



Characterization of Regularity of Posemigroups by High-Quasi-Ideals

M. M. Shamivand *

Department of Mathematics, Borujerd Branch, Islamic Azad University, Borujerd, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

KEYWORDS

Posemigroup
Regular
High-quasi-ideal
High-bi-ideal.

ABSTRACT

A semigroup S is called a posemigroup if S is equipped with an ordering relation “ \leq ” such that $a \leq b$ in S implies $xa \leq xb$ and $ax \leq bx$, for all $x \in S$. In what follows we study necessary and sufficient conditions that a posemigroup S to be regular, in terms of certain conditions of Q_S^* , the semigroup of high-quasi-ideals of S . This study gives us a characterization method of the regular posemigroups.

ARTICLE HISTORY

RECEIVED:2022 MARCH 19

ACCEPTED:2022 DECEMBER 24

1 Introduction

In abstract theory of semigroups, the notion of regular elements was firstly introduced by Thierrin [11] and has been effectively used in the ideals theory of semigroups. The bi-ideals of posemigroups were introduced by Good [1] and Steinfeld [10], respectively. Recall from [2] that in a posemigroup S , the element $a \in S$ is called regular, if $a \leq axa$, for some $x \in S$. Also S is called regular if every element of S is regular. For further information we refer to [2, 3, 5, 7, 9]. Here we generalize the notions of bi-ideal and quasi-ideal to high-bi-ideal and high-quasi-ideal, respectively. Throughout the paper S stands for a posemigroup. Following [4] and [12] we recall the definitions of (A) and AB as:

$$(A) := \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, \text{ for some } a \in A\}, AB := \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\},$$

for subsets A and B of a posemigroup S . By a left ideal of a posemigroup S we mean a non-empty subset L of S satisfying $SL \subseteq L$ and $(L) \subseteq L$. A right ideal may be defined in a similar way. A two sided ideal of S is a left as well as a right ideal of S . For every non-empty subset A of S , let

$$(A)^* := \{s \in S \mid s \leq a^n, \text{ for some } a \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let S be a posemigroup. A non-empty subset Q of S is called a *high-quasi-ideal* of S if $(QS)^* \cap (SQ)^* \subseteq Q$ and $(Q)^* \subseteq Q$. The set of all high-quasi-ideals of S will be denoted by Q_S^* . Also a non-empty subset B of S is said to be a *high-bi-ideal* of S if $B SB \subseteq B$ and $(B)^* \subseteq B$.

* Corresponding Author's E-mail: m.shamivand@yahoo.com(M.M. Shamivand)



Clearly, every one-sided ideal of S is a high-quasi-ideal of S . We denote the high-quasi-ideal and high-bi-ideal generated by an element $a \in S$ by $Q^*(a)$ and $B^*(a)$, respectively. One can easily show that $Q^*(a) = (a \cup ((aS]^* \cap (Sa]^*))^*$ and $B^*(a) = (a \cup a^2 \cup aSa]^*$. Note that every high-quasi-ideal of a posemigroup S is a high-bi-ideal of S , for, if B is a high-quasi-ideal of S , then we get $BSB \subseteq SSB$ and $BSB \subseteq BSS$, so, $BSB \subseteq SSB \cap BSS \subseteq (SSB]^* \cap (BSS]^* \subseteq B$. The second condition is trivial. Hence, B is a high-bi-ideal of S . In general the converse is not true. For an example we may consider the posemigroup $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ by the multiplication table:

.	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	b	b
d	a	a	b	a

and the ordering relation:

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d)\}.$$

Clearly, $B = \{a, d\}$ is a high-bi-ideal of S however, it is not a high-quasi-ideal of S . Our notation are merely standard and follow [6, 10]. We prefer to introduce the notations:

$$\begin{aligned} P_S^* &= \{X \mid \emptyset \neq X \subseteq S \text{ and } (X]^* \subseteq X\}, \\ L_S &= \{L \mid L \text{ is a left ideal of } S\}, \\ R_S &= \{R \mid R \text{ is a right ideal of } S\}, \\ I_S &= \{I \mid I \text{ is a two-sided ideal of } S\}. \end{aligned}$$

A multiplication on P_S^* may be defined by $X \circ Y = (XY]^*$, for every $X, Y \in P_S^*$.

Our main results concerning the high-quasi-ideals which are the generalizations of the quasi-ideals of semigroups, are:

Proposition 1.1. *Let S be a posemigroup. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) S is regular.
- (ii) For every right ideal R and left ideal L of S , $(RL]^* = R \cap L$.
- (iii) For every right ideal R and left ideal L of S , $(R^2]^* = R$, $(L^2]^* = L$ and $(RL]^*$ is a high-quasi-ideal of S .

Proposition 1.2. *Let S be a posemigroup. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) S is regular.
- (ii) (Q_S^*, \circ) is the subsemigroup of the semigroup (P_S^*, \circ) generated by the bands (L_S, \circ) and (R_S, \circ) .
- (iii) (Q_S^*, \circ) is regular.
- (iv) Every high-quasi-ideal Q of S is in the form $Q = (QSQ]^*$.

2 The Proofs

To prove our assertions first we have to give certain preliminary results concerning the notions of Section 1. First we give a generalized results of [8] for high-quasi-ideals:

Lemma 2.1. For a posemigroun S and two non-empty subset A and B of S ,

- (i) $A \subseteq (A]^*$.
- (ii) If A is a high-quasi-ideal of S , then $A = (A]^*$ and $(A]^* = ((A]^*)^*$.
- (iii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $(A]^* \subseteq (B]^*$.
- (iv) $(A]^*(B]^* \subseteq (AB]^*$ for any two subposemigrouns A and B of S .
- (v) $(A \cap B]^* \neq (A]^* \cap (B]^*$. In particular, if A and B are two high-quasi-ideals of S , then $(A \cap B]^* = (A]^* \cap (B]^*$.

Proof. (i), (v) and second part of (ii) are evident.

(ii) It suffices to show that $(A]^* \subseteq A$. If $t \in (A]^*$, there exists $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t \leq a^n$. Since A is a high-quasi-ideal of S , then $t \in A$ and $A = (A]^*$.

(iii) Let $t \in (A]^*$. Then there exists $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t \leq a^n$. Since $A \subseteq B$, there exists $a \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t \leq a^n$. Thus $t \in (B]^*$.

(iv) Take any $x \in (A]^*(B]^*$. This implies that $x = ab$ for some $a \in (A]^*$ and $b \in (B]^*$. Then $a \leq h^m$ and $b \leq k^n$ for some $h \in A, k \in B$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $ab \leq h^m k^n$. Since $h^m \in A$ and $k^n \in B$, we obtain $h^m k^n \in AB$. Therefore, $ab \leq h^m k^n \in AB$ showing that $x \in (AB]^*$. □

Lemma 2.2. Let S be a posemigroun. Then

- (i) (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) is a posemigroun.
- (ii) $(L_S, o, \subseteq), (R_S, o, \subseteq)$ and (I_S, o, \subseteq) are subposemigrouns of (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) .

Proof. (i) The binary operation “ o ” is well-defined. Let $A, B, C \in P_S^*$. Then

$$(AoB)oC = (AB]^*oC = ((AB]^*C]^* = ((AB)C]^* = (ABC]^*,$$

and

$$Ao(BoC) = Ao(BC]^* = (A(BC]^*)^* = (A(BC))^* = (ABC]^*.$$

So, $(AoB)oC = Ao(BoC)$ holds. Thus (P_S^*, o) is a semigroun. Let $A, B, C \in P_S^*$ and $A \subseteq B$, then $AoC = (AC]^* \subseteq (BC]^* = BoC$ and $CoA = (CA]^* \subseteq (CB]^* = CoB$. Hence, (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) is a posemigroun.

(ii) Evidently, L_S, R_S and I_S are non-empty subset of P_S^* . Let $J, K \in L_S$. It is obvious that $(JoK]^* = ((JK]^*)^* = (JK]^*$. Further, by $S(JoK) = S(JK]^* \subseteq (S(JK]^*)^* \subseteq (S(JK))^* = ((S)K]^* \subseteq (JK]^* = JoK$, we conclude that JoK is a left ideal of S , i.e.; $JoK \in L_S$. Thus (L_S, o, \subseteq) is a subposemigroun of (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) . Similarly, we can show that (R_S, o, \subseteq) is a subposemigroun of (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) . By $I_S = L_S \cap R_S$ it follows that (I_S, o, \subseteq) is a subposemigroun of (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) . □

Note that each high-quasi-ideal Q of posemigroun S is a subsemigroun of S , for,

$$Q^2 \subseteq QS \cap SQ \subseteq (QS]^* \cap (SQ]^* \subseteq Q.$$

Lemma 2.3. For every left ideal L and right ideal R of a posemigroun S , $L \cap R$ is a high-quasi-ideal of S .

Proof. Proof is easy by considering the relations $RL \subseteq SL \subseteq L$ and $RL \subseteq RS \subseteq R$. □

Lemma 2.4. For every high-quasi-ideal Q of a posemigroun S ,

$$Q = L(Q) \cap R(Q) = (SQ \cup Q]^* \cap (Q \cup QS]^*.$$

Proof. Clearly that $Q \subseteq (SQ \cup Q]^* \cap (Q \cup QS]^*$. Conversely, let $t \in (SQ \cup Q]^* \cap (Q \cup QS]^*$. Then $t \leq q^m$, or $t \leq (xu)^n$ and $t \leq (vy)^p$, for some $q, u, v \in Q$ and $x, y \in S$ and $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Q is a high-quasi-ideal of S , so $t \in (Q]^* \subseteq Q$ or $t \in (SQ]^* \cap (QS]^* \subseteq Q$. Hence, $(SQ \cup Q]^* \cap (Q \cup QS]^* = Q$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let I be a two-sided ideal of a posemigroup S and Q be a high-quasi-ideal of I . Then Q is a high-bi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Since Q is a high-quasi-ideal of I then,

$$QSQ \subseteq QSI = Q(SI) \subseteq QI \subseteq (QI]^* \subseteq (SI]^* \subseteq (I]^* \subseteq I,$$

$$QSQ \subseteq ISQ = (IS)Q \subseteq IQ \subseteq (IQ]^* \subseteq (IS]^* \subseteq (I]^* \subseteq I,$$

and for $x \in (Q]^*$ we get:

$$\exists q \in Q \subseteq I, n \in \mathbb{N}; x \leq q^n, \text{ so,}$$

$$x \in (I]^* = I, \text{ hence,}$$

$$x \in I \cap (Q]^* = (Q]^* \subseteq Q.$$

$$\text{So, } QSQ \subseteq (I \cap (IQ]^*) \cap (I \cap (QI]^*) = (IQ]^* \cap (QI]^* \subseteq Q, \text{ and } (Q]^* \subseteq Q.$$

\square

Lemma 2.6. *For every posemigroup S ,*

$$\langle L_S \cup R_S \rangle = L_S \cup R_S \cup (R_S o L_S).$$

Proof. Since,

$$\langle L_S \cup R_S \rangle = \{X_1 o X_2 o \dots o X_n \mid X_i \in L_S \text{ or } X_i \in R_S, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then by letting $X_i, X_{i+1} \in L_S \cap R_S$ we consider four cases:

(i) $X_i, X_{i+1} \in L_S$. In this case, $X_i o X_{i+1} \in L_S$ (by Lemma 2.2.).

(ii) $X_i, X_{i+1} \in R_S$. In this case, $X_i o X_{i+1} \in R_S$ (by Lemma 2.2.).

(iii) $X_i \in L_S$ and $X_{i+1} \in R_S$. In this case, $X_i o X_{i+1} = (X_i X_{i+1})^*$ is an ideal of S , so $X_i o X_{i+1} \in I_S = L_S \cap R_S$.

(iv) $X_i \in R_S$ and $X_{i+1} \in L_S$. In this case, $X_i o X_{i+1} \in R_S o L_S$. Thus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in L_S \cup R_S$ there are three cases to recognize:

(a) If $X_1 \in L_S$, then $X_1 o X_2 o \dots o X_n \in L_S$.

(b) If $X_n \in R_S$, then $X_1 o X_2 o \dots o X_n \in R_S$.

(c) If $X_1 \in R_S$ and $X_n \in L_S$ ($n \geq 2$), then $X_1 o X_2 o \dots o X_n \in R_S o L_S$.

Therefore, this gives the result. \square

We are now ready to prove the propositions.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let R and L be right and left ideals of S respectively, then $(RL]^* \subseteq R \cap L$. Let S be regular and $a \in R \cap L$, so $a \leq axa$ for some $x \in S$, whence $a \in R$ and $xa \in L$. So $axa \in RL$ which implies that $a \in (RL]^*$. Therefore, $R \cap L = (RL]^*$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Using Lemma 2.3 and the assumption, $(RL]^*$ is a high-quasi-ideal of S . Since $(R \cup SR]^*$ is a two-sided ideal of S generated by R , it follows that,

$$R = R \cap (R \cup SR]^* = (R(R \cup SR]^*)^*, \text{ so, } (R^2]^* \subseteq (R(R \cup SR]^*)^* = R.$$

Conversely, let $x \in R = (R(R \cup SR]^*)^*$. Then, $x \leq (r_1 t)^n$ for some $r_1 \in R, t \in (R \cup SR]^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From $t \in (R \cup SR]^*$, we obtain $t \leq (u)^m$ where $u = r_2 \in R$ or $u = sr_3$ for some $s \in S, r_3 \in R$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$x \leq (r_1 u^m)^n = (r_1 r_2^m)^n, \text{ or}$$

$$x \leq (r_1 u^m)^n = (r_1 (sr_3)^m)^n.$$

But, $r_1 r_2^m \in R^2$ and $r_1 (sr_3)^m \in R^2$, so $x \in (R^2]^*$ which gives that $R \subseteq (R^2]^*$. Therefore, $(R^2]^* = R$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $a \in S$, then

$$a \in (R(a)L(a)]^* = (R(a)((L(a))^2]^*)^* \subseteq (R(a)(SL(a)]^*)^*, \text{ hence,}$$

$$a \in ((a \cup aS]^*(S(Sa \cup a)]^*)^* \subseteq (aSa]^*, \text{ so } a \in (aSa]^*.$$

Then there exists $x \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$a \leq (axa)^n = (axa)(axa)\dots(axa) = (axa^2xa^2\dots xa^2x)a.$$

Letting $y := xa^2xa^2\dots xa^2x$ which is an element of S yields $a \leq aya$. Hence S is a regular posemigroup. □

Proof of Proposition 1.2. First we observe that for a posemigroup S and non-empty subset X of S ,

$$Q^*(X) = L(X) \cap R(X) = (SX \cup X]^* \cap (X \cup XS]^*. \tag{*}$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let S be regular, then by Proposition 1.1 (iii) we get (L_S, o) and (R_S, o) are bands and,

$$R_S o L_S \subseteq Q_S^*, \text{ so } \langle L_S \cup R_S \rangle \subseteq Q_S^* \text{ by Lemma 2.6.}$$

Conversely, let $Q \in Q_S^*$. Then $(Q \cup SQ]^*$ is a left ideal of S generated by Q . Now by the condition (iii) in Proposition 1.1,

$$Q \subseteq (Q \cup SQ]^* = (((Q \cup SQ]^*)^2]^* \subseteq (Q^2 \cup SQ^2 \cup QSQ \cup (SQ)^2]^* \subseteq (SQ]^*.$$

Similarly, one can show that $Q \subseteq (QS]^*$. These relations and Lemma 2.4 give the following:

$$Q \subseteq (SQ]^* \cap (QS]^* \subseteq (SQ \cup Q]^* \cap (Q \cup QS]^* = Q, \text{ that is,}$$

$$(\forall Q \in Q_S^*) Q = (SQ]^* \cap (QS]^*. \tag{2.1}$$

Again by Proposition 1.1 (iii) and (1), we have

$$(\forall R \in R_S) (\forall L \in L_S) (RL]^* = (S(RL]^*)^* \cap ((RL]^*S]^*. \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore, $S = (S^2]^*$ and,

$$(SQ]^* = (((SQ]^*)^2]^* = ((SQ]^*(SQ]^*)^* = ((SQ]^*((S^2]^*Q]^*)^*, \text{ hence,}$$

$$(SQ]^* \subseteq (SQSSQ]^* \subseteq (S(QS]^*(SQ]^*)^* \subseteq (S((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^*)^*, \text{ so,}$$

$$(SQ]^* \subseteq (S(QS^2Q]^*)^* \subseteq (SQ]^*. \text{ Therefore,}$$

$$(SQ]^* = (S((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^*)^*. \text{ Similarly,}$$

$$(QS]^* = (((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^*S]^*. \text{ Consequently, in view of (1), (2) and Lemma 2.6 we obtain}$$

$$Q = (QS]^* \cap (SQ]^* = (((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^*S]^* \cap (S((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^*)^*)^* \\ = ((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^* = (QS]^*o(SQ]^* \in R_S o L_S \subseteq \langle L_S \cup R_S \rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, $Q_S^* \subseteq \langle L_S \cup R_S \rangle$. So, $Q_S^* = \langle L_S \cup R_S \rangle$.

Therefore (Q_S^*, o) is a subsemigroup of (P_S^*, o) generated by the bands (L_S, o) and (R_S, o) .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) By assumption, the condition (iii) in Proposition 1.1 is followed immediately from Lemma 2.6. For every $Q \in Q_S^*$, by (3)

$$Q = ((QS]^*(SQ]^*)^* \subseteq (QS^2Q]^* \subseteq (QSQ]^* \subseteq Q,$$

whence, $Q = (QSQ]^* = QoS_oQ$, with $S \in Q_S^*$. Thus, (Q_S^*, o) is regular.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let $Q \in Q_S^*$. Then, using Lemma 2.4, there exists a high-quasi-ideal X of S such that, $Q = QoXoQ = (QXQ]^* \subseteq (QSQ]^* \subseteq (SQ]^* \cap (QS]^* \subseteq (SQ \cup Q]^* \cap (Q \cup QS]^* = Q$. So, $Q = (QSQ]^*$.

Finally, let (iv) holds. We show that S is regular. It is obvious from the assumption that (Q_S^*, o, \subseteq) is a regular subsemigroup of the posemigroup (P_S^*, o, \subseteq) . For every $a \in S$, by (*) (with $X = \{a\}$), $R(a) \cap L(a)$ is a high-quasi-ideal of S containing a . Hence, there exists $Q \in Q_S^*$ such that,

$$a \in R(a) \cap L(a) \subseteq (R(a) \cap L(a))oQo(R(a) \cap L(a)), \text{ hence,}$$

$$a \in ((R(a) \cap L(a))Q(R(a) \cap L(a))]^* \subseteq (R(a)SL(a))^*, \text{ so,}$$

$$a \in ((a \cup aS]^*S(aSa \cup a]^*)^*.$$

Then we get $a \in (aSa]^*$. It follows that $a \leq (axa)^n = (axa)(axa)\dots(axa) = a(xa^2xa^2\dots xa^2x)a$ for some $x \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Letting $y := xa^2xa^2\dots xa^2x$ which is an element of S yields $a \leq aya$. Hence S is a regular posemigroup. □

3 Examples

Justifying the conditions of Proposition 1.2 in connection with the regularity of posemigroups involves the from of high-quasi-ideals, will be studied in the examples of this section. Indeed, we present two non-commutative posemigroups where, one satisfies the condition of the Proposition 1.2 and the other doesn't.

Example 3.1. (i) The posemigroup $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ defined by the multiplication table and the order as below is regular.

.	a	b	c	d	e
a	d	b	b	d	e
b	b	b	b	b	e
c	b	b	c	b	e
d	d	b	b	d	e
e	b	b	e	b	e

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, e), (b, b), (b, c), (b, e), (c, c), (d, b), (d, c), (d, d), (d, e), (e, e)\}.$$

To see this, the high-quasi-ideals of S are the sets: $\{a, b, d\}$, $\{a, b, d, e\}$ and S . So, $Q_S^* = \{\{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, S\}$. Hence, for every Q in Q_S^* , we have $(QSQ]^* = Q$. So, S is regular.

(ii) The posemigroup $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ defined by the multiplication table and the order as below is regular.

.	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	d	a	d	d
b	a	b	a	d	d
c	a	d	c	d	e
d	a	d	a	d	d
e	a	d	c	d	e

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, c), (a, d), (a, e), (b, b), (b, d), (b, e), (c, c), (c, e), (d, d), (d, e), (e, e)\}.$$

Indeed, the high-quasi-ideals of S are the sets: $\{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}$ and S . So, $Q_S^* = \{\{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, S\}$. For every Q in Q_S^* , we have, $(QSQ)^* = Q$. So, S is regular.

Example 3.2. (i) The posemigroup $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ defined by the multiplication table and the order as below is not regular.

.	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	a	a	d	e
b	a	b	a	d	a
c	a	a	a	d	e
d	d	d	d	d	e
e	d	d	d	d	e

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, d), (a, e), (b, b), (b, d), (b, e), (c, c), (c, e), (d, d), (d, e), (e, e)\}.$$

Indeed, the high-quasi-ideals of S are the sets: $\{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}$ and S . So $Q_S^* = \{\{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, S\}$. But for $Q = \{a, b, c, d\}$ which is an element of Q_S^* , we have $(QSQ)^* = \{a, b, d\} \neq Q$. So, S is not regular.

(ii) The posemigroup $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ defined by the multiplication table and the order as below is not regular.

.	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	b	c	b	b
b	b	b	b	b	b
c	a	b	c	b	b
d	d	b	d	b	b
e	e	e	e	e	e

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, c), (b, b), (b, d), (c, c), (d, d), (e, b), (e, d), (e, e)\}.$$

For this, the high-quasi-ideals of S are the sets: $\{e\}, \{b, e\}, \{a, b, e\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}$ and S . Thus, $Q_S^* = \{\{e\}, \{b, e\}, \{a, b, e\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, S\}$.

But for $Q = \{b, d, e\}$ which is an element of Q_S^* , we have $(QSQ)^* = \{b, e\} \neq Q$. So, S is not regular.

References

- [1] R.A. Good and D.R. Hghes, Associated groups for a semigroup, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1952), 624-625.
- [2] N. Kehayopulu and M. Tsingelis, On left regular ordered semigroups, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **25** (2002), 609-615.
- [3] N. Kehayopulu and M. Tsingelis, On ordered semigroups which are semilattices of left simple semigroups, Math. Slovaca **63** (2013), 411-416.
- [4] N. Kehayopulu, On intra-regular ordered semigroups, Semigroup Forum **46** (3)(1993), 271-278.

- [5] N. Kehayopulu, On regular duo ordered semigroups, *Mathematica Japonica* **37** (3) (1992), 535-540.
- [6] N. Kehayopulu, On regular, intra-regular ordered semigroups, *Pure Math. Appl.* **4** (4) (1993), 447-461.
- [7] N. Kehayopulu, S. Lajos and M. Tsingelis, On intra-regular ordered semigroups, *Pure Math. Appl.* **4** (1993), 317-327.
- [8] S. K. Lee and D. M. Lee, On intra-regular ordered semigroups, *Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour.* **14** (1) (2006), 95-100.
- [9] P. Luangchaisri and T. Changphas, On (m, n) -regular and intra-regular ordered semigroups, *Quasigroups Related Systems* **27** (2019), 267-272.
- [10] O. Steinfield, On quotients and prime ideals, *Acta Math Acad. Sci. Hung.* **4** (1953), 289-298.
- [11] M. G. Thierrin, Sur une condition necessaire et suffisante pour qu'un semigroup soit un group, *C. R. Acad. Paris* **232** (1951), 376-378.
- [12] Yonglin Cao and Xu Xinzhai, Nil-extensions of simple po-semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 2477-2496.