

## Suzuki-Berinde type fixed-point and fixed-circle results on $S$ -metric spaces

N. Taş<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Balıkesir University, 10145 Balıkesir, Turkey.

Received 26 March 2018; Revised 10 September 2018; Accepted 11 September 2018.

Communicated by Hamidreza Rahimi

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, the notions of a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_S$ -contraction and a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction are introduced on a  $S$ -metric space. Using these new notions, a fixed-point theorem is proved on a complete  $S$ -metric space and a fixed-circle theorem is established on a  $S$ -metric space. Some examples are given to support the obtained results.

© 2018 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:**  $S$ -metric, Suzuki-Berinde type contraction, fixed point, fixed circle.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 54H25, 47H10.

### 1. Introduction

The fixed-point theory was started with the classical Banach contraction principle [2]. This principle has been generalized using different approaches. One of these approaches is to generalize the used contractive conditions (for example, see [3, 4, 8, 18, 20, 25, 26]). Another approach is to generalize the used metric spaces. For example, the concept of  $S$ -metric space was introduced for this purpose as a generalization of metric spaces [23]. Using this space, new fixed-point theorems were obtained with various approaches such as generalized Banach's contractive conditions, Rhoades' condition, Wardowski's condition and etc (for more details, see [5–7, 9, 10, 14–16, 19, 21–24]).

Recently, the fixed-circle problem has been considered and studied a new direction of the extensions of the fixed-point results on metric and  $S$ -metric spaces. For example, in [13], some fixed-circle theorems were proved using Caristi's inequality with existence and uniqueness conditions on metric spaces. In [12], using a family of some functions, a

---

\* E-mail address: nihaltas@balikesir.edu.tr (N. Taş).

fixed-circle result was given with discontinuity application. Therefore, some fixed-circle results were studied using different approaches on  $S$ -metric spaces (see [11, 17]).

Motivated by the above studies, in this paper we prove a fixed-point theorem and a fixed-circle theorem using the Suzuki-Berinde type contractive conditions on  $S$ -metric spaces. In Section 2, we recall some definitions, results and examples related to  $S$ -metric spaces. In Section 3, we define two new notions of a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_S$ -contraction and a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction. Using these contractive conditions, we present a fixed-point theorem and a fixed-circle theorem with some illustrative examples on  $S$ -metric spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some necessary notions and results about  $S$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1** [23] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{S} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying the following conditions for all  $u, v, w, a \in X$ :

- (S1)  $\mathcal{S}(u, v, w) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v = w$ ,
- (S2)  $\mathcal{S}(u, v, w) \leq \mathcal{S}(u, u, a) + \mathcal{S}(v, v, a) + \mathcal{S}(w, w, a)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is called a  $S$ -metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  is called a  $S$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.2** [23] Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space and  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in this space.

- (1) A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  converges to  $u \in X$  if  $\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u) < \varepsilon$ .
- (2) A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  is a Cauchy sequence if  $\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , that is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq n_0$  we have  $\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u_m) < \varepsilon$ .
- (3) The  $S$ -metric space  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence.

**Lemma 2.3** [23] Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space and  $u, v \in X$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{S}(u, u, v) = \mathcal{S}(v, v, u).$$

The relationships between a metric and a  $S$ -metric were studied in different papers such as [6, 7, 16]. In [7], a formula of a  $S$ -metric space which is generated by a metric  $d$  was given as follows:

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then the function  $\mathcal{S}_d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\mathcal{S}_d(u, v, w) = d(u, w) + d(v, w)$  for all  $u, v, w \in X$  is a  $S$ -metric on  $X$ . The  $S$ -metric  $\mathcal{S}_d$  is called the  $S$ -metric generated by  $d$  [16]. We note that there exists a  $S$ -metric which is not generated by any metric  $d$  as seen in the following example.

**Example 2.4** [16] Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . If we consider the function  $\mathcal{S} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\mathcal{S}(u, v, w) = |u - w| + |u + w - 2v|$  for all  $u, v, w \in X$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $S$ -metric on  $X$  which is not generated by any metric  $d$ .

Also in [6], it was shown that every  $S$ -metric defines a metric  $d_S(u, v) = \mathcal{S}(u, u, v) + \mathcal{S}(v, v, u)$  for all  $u, v \in X$ . But the function  $d_S$  does not always define a metric since the triangle inequality does not satisfied for all elements of  $X$ .

**Example 2.5** [16] Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . If we consider the function  $\mathcal{S} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(1, 1, 2) &= \mathcal{S}(2, 2, 1) = 5, \\ \mathcal{S}(2, 2, 3) &= \mathcal{S}(3, 3, 2) = \mathcal{S}(1, 1, 3) = \mathcal{S}(3, 3, 1) = 2, \\ \mathcal{S}(u, v, w) &= 0 \text{ if } u = v = w, \\ \mathcal{S}(u, v, w) &= 1 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v, w \in X$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $S$ -metric on  $X$  which is not generated by any metric  $d$  and does not generate a metric  $d_S$ .

Also the relationship between a  $b$ -metric defined in [1] and a  $S$ -metric was proved in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6** [21] Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space and  $d^S(u, v) = \mathcal{S}(u, u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in X$ . Then we have

- (1)  $d^S$  is a  $b$ -metric on  $X$ ,
- (2)  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  if and only if  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $(X, d^S)$ ,
- (3)  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  if and only if  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d^S)$ .

The metric  $d^S$  is called the  $b$ -metric generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ . From the above relationships, it was important to study new fixed-point results on  $S$ -metric spaces.

### 3. New fixed-point and fixed-circle results on $S$ -metric spaces

In this section, using the Suzuki-Berinde and Wardowski’s techniques, we give a fixed-point theorem and a fixed-circle theorem on  $S$ -metric spaces. Some illustrative examples are also presented for the validity of our results. For this purpose, we use the following known family of functions and a lemma.

Let  $\Delta_F$  be the set of all functions  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions [26]:

- ( $F_1$ )  $F$  is strictly increasing,
- ( $F_2$ ) For all sequence  $\{u_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n) = -\infty$ ,
- ( $F_3$ ) There exists  $0 < k < 1$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1** [20] Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing mapping and  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then the followings hold:

- (a) If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n) = -\infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$ .
- (b) If  $\inf F = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n) = -\infty$ .

After that, Secelean replaced the condition ( $F_2$ ) by ( $F'_2$ ) as follows:

( $F'_2$ )  $\inf F = -\infty$  or ( $F''_2$ ) There exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive real numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n) = -\infty$ .

Further, Piri et al. [18] used the following condition ( $F'_3$ ) instead of the condition ( $F_3$ ) to obtain some new fixed-point results.

( $F'_3$ )  $F$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ .

In the sequel, we consider  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying in conditions ( $F_1$ ), ( $F'_2$ ) and ( $F'_3$ ).

At first, we define the notion of Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_S$ -contraction on  $S$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 3.2** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $\mathcal{S}$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If there exist  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\tau_1 > 0$  and  $\tau_2 \geq 0$  such that for each  $u, v \in X$  with  $Tu \neq Tv$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) < \mathcal{S}(u, u, v)$$

implies

$$\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, Tv)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v)) + \tau_2 \min \{ \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u), \mathcal{S}(Tv, Tv, u), \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, v) \},$$

then  $T$  is called a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ -contraction on  $X$ .

Using Definition 3.2, we prove the following fixed-point result.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a complete  $\mathcal{S}$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If  $T$  is a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ -contraction on  $X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$  and the sequence  $\{T^n u_0\}$  converges to  $u$  for every  $u_0 \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u_0 \in X$  and the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  be defined by  $T^n u_0 = u_n$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u_{n_0+1} = u_{n_0}$ , then  $u_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Therefore, assume that  $Tu_n = u_{n+1} \neq u_n$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n) &= \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) \\ &< \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, Tu_n) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n)) &= \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, Tu_{n-1})) \\ &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1})) + \tau_2 \min \{ \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n), \\ &\quad \mathcal{S}(Tu_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, u_n), \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_{n-1}) \} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n)) &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, u_{n-1})) - \tau_1 \\ &\leq \dots \leq F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0)) - n\tau_1, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n)) = -\infty$  and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n) = 0, \tag{1}$$

since  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now, we show that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy. On the contrary,  $\{u_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences  $\{x(n)\}$  and  $\{y(n)\}$  of natural numbers such that for  $x(n) > y(n) > n$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)}) \geq \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)-1}, u_{x(n)-1}, u_{y(n)}) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the inequality (2), Lemma

2.3 and the condition (S2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)}) \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)-1}) + \mathcal{S}(u_{y(n)}, u_{y(n)}, u_{x(n)-1}) \\ &< 2\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)-1}) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality (1) and taking the limit, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)}) = \varepsilon. \tag{3}$$

From (1) and (3), we can choose a natural number  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)}),$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{y(n)})) \\ &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)})) + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)})}{\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)})}, \frac{\mathcal{S}(Tu_{y(n)}, Tu_{y(n)}, u_{x(n)})}{\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)})} \right\} \\ &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)})) + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)})}{2\mathcal{S}(Tu_{y(n)}, Tu_{y(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}) + \mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)})}, \frac{\mathcal{S}(Tu_{y(n)}, Tu_{y(n)}, u_{x(n)})}{2\mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}) + \mathcal{S}(u_{y(n)}, u_{y(n)}, u_{x(n)})} \right\} \\ &= F(\mathcal{S}(u_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}, u_{y(n)})) + \tau_2 \mathcal{S}(Tu_{x(n)}, Tu_{x(n)}, u_{x(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition  $(F'_3)$ , and (1) and (3), we get  $\tau_1 + F(\varepsilon) \leq F(\varepsilon)$ , which is a contradiction since  $\tau_1 > 0$ . Therefore,  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of  $X$ , there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u) = 0$ . Now, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u_n) < \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u) \text{ or } \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(T^2u_n, T^2u_n, Tu_n) < \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, u), \tag{4}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the contrary, we assume that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m) \geq \mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u) \text{ and } \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m) \geq \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u). \tag{5}$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 3\mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u) &\leq \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, Tu_m) \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u) + \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u) \leq \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u). \tag{6}$$

From the inequalities (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u) \leq \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u) \leq \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m). \quad (7)$$

Also using the hypothesis and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m) &= \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u_{m+1}) \\ &< \mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u_{m+1}) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m)) &= \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, T^2u_m)) \\ &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, Tu_m)) \\ &\quad + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, Tu_m), \mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, T^2u_m), \\ \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, Tu_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m)). \quad (8)$$

From the inequality (8), then we have

$$F(\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m)) < F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m)),$$

and therefore, using strictly increasing property of  $F$  we obtain that

$$\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m) < \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m) \quad (9)$$

Using the inequalities (5), (7) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m) &< \mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u_m) \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{S}(Tu_m, Tu_m, u) + \mathcal{S}(u_m, u_m, u) \\ &< \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m) + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(T^2u_m, T^2u_m, Tu_m), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the inequalities given in (4) are satisfied. So using Lemma 2.3, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, Tu)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u)) + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, Tu_n), \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, Tu), \\ \mathcal{S}(u, u, Tu_n) \end{array} \right\},$$

which implies

$$\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, Tu)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u)) + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}), \mathcal{S}(u_n, u_n, Tu), \\ \mathcal{S}(u, u, u_{n+1}) \end{matrix} \right\}. \tag{10}$$

Using (10), the condition  $(F'_2)$  and Lemma 3.1, we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, Tu)) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, Tu) = 0$ . Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(u, u, Tu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, Tu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(Tu_n, Tu_n, Tu) = 0$$

and so  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Finally, we show that  $u$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ . On the contrary,  $v$  is another fixed point of  $T$  such that  $u \neq v$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, Tv) = \mathcal{S}(u, u, v) > 0$  and

$$\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) = 0 < \mathcal{S}(u, u, v).$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v)) &= F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, Tv)) \\ &< \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, Tv)) \\ &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v)) + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, Tu)), F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, Tv)), \\ F(\mathcal{S}(v, v, Tu)) \end{matrix} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v)) < F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v))$ . Now, using the strictly increasing property of  $F$ , we get  $\mathcal{S}(u, u, v) < \mathcal{S}(u, u, v)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $u$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ . ■

Now we give the following illustrative example.

**Example 3.4** Let us consider the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  defined as  $A_n = 2 + 4 + \dots + 2n = n(n + 1)$ . Let  $X = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and the function  $\mathcal{S} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined as in Example 2.4. Then  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  is a complete  $\mathcal{S}$ -metric space and the  $\mathcal{S}$ -metric is not generated by any metric. Let us consider the self-mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$Tu = \begin{cases} A_1 & u = A_1 \\ A_{n-1} & u = A_n \text{ for } (n > 1) \end{cases}$$

for all  $u \in X$ . If we take the mapping  $F(t) = -\frac{1}{t} + t$ ,  $\tau_1 = 4$  and  $\tau_2 = 0$ , then  $T$  is a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_S$ -contraction on  $X$ . Indeed, we show this under the following cases:

Case 1. Let  $1 = n < m$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{S}(TA_m, TA_m, TA_1) = 2|TA_m - TA_1| = 2|A_{m-1} - A_1| = 2[4 + 6 + \dots + 2(m - 1)]$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(A_m, A_m, A_1) = 2|A_m - A_1| = 2[4 + 6 + \dots + 2m].$$

Since  $m > 1$ , so we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 - \frac{1}{2[4 + 6 + \cdots + 2(m-1)]} + 2[4 + 6 + \cdots + 2(m-1)] \\ & < -\frac{1}{2[4 + 6 + \cdots + 2m]} + 2[4 + 6 + \cdots + 2(m-1) + 2m]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$4 - \frac{1}{2|TA_m - TA_1|} + 2|TA_m - TA_1| < -\frac{1}{2|A_m - A_1|} + 2|A_m - A_1|.$$

Case 2. By the similar arguments used in above, we get

$$4 - \frac{1}{2|TA_m - TA_1|} + 2|TA_m - TA_1| < -\frac{1}{2|A_m - A_1|} + 2|A_m - A_1|$$

for  $1 \leq m < n$ .

Case 3. Let  $1 < n < m$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(TA_m, TA_m, TA_n) &= 2|TA_m - TA_n| = 2|A_{m-1} - A_{n-1}| \\ &= 2[2n + 2(n+1) + \cdots + 2(m-1)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(A_m, A_m, A_n) = 2|A_m - A_n| = 2[2(n+1) + 2(n+2) + \cdots + 2m].$$

Since  $1 < n < m$  and  $4n + 4 \leq 4m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 - \frac{1}{2[2n + 2(n+1) + \cdots + 2(m-1)]} + 2[2n + 2(n+1) + \cdots + 2(m-1)] \\ & < -\frac{1}{2[2(n+1) + 2(n+2) + \cdots + 2m]} + 2[2(n+1) + 2(n+2) + \cdots + 2(m-1) + 2m]. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$4 - \frac{1}{2|TA_m - TA_n|} + 2|TA_m - TA_n| < -\frac{1}{2|A_m - A_n|} + 2|A_m - A_n|.$$

Therefore,  $T$  is a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_S$ -contraction and  $TA_1 = A_1$ ; that is,  $A_1$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ .

If we take  $\tau_2 = 0$  then we get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If there exist  $\tau_1 > 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for each  $u, v \in X$  with  $Tu \neq Tv$ , we have  $\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) < \mathcal{S}(u, u, v)$  implies  $\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, Tv)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v))$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$  and the sequence  $\{T^n u_0\}$  converges to  $u$  for every  $u_0 \in X$ .



**Corollary 3.6** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If there exist  $\tau_1 > 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for each  $u, v \in X$  with  $Tu \neq Tv$ , we have  $\tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, Tv)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, v))$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$  and the sequence  $\{T^n u_0\}$  converges to  $u$  for every  $u_0 \in X$ .

If we consider Theorem 2.6, then we get the Suzuki-Berinde type fixed-point theorem on  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $(X, d^S)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If there exist  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\tau_1 > 0$  and  $\tau_2 \geq 0$  such that for each  $u, v \in X$  with  $Tu \neq Tv$ , we have  $\frac{1}{3}d^S(Tu, u) < d^S(u, v)$  implies

$$\tau_1 + F(d^S(Tu, Tv)) \leq F(d^S(u, v)) + \tau_2 \min \{d^S(Tu, u), d^S(Tv, u), d^S(Tu, v)\},$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$  and the sequence  $\{T^n u_0\}$  converges to  $u$  for every  $u_0 \in X$ .

**Proof.** By the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3.3, it is clear. ■

In [11] and [23], a circle and a disc are defined on a  $S$ -metric space as follows, respectively:

$$C_{u_0, r}^S = \{u \in X : \mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0) = r\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{u_0, r}^S = \{x \in X : \mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0) \leq r\}.$$

**Definition 3.8** [11] Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space,  $C_{u_0, r}^S$  be a circle and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If  $Tu = u$  for every  $u \in C_{u_0, r}^S$  then the circle  $C_{u_0, r}^S$  is called as the fixed circle of  $T$ .

We introduce the notion of Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction on  $S$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 3.9** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping.  $T$  is called a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction on  $X$  if there exist  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\tau_1 > 0$ ,  $\tau_2 \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \in X$  such that for each  $u \in X$  with  $Tu \neq u$ , we have  $\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0) < \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)) &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0)) + \tau_2 \min\{\mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0), \\ &\mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u), \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Definition 3.9, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. If  $T$  is a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction with  $u_0 \in X$  then we have  $Tu_0 = u_0$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $Tu_0 \neq u_0$ . From the definition of the Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction, we get  $\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(u_0, u_0, u_0) < \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0)$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0)) &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u_0, u_0, u_0)) + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0), \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0), \\ \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= F(0) + \tau_2 \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with the definition of  $F$ . Therefore, we obtain  $Tu_0 = u_0$ . ■

Now we prove the fixed-circle theorem.

**Theorem 3.11** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space,  $T$  be a self-mapping on  $X$  satisfying the Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contractive condition with  $u_0 \in X$  and  $r = \min \{ \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) : Tu \neq u \}$ . If  $\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u_0) = r$  for all  $u \in C_{u_0, r}^S$  then  $C_{u_0, r}^S$  is a fixed circle of  $T$ . Especially,  $T$  fixes every circle  $C_{u_0, \rho}^S$  with  $\rho < r$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u \in C_{u_0, r}^S$  and  $Tu \neq u$ . By the definition of  $r$ , we have  $\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0) = \frac{r}{3} < \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)$ . Now, using the Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contractive property, Proposition 3.10, Lemma 2.3 and the strictly increasing property of  $F$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)) &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0)) - \tau_1 + \tau_2 \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0), \mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0), \\ \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u_0) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= F(r) - \tau_1 \\ &< F(r) \\ &\leq F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we find  $Tu = u$  and so  $C_{u_0, r}^S$  is a fixed circle of  $T$ .

Finally, we show that  $T$  also fixes any circle  $C_{u_0, \rho}^S$  with  $\rho < r$ . Let  $u \in C_{u_0, \rho}^S$  and suppose that  $Tu \neq u$ . By the Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contractive property, we have

$$F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0)) - \tau_1 < F(\rho) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we get  $Tu = u$ . Thus,  $C_{u_0, \rho}^S$  is a fixed circle of  $T$ . ■

As an immediate result of Theorem 3.11, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.12** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $S$ -metric space,  $T$  be a self-mapping on  $X$  satisfying the Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contractive condition with  $u_0 \in X$  and  $r = \min \{ \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) : Tu \neq u \}$ . If  $\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u_0) = r$  for all  $u \in C_{u_0, r}^S$  then  $T$  fixes the disc  $D_{u_0, r}^S$ .

**Example 3.13** Let  $X = \{1, 2, \frac{5}{2}, e - \frac{1}{2}, e, e + \frac{1}{2}\}$  and the  $S$ -metric be defined as in Example 2.4. Then  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  is a  $S$ -metric space. Let us define the self-mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  as

$$Tu = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2} & u = 2 \\ u & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $u \in X$ . Then the self-mapping  $T$  is a Suzuki-Berinde type  $F_C^S$ -contraction with  $F = \ln u$ ,  $u_0 = e$ ,  $\tau_1 = 0.5$  and  $\tau_2 \geq 0$ . Indeed, for  $u = 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0) &= \frac{2e - 4}{3} < \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) = 1 < \mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0) = 2e - 4 \\ &\Rightarrow \ln(1) < \ln(2e - 4) = \ln(2(e - 4)) \\ &\Rightarrow 0.5 < \ln 2 + \ln(e - 4) \\ &\Rightarrow \tau_1 + F(\mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u)) \leq F(\mathcal{S}(u, u, u_0)) \\ &\quad + \tau_2 \min \{ \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u_0), \mathcal{S}(Tu_0, Tu_0, u), \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u_0) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.11, we get  $r = \min \{ \mathcal{S}(Tu, Tu, u) : Tu \neq u \} = 1$ . It is clear that  $T$  fixes the circle  $C_{e, 1}^S = \{e - \frac{1}{2}, e + \frac{1}{2}\}$  and the disc  $D_{e, 1}^S = \{\frac{5}{2}, e - \frac{1}{2}, e, e + \frac{1}{2}\}$ .

If we consider Theorem 2.6, then we get the Suzuki-Berinde type fixed-circle theorem on  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Theorem 3.14** Let  $(X, d^S)$  be a  $b$ -metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping and  $r = \min \{d^S(Tu, u) : Tu \neq u\}$ . If there exist  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\tau_1 > 0$ ,  $\tau_2 \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \in X$  such that for each  $u \in X$  with  $Tu \neq u$ , we have  $\frac{1}{3}d^S(u, u_0) < d^S(Tu, u)$  implies

$$\tau_1 + F(d^S(Tu, u)) \leq F(d^S(u, u_0)) + \tau_2 \min \{d^S(Tu_0, u_0), d^S(Tu_0, u), d^S(Tu, u_0)\},$$

then  $C_{u_0, r}^{d^S} = \{u \in X : d^S(u, u_0) = r\}$  is a fixed circle of  $T$  with the condition  $d^S(Tu, u_0) = r$ . Especially,  $T$  fixes every circle  $C_{u_0, \rho}^{d^S}$  with  $\rho < r$ , that is,  $T$  fixes the disc  $D_{u_0, r}^{d^S} = \{u \in X : d^S(u, u_0) \leq r\}$ .

## 4. Conclusion

In this section, we prove a Suzuki-Berinde fixed-point theorem and a Suzuki-Berinde fixed-circle theorem using the Suzuki-Berinde and Wardowski's techniques on  $S$ -metric spaces. Similarly, new fixed-point or fixed-circle results can be obtained using or modified the known techniques used in some fixed-point theorems on metric and some generalized metric spaces.

## Acknowledgments

The author gratefully thanks to the Referees for the constructive comments and recommendations which definitely help to improve the readability and quality of the paper.

## References

- [1] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, *Func. An. Ulian. Gos. Ped. Ins.* 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, *Fund. Math.* 2 (1922), 133-181.
- [3] V. Berinde, Approximating fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration, *Nonlinear. Anal. Forum.* 9 (2004), 43-53.
- [4] V. Berinde, General constructive fixed point theorem for Ćirić-type almost contractions in metric spaces, *Carpath. J. Math.* 24 (2008), 10-19.
- [5] S. Chaipornjareansri, Fixed point theorems for  $F_w$ -contractions in complete  $S$ -metric spaces, *Thai. J. Math.* (2016), 98-109.
- [6] A. Gupta, Cyclic contraction on  $S$ -metric space, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 3 (2) (2013), 119-130.
- [7] N. T. Hieu, N. T. Ly, N. V. Dung, A generalization of Ćirić quasi-contractions for maps on  $S$ -metric spaces, *Thai. J. Math.* 13 (2) (2015), 369-380.
- [8] N. Hussain, J. Ahmad, New Suzuki-Berinde type fixed point results, *Carpath. J. Math.* 33 (1) (2017), 59-72.
- [9] N. Mlaiki,  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive mapping on  $S$ -metric space, *Math. Sci. Lett.* 4 (1) (2015), 9-12.
- [10] N. Mlaiki, Common fixed points in complex  $S$ -metric space, *Adv. Fixed. Point. Theory.* 4 (4) (2014), 509-524.
- [11] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, Fixed-circle problem on  $S$ -metric spaces with a geometric viewpoint, arXiv:1704.08838 [math.MG].
- [12] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems and discontinuity at fixed circle, *AIP Conference Proceedings* (2018), 1926-020048.
- [13] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems on metric spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-017-0555-z>.
- [14] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, Some fixed-point theorems on  $S$ -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik.* 69 (1) (2017), 39-52.
- [15] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, Some generalizations of fixed point theorems on  $S$ -metric spaces, *Essays in Mathematics and Its Applications in Honor of Vladimir Arnold*, New York, Springer, 2016.
- [16] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, Some new contractive mappings on  $S$ -metric spaces and their relationships with the mapping  $(S25)$ , *Math. Sci.* 11 (1) (2017), 7-16.

- [17] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş, U. Çelik, New fixed-circle results on  $S$ -metric spaces, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 9 (2) (2017), 10-23.
- [18] H. Piri, P. Kumam, Some fixed point theorems concerning  $F$ -contraction in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2014), 2014:210.
- [19] K. P. R. Rao, G. N. V. Kishore, S. Sadik, Unique common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps in  $S_b$ -metric spaces, J. Linear. Topological. Algebra. 6 (1) (2017), 29-43.
- [20] N. A. Secelean, Iterated function systems consisting of  $F$ -contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2013), 2013:277.
- [21] S. Sedghi, N. V. Dung, Fixed point theorems on  $S$ -metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik. 66 (1) (2014), 113-124.
- [22] S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Došenović, J. Esfahani, S. Radenović, Common fixed point of four maps in  $S_b$ -metric spaces, J. Linear. Topological. Algebra. 5 (2) (2016), 93-104.
- [23] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in  $S$ -metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik. 64 (3) (2012), 258-266.
- [24] N. Souayah, A fixed point in partial  $S_b$ -metric spaces, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat. 24 (3) (2016), 351-362.
- [25] T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 1861-1869.
- [26] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2012), 2012:94.