

On dual shearlet frames

M. Amin khah^{a*}, A. Askari Hemmat^b and R. Raisi Tousi^c

^aDepartment of Application Mathematics, Kerman Graduate University
of High Technology, PO. Code 76315-115, Iran.;

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman,
PO. Code 76175-133, Iran.;

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
PO. Code 1159-91775, Iran.

Received 20 July 2015; Revised 15 October 2015; Accepted 7 November 2015.

Abstract. In This paper, we give a necessary condition for function in L^2 with its dual to generate a dual shearlet tight frame with respect to admissibility.

© 2015 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dual shearlet frame, Bessel sequence, admissible shearlet.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 42C15; Secondary 42C40.

1. Introduction

We begin by recalling some notations and denitions[1, 2, 4]. For $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$A_{a_0^j} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0^j & 0 \\ 0 & a_0^{\frac{j}{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $A_{a_0^j}$ and S_k are called *parabolic scaling matrices* and *shearing matrix*, respectively.

For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, a *discrete shearlet system* associated with ψ is defined by

$$\{\psi_{j,k,m} = a_0^{-\frac{3}{4}j} \psi(S_k A_{a_0^{-j}} \cdot -m) : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}, \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: m.aminkhah@student.kgut.ac.ir (M. Amin khah).

with $a_0 > 0$.

The *discrete shearlet transform* of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the mapping defined by

$$f \mapsto \mathcal{SH}_\psi f(j, k, m),$$

where

$$\mathcal{SH}_\psi f(j, k, m) = \langle f, \psi_{j,k,m} \rangle, \quad (j, k, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

If $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies

$$c_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi_1|^2} d\xi < \infty, \tag{2}$$

it is called an admissible shearlet.

Throughout this paper, we assume that H is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 such that

$$\chi_H(x) = \chi_{S_{-1}^T A_{2^{-1}} H}(x) \quad a.e. \quad \text{and} \quad |H \setminus H^\circ| = 0,$$

where H° denotes the interior of H , $H \setminus H^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in H \text{ and } x \notin H^\circ\}$, and $|H \setminus H^\circ|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $H \setminus H^\circ$. We consider the subspace $L^2(H)^\vee$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined as

$$L^2(H)^\vee = \{f : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : \text{supp} \widehat{f} \subseteq H\}.$$

Also, we will use the notation of the cube

$$\Theta_a(v) := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |w_i - v_i| \leq a, i = 1, 2\}, \tag{3}$$

with radius a and center at $v = (v_1, v_2)$, where $w = (w_1, w_2)$.

To define a dual shearlet tight frame (DSTF) in $L^2(H)^\vee$, we need to recall a shearlet frame in $L^2(H)^\vee$.

A discrete shearlet system $\{\psi_{j,k,m}\}_{j,k,m}$ as defined in (1) is called a shearlet frame for $L^2(H)^\vee$, if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that for all $f \in L^2(H)^\vee$,

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |\langle f, \psi_{j,k,m} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in L^2(H)^\vee \tag{4}$$

A discrete shearlet system $\{\psi_{j,k,m}\}_{j,k,m}$ forms a Bessel sequence for $L^2(H)^\vee$, if only the right hand side inequality in (4) holds.

We say that ψ with $\tilde{\psi}$ generates a DSTF in $L^2(H)^\vee$ if ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are a Bessel sequences and for some non-zero constant B,

$$B\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle f, \psi_{j,k,m} \rangle \langle \tilde{\psi}_{j,k,m}, g \rangle, \quad f, g \in L^2(H)^\vee. \tag{5}$$

2. Main results

In this section, we discuss a necessary condition for ψ with $\tilde{\psi}$ in $L^2(H)^\vee$ to generate a DSTF via admissibility.

Proposition 2.1 If $\{\psi_{j,k,m}\}_{j,k,m}$ forms a Bessel sequence with Bessel bound B , then

$$\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(S_{-k}^T A_{2^{-j}} \xi)|^2 \leq B \tag{6}$$

and ψ is admissible shearlet.

Proof. First, we observe, using (4), that

$$\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |\langle \hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j,k,m} \rangle|^2 \leq B \|\hat{f}\|^2, \tag{7}$$

for all $f \in L^2(H)^\vee$ and for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |\langle \hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j,k,m} \rangle|^2 &= 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \int_{[0,2\pi]^2} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T (w + 2\pi l)) \overline{\hat{\psi}(w + 2\pi l)} e^{2\pi i m^T \cdot w} dw \right|^2 \tag{8} \\ &= 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T (w + 2\pi l)) \overline{\hat{\psi}(w + 2\pi l)} \right|^2 dw, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality in (8) is obtained by the Parseval equality.

Then by (7) and (8), we have

$$\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T (w + 2\pi l)) \overline{\hat{\psi}(w + 2\pi l)} \right|^2 dw \leq B \|\hat{f}\|^2, \tag{9}$$

for all $f \in L^2(H)^\vee$, consider $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the function

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2^\varepsilon} \chi_{\Theta_\varepsilon(v)}(\xi), \tag{10}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, χ_Θ denotes the characteristic function of a set Θ and $\Theta_\varepsilon(v)$ is defined by (3).

For any positive integer N and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, in (9) we obtain

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|j| \leq N} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \int_{\Theta_{2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} \varepsilon}(S_{-k}^T A_{2^{-j}} v)} |\hat{\psi}(w)|^2 dw \leq B.$$

Hence, by taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, (6) follows. ■

By using proposition 2.1, we obtain the following result which gives a necessary condition for ψ with $\tilde{\psi}$ to generate a DSTF.

Theorem 2.2 Let ψ with $\tilde{\psi}$ in $L^2(H)^\vee$ generate a DSTF in $L^2(H)^\vee$ with bound B , then we have

$$\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\psi}(S_{-k}^T A_{2^{-j}} \xi) \widehat{\tilde{\psi}}(S_{-k}^T A_{2^{-j}} \xi) = B \chi_H(\xi) \quad a.e.. \tag{11}$$

In particular, ψ is admissible.

Proof. Let $H_0 := H^\circ \setminus \{0\}$. From the assumption $|H \setminus H^\circ| = 0$, to prove (11) it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\psi}(S_{-k}^T A_{2^{-j}} \xi) \widehat{\tilde{\psi}}(S_{-k}^T A_{2^{-j}} \xi) = B \quad a.e. \xi \in H_0. \tag{12}$$

By the Parseval equality and the polarization identity, setting $T := [0, 2\pi)^2$, we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle f, \psi_{j,k,m} \rangle \langle \tilde{\psi}_{j,k,m}, g \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} \int_T [\hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot), \hat{\psi}](\eta) [\widehat{\tilde{\psi}}, \hat{g}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot)](\eta) d\eta, \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where the bracket product is defined as

$$[f, g](\eta) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f(\eta + 2\pi m) \overline{g(\eta + 2\pi m)}.$$

by definition, ψ with $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies Equation (5). By (13), we can rewrite (5) as

$$B \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} \int_T [\hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot), \hat{\psi}](\eta) [\widehat{\tilde{\psi}}, \hat{g}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot)](\eta) d\eta, \quad f, g \in L^2(H)^\vee. \tag{14}$$

For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider

$$M^j := A_{2^j} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^j & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, let $\hat{f}(\zeta) = \hat{g}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)|}} \chi_{D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)}(\zeta)$, where for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_l \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define

$$D_l(\xi, \gamma_l) := \{M^l[S_k^T(x + 2\pi\gamma_l)] : x \in T\}, \quad \xi \in H_0.$$

Since $\xi \neq 0$ and $\xi \in H^\circ$, we can choose $l_\xi < 0$ such that

$$M^j D_l(\xi, \gamma_l) \cap D_l(\xi, \gamma_l) = \emptyset, \quad \forall j < 0, l \leq l_\xi, j, l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{15}$$

For a detailed proof of (15), the reader is referred to [3].

It is obvious that $f, g \in L^2(H)^\vee$. Hence (14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= B\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\sum_{j \geq l-l_N} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} \int_T [\hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot), \hat{\psi}(\eta)] [\hat{\psi}, \hat{g}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot)](\eta) d\eta \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{j < l-l_N} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} \int_T [\hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot), \hat{\psi}(\eta)] [\hat{\psi}, \hat{g}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot)](\eta) d\eta \right], \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

with the integer $l_N < 0$ depending only on N . Since $\hat{f}(\zeta) = \hat{g}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)|}} \chi_{D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)}(\zeta)$, then for any $j \geq l - l_N$, we obtain

$$[\hat{f}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot), \hat{\psi}(\eta)] [\hat{\psi}, \hat{g}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot)](\eta) = \frac{1}{|D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)|} [\overline{\hat{\psi}} \hat{\psi}, \chi_{D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \cdot)](\eta). \tag{17}$$

Hence, By (15) and (17), in (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)|} \int_{D_l(\xi, \gamma_l)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \leq l_N - l} \overline{\hat{\psi}}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \eta) \hat{\psi}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \eta) d\eta \\
 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\hat{\psi}}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \eta) \hat{\psi}(A_{2^j} S_k^T \eta),
 \end{aligned}$$

then the result follows. ■

References

- [1] C. K. Chui, X. Shi, On a LittlewoodPaley identity and characterization of wavelets, *Math. Anal. Appl.* 177 (1993) 608–626.
- [2] I. Daubechies, B. Han, Pairs of dual wavelet frames from any two renable functions, *Constr. Appr.*, to appear.
- [3] B. Han, On dual wavelet tight frames, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 4 (1997) 380–413.
- [4] G. Kutyniok, D. Labate, *Shearlets: Multiscale Analysis for Multivariate Data*, Birkhauser, Basel, 2012.