

Fixed point of generalized contractive maps on *S JS−* **metric spaces with two metrics**

Kushal Roy*^a* , Mantu Saha*^a* , Ismat Beg*b,[∗]*

^aDepartment of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Purba Bardhaman -713104, West Bengal, India

^bCentre for Mathematics and Statistical Sciences, Lahore School of Economics, Lahore - 53200, Pakistan

1 Introduction

Fixed point theory in distance spaces and its applications has attracted many researchers in last five decades due to its wide applicability [9]. Maia [10] generalized the classical Banach Contraction Principle in the setting of a metric space with two metrics and proved that if *T* is a contraction mapping with respect to some non complete metric on a nonempty set *X*, while *X* is complete with respect to some metric, then *T* has a fixed point under certain conditions. In the past few years Maia's theorem and its applications in study of differential equations has been generalized in many directions by several researchers, see Ravi and O'Regan [1], Smet [3], Khan et al. [7], Rus et al. [12], Soni [14] and references therein..

Recently Beg et al. [2] in an attempt to generalize the notion of metric, introduced a new type of *S JS−*metric and an *S JS−*metric space, and studied its several topological properties. This newly introduced *S JS−*metric space include the concepts of *S−*metric [13] and *Sb−*metric spaces [15] and has generalized those spaces in a unique way. Afterward Roy et al. [11] proved integral type fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on *S JS−*metric spaces.

In this paper, we consider a nonempty set *X* together with two *S JS−*metrics and prove several fixed point results for *Z*-contractive map, Geraghty type contractive map and interpolative Hardy-Rogers type contractive mapping. Examples are constructed to high light the significance of newly obtained fixed point theorems.

*∗*Corresponding Author's E-mail: ibeg@lahoreschool.edu.pk(I. Beg) © 2021. All rights reserved. Hosting by IA University of Arak Press

2 Preliminaries

Let *X* be a nonempty set and $E: X^3 \to [0, \infty]$ be a function. Let us define the set

$$
S(E, X, x) = \{ \{x_n\} \subset X : \lim_{n \to \infty} E(x, x, x_n) = 0 \}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.1. [2] Let *X* be a nonempty set and $E: X^3 \to [0, \infty]$ satisfies the following conditions:

- $(E_1) E(x, y, z) = 0$ *implies* $x = y = z$ *for any* $x, y, z \in X$;
- (*E*2) *there exists some b >* 0 *such that for any* (*x, y, z*) *∈ X*³ *and {zn} ∈ S*(*E, X, z*)*, we have*

$$
E(x, y, z) \le b \limsup_{n \to \infty} (E(x, x, z_n) + E(y, y, z_n))
$$

Then the pair (X, E) *is called an* S^{JS} *− metric space.*

From [2, 11] we see that any *S−* metric and *Sb−* metric spaces are *S JS−* metric spaces but the converse need not be true in general.

Definition 2.2. *[2] Let* (*X, E*) *be an S JS− metric space, then*

(i) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ ⊂ *X* is said to be convergent to an element $x \in X$ if $\{x_n\}$ ∈ *S*(*E, X, x*)*,*

(ii) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ ⊂ *X is said to be Cauchy if* $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} E(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0$ *,*

(iii) (*X, E*) *is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.*

Definition 2.3. [2] Let (X, E) be an S^{JS} −metric space and $T : X → X$ be a self mapping. Then T is called *continuous at* $a \in X$ *if for any* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that for any* $x \in X$ *,* $E(Ta, Ta, Tx) < \epsilon$ *whenever* $J(a, a, x) < \delta$.

Proposition 2.1. [2] In an S^{JS} –metric space (X, J) if $\{x_n\}$ converges to both x and y for $x, y \in X$, then $x = y$.

Proposition 2.2. [2] Let (X, E) be an S^{JS} −metric space and $\{x_n\}$ ⊂ *X* converges to some $x ∈ X$. Then $E(x, x, x) = 0.$

Proposition 2.3. [2] In an S^{JS} –metric space (X, E) if T is continuous at $a \in X$ then for any sequence $\{x_n\} \in$ $S(E, X, a)$ *implies* $\{Tx_n\} \in S(E, X, Ta)$ *.*

Definition 2.4. [4] A function $\zeta : [0,\infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a simulation function, if it satisfies the following *conditions:*

 $({\zeta}_1) {\zeta}(0,0) = 0,$

 $(\zeta_2) \zeta(t,s) < s - t$ *for all* $s, t > 0$,

 (ζ_3) If $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ are sequences in $(0,\infty)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}s_n>0$, then $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\zeta(t_n,s_n)<$ 0*.*

3 Main Result

In an S^{JS} −metric space $(X, F),$ D_F : $X^2 \to [0, \infty]$ stands for the function defined as $D_F(x, y) = F(x, x, y)$ for any *x*, *y* ∈ *X*. Set of all simulation functions is denoted by Z . Also we denote by (X, F, J) a nonempty set *X* together with two S^{JS} − metrics $F, J: X^3 \to [0, \infty]$. An example of (X, F, J) is;

Example 3.1. *Let* $X = [-\infty, \infty]$ *and* $F, J: X^3 \to \infty$ *be defined by* $F(x, y, z) = |x - \sqrt{y^2 + y^2}$ 2*|* + *|y − √* 2*|* + *|z − √* 2*|* and $J(x,y,z)=|x|+|y|+|z|$ for all $x,y,z\in X.$ Then (X,F,J) is an S^{JS} —metric space with two S^{JS} —metric, *where both F and J are purely S JS−metrics, neither S−metrics nor Sb−metrics.*

Before proving our main fixed point results we need to extend the notion of *Z*-contractive map [8], Geraghty contractive map [5] and Interpolative Hardy-Roger type contractive map [6] to the case of an *S JS−* metric space.

Definition 3.1. Let $T:X\to X$ be a map defined on an S^{JS} —metric space (X,F) , such that for any $x,y\in X$, $D_F(Tx,Ty)=\infty\Rightarrow D_F(x,y)=\infty.$ Then T is said to be an $S^{JS}-\mathcal{Z}$ -contractive if there exists $\zeta\in\mathcal{Z}$ such that *for* $x, y \in X$ *,* $D_F(x, y) < \infty$ *implies* $\zeta(D_F(Tx, Ty), D_F(x, y)) \geq 0$ *.*

Definition 3.2. *Let* (*X, F*) *be an S JS−metric space. A map T* : *X → X is said to be an S JS−Geraghty type contractive map if the map T satisfies the following contractive condition:*

$$
D_F(Tx,Ty) \le \beta(D_F(x,y))D_F(x,y) \text{ for all } x,y \in X \text{ with } D_F(x,y) > 0 \tag{3.1}
$$

Where $\beta : (0, \infty] \to [0, 1)$ is a function, satisfying (a) $\beta(\infty) = 0$ and (b) for any sequence $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty]$, $\beta(t_n) \to 1$ *implies* $t_n \to 0$.

Definition 3.3. Let (X,F) be an S^{JS} —metric space and $T:X\to X$. The map T is said to be an S^{JS} —Interpolative *Hardy-Rogers type contractive map if there exists* $\mu \in [0,1)$, $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in (0,1)$ *with* $\xi + \eta + \zeta < 1$ *such that*

$$
D_F(Tx, Ty)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mu D_F(x, y)^{\xi} D_F(x, Tx)^{\eta} D_F(y, Ty)^{\zeta} \left[\frac{1}{2} (D_F(x, Ty) + D_F(y, Tx)) \right]^{1-\xi-\eta-\zeta}
$$
 (3.2)

for all $x,y\in X\backslash Fix^*(T)$, where $Fix^*(T)=\{x\in X\,:\,D_F(x,Tx)=0\}\subset Fix(T),\,Fix(T)$ is the set of all fixed *points of T.*

Definition 3.4. Let (X,F) be an S^{JS} –metric space and T be a self mapping on X . Then X is called T –orbitally complete if for any $x_0 \in X$ whenever $\{x_m\} \subset \mathcal{O}(T,x_0) = \{x_0, Tx_0, T^2x_0, ...\}$, is a Cauchy sequence then there *exists an element* $x \in X$ *such that* $\{x_m\} \in S(F, X, x)$ *.*

Definition 3.5. *A self mapping T on an S JS−metric space* (*X, F*) *is said to be orbitally continuous if for any* $x_0 \in X$, $\{T^{n_i}x_0\}_{i\geq 1} \in S(F, X, u)$, $u \in X$, implies $\{TT^{n_i}x_0\}_{i\geq 1} \in S(F, X, Tu)$.

Now we state and prove our main results.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, F, J) be a S^{JS} −metric space with two metrics F and J . Assume that for $T: X \to X$ the *following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) $F(x, y, z) = \infty$ if and only if $J(x, y, z) = \infty$ otherwise $F(x, y, z) \le J(x, y, z) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;

- (2) (*X, F*) *is T−orbitally complete;*
- (3) T *is orbitally continuous with respect to* F ;
- (4) *T* is $S^{JS} \mathcal{Z}$ -contractive with respect to *J*;

(5) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\delta(J,T,x_0)=\sup\{d_J(T^ix_0,T^jx_0):i,j\geq 1\}<\infty$ and $D_J(T^px_0,T^qx_0)>0$ for *all* $p, q \ge 1$ $(p \ne q)$ *.*

Then T has a fixed point in $X.$ Moreover if $z,z'\in X$ are two fixed points of T such that $D_J(z,z')<\infty$ then $z=z'.$

Proof. Let us consider the Picard iterating sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $i \neq j$ we have,

$$
0 \leq \zeta(D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j}), D_J(x_{n-1+i}, x_{n-1+j})) < D_J(x_{n-1+i}, x_{n-1+j}) - D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j})
$$
 (3.3)

implies $D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j}) < D_J(x_{n-1+i}, x_{n-1+j})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\{D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing bounded sequence for any $i, j(i \neq j) \geq 1$. Thus there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j}) = \lambda$ for all $i, j(i \neq j) \geq 1$.

If $\lambda > 0$ then for the sequences $t_n = D_J(x_{n+3}, x_{n+2})$ and $s_n = D_J(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = \lambda$ and thus

$$
0 \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \zeta(D_J(x_{n+3}, x_{n+2}), D_J(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}))
$$

=
$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0,
$$
 (3.4)

a contradiction. Therefore $\limsup_{n\to\infty} D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j}) = 0$ for all $i, j \ge 1$ with $i \ne j$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in (*X, J*)*.* Now due to condition (1) it can be easily seen that *{xn}* is Cauchy in (*X, F*)*.* Since (*X, F*) is *T−*orbitally complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $\{x_n\}\in S(F,X,z).$ From condition (3) we get $\{T^{n+1}x_0\}$ converges to $Tz.$ Hence we have $Tz = z$.

If possible let, *z*, *z'* be two fixed points of T such that $D_J(z,z') < \infty.$ If $D_J(z,z') > 0$ then we get

$$
0 \le \zeta(D_J(Tz, Tz'), D_J(z, z'))
$$

$$
< D_J(z, z') - D_J(Tz, Tz')
$$

$$
= D_J(z, z') - D_J(z, z') = 0, \text{ a contradiction.}
$$

So we get $D_J(z, z') = 0$ implies $z = z'$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, F, J) be a S^{JS} −metric space with two metrics F and J . Assume that for $T: X \to X$ the *following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) $F(x, y, z) = \infty$ if and only if $J(x, y, z) = \infty$ otherwise $F(x, y, z) \le J(x, y, z) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;

- (2) (*X, F*) *is T−orbitally complete;*
- (3) *T* is orbitally continuous with respect to *F*;
- (4) *T is S JS−Geraghty type contractive mapping with respect to J;*

(5) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\delta(J,T,x_0)=\sup\{d_J(T^ix_0,T^jx_0):i,j\geq 1\}<\infty$ and $D_J(T^px_0,T^qx_0)>0$ for *all* $p, q \ge 1$ $(p \ne q)$.

Then T has a fixed point z in $X.$ Moreover if $z' \in X$ is another fixed point of T such that $D_J(z,z') < \infty$ then $z=z'$.

Proof. Let us construct the Picard iterating sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any particular (i, j) ∈ \mathbb{N}^2 we have,

$$
D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j}) = D_J(Tx_{n-1+i}, Tx_{n-1+j})
$$

\n
$$
\leq \beta(D_J(Tx_{n-1+i}, Tx_{n-1+j}))D_J(Tx_{n-1+i}, Tx_{n-1+j})
$$

\n
$$
< D_J(Tx_{n-1+i}, Tx_{n-1+j}) \leq \delta(D_J, T, x_0) < \infty \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
 (3.5)

 \Box

So $\{D_J(x_{n+i},x_{n+j})\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence of reals, which is bounded below. So $\{D_J(x_{n+i},x_{n+j})\}_{n\geq 0}$ converges in $[0,\infty).$ We show that $D_J(x_{n+i},x_{n+j})\to 0$ as $n\to\infty.$ If possible let $D_J(x_{n+i},x_{n+j})\to q$ as $n\to\infty$ for some $q > 0$. Then we have

$$
1 > \beta(D_J(x_{n-1+i}, x_{n-1+j})) \ge \frac{D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j})}{D_J(x_{n-1+i}, x_{n-1+j})} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Thus $\beta(D_J(x_{n-1+i}, x_{n-1+j})) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, a contradiction. Therefore $D_J(x_{n+i}, x_{n+j}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ is arbitrary we get $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X, J) . Now from condition (1) it can be easily seen that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X, F) *.* Since (X, F) is T −orbitally complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $z \in X$ in (X, F) *.* From condition (3) we get $\{T^{n+1}x_0\} \in S(F, X, Tz)$. Hence we have $Tz = z$.

If possible let, there exists $z'\in X$ such that $Tz'=z'$ and $D_J(z,z')<\infty.$ If $D_J(z,z')>0$ then we get

$$
D_J(z, z') = D_J(Tz, Tz')
$$

\$\leq \beta(D_J(z, z'))D_J(z, z') < D_J(z, z'), \text{ a contradiction.}

So we get $D_J(z, z') = 0$ implies $z = z'$.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, F, J) be a S^{JS} −metric space with two metrics F and J . Assume that for $T: X \to X$ the *following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) $F(x, y, z) = \infty$ if and only if $J(x, y, z) = \infty$ otherwise $F(x, y, z) \le J(x, y, z) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;

(2) (*X, F*) *is T−orbitally complete;*

 (3) *T* is orbitally continuous with respect to *F*;

(4) *T is S JS−Interpolative Hardy-Rogers type contractive mapping with respect to J;*

(5) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\delta(J, T, x_0) = \sup\{d_J(T^i x_0, T^j x_0) : i, j \ge 1\} < \infty$.

Then T has a fixed point w in X.

Proof. Let us construct the Picard iterating sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_F(x_m, x_{m+1}) = 0$ then we have $Tx_m = x_m$ and *T* has a fixed point trivially. So without loss of generality we assume that $D_F(x_l,x_{l+1})>0$ for all $l\geq 1.$ Let us take $\delta(J,T^{p+1},x_0)=\sup\{d_J(T^{p+i}x_0,T^{p+j}x_0):i,j\in\mathbb{N}\}$ for any non-negative integer *p*. Clearly $\delta(J, T^{p+1}, x_0) \leq \delta(J, T, x_0) < \infty$ for any $p \geq 1$. Then for all $i, j \geq 1$

$$
D_{J}(T^{n+i}x_{0}, T^{n+j}x_{0})
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mu D_{J}(T^{n-1+i}x_{0}, T^{n-1+j}x_{0})^{\xi}D_{J}(T^{n-1+i}x_{0}, T^{n+i}x_{0})^{\eta}D_{J}(T^{n-1+j}x_{0}, T^{n+j}x_{0})^{\zeta}
$$

\n
$$
\left[\frac{1}{2}(D_{J}(T^{n-1+i}x_{0}, T^{n+j}x_{0}) + D_{J}(T^{n-1+j}x_{0}, T^{n+i}x_{0}))\right]^{1-\xi-\eta-\zeta}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mu\delta(J, T^{n}, x_{0})^{\xi}\delta(J, T^{n}, x_{0})^{\eta}\delta(J, T^{n}, x_{0})^{\zeta}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\delta(J, T^{n}, x_{0}) + \delta(J, T^{n}, x_{0}))\right]^{1-\xi-\eta-\zeta}
$$

\n
$$
= \mu\delta(J, T^{n}, x_{0}) \text{ for all } n \geq 1.
$$
\n(3.6)

Therefore $\delta(J, T^{n+1}, x_0) \leq \mu \delta(J, T^n, x_0)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus $\delta(J, T^{n+1}, x_0) \leq \mu^n \delta(J, T, x_0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From which it follows that $\delta(J, T^{n+1}, x_0) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. So

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}D_J(T^nx_0,T^{n+k}x_0)\leq \lim_{n\to\infty}\delta(J,T^n,x_0)=0$ that is $\lim_{n\to\infty}D_J(T^nx_0,T^{n+k}x_0)$ $= 0, k \ge 1$. Hence by applying condition (1) we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} D_F(T^n x_0, T^{n+k} x_0) = 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in

 \Box

(*X, F*)*.* Since (*X, F*) is *T−*orbitally complete it follows that *{xn}* is convergent in (*X, F*) that is there exists some $w \in X$ such that $\{T^n x_0\} \in S(F, X, w)$. From condition (3) we get $\{T^{n+1} x_0\} \in S(F, X, Tw)$. Thus $Tw = w$. \Box

Example 3.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$, $F(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$ and $J(x, y, z) = |x| + |y| + 2|z|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then *both F* and *J* are S^{JS} −metrics on *X*. Let $T: X \to X$ be defined as $Tx = \frac{x^2}{3(1+x)}$ $\frac{x^2}{3(1+x)}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\zeta : [0,\infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ *be defined by*

$$
\zeta(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{2} - t, & \text{if } 0 \le s < 1 \\ s - \frac{1}{3} - t, & \text{if } s \ge 1. \end{cases}
$$
 (3.7)

Then one can verify that T satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3*.*7*. Here* 0 *is the unique fixed point of T in X.*

Example 3.3. Let $X = [0,1]$ and F, J be the S^{JS} –metrics defined on X as in above example. Also let $T: X \to X$ *be defined by* $Tx = \frac{x}{c}$ $\frac{x}{e}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\beta : (0, \infty] \to [0, 1)$ be defined by $\beta(t) = e^{-t}$ for all $t \in (0, \infty]$. Then one *can easily verify that all the conditions of Theorem* 3*.*8 *are satisfied and T has a unique fixed point in X.*

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $F: X^3 \to [0, \infty]$ be defined by $F(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $J: X^3 \to [0,\infty]$ be defined by $J(x,y,z) = |x| + |y| + 2|z|$ for all $x,y,z \in X$. Then both F and J are S^{JS} –metrics on X. Let $T : X \to X$ be defined by $T(-1) = 1$ and $T(0) = 0 = T(1)$. Then $Fix^*(T) = \{0\}$. *Therefore* $X \setminus Fix^*(T) = \{-1,1\}$ *. Now if we choose* $\xi = \frac{1}{4} = \eta$, $\zeta = \frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\mu \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}]$ $\overline{z}_{\overline{2}},1)$ be any fixed element then *we see that T satisfies contractive condition* (3*.*2) *with respect to J. Also one can verify that T satisfies all the additional conditions of Theorem* 3*.*9 *and T has a fixed point in X.*

In this paper we considered two *S JS−*metrics on a nonempty set *X*. So we can take several combinations of metric type structures on a nonempty set *X*.

Remark 3.1. *We can consider the following combinations:*

- *(1) F and J both are S−metrics; (2) both F and J are Sb−metrics; (3) one is S−metric another is Sb−metric;*
	- *(4) one is either S−metric or Sb−metric and another is purely S JS−metric neither*
	- *S−metric nor Sb−metric;*
	- (5) both F and J are purely S^{JS} −metrics neither S −metric nor S_b −metric.

Acknowledgment; Kushal Roy acknowledges financial support awarded by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India, through research fellowship (Award No; 09/025(0223)/2017-EMR-I) for carrying out research work leading to the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Agarwal R.P., O'Regan D., Fixed point theory for generalized contractions on spaces with two metrics, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2000 Aug 15;248(2):402-414.
- [2] Beg I., Roy K., Saha, M., S^{JS} −metric and topological spaces, accepted.
- [3] Samet B., Fixed point results for implicit contractions on spaces with two metrics, Journal of Inequalities and Applications. 2014 Dec;2014(1):1-9.
- [4] Chanda A., Dey L.K., Radenović S., Simulation functions: a survey of recent results. Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas. 2019 Jul;113(3):2923-2957.
- [5] Geraghty M.A., On contractive mappings, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 1973;40(2):604-608.
- [6] Karapınar E., Alqahtani O., Aydi H., On interpolative Hardy-Rogers type contractions, Symmetry, 2018 Dec 22;11(1):8. doi:10.3390/sym11010008.
- [7] Khan M., Berzig M., Chandok S., Fixed point theorems in bimetric space endowed with binary relation and applications, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 2015;16(2):939-951.
- [8] Khojasteh F., Shukla S., Radenović S., A new approach to the study of fixed point theory for simulation functions, Filomat, 2015 Jan 1;29(6):1189-1194.
- [9] Kirk W., Shahzad N., Fixed point theory in distance spaces. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2014 Oct 23.
- [10] Maia M.G., Un'osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Universita di Padova, 1968;40:139-143.
- [11] Roy K., Saha M., Beg I., Fixed Point Of Contractive Mappings Of Integral Type Over An S^{JS} -Metric Space: Fixed Point Of Contractive Mappings, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 2021 Apr 29;52(2):267-280.
- [12] Rus I.A., Muresan A.S., Muresan, V., Weakly Picard operations on a set with two metrics, Fixed Point Theory, 2005; 6:323-331.
- [13] Sedghi S., Shobe N, Aliouche A, A generalization of fixed point theorems in *S*-metric spaces, Matematički Vesnik, 2012;64(249):258-266.
- [14] Soni G.K., Fixed point theorem for mappings in bimetric space, Research J. Math. Stat. Sci., 2015; 3(3): 13-14.
- [15] Souayah N., Mlaiki N., A fixed point theorem in Sb-metric spaces, J. Math. Computer Sci., 2016 Jan 1;16:131- 139.