

## *S*-metric and fixed point theorem

M. Simkhah Asil<sup>a</sup>, S. Sedghi<sup>b,\*</sup>, N. Shobe<sup>c</sup>, Z. D. Mitrović<sup>d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Qaemshar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshar, Iran.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Babol Branch, Islamic Azad University, Babol, Iran.

<sup>d</sup>University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Patre 5, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Received 18 May 2020; Revised 25 June 2020; Accepted 15 July 2020.

Communicated by Ghasem Soleimani Rad

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove a general fixed point theorem in *S*-metric spaces for maps satisfying an implicit relation on complete metric spaces. As applications, we get many analogues of fixed point theorems in metric spaces for *S*-metric spaces.

© 2020 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:** Fixed point, implicit relation.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 54H25; Secondary 47H10.

## 1. Introduction

This article presents some new fixed point results for mappings. In section 2, we present an implicit relation and some examples for this relation. In section 3, some fixed point theorem for maps are proved using the implicit relation. In section 4, we give an application of the integral equation result.

In [12], Sedghi et al. have introduced the notion of an *S*-metric space as follows.

**Definition 1.1** [12, Definition 2.1] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An *S*-metric on  $X$  is a function  $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

- (1)  $S(x, y, z) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y = z$ ,

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: maryamsimka@yahoo.com (M. Simkhah Asil); sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com, sedghi.gh@qaemiau.ac.ir (S. Sedghi); nabi\_shobe@yahoo.com (N. Shobe); zoran.mitrovic@etf.unibl.org (Z. D. Mitrović).

$$(2) S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a).$$

The pair  $(X, S)$  is called an  $S$ -metric space.

Immediate examples of such a  $S$ -metric space are:

- (a) If  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we define  $S(x, y, z) = \|y + z - 2x\| + \|y - z\|$ .
- (b) If  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we define  $S(x, y, z) = \|x - z\| + \|y - z\|$ .
- (c) If  $d$  is the ordinary metric on  $X$ , then we define  $S(x, y, z) = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ .

This notion is a generalization of a  $G$ -metric space [8] and a  $D^*$ -metric space [13]. For the fixed point problem in generalized metric spaces, many results have been proved, see [1, 5–7] for example. In [12], the authors have proved some properties of  $S$ -metric spaces. Also, they have been proved some fixed point theorems for a self-map on an  $S$ -metric space.

In this paper, we prove a general fixed point theorem in  $S$ -metric spaces which is a generalization of [12, Theorem 3.1]. As applications, we get many analogues of fixed point theorems in metric spaces for  $S$ -metric spaces.

Now we recall some notions and lemmas which will be useful later.

**Definition 1.2** [12] Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. For  $r > 0$  and  $x \in X$ , we define the *open ball*  $B_S(x, r)$  and the *closed ball*  $B_S[x, r]$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  as follows.

$$B_S(x, r) = \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) < r\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_S[x, r] = \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) \leq r\}.$$

The *topology induced by the  $S$ -metric* is the topology generated by the base of all open balls in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.3** [12] Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space.

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  *converges* to  $x \in X$  if  $S(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ . We write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for brevity.
- (2) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is a *Cauchy sequence* if  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq n_0$  we have  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ .
- (3) The  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence.

**Lemma 1.4** [12, Lemma 2.5] In an  $S$ -metric space, we have

- (1)  $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (2)  $S(x, y, y) \leq 2S(y, y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.5** [12, Lemma 2.12] Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  then  $S(x_n, x_n, y_n) \rightarrow S(x, x, y)$ .

As a special case of [12, Examples in page 260] we have the following.

**Example 1.6** Let  $C[a, b] = \{f | f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a continuous function}\}$ . If set  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \{|f(x)|\}$ . Then  $S(f, g, h) = \|f - h\|_\infty + \|g - h\|_\infty$  for all  $f, g, h \in C[a, b]$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $C[a, b]$  and  $(C[a, b], S)$  is an complete metric space.

**Lemma 1.7** Let  $(X, S)$  be a  $S$ -metric space. If there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$

such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(a, x_n, y_n) \leq S(a, a, x) + S(x, x, y)$$

for every  $a \in X$ . In particular, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(a, x_n, y_n) \leq S(a, a, x).$$

**Proof.** Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ , then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n \geq n_1 \Rightarrow S(x_n, x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall n \geq n_2 \Rightarrow S(y_n, y_n, y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

If set  $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ , then for every  $n \geq n_0$  by second condition  $S$ -metric we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S(a, x_n, y_n) &\leq S(a, a, x) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, x) \\ &\leq S(a, a, x) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + 2S(y_n, y_n, y) + S(x, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(a, x_n, y_n) &\leq S(a, a, x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + S(x, x, y) \\ &= S(a, a, x) + S(x, x, y) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(a, x_n, y_n) \leq S(a, a, x) + S(x, x, y).$$

■

## 2. Implicit relations

Implicit relations on metric spaces have been used in many articles. For examples, see [2-4, 9-11]. Let  $\mathbb{R}_+$  be the set of nonnegative real numbers and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all functions  $F : \mathbb{R}_+^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $F_0$   $F(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(p_n)$  for any  $p_n \in \mathbb{R}_+^7$ , where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n$  means component-wise lim.
- $F_1$   $F(t_1, \dots, t_7)$  is nonincreasing in  $t_2, \dots, t_7$ .
- $F_2$  there exists a  $h$  with  $0 < h < 1$  such that the inequality  $F(u, v, v, v, v, 2u, 2u) \leq 0$  implies  $u \leq hv$ .

**Example 2.1**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_7) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, \frac{1}{2}t_6, \frac{1}{2}t_7\}$ , where  $0 < h < 1$ .

$F_0$  and  $F_1$  : Obviously.

$F_2$  : Let  $u, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, v, v, 2u, 2u) = u - h \max\{u, v\} \leq 0$ . If  $u \geq v$ , then  $u \leq hu$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u < v$  and  $u \leq hv$ . If  $u = 0$ , then  $u \leq hv$ . Thus  $F_2$  is satisfied.

**Example 2.2**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_7) = t_1 - a \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\} - b(t_6 + t_7)$ , where  $a, b > 0$  and  $0 < \frac{a}{1-4b} < 1$ .

$F_0$  and  $F_1$  : Obviously.

$F_2$  : Let  $u, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, v, v, 2u, 2u) = u - av - 4bu \leq 0$ . Then  $u \leq \frac{a}{1-4b}v = hv$ . Thus  $F_2$  is satisfied.

**Example 2.3**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_7) = t_1^2 - h \max\{t_1t_2, t_2t_3, t_3t_4, t_4t_5\}$ , where  $0 < h < 1$ .

$F_0$  and  $F_1$  : Obviously.

$F_2$  : Let  $u, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, v, v, 2u, 2u) = u^2 - h \max\{uv, v^2\} \leq 0$ . If  $u \geq v$ , then  $u^2 \leq huv$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u < v$  and  $u \leq \sqrt{hv}$ . If  $u = 0$ , then  $u \leq \sqrt{hv}$ . Thus  $F_2$  is satisfied.

**Example 2.4**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_7) = t_1 - ht_2$ , where  $0 < h < 1$ .

$F_0$  and  $F_1$  : Obviously.

$F_2$  : Let  $u, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, v, v, 2u, 2u) = u - hv \leq 0$ . Thus  $F_2$  is satisfied.

### 3. Fixed point theory

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and  $T_n : X \rightarrow X$  be a self map, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose, for all  $x, y, z \in X$

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(T_i x, T_j y, T_k z), S(x, y, z), S(T_i x, T_j y, x), S(T_i x, T_j y, y), \\ S(T_i x, T_j y, z), S(T_i x, z, T_k z), S(T_j y, z, T_k z) \end{array} \right) \leq 0$$

for every  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then there exists unique  $x \in X$  with  $T_n x = x$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$ , then we can choose  $x_n \in X$  with  $T_{n+1} x_n = x_{n+1}$ .

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(T_n x_{n-1}, T_n x_{n-1}, T_{n+1} x_n), S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n), S(T_n x_{n-1}, T_n x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \\ S(T_n x_{n-1}, T_n x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), S(T_n x_{n-1}, T_n x_{n-1}, x_n), S(T_n x_{n-1}, x_n, T_{n+1} x_n), \\ S(T_n x_{n-1}, x_n, T_{n+1} x_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \\ S(x_n, x_n, x_n), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \\ &= F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n), S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n), S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n), \\ S(x_n, x_n, x_n), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F$  is nonincreasing in  $t_2, \dots, t_7$ , therefore

$$F(u, v, v, v, v, 2u, 2u) \leq F(u, v, v, v, 0, u, u) \leq 0,$$

and by property  $F_2$ , exist  $0 < h < 1$  so that

$$u = S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) < hv = hS(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

In the other hand, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h^n S(x_0, x_0, x_1).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^m S(x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^m h^i S(x_0, x_0, x_1) \\ &= 2 \frac{(h^n - h^m)}{1 - h} S(x_0, x_0, x_1) \leq 2 \frac{h^n}{1 - h} S(x_0, x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Thus there exists  $u \in X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow u$ . It remains to show  $T_n u = u$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_n u, T_n u, T_m x_{m-1}), S(u, u, x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \\ S(T_n u, T_n u, x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, T_m x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, T_m x_{m-1}) \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_n u, T_n u, x_m), S(u, u, x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \\ S(T_n u, T_n u, x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m), S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m) \end{matrix} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the upper limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_n u, T_n u, x_m), S(u, u, x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \\ S(T_n u, T_n u, x_{m-1}), S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m), S(T_n u, T_n u, x_m) \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(u, u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \\ S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m), \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m) \end{matrix} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.7, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(u, u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \\ S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u) \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\leq F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(u, u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \\ S(T_n u, T_n u, u), \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m), \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} S(T_n u, x_{m-1}, x_m) \end{matrix} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F$  is nonincreasing in  $t_2, \dots, t_7$ , therefore

$$F(t, t, t, t, t, 2t, 2t) \leq F(t, 0, t, t, t, t, t) \leq 0.$$

So, from  $F_2$ , we have

$$t = S(T_n u, T_n u, u) \leq hS(T_n u, T_n u, u) = ht,$$

therefore,  $T_n u = u$ .

To prove the uniqueness, let  $v \in X$  with  $v \neq u$  such that  $v = T_n v$ . Then

$$F \left( \begin{matrix} S(T_i u, T_j u, T_k v), S(u, u, v), S(T_i u, T_j u, u), S(T_i u, T_j u, u), \\ S(T_i u, T_j u, v), S(T_i u, v, T_k v), S(T_i u, v, T_k v) \end{matrix} \right) \leq 0$$

Hence

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(u, u, v), S(u, u, v), S(u, u, u), S(u, u, u), \\ S(u, u, v), S(u, v, v), S(u, v, v) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Since  $F$  is nonincreasing in  $t_2, \dots, t_7$ , and by Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(u, u, v), S(u, u, v), S(u, u, v), S(u, u, v), \\ S(u, u, v), 2S(u, u, v), 2S(u, u, v) \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(u, u, v), S(u, u, v), 0, 0, \\ S(u, u, v), S(u, v, v), S(u, v, v) \end{array} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, from  $F_2$ , we have  $t = S(u, u, v) < hv = hS(u, u, v)$ , that is  $u = v$ . ■

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $T$  be a self-map on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  and

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} S(Tx, Ty, Tz), S(x, y, z), S(Tx, Ty, x), S(Tx, Ty, y), \\ S(Tx, Ty, z), S(Tx, z, Tz), S(Ty, z, Tz) \end{array} \right) \leq 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $F \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then there exists unique  $x \in X$  with  $Tx = x$ .

**Proof.** The assertion follows from using Theorem 3.1 with  $T_n = T$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and  $T_n : X \rightarrow X$  be a self map, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose, for all  $x, y, z \in X$

$$S(T_i x, T_j y, T_k z) \leq h \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max\{S(x, y, z), S(T_i x, T_j y, x), S(T_i x, T_j y, y), \\ S(T_i x, T_j y, z), \frac{1}{2}S(T_i x, z, T_k z), \frac{1}{2}S(T_j y, z, T_k z) \} \end{array} \right\}$$

for every  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists unique  $x \in X$  with  $T_n x = x$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** The assertion follows from using Theorem 3.1 with

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_7) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, \dots, t_5, \frac{1}{2}t_6, \frac{1}{2}t_7\}.$$

■

**Corollary 3.4** Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self map. Suppose, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have

$$S(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq h \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max\{S(x, y, z), S(Tx, Ty, x), S(Tx, Ty, y), \\ S(Tx, Ty, z), \frac{1}{2}S(Tx, z, Tz), \frac{1}{2}S(Ty, z, Tz) \} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then there exists unique  $x \in X$  with  $Tx = x$ .

**Proof.** The assertion follows from using Corollary 3.4 with  $T_n = T$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

**Example 3.5** Let  $X = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and

$$S(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z \\ \max\{x, y, z\} & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $(X, S)$  is a complete  $S$ -metric space. Let  $T_n x = \sin(h^n x)$ , for  $x \in X$  and  $0 < h < 1$ . For all  $x, y, z \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(T_i x, T_j y, T_k z) &= \max\{\sin(h^i x), \sin(h^j y), \sin(h^k z)\} \\ &\leq \max\{h^i x, h^j y, h^k z\} \\ &\leq h \max\{x, y, z\} \\ &= hS(x, y, z) \\ &\leq h \left\{ \max\{S(x, y, z), S(T_i x, T_j y, x), S(T_i x, T_j y, y), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S(T_i x, T_j y, z), \frac{1}{2}S(T_i x, z, T_k z), \frac{1}{2}S(T_j y, z, T_k z)\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.3, it is clear that  $x = 0$  is the unique fixed point of  $T_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### 4. An application to the integral equation

In this section, we give an application of the integral equation. Let

$$C[a, b] = \{f | f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a continuous function}\}.$$

If set

$$f(x) = g(x) + \int_0^1 k(x, t) f(t) dt,$$

where  $f, g \in C([0, 1])$  and  $k(x, t)$  which is continuous on the squared region  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  with  $|k(x, t)| < h (h < 1)$ . Then there exists a unique  $f_0 \in C([0, 1])$  such that

$$f_0(x) - g(x) = \int_0^1 k(x, t) f_0(t) dt.$$

Since, for every  $f \in C([0, 1])$  if we define  $T : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  by  $T(f) = T_f$  such that for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$T_f(x) = g(x) + \int_0^1 k(x, t) f(t) dt.$$

As in Example 1.6, let

$$S(f, g, h) = \|f - g\|_\infty + \|g - h\|_\infty$$

for every  $f, g, h \in C([0, 1])$ . Similarly, now we define the function  $S : C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$S(T_f, T_g, T_h) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |T_f(x) - T_h(x)| + \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |T_g(x) - T_h(x)|$$

for all  $f, g, h \in C[0, 1]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(T_f, T_g, T_h) &= \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |T_f(x) - T_h(x)| + \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |T_g(x) - T_h(x)| \\
 &\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |k(x, t)| (|f(t) - h(t)|) dt + \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |k(x, t)| (|g(t) - h(t)|) dt \\
 &\leq h \int_0^1 |f(t) - h(t)| dt + h \int_0^1 |g(t) - h(t)| dt \\
 &\leq h \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - h(x)| \int_0^1 dt + h \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |g(x) - h(x)| \int_0^1 dt \\
 &\leq h \|f - h\|_\infty + h \|g - h\|_\infty \\
 &= h S(f, g, h) \\
 &\leq h \left\{ S(f, g, h), S(T_f, T_g, f), S(T_f, T_g, g), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. S(T_f, T_g, h), \frac{1}{2} S(T_f, h, T_h), \frac{1}{2} S(T_g, h, T_h) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertion follows from using Corollary 3.4, there exists a unique  $f_0 \in C([0, 1])$  such that  $T(f_0) = T_{f_0} = f_0$ ; that is

$$f_0(x) - g(x) = \int_0^1 k(x, t) f_0(t) dt$$

for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] I. Altun, D. Turkoglu, Fixed point and homotopy result for mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Discuss. Math. Differ. Incl. Control Optim.* 27 (2007), 349-363.
- [3] I. Altun, H. A. Hancer, D. Turkoglu, A fixed point theorem for multi-maps satisfying an implicit relation on metrically convex metric spaces, *Math. Commun.* 11 (2006), 17-23.
- [4] M. Imdad, S. Kumar, M. S. Khan, Remarks on some fixed point theorems satisfying implicit relations, *Rad. Math.* 11 (1) (2002), 135-143.
- [5] M. Jovanović, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010, 2010:978121.
- [6] M. A. Khamsi, Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010, 2010:315398.
- [7] M. A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, KKM mappings in metric type spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 7 (9) (2010), 3123-3129.
- [8] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7 (2) (2006), 289-297.
- [9] V. Popa, A general coincidence theorem for compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstratio Math.* 33 (1) (2000), 159-164.
- [10] V. Popa, Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstratio Math.* 32 (1) (1999), 157-163.
- [11] S. Sharma, B. Desphande, On compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation in common fixed point consideration, *Tamkang J. Math.* 33 (3) (2002), 245-252.
- [12] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorem in  $S$ -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik.* 64 (3) (2012), 258-266.
- [13] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, H. Zhou, A common fixed point theorem in  $D^*$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2007, 2007:27906.