

On the solving matrix equations by using the spectral representation

A. M. Nazari^{a,*}, S. Mollaghasemi^a, F. Bahmani^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Arak university, Arak, PO. Box 38156-8-8349, Iran.

Received 3 June 2018; Revised 13 November 2018, Accepted 10 December 2018.

Communicated by Mohammad Sadegh Asgari

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to solve two types of Lyapunov equations and quadratic matrix equations by using the spectral representation. We focus on solving Lyapunov equations $AX + XA^* = C$ and $AX + XA^T = -bb^T$ for $A, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times s}$ with $s < n$, which X is unknown matrix. Also, we suggest the new method for solving quadratic matrix equations $AX^2 + BX + C = 0$, where $A, B, C, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and X is unknown matrix with similar method.

© 2018 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Lyapunov equation, square matrix equations, spectral representation.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 15A24, 15A18, 15A42.

1. Introduction

Consider two square matrices $A, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The problem is to find a square matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ in

$$AX + XA^* = C, \quad (1)$$

which is called the Lyapunov equation. Many different algorithms are suitable for different situations depending on the properties of matrices A and C . For dense A , the Bartels-Stewart algorithm is the most widely used algorithm. It employs Schur decomposition and then builds simple linear equations which can be solved sequentially [2]. For sparse and large-scale A , if C is low-rank, Krylov-type methods may be more efficient [9]. This Lyapunov matrix equation has form $AX + XA^T = -bb^T$, where $A, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: a-nazari@araku.ac.ir (A. M. Nazari); s-mollaghasemi@arshad.araku.ac.ir (S. Mollaghasemi); f-bahmani@arshad.araku.ac.ir (F. Bahmani).

and $b \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times s}$ with $s < n$. There is no need to have rank of matrix in this paper which shows our methodology.

In Lyapunov equation (1), suppose that the two matrices A and $-A^*$ have not any common eigenvalues. It is well known that the Lyapunov equation (1) has an unique solution $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if and only if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, if A is strictly stable; that is, $\lambda_i < 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then (1) has an unique solution, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of matrix A . Lyapunov matrix equation has many applications in control and system theory especially in controllability, and control filtering with singular measurement noise [3] and optimal control theory [4], model reduction of linear time-invariant systems [1, 6]. Another application is communicating system theory and power systems. This equation has an unique solution if and only if $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \neq 0$ for all i and j , where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A [5, 10].

In the second part of this paper, we present a new method for solving quadratic matrix equations. Nazari et al. [7] solved the square root of matrix triangular equations for order $n = 3$ and Sambasiva Rao et al. [8] presented an extension of Nazari et al's method. Here, we solve a general quadratic matrix equations by spectral representation. Some different examples are presented.

2. Spectral representation of a matrix

At the first, we explain the spectral representation of a matrix and some of the applications. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be its eigenvalues. Assume that vectors $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ be its corresponding eigenvectors, respectively. Consequently, A is a diagonalizable matrix and is full rank. It is clear that $A\mu_i = \lambda_i\mu_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now, we multiply from the right by μ_i^* that gives equation $A\mu_i\mu_i^* = \lambda_i\mu_i\mu_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then by adding all n obtained above equations, we achieve

$$A(\mu_1\mu_1^* + \mu_2\mu_2^* + \dots + \mu_n\mu_n^*) = \lambda_1\mu_1\mu_1^* + \dots + \lambda_n\mu_n\mu_n^*.$$

Assume that $S = (\mu_1\mu_1^* + \dots + \mu_n\mu_n^*)^{-1}$ and $W_i = \mu_i\mu_i^*S$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where W_i are rank-one matrices and S is positive definite matrix. It is easy to show that the matrix A can be written as linear combination of its eigenvalues and W_i is called spectral representation of A ; that is, $A = \lambda_1W_1 + \lambda_2W_2 + \dots + \lambda_nW_n$.

Theorem 2.1 The matrices W_1, \dots, W_n satisfy in the following conditions: (I) S is symmetric positive definite matrix,

(II) $\text{rank}(W_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

(III) $W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_n = I_n$, I_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix,

(IV) $W_iW_j = 0_n$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$, 0_n denotes the $n \times n$ zero matrix,

(V) $W_i^r = W_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $r > 0$,

(VI) $P(A)W_i = P(\lambda_i)W_i$ for every polynomial,

(VII) $W_iA = AW_i = \lambda_iW_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

(VIII) $1 \leq \|W_i\|_2 \leq \|S\|_2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

(IX) If $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of the matrix A , then $\sigma(W_i) = \{1, 0, \dots, 0\}$,

(X) For any complex numbers α, β it hold that

$$\sigma(\alpha W_i + \beta W_j) = \alpha\sigma(W_i) + \beta\sigma(W_j) = \begin{cases} \{\alpha, \beta, 0, \dots, 0\}, & i \neq j \\ \{\alpha + \beta, 0, \dots, 0\}, & i = j \end{cases}$$

(XI) Consider a square matrix $B (\neq A)$. There exist n diagonal matrix R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n can be computed such that $B = W_1R_1 + \dots + W_nR_n$.

Proof. We just only prove the last part and the other parts are trivial. The significant property $W_i W_j = 0_n$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$ concludes $W_i B = W_i R_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $W_{i,j}^c$ denotes the j -th column of W_i for every $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and

$$R_i = \text{diag}(r_{1,1}^i, r_{2,2}^i, \dots, r_{n,n}^i), \tag{2}$$

then

$$W_i B = W_i R_i = [W_{1,i}^c W_{2,i}^c \dots W_{n,i}^c] [\text{diag}(r_{1,1}^i, r_{2,2}^i, \dots, r_{n,n}^i)] = [r_{1,1}^i W_{1,i} r_{2,2}^i W_{2,i} \dots r_{n,n}^i W_{n,i}]$$

and consequently,

$$r_{j,j}^i = \frac{(W_i B)_{1,j}}{(W_{j,i})_1} \tag{3}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $(W_i B)_{1,j}$ is the element of the matrix $W_i B$ in row (arbitrary chosen) 1 and column j . Moreover, $(W_{j,i})_1$ is the first (due to first row) element of the columns $W_{j,i}$ by (3). ■

We show that by helping spectral representation how we can compute $A_{n \times n}^{-1}$ and $A_{n \times n}^r$. Let A be an invertible matrix. Thus, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are non-zero and it is easy to see that

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} W_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} W_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} W_n.$$

Moreover, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the matrix A^r satisfies $A^r = \lambda_1^r W_1 + \lambda_2^r W_2 + \dots + \lambda_n^r W_n$.

In the first part of this paper, we explain a method for solving two cases of Lyapunov equation $AX + XA^* = C$ and $AX + XA^T = -bb^T$ that discussed above.

3. Solving Lyapunov equation

In this section, we assume that A is invertible and we present a new method of solving

$$AX + XA^* = C. \tag{4}$$

We have

$$A = \lambda_1 W_1 + \lambda_2 W_2 + \dots + \lambda_n W_n, \tag{5}$$

$$C = W_1 R_1 + W_2 R_2 + \dots + W_n R_n, \tag{6}$$

$$X = W_1 D_1 + W_2 D_2 + \dots + W_n D_n, \tag{7}$$

where R_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is denoted in (2) and (3) and D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n that are unknown matrices that must be determined for equation $AX + XA^* = C$. By substitution (5)-(7) in Lyapunov equation (4), we have

$$(\lambda_1 W_1 + \dots + \lambda_n W_n)(W_1 D_1 + \dots + W_n D_n) + (W_1 D_1 + \dots + W_n D_n)A^* = W_1 R_1 + \dots + W_n R_n$$

such that

$$(W_1 \lambda_1 D_1 + \dots + W_n \lambda_n D_n) + (W_1 D_1 A^* + \dots + W_n D_n A^*) = W_1 R_1 + W_2 R_2 + \dots + W_n R_n.$$

By classifying above equation, we have

$$W_1 (\lambda_1 D_1 + D_1 A^*) + \dots + W_n (\lambda_n D_n + D_n A^*) = W_1 R_1 + \dots + W_n R_n.$$

Thus, $\lambda_i D_i + D_i A^* = R_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is achieved. Therefore, the matrices D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n are computed as following $D_i = R_i (\lambda_i I_n + A^*)^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Example 3.1 For solving Lyapunov equation $AX + XA^T = -bb^T$, consider the 5×5 full rank following matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & -3 & 7 & -5 \\ 3 & -3 & 1 & 4 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -4 \\ -2 & 3 & -1 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use software Matlab and compute the solution of Lyapunov equation $AX + XA^T = -bb^T$. At the first, we obtain the eigenvectors of matrix A , as following matrix

$$\mu_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3066 & -0.2764 + 0.6004i & -0.2764 - 0.6004i & -0.3730 + 0.1079i & -0.3730 - 0.1079i \\ 0.8028 & -0.1127 - 0.1043i & -0.1127 + 0.1043i & 0.2723 - 0.3409i & 0.2723 + 0.3409i \\ 0.4373 & 0.6647 & 0.6647 & 0.5791 & 0.5791 \\ -0.1658 & 0.0188 + 0.0573i & 0.0188 - 0.0573i & 0.2322 - 0.0981i & 0.2322 + 0.0981i \\ -0.2069 & -0.3042 - 0.0392i & -0.3042 + 0.0392i & -0.2727 - 0.4308i & -0.2727 + 0.4308i \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda_1 = -1.5558, \lambda_2 = 2.6624 + 3.8198i, \lambda_3 = 2.6624 - 3.8199i, \lambda_4 = 1.6155 + 5.3682i, \lambda_5 = 1.6155 - 5.3682i\},$$

that associated to the eigenvectors of A . So,

$$S = (\mu_i^*)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1425 & -0.2970 & 0.7204 & 0.4961 & 0.5598 \\ -0.2970 & 1.6762 & -1.5053 & 1.0178 & -1.6513 \\ 0.7204 & -1.5053 & 3.1215 & -2.5528 & 3.2401 \\ 0.4961 & 1.0178 & -2.5528 & 9.5772 & -2.6400 \\ 0.5598 & -1.6513 & 3.2401 & -2.6400 & 4.7439 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, matrices R_i and D_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ as they are shown above, are presented in the following:

$$R_1 = \text{diag}([-17.0684 \ 10.1633 \ -89.6434 \ -16.4277 \ 55.7326]),$$

$$R_2 = \text{diag}\left(\left[1.1302 - 16.4245i \ -33.3898 - 17.8968i \ -30.8001 - 13.7871i \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 38.3588 + 26.2608i \ -62.5822 - 23.5840i\right]\right),$$

$$R_3 = \text{diag}\left(\left[1.1302 + 16.4245i \ -33.3898 + 17.8968i \ -30.8001 + 13.7871i \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 38.3588 - 26.2608i \ -62.5822 + 23.5840i\right]\right),$$

$$R_4 = \text{diag}\left(\left[-96.5124 - 3.0525i \ -65.9130 + 29.5523i \ -53.3405 - 34.0764i \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 38.7462 + 21.3551i \ -33.9249 - 22.8532i\right]\right),$$

$$R_5 = \text{diag}\left(\left[-96.5124 + 3.0525i \ -65.9130 - 29.5523i \ -53.3405 + 34.0764i \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 38.7462 - 21.3551i \ -33.9249 + 22.8532i\right]\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2.2144 & 0.1681 & 4.2221 & -0.3877 & -2.2090 \\ -2.6138 & -2.2132 & -0.9100 & 0.0932 & -0.0646 \\ -19.6751 & -1.1401 & -8.3363 & -8.7737 & 0.7154 \\ -1.7248 & -3.5827 & 2.7825 & 2.3113 & 2.6545 \\ 2.8059 & 13.3522 & 16.5956 & 7.3191 & -2.3172 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 D_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.1071 - 2.2871i & -0.9303 - 0.1774i & 0.4354 + 0.7158i & 0.0506 - 0.0892i & -0.2935 - 0.4802i \\ 1.1943 + 1.1459i & -4.9332 + 3.4206i & -1.0338 + 2.3994i & 0.8207 - 1.3558i & 1.7427 - 1.4840i \\ -1.2733 + 1.2896i & -1.6759 + 1.0771i & -6.1326 + 0.8245i & -1.4314 + 0.5095i & 0.1345 - 0.7887i \\ -1.7745 - 1.6297i & -6.8371 - 11.1354i & -5.5727 - 9.1883i & -2.6287 + 3.2181i & 3.8724 - 2.7097i \\ 3.6023 - 2.3514i & -6.7103 - 0.7777i & -9.1271 + 3.8297i & -5.2301 + 3.2357i & -7.6078 - 2.5234i \end{bmatrix}, \\
 D_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.1071 + 2.2871i & -0.9303 + 0.1774i & 0.4354 - 0.7158i & 0.0506 + 0.0892i & -0.2935 + 0.4802i \\ 1.1943 - 1.1459i & -4.9333 - 3.4206i & -1.0338 - 2.3994i & 0.8207 + 1.3558i & 1.7427 + 1.4840i \\ -1.2733 - 1.2896i & -1.6759 - 1.077i & -6.1326 - 0.8245i & -1.4314 - 0.5095i & 0.1345 + 0.7887i \\ -1.7745 + 1.6297i & -6.8371 + 11.1354i & -5.5727 + 9.1883i & -2.6287 - 3.2181i & 3.8724 + 2.7097i \\ 3.6023 + 2.3514i & -6.7103 + 0.7777i & -9.1271 - 3.8297i & -5.2301 - 3.2357i & -7.6078 + 2.5234i \end{bmatrix}, \\
 D_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} -11.8361 + 10.4423i & 1.5012 + 4.9073i & 2.3249 - 4.9892i & -0.4762 + 0.3532i & -1.8107 + 3.4898i \\ 2.2610 - 2.6180i & 0.0674 + 8.4109i & 2.5443 + 2.1430i & -2.3504 - 1.8132i & -1.5340 - 4.2153i \\ -0.9908 + 2.6725i & -3.2591 + 3.0570i & -12.0836 - 4.3282i & -2.6638 + 2.4017i & -1.0698 - 2.2036i \\ -0.2100 - 0.4351i & -9.7579 - 7.0743i & -9.6808 - 4.8815i & -4.1294 + 3.5173i & 2.6023 - 6.1498i \\ 2.4845 - 2.6157i & -5.5814 + 1.5571i & -5.5854 + 5.6476i & -3.1861 + 3.2901i & -6.6945 - 2.9140i \end{bmatrix}, \\
 D_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} -11.8361 - 10.4423i & 1.5012 - 4.9073i & 2.3249 + 4.9892i & -0.4762 - 0.3523i & -1.8107 - 3.4898i \\ 2.2610 + 2.6180i & 0.0674 - 8.4109i & 2.5443 - 2.1430i & -2.3504 + 1.8132i & -1.5340 + 4.2153i \\ -0.9908 - 2.6725i & -3.2591 - 3.0570i & -12.0836 + 4.3282i & -2.6638 - 2.4017i & -1.0698 + 2.2036i \\ -0.2100 + 0.4351i & -9.7579 + 7.0743i & -9.6808 + 4.8815i & -4.1294 - 3.5173i & 2.6023 + 6.1498i \\ 2.4845 + 2.6157i & -5.5814 - 1.5571i & -5.5854 - 5.6476i & -3.1861 - 3.2901i & -6.6945 + 2.9140i \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So the unknown matrix X is achieved from the following form:

$$X = W_1D_1+W_2D_2+W_3D_3+W_4D_4+W_5D_5 = \begin{bmatrix} -5.0309 & -1.7875 & -1.3281 & 0.8757 & 0.3085 \\ -1.7840 & -16.8314 & -25.4596 & -12.0316 & -3.8204 \\ -1.3271 & -25.4591 & -38.4578 & -12.9268 & -2.6543 \\ 0.8752 & -12.0329 & -12.9285 & -4.7302 & 3.0333 \\ 0.3090 & -3.8229 & -2.6544 & 3.0329 & -13.7378 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 3.2 For solving Lyapunov equation $AX + XA^* = C$, consider the following matrixs 3×3 , where $A, A^*, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and A is a full rank matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 4 & -5 & 2 \\ 3 & -4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 \\ -2 & -5 & -4 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 27 & 22 & 32 \\ 21 & 52 & 50 \\ 22 & 78 & 31 \end{bmatrix},$$

At the first, we compute the eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors of matrix A as following:

$$\mu_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3341 & -0.7061 & -0.7061 \\ -0.4131 & -0.6307 + 0.0739i & -0.6307 - 0.0739i \\ -0.8472 & -0.0831 - 0.3023i & -0.0831 + 0.3023i \end{bmatrix}$$

is the matrix eigenvectors of A and the associated eigenvalues are:

$$\sigma(A) = \{-4.1337, -0.4331 + 1.4938i, -0.4331 - 1.4938i\}.$$

So,

$$S = (\mu\mu^*)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.6175 & -4.8144 & 2.9964 \\ -4.8144 & 6.2806 & -3.6898 \\ 2.9964 & -3.6898 & 3.2919 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, matrices R_i and D_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ as they are shown above, are presented in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \text{diag}([-1.6089 \ 50.7883 \ 114.8284]), \\ R_2 &= \text{diag}([25.0852 + 6.0470i \ -24.6205 - 22.0309i \ 1.6998 + 23.4335i]), \\ R_3 &= \text{diag}([25.0852 - 6.0470i \ -24.6205 + 22.0309i \ 1.6998 - 23.4335i]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.4627 & 0.2237 & 0.0961 \\ 5.9250 & -1.8859 & 4.9318 \\ -14.0779 & -10.9895 & -22.0317 \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -12.4816 - 31.4939i & -5.2289 - 25.7143i & -8.4475 - 2.9609i \\ 20.7342 - 32.6695i & 23.6428 - 19.9112i & -4.4235 - 17.4249i \\ 21.9898 - 8.9857i & 19.4954 - 6.1768i & 4.3673 - 13.3693i \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} -12.4816 + 31.4939i & -5.2289 + 25.7143i & -8.4475 + 2.9609i \\ 20.7342 + 32.6695i & 23.6428 + 19.9112i & -4.4235 + 17.4249i \\ 21.9898 + 8.9857i & 19.4954 + 6.1768i & 4.3673 + 13.3693i \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So the unknown matrix X is achieved from the following form:

$$X = W_1D_1 + W_2D_2 + W_3D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9949 & -4.0042 & 2.9999 \\ 1.9971 & -7.0032 & 1.0015 \\ 3.9985 & -6.0016 & 5.005 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. Solving quadratic matrix equations

A manual approach in calculating the root of square matrix is studied in [10]. At first, consider $X^2 = A$ for $A, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, which X is unknown matrix. We compute square root of $n \times n$ as a prescribed matrix. \sqrt{A} can be obtained as $\sqrt{\lambda_1}W_1 + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_n}W_n$. Therefore, $X = \sqrt{A} = \sqrt{\lambda_1}W_1 + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_n}W_n$. So, we can compute $(X + A)^2 = C$. As we know $C = \lambda_1W_1 + \dots + \lambda_nW_n$, $(X + A) = \sqrt{\lambda_1}W_1 + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_n}W_n$, $X = (\sqrt{\lambda_1}W_1 + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_n}W_n) - A$.

Now, consider $X^2 + AX = C$ for $A, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, which X is unknown matrix. So, we can compute square root of matrix A . Consequently

$$\left(X + \frac{A}{2}\right)^2 = C + \frac{A^2}{4}, \quad Y = X + \frac{A}{2}, \quad H = C + \frac{A^2}{4}.$$

We have $H = \lambda_1 W_1 + \lambda_2 W_2 + \dots + \lambda_n W_n$, where scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of matrix H and $y = \sqrt{\lambda_1} W_1 + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_n} W_n$, as a result $X = Y - \frac{A}{2}$. Finally, for solving $AX^2 + BX = C$, where $A, B, C, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and X is unknown matrix, we have $AX^2 + BX = C$. By multiplying it by A^{-1} from the left, we conclude $A^{-1}AX^2 + A^{-1}BX = A^{-1}C$ and $X^2 + A^{-1}BX = A^{-1}C$, that A^{-1} can be obtained by using spectral representation as the following

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} W_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} W_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} W_n.$$

Let $A^{-1}B = D, A^{-1}C = H$. Then

$$X^2 + DX = H, \left(X + \frac{D}{2}\right)^2 = H + \frac{D^2}{4}, Y = X + \frac{D}{2}, Z = H + \frac{D^2}{4}, Y^2 = Z. \quad (8)$$

Thus, we have $Z = \lambda_1 W_1 + \dots + \lambda_n W_n$ that scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of matrix Z . Also, $Y = \sqrt{\lambda_1} W_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_2} W_2 + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_n} W_n$, as a result $X + \frac{D}{2} = Y, X = Y - \frac{D}{2}$.

Example 4.1 For solving $X^2 = A$, consider the following 4×4 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 10 & 0 \\ -20 & 54 & -14 & -16 \\ -4 & 28 & 0 & -59 \\ -2 & 5 & 9 & 29 \end{bmatrix}.$$

At first, we obtain the eigenvectors of matrix A as following matrix

$$\mu_i = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9169 & 0.2315 & 0.2292 - 0.2839i & 0.2292 + 0.2839i \\ -0.3074 & 0.8981 & 0.4187 - 0.0019i & 0.4187 + 0.0019i \\ 0.2394 & 0.0590 & 0.7672 & 0.7672 \\ -0.0867 & 0.3692 & -0.0828 - 0.3101i & -0.0828 + 0.3101i \end{bmatrix},$$

and its associated eigenvalues are

$$\sigma(A) = \left\{ \lambda_1 = 0.7417, \lambda_2 = 41.3485, \lambda_3 = 20.4549 + 25.2592i, \lambda_4 = 20.4549 - 25.2592i \right\}.$$

So,

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1.4099 & -0.6843 & 0.1154 & -0.6126 \\ -0.6843 & 2.0900 & -1.1267 & -1.5458 \\ 0.1154 & -1.1267 & 1.5002 & 1.3746 \\ -0.6126 & -1.5458 & 1.3746 & 5.1663 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently, matrices W_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ as they are shown above, are presented in

the following form:

$$W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9182 & 0.1382 & -0.4406 & -0.8416 \\ 0.3079 & 0.0463 & -0.1477 & -0.2822 \\ -0.2398 & -0.0361 & 0.1150 & 0.2198 \\ 0.0869 & 0.0131 & -0.0417 & -0.0796 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1175 & 0.2503 & -0.0901 & 0.1061 \\ -0.4559 & 0.9713 & -0.3495 & 0.4116 \\ -0.0300 & -0.0638 & -0.0230 & 0.0270 \\ -0.1874 & 0.03993 & -0.1437 & 0.1692 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0996 - 0.0020i & -0.1943 - 0.1483i & 0.2653 - 0.0633i & 0.3677 + 0.3723i \\ 0.0740 + 0.0872i & -0.0088 - 0.2804i & 0.2486 + 0.1902i & -0.0647 + 0.5970i \\ 0.1349 + 0.1604i & -0.0139 - 0.5138i & 0.4540 + 0.3505i & -0.1234 + 1.0933i \\ 0.0503 - 0.0718i & -0.2062 + 0.0610i & 0.0927 - 0.2213i & 0.4552 - 0.0681i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0996 + 0.0020i & -0.1943 + 0.1483i & 0.2653 + 0.0633i & 0.3677 - 0.3723i \\ 0.0740 - 0.0827i & -0.0088 + 0.2804i & 0.2486 - 0.1902i & -0.0647 - 0.5970i \\ 0.1349 - 0.1604i & -0.0139 + 0.5138i & 0.4540 - 0.3505i & -0.1234 - 1.0933i \\ 0.0503 + 0.0718i & -0.2062 - 0.0610i & 0.0927 + 0.2213i & 0.4552 + 0.0681i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the unknown matrix X computes as the following

$$X = \sqrt{A} = \sqrt{\lambda_1}W_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_2}W_2 + \sqrt{\lambda_3}W_3 + \sqrt{\lambda_4}W_4.$$

Hence,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0705 & 0.4576 & 2.0826 & 1.9146 \\ -2.3328 & 7.5712 & -0.7497 & -1.1931 \\ 0.2017 & 2.7586 & 2.9028 & -6.2737 \\ -0.2604 & 0.1572 & 1.0804 & 6.0386 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 4.2 For solving $X^2 + AX = C$, $A_{4 \times 4}$ and $C_{4 \times 4}$ as they are given. Then we compute X as it is described above.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & -4 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & 8 & -2 \\ 2 & -5 & 0 & -1 \\ -4 & 3 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 21 & 0 & -27 & 7 \\ -9 & 10 & 16 & -6 \\ -26 & -2 & 31 & -13 \\ 14 & -15 & 1 & 47 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix eigenvectors and its associated eigenvalues are computed in the following:

$$\mu_i = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3015 & -0.1921 + 0.1007i & -0.1921 - 0.1007i & -0.5095 \\ 0.2736 & 0.8457 & 0.8457 & 0.2655 \\ 0.3732 & 0.1570 + 0.1607i & 0.1570 - 0.1607i & 0.5201 \\ -0.8336 & 0.4310 - 0.0396i & 0.4310 + 0.0396i & 0.6320 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\sigma(H) = \{\lambda_1 = 57.4109, \lambda_2 = 0.6322 + 4.2275i, \lambda_3 = 0.6322 - 4.2275i, \lambda_4 = 29.3247\}.$$

Thus,

$$S = (\mu\mu^*)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 9.9279 & 1.0381 & 6.7054 & 0.5379 \\ 1.0381 & 1.2468 & -0.3104 & -0.3654 \\ 6.7054 & -0.3106 & 7.4384 & 0.5461 \\ 0.5379 & -0.3654 & 0.5461 & 0.8843 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently, matrices W_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ as they are shown above, are presented in the following form:

$$W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1974 & -0.0654 & -0.0647 & 0.2398 \\ -0.1792 & 0.0594 & 0.0587 & -0.2177 \\ -0.2444 & 0.0810 & 0.0801 & -0.2969 \\ 0.5459 & -0.1808 & -0.1788 & 0.6632 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1579 + 0.4207i & -0.1177 + 0.0786i & 0.2144 + 0.3403i & 0.0003 + 0.0260i \\ 0.2164 - 1.7388i & 0.5487 - 0.0585i & -0.1243 - 1.5637i & 0.0462 - 0.0904i \\ 0.3706 - 0.2817i & 0.1130 + 0.0934i & 0.2741 - 0.3140i & 0.0258 - 0.0080i \\ 0.0289 - 0.8964i & 0.2769 - 0.0555i & -0.1365 - 0.7912i & 0.0193 - 0.0483i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1579 - 0.4207i & -0.1177 - 0.0786i & 0.2144 - 0.3403i & 0.0003 - 0.0260i \\ 0.2164 + 1.7388i & 0.5487 + 0.0585i & -0.1243 + 1.5637i & 0.0462 + 0.0904i \\ 0.3706 + 0.2817i & 0.1130 - 0.0934i & 0.2741 + 0.3140i & 0.0258 + 0.0080i \\ 0.0289 + 0.8964i & 0.2769 + 0.0555i & -0.1365 + 0.7912i & 0.0193 + 0.0483i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4867 & 0.3007 & -0.3642 & -0.2404 \\ -0.2537 & -0.1567 & 0.1898 & 0.1253 \\ -0.4968 & -0.3069 & 0.3718 & 0.2454 \\ -0.6038 & -0.3730 & 0.4518 & 0.2982 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the unknown matrix X is computed as the following:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 5.4909 & -0.9479 & -0.7092 & 0.4460 \\ 3.1398 & -0.0223 & 1.3038 & 0.4179 \\ -3.6208 & 1.5531 & 4.3259 & -0.3186 \\ 5.3766 & -3.8728 & 1.7995 & 8.3304 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 4.3 For solving $AX^2 + BX = C$ with the following matrix $A_{3 \times 3}, B_{3 \times 3}, C_{3 \times 3}$, we compute X .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 7 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & -2 & 3 \\ 5 & -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} -38 & 8 & -18 \\ 120 & -54 & 164 \\ 58 & -60 & 206 \end{bmatrix},$$

Since the matrix A is invertible, we compute the matrix A^{-1} and multiply the above quadratic matrix equation from the left by A^{-1} . Thus,

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2160 & 0.0560 & -0.0080 \\ 0.2400 & 0.1600 & 0.1200 \\ 0.3440 & 0.0960 & 0.2720 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by relations (8), we have

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 14.4639 & -4.2720 & 11.4239 \\ 17.0400 & -13.9200 & 46.6400 \\ 14.2240 & -18.7520 & 65.5840 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4000 & -0.5360 & -0.5280 \\ 1.0000 & 0.0400 & 1.9200 \\ 1.4000 & 0.2240 & 2.9520 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 14.1851 & -4.3605 & 10.7242 \\ 17.8220 & -13.9461 & 47.9441 \\ 15.4532 & -18.7720 & 67.6853 \end{bmatrix}.$$

and the unknown matrix X is computed in the following:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 3.5705 & -0.4204 & 1.4301 \\ 2.5657 & -1.4077 & 4.9393 \\ 1.0828 & -2.4447 & 7.4329 \end{bmatrix}.$$

References

- [1] A.C. Antoulas, D. C. Sorensen, Projection methods for balanced model reduction, Technical report, Rice university, Houston, 2001.
- [2] R. Bartels, G. W. Stewart, Solution of the matrix equation $AX + XB = C$, Comm A.C.M. 15 (9) (1972), 820-826.
- [3] P. Benner, T. Damm, Lyapunov equations, energy functions and model order reduction of bilinear and stochastic system, SIAM J. Control Optim. 49 (2011), 686-711.
- [4] K. Glover, D. J. N. Limbeer, C. Doyle, E. M. Kasenally, M. G. Safonov, A characterisation of all solutions to the four block general distance problem, SIAM J. Control optim. 29 (1991), 283-324.
- [5] R. A. Horn, D. R. Johnson, Topic in matrix analysis, Cambridge university press, cambridge, 1994.
- [6] B. C. Moore, Principal component analysis in linear systems: controllability, observability and model reduction, IEEE Trans. Auto. Control. 26 (1981), 17-31.
- [7] A. Nazari, H. Fereydooni, M. Bayat, A manual approach for calculating the root of square matrix of dimension ≤ 3 , Math. Sci. 2013, 2013:6 pages.
- [8] S. Sambasiva Rao, M. Srinivas, V. Arvind Kumar, Square root of certain matrices, J. Global Res. Math. Arc. 2 (11) (2014), 19-24.
- [9] B. Vandereycken, S. Vandewalle, A Riemannian optimization approach for computing low-rank solutions of Lyapunov equations, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 31 (5) (2010), 2553-2579.
- [10] P. Van Dooren, Gramain based model reduction of large-scale dynamical systems, Numerical Analysis, Chapman and Hall, CRC press, London, 2000.