+H\left(T_{k+1}+T_{k}\right)-2 T_{k}\right) E_{k} \\
& =\left((H-I)^{2}+S_{k}\right) E_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $S_{k}=H\left(T_{k+1}+T_{k}\right)-2 T_{k}$, and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{k}=0$. On the other hand we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k+1}-X_{k} & =E_{k+1}-E_{k} \\
& =\left(H+T_{k}\right) E_{k}-E_{k} \\
& =\left(H+T_{k}-I\right) E_{k} \\
& =\left((H-I)+T_{k}\right) E_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for the matrix sequence $\hat{X}_{k}$,

$$
\hat{X}_{k}-X=X_{k}-X-\left(\left(H-I+T_{k}\right) E_{k}\right)^{2}\left(\left((H-I)^{2}+S_{k}\right) E_{k}\right)^{-1}
$$

We know that $E_{k}=X_{k}-X$ and $E_{k}$ is a nonsingular matrix and by Lemma 2.11, and Lemma 2.12 we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}_{k}-X & =E_{k}-\left(H-I+T_{k}\right)^{2} E_{k}^{2} E_{k}^{-1}\left((H-I)^{2}+S_{k}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(I-\left(H-I+T_{k}\right)^{2}\left((H-I)^{2}+S_{k}\right)^{-1}\right) E_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\hat{X}_{k}-X\right)\left(X_{k}-X\right)^{-1} & =\left(\hat{X}_{k}-X\right) E_{k}^{-1} \\
& =I-\left(H-I+T_{k}\right)^{2}\left((H-I)^{2}+S_{k}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{X}_{k}-X\right)\left(X_{k}-X\right)^{-1}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[I-\left(H-I+T_{k}\right)^{2}\left((H-I)^{2}+S_{k}\right)^{-1}\right]=0
$$

Theorem 3.2 If $A$ is a normal matrix and $\left(X_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}<X_{0}^{(k)} X_{2}^{(k)}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ we have then the matrices $X_{i}^{(k)}$ that are produced by Steffensen method (8) is positive definite
for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $i=0,1,2$.

Proof. We persent proof by induction on $k$. For $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0}^{(0)}=I>0 \\
& X_{1}^{(0)}=I-A^{T}\left(X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A=I-A^{T} A .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<A^{T} A \leq \frac{1}{4} I & \Rightarrow-\frac{1}{4} I \leq-A^{T} A<0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{3}{4} I \leq I-A^{T} A<I \\
& \Rightarrow X_{1}^{(0)}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i=2, X_{2}^{(0)}=I-A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A$. By Theorem 2.15 it is clear that $\frac{1}{2} I<X_{1}^{(0)}<I$ and so $I<\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}<2 I$. By multiplying the above inequality by $A^{T} A$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{T} A & <A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A<2 A^{T} A \\
& \Rightarrow 0<A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A<\frac{1}{2} I \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} I<I-A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A<I,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $X_{2}^{(0)}>0$. In what follows we prove that $X_{0}^{(1)}$ is also positive definite. By Steffensen method we have

$$
X_{0}^{(1)}=X_{0}^{(0)}-\left(X_{1}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}\left(X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}
$$

We show that $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)} & =X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+X_{0}^{(0)} \\
& =I-A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A-2 I+2 A^{T}\left(X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A+I \\
& =A^{T}\left(2 I-\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}\right) A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 2.15 we have $\frac{1}{2} I<X_{1}^{(0)}<I$, so $2 I>\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 I & -\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}>0 \\
& \Rightarrow A^{T}\left(2 I-\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}\right) A>0 \\
& \Rightarrow \Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{T} A & \leq \frac{1}{4} I \Rightarrow\left(A^{T} A\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} A^{T} A  \tag{10}\\
-A^{T} A \geq-\frac{1}{4} I & \Rightarrow I-A^{T} A \geq \frac{3}{4} I \\
& \Rightarrow\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{4}{3} I \\
& \Rightarrow A^{T}\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A \leq \frac{4}{3} A^{T} A \leq \frac{1}{3} I  \tag{11}\\
& \Rightarrow\left(A^{2}\right)^{T}\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A^{2} \leq \frac{1}{3} A^{T} A .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefor by (10) and (11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(A^{T} A\right)^{2}+\left(A^{2}\right)^{T}\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A^{2} \leq \frac{7}{12} A^{T} A<A^{T} A \\
& 0 \leq I-2 A^{T} A+\left(A^{T} A\right)^{2}<I-A^{T} A-\left(A^{2}\right)^{T}\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A^{2} \\
&=I-A^{T}\left[I+A^{T}\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A\right] A \\
&=I-A^{T}\left[I+\left(A^{-1}\left(I-A^{T} A\right) A^{-T}\right)^{-1}\right] A \\
&=I-A^{T}\left[I+\left(A^{-1} A^{-T}-I\right)^{-1}\right] A \\
&=I-A^{T}\left[I-A^{T} A\right] A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq\left(I-A^{T} A\right)^{2} & <I-A^{T}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A \\
& \Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{2}<X_{2}^{(0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(X_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{2}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+I<X_{2}^{(0)}-2 X_{1}^{(0)}+I \\
& \Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(X_{1}^{(0)}-I\right)^{2}<\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)} \\
& \Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}<\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)} \\
& \Rightarrow\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}<I \\
& \Rightarrow I-\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}>0 \\
& \Rightarrow X_{0}^{(1)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $X_{0}^{(k)}, X_{1}^{(k)}$ and $X_{2}^{(k)}$ be is positive definite matrices, we show that $X_{0}^{(k+1)}$ is a positive definite matrix. By hypothesis we have $\left(X_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}<X_{0}^{(k)} X_{2}^{(k)}$ and by Lemma 2.13 the geometric mean of two matrices is less than or equal the arithmetic mean of the same matrices, therefore $X_{1}^{(k)}<\frac{X_{0}^{(k)}+X_{2}^{(k)}}{2}$. So $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}=X_{2}^{(k)}-2 X_{1}^{(k)}+X_{0}^{(k)}>0$, on the other hand we have $\left(X_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}<X_{0}^{(k)} X_{2}^{(k)}$, therefor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(X_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}-2 X_{0}^{(k)} X_{1}^{(k)}+\left(X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}<X_{0}^{(k)} X_{2}^{(k)}-2 X_{0}^{(k)} X_{1}^{(k)}+\left(X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow\left(X_{1}^{(k)}-X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}<X_{0}^{(k)}\left(X_{2}^{(k)}-2 X_{1}^{(k)}+X_{0}^{(k)}\right)=X_{0}^{(k)}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}>0$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}<X_{0}^{(k)} \\
& \Rightarrow X_{0}^{(k)}-\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}>0 \\
& \Rightarrow X_{0}^{(k+1)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.3 If $A$ is a normal matrix and $X_{1}^{(k)}<\frac{X_{0}^{(k)}+X_{2}^{(k)}}{2}$, for $k=1,2, \ldots$ then the matrix sequence produced by Steffensen method is a decroasing matrix sequence.i.e. $X_{0}^{(k+1)}<X_{0}^{(k)} \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots$

Proof. We present proof by induction on $k$. For $k=0$, we have

$$
X_{0}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(1)}=\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}
$$

So, it suffices to show that $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)} & =X_{0}^{(2)}-2 X_{0}^{(1)}+X_{0}^{(0)} \\
& =I-A^{T}\left(X_{0}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} A-2 I+2 A^{T}\left(X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} A+I \\
& =2 A^{T} A-A^{T}\left(X_{0}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} A=A^{T}\left(2 I-X_{0}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} A
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Theorem 3.3 we see that $\frac{1}{2} I<X_{0}^{1}<I$, then $2 I-X_{0}^{(1)}>0$ and so

$$
A^{T}\left(2 I-X_{0}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} A>0 .
$$

Finnally $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}>0$. Since $\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}$ is Hermition matrix, then $\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}$ is a postive definte matrix and since $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}$ and $\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}$ have comutative property in product of two matrices, thus the matrices $\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(0)}\right)^{2}$ have this property and so the product of these matrices is also postive definte, consequently

$$
X_{0}^{(0)}-X_{0}^{(1)}>0 \Rightarrow X_{0}^{(1)}<X_{0}^{(0)},
$$

Now, assume that $X_{0}^{(m+1)}<X_{0}^{(m)}$, we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{(m+2)}<X_{0}^{(m+1)}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
X_{0}^{(m+1)}-X_{0}^{(m+2)}=\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(m+1)}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(m+1)}\right)^{-1} .
$$

By hypothesise we have $X_{1}^{(m+1)}<\frac{X_{0}^{(m+1)}+X_{2}^{(m+1)}}{2}$, so $\Delta^{2} X_{0}^{(m+1)}$ is postive definte matrix and $\left(\Delta X_{0}^{(m+1)}\right)^{2}$ and comutative property of product of $X_{0}^{(m+1)}$ and $X_{0}^{(m+2)}$ we have $X_{0}^{(m+1)}-X_{0}^{(m+2)}>0$ then (12) holds.
Remark 2 We show that when $A$ is a normal matrix, the iterative sequence produced by fixed point iterative method is placed in the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$, and we will expect that by applying the Steffensen method, the matrix sequence of this method is also placed in the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$. Then we prove this property for a special case of $A$. Let $A$ be a normal matrix and $A_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th row of $A$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} A_{j}^{T}=0 \quad i<j, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of all normal matrices which satisfy (13) is denoted by $\Omega$. If $A \in \Omega$, then $A^{T} A$ is the following diagonal matrix,

$$
A^{T} A=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{1 j}\right)^{2}, \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{2 j}\right)^{2}, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{n j}\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

For $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we have by $0 \leqslant A^{T} A \leqslant \frac{1}{4} I$ that $0 \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$ and so $X_{1}^{(0)}$ is a diagonal matrix. Also the matrices $X_{2}^{(0)}, X_{0}^{(1)}$ and ... are diagonal matrices by (8). The next Theorem shows that $X_{i}^{(k)}$ belong to the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $i=0,1,2$.

Theorem 3.4 If $A \in \Omega$ then the matrix sequence $X_{i}^{(k)}$ to the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$ for $k=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$ and $i=0,1,2$.

Proof. For $k=0$ we have $\left(x_{0}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}=1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and

$$
\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}=1-\frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{0}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}}=1-a_{i}
$$

where $a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{2}$. Whereas $1 \leqslant a_{i} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$, then $\frac{3}{4} \leqslant\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i} \leqslant 1$ and so $X_{1}^{(0)} \in\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$, Also, $\left(x_{2}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}=1-\frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}}$ and by (10) we have $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i} \leqslant 1$. Thus, $1 \leqslant \frac{1}{\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}} \leqslant 2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} \leqslant \frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}} \leqslant 2 a_{i} & \Rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \leq 1-\frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{1}^{(0)}\right)_{i i}} \leq 1 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \leq\left(x_{2}^{(0)}\right)_{i i} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $X_{2}^{(0)} \in\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$. Now assume that for $k \geqslant 1$, the matrices $X_{0}^{(k)}, X_{1}^{(k)}, X_{2}^{(k)}$ belong to the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$. We show that $X_{0}^{(k+1)}$ is also belong to the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$. We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{0}^{(k+1)}\right)_{i i} & =\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}-\frac{\left(\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}-\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{2}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}-2\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}+\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}} \\
& =\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}-\frac{\left(1-\frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}}-\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}\right)^{2}}{1-\frac{a_{i}}{1-\frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}}}-2\left(1-\frac{a_{i}}{\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}}\right)+\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since $\frac{1}{2} \leq\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i} \leq 1$ and $0 \leqslant a_{i} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$, then we can find the maximum and minimum value of $\left(x_{0}^{(k+1)}\right)_{i i}$. If we consider $\left(x_{0}^{(k+1)}\right)_{i i}$ as a function of two variable $\left(x_{0}^{(k)}\right)_{i i}$ and $a_{i}$, then by a simple calculation we have $\left(x_{0}^{(k+1)}\right)_{i i} \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, so $X_{0}^{(k+1)} \in\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$, and similarly we can show that $X_{1}^{(k+1)}$ and $X_{2}^{(k+1)} \in\left[\frac{1}{2} I, I\right]$.

## 4. Numerical example

Here, we present some examples and we will apply fixed point and Steffensen methods for them, and compare the number of iterations for those methods. Note that we have computed the numerical results by Maple software.

Example 4.1 Consider the matrix equation (2) with the normal matrix $A=\frac{1}{2} I_{n \times n}$. By [6] the exact solution is $X=\frac{1}{2} I$. We know that $\rho(A)=0.5$, so the nonlinear matrix equation (2) has a solution. Comparison among fixed point method(FPM) and Steffensen $\operatorname{method}(\mathrm{SM})$ for $n=2,5,10,15,20$ also iteration numbers(IN) and error(ERR) where
$E R R=\left\|X_{k}-X\right\|_{2}$ and $X$ is the exact solution of the nonlinear matrix equation (2), with $A=\frac{1}{2} I_{n \times n}$ are showed in Table 1 .

Numerical results for example 4.1

| Method |  | $\mathrm{n}=2$ | $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ | $\mathrm{n}=20$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FPM | ERR: | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | IN: | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
|  | ERR: | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  | IN: | 501 | 501 | $501 \& 501$ | 501 |  |
|  | ERR: | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
|  | IN: | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 |
| SM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ERR: | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | IN: | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | ERR: | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  | IN: | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | ERR: | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
|  | IN: | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Example 4.2 Consider the matrix equation (2) with the normal matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0.5 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & . \cdot & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & 0.5 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0.5 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n} .
$$

We know that $\rho(A)=0.5$, so the nonlinear matrix equation (2)has a solution. By the ref. [6] the exact solution is $X=\frac{1}{2} I_{n}$. Comparison among fixed point method(FPM) and Steffensen method(SM) for $n=2,5,10,15,20$ also iteration numbers(IN) and error(ERR) where $E R R=\left\|X_{k}-X\right\|_{2}$ and $X$ is the exact solution of the nonlinear matrix equation (2), with $A$ are showed in Table 2.

| Numerical results for example 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method |  | $\mathrm{n}=2$ | $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ | $\mathrm{n}=20$ |
| FPM | ERR: | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | IN: | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
|  | ERR: | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  | IN: | 501 | 501 | $501 \& 501$ | 501 |  |
|  | ERR: | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
|  | IN: | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 |
| SM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ERR: | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | IN: | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | ERR: | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  | IN: | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | ERR: | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
|  | IN: | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Example 4.3 Consider the matrix equation (2) with the normal matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.4 & 0 & 0 & -0.25 \\
0 & 0.33 & -0.2 & 0 \\
0 & -0.2 & -0.33 & 0 \\
-0.25 & 0 & 0 & -0.4
\end{array}\right)
$$

We know that $\rho(A)=0.4717$, so the nonlinear matrix equation (2)has a solution. By the ref. [6] the exact solution is,

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.6658341 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.8144782 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.8144782 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.6658341
\end{array}\right)
$$

The fixed point method needs 22 iterations to find the approximation solution with accuracy 0.000001 and the Steffensen method needs 4 iterations. Applying these iterative methods, yields

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.665834 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.814478 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.814478 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.665834
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example 4.4 Consider the matrix equation (2) with the normal matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.25 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 \\
0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\
0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\
0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.25
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We know that $\rho(A)=0.4108$, so the nonlinear matrix equation (2)has a solution. By the ref. [6] the exact solution is,

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.9178145 & 0 & -0.0448002 & -0.0303964 \\
0 & 0.9582574 & 0 & 0 \\
-0.0448002 & 0 & 0.9242223 & -0.0896007 \\
-0.0303964 & 0 & -0.0896007 & 0.87222026
\end{array}\right)
$$

The fixed point method needs 11 iterations to find the approximation solution with accuracy 0.000001 and the Steffensen method needs 3 iterations. Applying these iterative methods, yields

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.917814 & 0 & -0.044800 & -0.030396 \\
0 & 0.958257 & 0 & 0 \\
-0.044800 & 0 & 0.924222 & -0.089600 \\
-0.030396 & 0 & -0.089600 & 0.8722202
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example 4.5 Consider the matrix equation (2) with the normal matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{7} & \frac{2}{7} \\
\frac{2}{7} & \frac{1}{7}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We know that $\rho(A)=0.3194$, so the nonlinear matrix equation (2)has a solution. By the ref. [6] the exact solution is, $X=0.8846458 I$. The fixed point method needs 9 iterations to find the approximation solution with accuracy 0.000001 and the Steffensen method needs 3 iterations. Applying these iterative methods, yields $X=0.884645 I$.

Example 4.6 Consider the matrix equation (2) with the normal matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{7} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We know that $\rho(A)=0.4286$, so the nonlinear matrix equation (2)has a solution. By the ref. [6] the exact solution is,

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0.9582575 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.7575451 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.8000005 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.7575451 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.9582575
\end{array}\right)
$$

The fixed point method needs 17 iterations to find the approximation solution with accuracy 0.000001 and the Steffensen method needs 4 iterations. Applying these iterative methods, yields

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0.958257 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.757545 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.800000 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.757545 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.958257
\end{array}\right)
$$
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