

The Effects of Electricity Boiler on Integrated CCHP-Thermal-Heat Only Unit Commitment Problem Based on Hybrid GA Approach

Mohammad Esmaeil Nazari

Department of Electrical Engineering, Golpayegan University of Technology, Golpayegan, Iran,menazari@aut.ac.ir

Abstract

Combined cooling, heat, and power (CCHP) units can be integrated with conventional separate cooling, heat, and power production units to meet demands. The goal of this study is to develop and examine a hybrid GA-heuristic optimization algorithm for solving the unit commitment problem for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system with considerations for electricity boiler. When environmental emission cost and valve-point effects are considered, the utilization of CCHP units in an integrated CCHP-thermal-heat system results in environmental emission and total cost reduction by and 38.37 and 0.03% respectively.. Also, using electricity boiler, environmental emission and total cost reduction of 0.53 and 0.03 are reached, respectively.

Keywords: Combined cooling, heat and power, Unit commitment, Environmental emission, Electricity boiler

Article history: Received 16-Nov-2018; Revised 15-Dec-2018; Accepted 28-Dec-2018. © 2018 IAUCTB-IJSEE Science. All rights reserved

1. Introduction

Proper utilization of combined cooling, heat, and power (CCHP) systems requires considerations for a range of technical, economic, and environmental emission issues for the purpose of optimal operation planning and scheduling [1-3]. When integrated to meet cooling, heat, and power demands, CCHP units are used for providing cooling (absorption chillers), heat, and power simultaneously, thermal units are utilized to meet cooling (compression chillers) and power generation, and heat only units are used for supplying the heat needed beyond that available from CCHP units. Also, CCHP units can be integrated with thermal and heat only units for delivering cooling, heat, and power as well as providing spinning reserve for power, which constitutes unit commitment (UC) problem [4]. However, for thermal units, opening steam valves of the large steam turbine for increasing the power output leads to a non-convex fuel cost function [5- 6] that must be accounted for in the UC problem formulation.

In the literature, there are limited studies that focus on solving UC problem for CCHP systems.

Optimal dispatch strategy for integrated energy systems with CCHP and wind power is presented in [7]. In that study, the objective function of the optimization model is to minimize the total operation cost of integrated energy systems and, the model is transformed into mixed integer linear programming formulation to improve the computation efficiency. Numerical case studies conducted demonstrate the lower operation cost of the proposed model facilitating wind power integration.

In [8], a new operation strategy, based on the variational electric cooling to cooling load ratio, for the CCHP system with unlimited and limited power generation unit capacity is investigated. In [9, 10], a stochastic multi-objective optimization model to optimize the CCHP operation strategy for different climate conditions is proposed. The probability constraints are added into the stochastic model to guarantee the optimized CCHP operation strategy is reliable to satisfy the stochastic energy demand.

Several studies about scheduling of CCHPbased microgrids are presented in [11-18].

An online economic optimal operation of CCHP and photovoltaic systems is proposed in [19, 20]. In those studies, a test system consists of a CCHP, a photovoltaic system, an auxiliary boiler, an absorption chillers, a heat storage tank, and utility grids are included.

A multi-objective optimization problem for CCHP system is discussed in [21]. The main objective of that study is to minimize simultaneously the amount of fuel utilization and pollutants emission from CCHP system. A valuebased planning method for CCHP placement based on the energy hub concept is proposed in [22]. The proposed method takes the benefits and costs of CCHP placement into account and determines the optimal sizing and operation for energy hub elements.

The multi-objective optimization dispatch of CCHP based on the principle of equal emission is presented in [23]. In [24], an optimal operating strategy for CCHP in multi-energy carrier system is proposed.

A simple model for CCHP based hybrid power system scheduling for energy resources is presented in [25]. That research points an effective operation strategy which ensure a clean and energy efficient power scheduling by exploiting available energy resources effectively.

It is noted that in all previous studies [8-25], the effects of integration of CCHP units with other units, the feasibility region constraint of CCHP units, electricity boiler, and valve point effects for steam turbines of thermal units are not studied. Also, to accurate modeling of UC problem, related constraints such as minimum up/down times (*MUT*/*MDT*) must be considered.

The goal of this study is to develop and examine a hybrid Genetic algorithm (GA)-heuristic optimization algorithm for solving the unit commitment problem for integrated CCHPthermal-heat only system with considerations for electricity boiler.

The organization of this study is as follows. Section 2 introduces the UC problem formulation for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system and, Section 3 explains the heuristic optimization algorithm proposed in this study. In Section 4, the parametric values and data are presented and, simulation results are analyzed. Finally, in Section 5, conclusion and recommendations are given.

2. Problem Formulation

A) Assumptions

In this study, the following assumptions are considered:

- The CCHP and thermal units provide cooling demand through absorption and compression chillers, respectively.
- The CCHP, electricity boiler, and heat only units provide heat demand.
- The power is generated by CCHP and thermal units.
- Spinning reserve is considered only for power demand.
- The input power of electricity boiler is provided by thermal and CCHP units.

B) Thermal units

For thermal units, the objective function is,

$$
\min\{TC_{THE}\}\tag{1}
$$

Where

$$
TC_{THE} = FC_{THE} + SC_{THE} + EMC_{THE}
$$
 (2)

$$
FC_{THE} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \{ f(P(i,t))I(i,t) \}
$$
\n(3)

$$
f(P(i,t)) = a_i P^2(i,t) + b_i P(i,t) + c_i
$$

+
$$
|d_i \sin(e_i (P_{\min}(i) - P(i,t)))|
$$
 (4)

$$
+ \left| \frac{a_i \sin(e_i(x_{\min}(t) - T(t, t)))}{\text{where the last term in Eq. (4) represents the}}
$$

valve-point effects modeled as a non-convex term.

$$
SC_{THE} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \{SUC(i, t)I(i, t)(1 - I(i, t - 1))\}
$$
(5)

$$
SUC(i, t) =
$$

$$
SUC(i,t) =
$$

 $I(i,0)$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{HSC}(i) \quad T^{OFF}(i,t) \leq \text{CST}(i) + \text{MDT}(i) \\
\text{GSC}(i) \quad T^{OFF}(i,t) \geq \text{CST}(i) + \text{MDT}(i)\n\end{cases} \tag{6}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{HSC}(t) & I \quad (t, t) \leq \text{CST}(t) + \text{MDT}(t) \\
\text{CSC}(i) & T^{\text{OFF}}(i, t) > \text{CST}(i) + \text{MDT}(i)\n\end{cases} \tag{6}
$$

(7)

$$
= IS(i)
$$

Note that $IS(i) > 0$ if thermal unit i has been ON before first time period and, $IS(i) < 0$ if thermal unit ith has been OFF before first time

period.

The objective function for thermal units given by Eq. (1) is subject to the following constraints,

Generation capacity

$$
P_{\min}(i)I(i,t) \le P(i,t) \le P_{\max}(i)
$$
\n(8)

$$
T^{ON}(i,t) \ge MUT(i)
$$
\n
$$
-\text{Minimum down time} \tag{9}
$$

$$
T^{OFF}(i,t) \ge MDT(i)
$$
\n(10)

C) CCHP units

For CCHP units, the objective function is,

$$
\min\{TC_{\text{CCHP}}\}\tag{11}
$$

$$
TC_{\text{CCHP}} = FC_{\text{CCHP}} + SC_{\text{CCHP}} + EMC_{\text{CCHP}}
$$
\n(12)

$$
FC_{\text{CCHP}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \{ f(P(j,t), H(j,t)) I(j,t) \}
$$
\n(13)

$$
f(P(j,t),H(j,t)) = \zeta_j P^2(j,t) + \beta_j P(j,t) + \alpha_j
$$

+ $\lambda_j H^2(j,t) + \gamma_j H(j,t) + \phi_j P(j,t) H(j,t)$ (14)

$$
SC_{CCHP} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \{ SUC(j, t)I(j, t)(1 - I(j, t - 1)) \}
$$
(15)
\n
$$
SUC(j, t) = \begin{cases} HSC(j) & T^{OFF}(j, t) \leq CST(j) + MDT(j) \end{cases}
$$
(16)

$$
SUC(j,t) = \begin{cases} HSC(j) & T^{OFF}(j,t) \leq CST(j) + MDT(j) \\ CSC(j) & T^{OFF}(j,t) > CST(j) + MDT(j) \end{cases} \tag{16}
$$

$$
I(j,0) = IS(j)
$$
\nIf ij is considered

\nor

If spinning reserve cost is considered,

FC_{CCHP} is modified as
\n
$$
FC_{CCHP} = (1 - re) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \{ f(P(j,t), H(j,t)) I(j,t) \}
$$
\n
$$
+ re \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \{ f(P(j,t) + R(j,t), H(j,t)) I(j,t) \}
$$
\n(18)

The objective function for CCHP units given by Eq. (12) is subject to the following constraints, Generation capacity

$$
P_{\min}(j)I(j,t) \le P(j,t) \le P_{\max}(j)
$$
\n(19)

$$
H_{\min}(j)I(j,t) \le H(j,t) \le H_{\max}(j)
$$
\n(20)

Minimum up time

$$
T^{ON}(j,t) \ge MUT(j)
$$
\n
$$
-\text{Minimum down time}
$$
\n(21)

$$
T^{OFF}(j,t) \ge MDT(j) \tag{22}
$$

3. Power-heat Feasible Operating Region

Each CCHP unit has a power-heat feasible operation region shown in Fig. 1, which can be presented as a set of linear inequality constraints.

These constraints address the joint characteristic technology of power-heat in CCHP units.

$$
x_k P(j,t) + y_k H(j,t) \le z_k \tag{23}
$$

Fig. 1. Power-heat feasible operating region of CCHP units [1].

A) Heat only units

For heat only units, the objective function is, $\min\{TC_{HTO}\}$ (24)

$$
TC_{\text{HTO}} = FC_{\text{HTO}} + SC_{\text{HTO}} + EMC_{\text{HTO}} \tag{25}
$$

$$
FC_{HTO} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \{ f(H(l,t))I(l,t) \}
$$
 (26)

$$
f(H(l,t)) = \rho_l H^2(l,t) + \mu_l H(l,t) + \sigma_l \tag{27}
$$

$$
SC_{HTO} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left\{ SUC(l, t)I(l, t)(1 - I(l, t-1)) \right\}
$$
 (28)

$$
SUC(l,t) = \begin{cases} HSC(l) & T^{OFF}(l,t) \leq CST(l) + MDT(l) \\ CSC(l) & T^{OFF}(l,t) > CST(l) + MDT(l) \end{cases} \tag{29}
$$

$$
I(l,0) = IS(l) \tag{30}
$$

The objective function for heat only units given by Eq. (25) is subject to the following constraints,

Generation capacity

$$
H_{\min}(l)I(l,t) \leq H(l,t) \leq H_{\max}(l)
$$
\n(31)

$$
M_{\rm 1D} = 100 \, \text{M}
$$

 $T^{ON}(l,t) \geq MUT(l)$ (32) Minimum down time

$$
T^{OFF}(l,t) \ge MDT(l)
$$
\n(33)

B) Electricity boiler

Electricity boiler consumes electric power to generate heat energy when heat load cannot be met entirely by the CHP units and the heat storage tank. The cost function of electricity boiler is

$$
\min\{TC_{EB}\}\tag{34}
$$

$$
TC_{EB} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} P_e(t) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{K} P(k,t) \right\}
$$
\n(35)

$$
H(k,t) = \eta_k P(k,t) \tag{36}
$$

$$
P_{\min}(k) \le P(k, t) \le P_{\max}(k)
$$
\n(37)

It is noted that $P(k, t)$ could also met by thermal and CCHP units.

C) Integrated CCHP-thermal-heat onlyelectricity boiler

When CCHP, thermal, and heat only units are operated as one integrated system, the objective function is, $m(TC_1)$

$$
\min\{TC_{INT}\}\tag{38}
$$

$$
TC_{INT} = TC_{THE} + TC_{CCHP} + TC_{HTO} + TC_{EB}
$$
 (39)

The objective function of UC problem given by Eq. (35) is subject to the following constraints, *System cooling, heat, and power balances*

- Cooling balance

$$
C_{ac}(t) + C_{cc}(t) = C_D(t)
$$
\n(40)

$$
C_{cc}(t) = COP_{cc} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{cc}(i,t)
$$
\n(41)

$$
C_{ac}(t) = COP_{ac} \sum_{j=1}^{M} H_{ac}(j, t)
$$
\n(42)

Heat balance

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{M} H(j,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} H_{hd}(j,t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} H_{ac}(j,t)
$$
\n(43)

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{M} H_{hd}(j,t) + \sum_{l=1}^{L} H(l,t) + H(k,t) = H_D(t)
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Power balance} \tag{44}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(i,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ed}(i,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{cc}(i,t)
$$
\n(45)

EISSN: 2345-6221

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ed}(i, t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} P(j, t) = P_D(t)
$$
\n(46)

System power spinning reserve inequality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} R(i,t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} R(j,t) \ge R(t)
$$
\n(47)

Units constraints

All units' constraints (Eqs. (9)-(11), (20)-(23), (32)-(34), and (37)-(38)) must be met.

D) Environmental emission

The operation of integrated CCHP-thermalheat only system is always accompanied by the release of several environmental pollutants and, the

CO₂ emissions by units at hour *t* are given by
\n
$$
EMS(P(i,t)) = \gamma_{ei} P^{2}(i,t) + \beta_{ei} P(i,t) + \alpha_{ei}
$$
\n(48)

$$
EMS(P(t, t)) = \gamma_{ei} P(t, t) + \beta_{ei} P(t, t) + \alpha_{ei}
$$
\n(48)
\n
$$
EMS(P(j, t)) = \gamma_{ej} P^{2}(j, t) + \beta_{ej} P(j, t) + \alpha_{ej}
$$
\n(49)

$$
EMS(F(j, t)) = \gamma_{ej} F(j, t) + \beta_{ej} F(j, t) + \alpha_{ej}
$$
\n(49)
\n
$$
EMS(H(l, t)) = \gamma_{el} H^{2}(l, t) + \beta_{el} H(l, t) + \alpha_{el}
$$
\n(50)

and environmental emission associated costs are:

$$
EMC_{THE} = EMP \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} EMS(P(i,t))
$$
\n(51)

$$
EMC_{CCHP} = EMP \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{M} EMS(P(j, t))
$$
 (52)

$$
EMC_{HTO} = EMP \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} EMS(H(l, t))
$$
\n(53)

4. Optimization Methodology

In this section, the heuristic optimization algorithm developed for optimal scheduling of integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system for minimizing environmental emission and total cost is presented.

Cost reduction and impressive low execution time can be achieved by utilizing the described heuristic optimization algorithm, as the maximization of proposed fitness function (*FF*) in this study finds the optimal output power of a unit [1]. After calculating the optimal output power of units using GA discussed in [26-28], the units are sorted according to their best *FF*s and, the committed units are then determined when all constraints such as generation capacity, *MUT*, and *MDT* are satisfied. This heuristic optimization algorithm is successfully applied to solve CHP PBUC [1] and, here it is modified to solve UC for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system.

To solve the UC problem for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system, three alternatives (UC for power demand and UC for heat demand under two conditions) are proposed as detailed in Fig. 2.

It is noted that electricity boiler is utilized when the cost of power input is less than the cost of corresponding heat (75% of power input).

Fig. 2. Optimization algorithm flowchart of UC problem for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system at hour t.

5. Simulation Results

The simulation results are presented to examine the environmental emission reductions and total cost achieved based on the heuristic optimization algorithm proposed in this study.

A) Data needed

- Thermal units: Ten thermal units with characteristics data from [6] are used.
- CCHP units: Two CCHP units with data from [4] are used.
- Heat only unit: One heat only unit with data from [4] is used.
- Chillers: Absorption $(COP_{ac} = 1.2)$ and compression chillers $(COP_{cc} = 3)$ data are

from [6].

- Power system demand: The needed data for power system demand is based on [1] for simulation. It is noted that spinning reserve limit is considered at 10% of power demand [29-33].
- Heat system demand: The needed data for heat demand is based on [4].
- Cooling system demand: The needed data for heat demand is based on [4].
- Electricity boiler: The needed data for electricity boiler is available in [6] when the efficiency is 75%.
	- *B) Results and discussion*

In this case, cooling, heat, and power demands are satisfied by integrated CCHP-thermalheat only system. Environmental emission cost is considered $(EMP = 26.6 \text{ $TOC}$ [1].

The effects of CCHP units:

It is determined from Table I that, the utilization of CCHP units reduces environmental emission and total cost in comparison with separated thermal and heat only units by 38.37 and 0.03% , respectively.

The effects of electricity boiler:

In this section, electricity boiler is considered.

It is concluded from Table II that the utilization of electricity boiler can simultaneously reduce environmental emission and total cost by 0.53 and 0.03%, respectively.

The input power and output heat of electricity boiler are presented in Table 3.

Optimal output (power+cooling) for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system is shown in Table 4., where cooling demand is met by thermal units using compression chillers and, CCHP and thermal units are responsible for satisfying power demand.

Table.1.

Results for UC problem for operation of integrated CCHPthermal-heat only system when 2 CCHP units are utilized with considerations for environmental emission cost.

In Table V, Optimal output (heat+cooling) for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system is presented. It is shown that cooling demand is met by CCHP units using absorption chillers and, CCHP and heat only units are responsible for satisfying heat demand.

As discussed in section III, GA is used to find $P^*(i,t)$. For example, the GA convergence curves for thermal unit 2 at hr 12. $(P^*(2, 12) = 246)$ is shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Topology of the smart large consumer

Table.4.

Optimal output (power+cooling) for integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system with considerations for environmental emission and

electricity boiler												
	Unit Output (MW)											
Hr		Thermal (Power + Cooling)									CCHP	
		$\overline{2}$	3	4			$\overline{7}$	8	9	10		$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
	$227.00 + 0.00$	$222.00+0.33$	$185.00 + 27.67$	$120.00+0.33$		171.00+1.67 111.0+11.67	$00.00+00.00$ $00.00+00.00$		$0.00+0.00$	$0.00 + 0.00$	000.00 000.00	
2	$234.00+0.00$	$229.00+0.00$	$202.00 + 37.33$	$131.00 + 0.00$		181.00+0.00 133.00+0.00		$00.00+00.00$ $00.00+00.00$	$0.00 + 0.00$	$0.00 + 0.00$	000.00 000.00	
3	227.00+32.5	$222.0 + 32.83$	$185.00 + 64.17$	$120.0 + 32.83$		$173.00+0.00$ $116.00+6.67$		$00.00+00.00$ 85.00+00.33	$0.00+0.00$	$0.00 + 0.00$	000.00 000.00	
4	231.00+0.00	$226.00+0.00$	193.00+31.00	$126.00+0.00$		177.00+0.00 81.00+00.00		$00.00+00.00$ $00.00+47.00$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
5	$229.00+0.00$	$224.00+0.00$	$190.00 + 37.33$	$124.00+0.00$		$175.00 + 0.00$ $126.00 + 0.00$		$00.00+00.00$ 87.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
6	231.00+0.00	$226.00+0.00$	195.00+39.33	$127.00+0.00$		$178.00 + 0.00$ $128.00 + 0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 88.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
7	$239.00 + 0.00$	$233.00+0.00$	$213.00 + 41.67$	$140.00 + 0.00$		$188.00+0.00$ $140.00+0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 94.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
8	$242.00+0.00$	$235.00+0.00$	$219.00 + 36.00$	$193.00 + 0.00$		$192.00+0.00$ $144.0+16.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 96.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
9	$243.00 + 0.00$	$236.00+0.00$	223.00+48.33	$300.00 + 0.00$		$224.00+0.00$ $146.0+14.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 97.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
10	$246.00+42.0$	$238.00+0.00$	$330.00 + 10.33$	$300.00 + 0.00$		$243.00+0.00$ $160.00+0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ $100.0+20.33$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
11	$282.00 + 21.3$	$246.00 + 51.3$	$340.00 + 00.00$	$300.00+0.00$		$243.00+0.00$ $160.00+0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ $120.00+0.00$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
12	$356.00 + 23.0$	$246.00 + 59.3$	340.00+00.00	$300.00 + 0.00$		$243.00+0.00$ $160.00+0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ $120.00+0.00$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
13	$246.00 + 57.3$	$238.00 + 5.67$	$330.00 + 10.33$	$300.00 + 0.00$		$243.00+0.00$ $160.00+0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ $100.0+20.33$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
14	$243.00 + 0.00$	$236.00+0.00$	$223.00+90.00$	$300.00 + 0.00$		$224.00+0.00$ $146.0+14.00$		130.00+0.00 97.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
15	$242.00+0.00$	$235.00+0.00$	219.00+77.67	$193.00 + 0.00$		$192.00+9.33$ $144.0+16.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 96.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
16	$227.00 + 0.00$	$222.00+0.33$	$185.0 + 102.67$	$120.00+0.33$		$173.00+0.00$ $122.00+0.67$		$130.00+0.00$ $51.00+00.00$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
17	$221.00 + 5.67$	$168.00 + 45.3$	$185.00 + 00.33$	$110.0 + 10.33$		$167.00 + 5.67$ 98.00 + 24.67		$127.00 + 2.67$ 80.00+05.33		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
18	$231.00 + 0.00$	$226.00+0.00$	$195.00 + 83.33$	$127.00+0.00$		178.00+0.00 128.00+0.00		$130.00+0.00$ $88.00+00.00$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
19	$242.00+0.00$	$235.00+0.00$	$219.00 + 56.67$	$193.00 + 0.00$		$192.00+0.00$ $144.0+16.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 96.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
20	$246.00 + 42.0$	$238.00+0.00$	$330.00 + 10.33$	$300.00 + 0.00$		243.00+0.00 160.00+0.00		130.00+0.00 100.0+20.33		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
21	$243.00 + 0.00$	$236.00+0.00$	$223.00 + 38.00$	$300.00 + 0.00$		$224.00+0.00$ $146.0+14.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 97.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
22	231.00+0.00	$226.00+0.00$	195.00+41.67	$127.00+0.00$		178.00+0.00 128.00+0.00		$130.00+0.00$ 88.00+00.00		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	215.00 109.50	
23	$227.00 + 0.00$	$223.00+0.00$	187.00+29.67	$121.00+0.00$		$174.00+0.00$ $124.00+0.00$		$130.00+0.00$ 85.00+00.33	$0.00 + 0.00$	$0.00 + 0.00$	000.00 061.00	
24	$227.00+0.00$	$223.00+0.00$	187.00+31.00	$121.00+0.00$	$173.00 + 0.00$ $123.00 + 0.00$			$130.00+0.00$ $0.00+00.000$		$0.00+0.00$ $0.00+0.00$	000.00 000.00	

Table.5. Optimal output (heat+cooling) for integrated CCHP-thermalheat only system with considerations for environmental emission and electricity boiler

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

A hybrid GA-heuristic optimization algorithm for solving the UC problem with integrated CCHPthermal-heat only system with considerations for electricity boiler is developed. It is shown that with considerations for electricity boiler, integrated CCHP-thermal-heat only system can simultaneously reduce environmental emission and total cost, as compared with separated operation of thermal and heat only units. For future works, the application of the heuristic optimization algorithm developed in this study for solving UC problem with integration of CCHP and renewable energy resources is suggested.

References

- [1] Nazari ME, Ardehali MM. ,"Profit-based unit commitment of integrated CHP-thermal-heat only units in energy and spinning reserve markets with considerations for environmental CO2 emission cost and valve-point effects", Energy 2017.
- [2] Tichi SG, M.M. Ardehali MM, Nazari ME. ,"Examination of energy price policies in Iran for optimal configuration of CHP and CCHP systems based on particle swarm optimization algorithm", Energy Policy 2010.
- [3] Tookanloo MB, Ardehali MM, Nazari ME. "Combined cooling, heating, and power system optimal pricing for electricity and natural gas using particle swarm optimization based on bi-level programming approach: Case study of Canadian energy sector", J Natural Gas Sci Eng, 2015.
- [4] Sadeghian HR, Ardehali MM.,"A novel approach for optimal economic dispatch scheduling of integrated combined heat and power systems for maximum economic profit and minimum environmental emissions based on Benders decomposition", Energy, 2016.
- [5] Firouzi BB, Farjah E, Seifi A., "A new algorithm for combined heat and power dynamic economic dispatch considering valve-point effects", Energy 2013.
- [6] Olamaei J, Nazari ME, Bahravar S, "Economic environmental unit commitment for integrated CCHPthermal-heat only system with considerations for valve point effect based on a heuristic optimization algorithm", Energy ,2018.
- [7] Li G, Zhang R, Jiang T, Chen H, Bai L, Cui H, Li X. ," Optimal dispatch strategy for integrated energy systems with CCHP and wind power", [Appl Energy](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03062619) 2016.
- [8] Liu M, Shi Y, Fang F." A new operation strategy for CCHP systems with hybrid chillers", Appl Energy 2012.
- [9] Hu M, Cho H. ,"A probability constrained multi-objective optimization model for CCHP system operation decision support", Appl Energy 2014.
- [10] Cho H, Mago PJ. Luck R, Chamra LM.,"Evaluation of CCHP systems performance based on operational cost,

EISSN: 2345-6221

primary energy consumption, and carbon dioxide emission by utilizing an optimal operation scheme", Appl Energy 2009.

- [11] Luo Z, Wu Z, Li Z, Cai HY, Li BJ, Gu W. ,"A two-stage optimization and control for CCHP microgrid energy management", Appl Thermal Eng 2017.
- [12] Wang L, Li Q, Sun M, Wang G. "Robust optimization scheduling of CCHP systems with multi-energy based on minimax regret criterion", IET Gener Transm Distrib, 2016.
- [13] Yuan ZX, Jing ZX, Hu ZX, Wu QH.,"Operation optimization of CCHP-type microgrid considering units part-load characteristics", [Innov Smart Grid Tech,](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=7364573) 2015.
- [14] Yang H, Xiong T, Qiu J, Qiu D, Dong ZY.," Optimal operation of DES/CCHP based regional multi-energy prosumer with demand response", Appl Energy, 2016.
- [15] Luo Z, Wang Z, Gu W, Tang Y, Xu C. "A two-stage energy management strategy for CCHP microgrid considering house characteristics", Power Energy Soci General Meet , 20l5.
- [16] Tian Z, Niu J, Lu Y, He S, Tian X. ,"The improvement of a simulation model for a distributed CCHP system and its influence on optimal operation cost and strategy", Appl Energy, 2016.
- [17] Gu W, Wang Z, Wu Z, Luo Z, Tang Y, Wang J.," An online optimal dispatch schedule for CCHP microgrids based on model predictive control", IEEE Trans Smart Grid 2017.
- [18] Li X, Dong Y, Zou Y., "Energy management of CCHP microgrid considering demand-side management", [Auto](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=7954894) [Youth Acade Annu Conf Chinese Assoc,](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=7954894) 2017.
- [19] Wongvisanupong K, Hoonchareon N. ,"Optimal scheduling of hybrid CCHP and PV operation for shopping complex load", Electr Eng [Electro Comput](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=6552461) [Telecom Inform Tech ,](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=6552461) 2013.
- [20] Pazouki S, Haghifam MR. Scheduling of energy hubs including CCHP, solar and energy storages in different climates. Iranian Electr Power Distr Conf, 2015.
- [21] Pazheri F, Othman MF, Malik N., "Efficient and environmental friendly power dispatch by tri-generation", Conf Intelli Syst Model Simul, 2014.
- [22] Sheikhi A, Ranjbar AM, Oraee H, Moshari A. "Optimal operation and size for an energy hub with CCHP", Energy Power Eng, 2011.
- [23] Ran X, Zhou R, Yang Y, Lin L.,"The multi-objective optimization dispatch of combined cold heat and power based on the principle of equal emission", Power Energy Soci General Meet, 2012.
- [24] Cheng L, Liu C, Huang R, Li H.,"An optimal operating strategy for CCHP in multi-energy carrier system", Power Energy Soci General Meet, 2016.
- [25] Pazheri FR.,"Tri-generation based hybrid power plant scheduling for renewable resources rich area with energy storage", Energy Convers Manage, 2015.
- [26] Abdolmohammadi HR, Jafari S, Nazari ME, Shayanfar HA. A bio-inspired evolutionary algorithm for combined heat and power economic dispatch problems. Iranian Conf Fuzzy Intelli syst, 2008.
- [27] Abdolmohammadi HR, Jafari S, Rajati MR, Nazari ME. ,"A bio-inspired Genetic algorithm applied to a constrained optimization problem in power systems", Fuzzy Intelli Syst Con, 2007.
- [28] Jafari S, Abdolmohammadi HR, Nazari ME, Shayanfar HA. "A new approach for global optimization in high dimension", Power Energy Socie General Meet Convers Deliv Electr Energy, 2008.
- [29] Nazari ME, Ardehali MM, Jafari S. Pumped-storage unit commitment with considerations for energy demand, economics, and environmental constraints. Energy , 2010.
- [30] Nazari ME, Ardehali MM, Jafari S. ,"A novel optimization methodology for multi-constraint unit of thermal generating units with considerations for hydro pumped energy Storage", Int Review Auto Control (IREACO) 2010.
- [31] Jafari MJ, Ardehali MM, Nazari ME.,"A new approach for solving profit-based unit commitment problem in competitive electricity market", Int Review Electr Eng (IREE), 2010.
- [32] Nazari, ME, Ardehali, MM.,"Optimal coordination of renewable wind and pumped storage with thermal power generation for maximizing economic profit with considerations for environmental emission based on newly developed heuristic optimization algorithm", Renew Sustainable Energy 2016.
- [33] Nazari ME, Ardehali MM, Jafari S, Abdolmohammadi HR. "Development of new approaches for applying genetic algorithm to unit commitment and economic dispatch problems", Iranian Conf Fuzzy Intelli syst ,2008.