

# **Genetic Predisposition to Abortions Is Increasing in Iranian Holstein Cows**

**Research Article** 

**S. Nadri1,2, P. Zamani 1\* , A. Sadeghi‐Sefidmazgi2 , R. Abdoli <sup>1</sup> and A. Ghazi Khani Shad3**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran<br><sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran<br><sup>3</sup> Department of A

Received on: 19 Apr 2020 Revised on: 14 Jul 2020 Accepted on: 15 Aug 2020 Online Published on: Mar 2021

\*Correspondence E‐mail: pzamani@basu.ac.ir © 2010 Copyright by Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran Online version is available on: [www.ijas.ir](http://www.ijas.ir/)

### **ABSTRACT**

Abortion is an important trait with noticeable impacts on economic profit of dairy herds. This study was conducted to estimate variance components and genetic, environmental and phenotypic trends for abortions in Iranian Holstein Friesian cows. The data used were 247230 calving and abortion records of 84421 Holstein cows, collected during years 1991-2016, from 51 herds in Iran. Variance components and genetic parameters were estimated using a logit link function, fitting an animal mixed model by ASReml software. In this model, parity and milk yield were fixed, while direct additive genetic, herd-year-season and permanent environment effects were considered as random factors. Genetic, phenotypic and environmental trends were estimated as weighted regression coefficients of breeding values, phenotypic values and environmental deviations averages on birth year, respectively and the number of observations was considered as the weighting factor. Generally, abortion risk in the first parity was lower than the later parities and in autumn was lower than the other seasons. Abortion risk also increased by increase in milk yield level. Heritability and repeatability estimates for abortion were both  $0.165 \pm 0.010$ . A significant positive genetic trend (0.038) rate/year) and a significant negative environmental trend (-0.033 rate/year) were estimated for abortion, which indicated significant increase of genetic predisposition to abortions and improvement of environmental conditions to prevent abortion incidence. A significant positive phenotypic trend (0.002 rate/year) was also estimated, which showed overall increase of abortion rate during the studied years. Overall, it could be concluded that genetic predisposition to abortion is increasing in Iranian Holstein cows, but inclusion of abortion risk in bull proofs and selection indices may help to reduce abortion incidence and increase economic profit of dairy cattle industry.

**KEY WORDS** dairy cattle, heritability, parity, reproduction, season.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Abortion, defined as "loss of pregnancy" between 42 and 260 days of gestation [\(Committee on Bovine Reproductive](#page-6-0)  [Nomenclature, 1972](#page-6-0)), is a limiting factor for dairy cattle industry. Abortions increase feeding and medical costs and number of services needed for pregnancy, and reduce milk production and potential number of replacement animals ([Thurmond and Picasso, 1990\)](#page-6-1). Some genetic factors and candidate genes have been known for their effects on abortion incidence [\(Pereza](#page-6-2) *et al*. 2017). In addition to genetic factors, some environmental factors, such as microbial and metabolic effects may also cause abortions and thus reduction of economic profitability of dairy cows. Abortion costs are not easily estimable, but most estimates are between 555 – 1000 USD [\(Thurmond and Picanso, 1990](#page-6-1); [Pfeiffer](#page-6-3) *et al*[. 1997](#page-6-3); [Peter, 2000](#page-6-4); [De Vries, 2006](#page-6-4)). Generally, abortion cost is increased by gestation and lactation stages at conception ([De Vries, 2011\)](#page-6-3). In a study on Iranian Holstein cows, maximum abortion incidence was observed in the second parity (28.7%); the highest and lowest abortion rates were observed in mild and cold climates (16.0% *vs*. 14.5%, respectively) and the highest and lowest abortion rates (17.8 % and 13.5%, respectively) were observed in spring and autumn, respectively ([Keshavarzi](#page-6-5) *et al*. 2016). Generally, an average of  $3-5\%$  abortion rate ( $>42$  d of gestation) is expected for normal dairy herds ([Hovingh, 2009](#page-6-6)). However, a wider spectrum of abortion rate, *e.g.* 1.5% [\(Carpenter](#page-5-0) *et al*. 2006) to 15.4% [\(Keshavarzi](#page-6-7) *et al*. 2017) could be found in literature.

Estimation of genetic, phenotypic and environmental trends provides an evaluation perspective for previous breeding and managerial strategies and estimates of variance components and genetic parameters are needed for construction of breeding programs in the future. Genetic trend is average change of breeding values and phenotypic trend is determined by both changes of genetic and environmental conditions [\(Abdoli](#page-5-1) *et al*. 2019). A hypothesis is that abortion rate in Holstein Friesian cattle is changing as the result of selection strategies. Evaluation of this hypothesis is necessary to optimize future breeding programs for Holstein Friesians. Up to this time, no study on genetic aspects of abortions in Iranian Holsteins was found in literature. However, some studies have been conducted on genetic aspects of calf stillbirth [\(Ghavi Hossein-Zadeh, 2014](#page-6-8)) and postnatal mortality ([Forutan](#page-6-9) *et al*. 2016). The aim of this study was to estimate genetic, environmental and phenotypic trends for abortion rate, in Iranian Holstein-Friesian cattle.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **The data**

The data were information of 247230 calving records of 84421 Holstein-Friesian cows, during 1991 to 2016, from 51 dairy herds, in Isfahan province of Iran. The loss of pregnancy between 42 and 260 days of gestation was defined as abortion. In the dataset, a total of 17465, 4029, 895 and 207 cows had 1, 2, 3 and 4+ abortion records, respectively. The pedigree file included 143078 animals and was analyzed using CFC software [\(Sargolzaei](#page-6-10) *et al*. 2006). General information of the pedigree structure is presented in Table 1.

#### **Statistical analysis**

The factors affecting pregnancy outcome (normal calving or abortion), including herd, year, season, parity and average daily milk yield were studied in a logistic model. The seasons were defined as four astronomical seasons: spring (Mar 21-Jun 21), summer (Jun 22-Sep 22), autumn (Sep 23 -Dec 21) and winter (Dec 22-Mar 20). The model was fitted using logistic procedure of SAS software ([SAS, 2013\)](#page-6-11). Variance components and breeding values were estimated using a logistic animal mixed model as follows:

$$
\eta = Xb + Z_1a + Z_2h + Z_3p + e
$$

#### Where:

ƞ (Eta): vector containing the logit of pregnancy outcome; *b*: vector of fixed effects, including parity (1 to 7) and the average daily milk yield in the parity as a covariate.

 *a*, *h*, *p* and *e*: vectors of random effects, including direct additive genetic, herd-year-season (1435 levels), permanent environmental and residual effects, respectively.

 $X, Z_1, Z_2$  and  $Z_3$ : incidence matrices relating observations to the corresponding effects.

The herd-year-season had 1435 levels and small observations in many subclasses and therefore was fitted as a random effect [\(Visscher and Goddard, 1993](#page-6-12)).

Variance components were estimated based on average information algorithm of restricted maximum likelihood (AI-REML) using ASReml version 2 [\(Gilmour](#page-6-13) *et al*. 2006).

Solutions of the vector **a** were considered as estimates of breeding values. Then, environmental deviations (E) were estimated as:

 $E = P - (\mu + EBV)$ 

Where: P: phenotypic value. µ: overall mean. EBV: estimate of breeding value.

Genetic, phenotypic and environmental trends were estimated as weighted regression coefficients of breeding value, phenotypic value and environmental deviation averages on birth year, respectively, where by the number of records per year was considered as the weighting factor. The regression coefficients were estimated using REG procedure of the SAS 9.4 [\(SAS, 2013](#page-6-11)).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Fixed effects**

All evaluated factors in the logistic model, including herd, year, season, parity, season and average daily milk yield, significantly (P<0.0001) affected pregnancy outcome (calving or abortion). Abortion frequencies and odds ratios in different levels of the classification factors, including parity, season and year are presented in the Table 2. Abortion rate was increased from the first to the second parity (9.08 to 13.76%) and then gradually decreased to the last (seventh) parity (10.09%).

80 Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science (2021) 11(1), 79-85

**Table 1** Summary of the pedigree information



**Table 2** Abortion frequencies, odds ratios and p values based on logistic model analysis, by parity, season and year in the studied population of Holstein Friesians



2 95% wald confidence limits for odds ratios.

Odds ratios showed lower risk of abortion in the first parity, compared to other parities (Table 2). The highest and lowest abortion frequencies (14.43% *vs*. 9.69%) were observed in spring and autumn, respectively. Odds ratios and 95% wald confidence limits of odds ratios showed significant lower risk of abortion in autumn, compared to other seasons (Table 2). Average abortion rate was obviously increased from the first to the last studied years (5.49% during 1991-95 to 13.46% during 2015-16). Odds ratios and their 95% wald confidence limits showed significant differences of abortion risk between some studied periods (Table 2). Abortion rate had a significant association with average daily milk yield (P<0.0001), whereby one kg higher daily milk yield increased abortion rate by 0.0235.

#### **Variance components and genetic parameters**

Estimates of variance components, heritability  $(h^2)$ , repeatability (r) and coefficient of permanent environmental effects ( $pe<sup>2</sup>$ ), defined as ratio of permanent environmental to total phenotypic variances, are presented in the Table 3. Pregnancy outcome had a medium estimate of heritability (0.165±0.010), and the same estimate was also obtained for repeatability (0.165 $\pm$ 0.010), while estimate of pe<sup>2</sup> was zero (Table 3).

#### **Genetic, phenotypic and environmental trends**

Estimates of genetic, environmental and phenotypic trends and their significance levels (P-values) are presented in Table 4.

Table 3 Estimates of variance components and genetic parameters  $\pm$  standard errors (SE) for pregnancy outcome<sup>1</sup>, in the studied population of Holstein Friesians

	.	$\mathbf{v}$ hvs				<b>pe</b>	
$0.343 \pm 0.024$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.730\pm0.042$	$.000 \pm 0.000$	$2.073 \pm 0.049$	$0.165 \pm 0.010$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.165 \pm 0.010$
					$\sigma_{a}^2$ additive genetic; $\sigma_{\text{ne}}^2$ permanent environmental; $\sigma_{e}^2$ herd-year-season; $\sigma_{\text{hvs}}^2$ residual variances; $\sigma_{p}^2$ phenotypic variance; h <sup>2</sup> : heritability; pe <sup>2</sup> : coefficient of		
permanent environmental effects and r: repeatability.							

Table 4 Estimates of genetic, environmental and phenotypic trends of abortions  $\pm$  standard errors (SE), in the studied population of Holstein Friesians, during 1991-2016



A positive significant genetic trend (0.038±0.003 rate/year) was estimated for abortion which indicated increase of genetic predisposition to abortion over the studied period. The estimate of environmental trend was negative and significant (-0.033±0.003 rate/year), meaning a general improvement of environmental conditions for prevention of abortion in the studied population. The genetic and environmental trends were well fitted by linear regression models with high coefficients of determination (0.87 and 0.81, respectively), (Figure 1). Phenotypic trend of abortions was positive and significant (0.002±0.001 rate/year), which shows a general increase of abortion incidence during the studied period (Table 4, Figure 1).

In the present study, abortion rate varied from 5.49 to 13.46%, during different time sections (Table 2). This range is higher than the reported rates of 2% in 54 dairy farms in England [\(Murray, 1990](#page-6-14)) and 1.5% in Denmark ([Carpenter](#page-5-0)  *et al*[. 2006\)](#page-5-0), near to 10.8% in 10 Holstein herds in the northwestern United States (Forar *et al*[. 1996\)](#page-6-15) and 9.8% in three commercial [dairy](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/dairies) [farms](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/farm-enterprise) in California ([Ettema and](#page-6-16)  [Santos, 2004\)](#page-6-16) and lower than 15.4% in some Iranian industrial dairy farms ([Keshavarzi](#page-6-7) *et al*. 2017).

Economic profit in any dairy cattle enterprise depends on several factors such as milk traits, feed efficiency and reproduction traits. Adjustment of environmental factors is a general applicable method to improve animal efficiency and profit [\(Zamani](#page-6-17) *et al*. 2011). Some efficiency criteria are highly correlated with production traits and thus could be improved via selection on production traits [\(Zamani](#page-6-18) *et al*. [2008\)](#page-6-18), while independent traits would not be easily improved by indirect selection ([Zamani, 2017](#page-6-19)). Reproductive performance is another important factor, with noticeable impacts on profitability, which cannot be easily improved by direct selection. Because most of the reproduction traits in both dairy and beef cattle tend to be lowly heritable, from 0.02 to 0.04 (Berry *et al*[. 2014\)](#page-5-2).

Abortion in dairy cattle is a complex trait and its incidence is influenced by several factors, either infectious, including bacterial, mycotic and viral causes [\(Peter, 2000\)](#page-6-4)

or non-infectious, such as herd, parity, pregnancy stage, calving month or season, days in milk, previous abortion and mastitis ([Keshavarzi](#page-6-5) *et al*. 2016; [Keshavarzi](#page-6-7) *et al*. [2017](#page-6-7)). Increase of abortion risk from the first to later parities in the present study agrees with previous studies [\(Keshavarzi](#page-6-7) *et al*. 2017). Positive association of milk yield and abortion rate is also supported by [Norman](#page-6-20) *et al*. (2012). A main reason of unfavorable correlation between milk yield and abortion rate is that high producing cows are generally in negative energy balance which may be associated with a higher incidence of metabolic disorders, impaired fertility, and other health problems ([Zamani](#page-6-18) *et al*. 2008). As it could be seen in the Table 3, a zero  $pe<sup>2</sup>$  and equal heritability and repeatability were estimated for pregnancy outcome. This result indicates that environmental factors affecting abortion are mainly temporary and do not have any permanent effect on the cows. In other words, abortion is influenced by specific temporary environmental effects (such as metabolic disorders, diseases and so on) rather than permanent environmental factors.

Pandey *et al.* (2016) reported a low estimate of heritability for abortions (0.031) in a population of crossbred cows. In a Hungarian Holstein population, heritability estimates for abortion, within 60 days in first, second and third parties were 0.12, 0.15 and 0.28, respectively and 0.24 for the pooled records (Amin *et al*[. 2000\)](#page-5-3). The estimate of heritability for abortion in the present study  $(0.165)$  agrees with the estimates reported by Amin *et al*[. \(2000\).](#page-5-3) The observed variations of the heritability estimates can be attributed to possible differences in genetic and environmental conditions of the studied populations and the analysis methods. For example, heritability in the Pandey *et al*[. \(2016\)](#page-6-21) study was estimated from paternal half sib correlation method. On the other hand, a population with different herds and managerial conditions would have a lower estimate of heritability. Medium estimates of heritability for abortion in the present study (0.165) indicates medium impact of additive genetic effects on abortion and possibility of genetic selection for this trait.



**Figure 1** Genetic, environmental and phenotypic trends of abortion rate in the studied population of Holstein Friesians, during 1991-2016

A: genetic trend; B: environmental trend and C: phenotypic trend

Significant positive genetic trend of abortions (0.038 rate/year) indicated significant increase of genetic predisposition to abortions in the studied population or possibly global Holstein Friesian population. Because, most Holstein sub-populations are genetically similar and genetically linked together, whereby the effective size of global Holstein population is approximately 100 [\(Hill, 2010\)](#page-6-22). Thus, possible differences of production or reproduction traits, between Holstein sub-populations, are mainly due to some differences in environmental effects, such as climate, nutrition, management and so on. Therefore, estimation of genetic trend for an important economic trait in a subpopulation, can present a perspective for global Holstein population.

Positive genetic trend of abortions indicated lack of attention to abortions in previous breeding programs. Increase of genetic predisposition to abortion is an unfavorable result of selection on milk yield traits, because milk production traits, commonly have negative genetic correlations with health and fertility traits (Pryce *et al*[. 1997;](#page-6-23) [Roxström](#page-6-9) *et al*. 2001). Regarding medium heritability of abortion, decrease of abortion rate would be expectable by genetic selection on abortion, or inclusion of abortion risk in selection indices. Currently the sperm catalogues do not have any information about breeding values for abortion. It seems that the bulls should be evaluated for abortion risk and their breeding values, both for abortion risk in sire and daughters, similar to those for calving ease, should be reported in bull proofs.

<span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>A negative and significant environmental trend (-0.033 rate/year) was estimated during the studied period. Environmental trend of abortions could be attributed to different situations of various infectious agents (bacteria, viruses, protozoa and fungi), non-infectious agents (such as nutritional factors, management, genetic disorders) and other unknown factors [\(Peter, 2000](#page-6-4)) over the studied trajectory. Nutritional supplementation and other aspects of feeding, such as energy or protein levels in the diet are also considered as putative causes of the pregnancy outcome [\(Forar](#page-6-15) *et al*[. 1996\)](#page-6-15). Abortion is also affected by infectious factors such as *Neospora* [\(Alves](#page-5-4) *et al*. 1996) and bovine viral diarrhea ([Carpenter](#page-5-0) *et al*. 2006). Other factors, such as herd conditions, parity number, pregnancy stage, month or season, days in milk and mastitis are considered as noninfectious factors affecting abortion rate [\(Keshavarzi](#page-6-5) *et al*. [2016;](#page-6-5) [Keshavarzi](#page-6-7) *et al*. 2017). Negative environmental trend of abortions in the studied population indicated improvement of environmental factors preventing abortions. This improvement is due to noticeable advances in nutritional, medical and other environmental conditions in past decades.

Despite significant improvement of environmental conditions, a significant positive estimate of phenotypic trend (0.002 rate/year or 0.2 %/year), shows significant increase of abortion rate during the studied period. This phenotypic trend means 5.2% increase of abortion incidence during 26 years, which is lower than the actual difference of abortion rates between initial and last year sections (7.97%), as presented in the Table 2. This difference (5.2% *vs*. 7.97% increase of abortion) is due to different methods used for calculation. Because, the phenotypic trend (0.002 rate/year) was estimated by a weighted regression model, whereby initial years records had lower weights due to smaller sample sizes.

## **CONCLUSION**

Generally, abortions risk in the first parity was lower than the later parities and in autumn lower than the other seasons. Abortion risk also was increased by increase of milk yield level. Genetic predisposition to abortion is increasing in Iranian Holstein population. However, improvement of environmental conditions, to some extent, deactivates the increase of genetic predisposition to abortion. Based on medium heritability of pregnancy outcome, reduction of abortion rate is expectable by direct selection or inclusion of pregnancy outcome in selection indices. Estimates of breeding values for abortion risk in sires and daughters should be reported in bull proofs.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors thank to Vahdat Cooperative, Iran for collecting and providing the data.

### **REFERENCES**

- Abdoli R., Zamani P., Mirhoseini S.Z., Ghavi Hossein-Zadeh N. and Almasi M. (2019). Genetic parameters and trends for litter size in Markhoz goats. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Pec*. **32,** 58-63.
- Alves D., McEwen B., Hazlett M., Maxie G. and Anderson N. (1996). Trends in bovine abortions submitted to the Ontario Ministry of Agri-culture, Food and Rural Affairs, 1993-1995. *J. Can. Vet*. **37,** 287-288.
- Amin A.A., Gere T. and Kishk W.H. (2000). Additive genetic variance and covariance in some reproductive disorders in Hungarian Holstein Friesian using multi-trait animal model. *Arch. Anim. Breed*. **6,** 573-581.
- Berry D.P., Wall E. and Pryce J.E. (2014). Genetics and genomics of reproductive performance in dairy and beef cattle. *Animal.* **8,** 105-121.
- Carpenter T.E., Chrièl M., Andersen M.M., Wulfson L., Jensen A.M., Houe H. and Greiner M. (2006). An epidemiologic study of late-term abortion in dairy cattle in Denmark, July

- <span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>Committee on Bovine Reproductive Nomenclature. (1972). Recommendations for standardizing bovine reproduction terms. *Cornell Vet*. **62,** 216-237.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>De Vries A. (2006). Economic value of pregnancy in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci*. **89,** 3876-3885.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>De Vries A. (2011). Economics of reproductive performance. Pp. 139-152 in Dairy Production Medicine. C.A. Risco and P.M. Retamal, Eds. Wiley-Blackwell, United Kingdom.
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>Ettema J.F. and Santos J.E.P. (2004). Impact of age at calving on lactation, reproduction, health, and income in first-parity Hol-
- <span id="page-6-23"></span><span id="page-6-15"></span>Forar A., Gay J., Hancock D. and Gay C. (1996). Fetal loss frequency in ten Holstein dairy herds. *Theriogenology*. **45,** 1505- 1513.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>Forutan M., Ansari-Mahyari S. and Edriss M.A. (2016). Genetic analysis of postnatal mortality and calving traits in Iranian Holstein herds using threshold-linear models. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol*. **18,** 961-973.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span><span id="page-6-8"></span>Ghavi Hossein-Zadeh N. (2014). Effect of dystocia on the produc- *Scand. A. J. Anim. Sci*. **51,** 7-14. tive performance and calf stillbirth in Iranian Holsteins. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol*. **16,** 69-78.
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>Gilmour A.R., Gogel B.J., Cullis B.R. and Thompson R. (2006). ASReml User Guide Release 2.0. VSN International Ltd.,
- <span id="page-6-22"></span><span id="page-6-11"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>Hill W.G. (2010). Understanding and using quantitative genetic Institute, Inc., Cary, NC. USA.
- <span id="page-6-12"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span>Hovingh E. (2009). Abortions in Dairy Cattle-I Common Causes for bovine abortion. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **9,** 41-53. of Abortions. Virginia Cooperative Extension. Publication 404-288. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- <span id="page-6-19"></span><span id="page-6-5"></span>Keshavarzi H., Sadeghi-Sefidmazgi A., Ghorbani G. and Kowsar R. (2016). Phenotypic analysis of abortion incidence in Iranian Holstein cows. *Iranian J. Anim. Sci*. **47,** 409-419. Zamani P., Miraei-Ashtiani S.R., Alipour D., Tabatabaei M.
- <span id="page-6-17"></span><span id="page-6-7"></span>Keshavarzi H., Sadeghi-Sefidmazgi A., Kristensen A.R. and Stygar A.H. (2017). Abortion studies in Iranian dairy herds: I. Risk factors for abortion. *Livest. Sci*. **195,** 45-52.
- <span id="page-6-18"></span><span id="page-6-14"></span>Murray R.D. (1990). A field investigation of causes of abortion in
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>Norman H.D., Miller R.H., Wright J.R., Hutchison J.L. and Olson K.M. (2012). Factors ssociated with frequency of abortions recorded through dairy herd improvement test plans. *J. Dairy Sci.* **95,** 4074-4084.
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>Pandey S., Singh C.V. and Barwal R.S. (2016). Selective value, quantification and genetic parameters of components of replacement traits in crossbred cattle. *Vet. Med*. **1,** 1-5.
- 2000–August 2003. *Prev. Vet. Med*. **77,** 215-229. Pereza N., Ostojić S., Kapović M. and Peterlin B. (2017). Systematic review and meta-analysis of genetic association studies in idiopathic recurrent spontaneous abortion. *Fertil. Steril.* **107,**  150-159.
	- Peter A.T. (2000). Abortions in dairy cows: New insights and economic impact. *Adv. Dairy Technol*. **12,** 233-244.
	- Pfeiffer D.U., Williamson N.B. and Thornton R.N. (1997). A simple spread sheet simulation model of the economic effects of *Neospora caninum* abortions in dairy cattle in New Zealand. Pp. 124-129 in Proc. 8th Int. Soc. Vet. Epidemiol. Econ*.* Paris, France.
- steins on commercial farms. *J. Dairy Sci*. **87,** 2730-2742. Pryce J.E., Veerkamp R.F., Thompson R., Hill W.G. and Simm G. (1997). Genetic aspects of common health disorders and measures of fertility in Holstein Friesian dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Sci*. **65,** 353-360.
	- Roxström A., Strandberg E., Berglund B., Emanuelson U. and Philipasson J. (2001). Genetic and environmental correlations among female fertility traits and milk production in different parities of Swedish Red and White dairy cattle. *Acta. Agric.*
	- Sargolzaei M., Iwaisaki H. and Colleau J.J. (2006). CFC: A tool for monitoring genetic diversity. Pp. 111-118 in Proc.  $8<sup>th</sup>$ World Cong. Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod. Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.
- Hemel Hempstead, HP1 1ES, United Kingdom. SAS Institute. (2013). SAS<sup>®</sup>/STAT Software, Release 9.4. SAS
- variation. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci.* 365, 73-85. Thurmond M.C. and Picanso J.P. (1990). A surveillance system
	- Visscher P.M. and Goddard M.E. (1993). Fixed and random contemporary groups. *J. Dairy Sci.* **76,** 1444-1454.
	- Zamani P. (2017). Statistical properties of proportional residual energy intake as a new measure of energetic efficiency. *J. Dairy Res*. **84,** 248-253.
	- Aliarabi H., Saki A.A., Maleki M. and Abdolmohammadi A. (2011). Statistical analysis of some factors affecting crude protein balance in lactating dairy cows. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol*. **13,**  1033-1043.
- dairy cattle. *Vet. Rec*. **127,** 543-547. Zamani P., Miraei-Ashtiani S.R. and Mohammadi H. (2008). Genetic parameters of residual energy intake and its correlations with other traits in Holstein dairy cattle. *Turkish J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* **32,** 255-261.