## Blending of Milkfat with Refined Palm Oil and its Fractions: Impact on Physicochemical Properties and Fatty Acid Profile

S. Khorsandmanesh<sup>a</sup>, M. Gharachorloo<sup>b\*</sup>, M. Bahmaie<sup>c</sup>, A. Zand Moghaddam<sup>d</sup>, R. Azizinezhad<sup>e</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Ph. D Student of Food Science and Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>b</sup> Associate Professor of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>c</sup> Associate Professor of the Department of Chemistry, Tehran North Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>d</sup> Professor of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>e</sup> Assistant Professor of the Biotechnology and Plant Breeding Department, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

## Received: 4 March 2020

Accepted: 17 April 2020

ABSTRACT: Milkfat has the highest price among all the edible oils and fats and plays a significant role in the economics, nutrition and physicochemical properties of milk and milk products. It can be admixed or replaced with less expensive oils and fats of vegetable or animal origins notably palm oils. Blending of edible fat with vegetable oils is a common practice in many countries to improve the physical and nutritional quality. Milkfat blends with palm oil and its fractions by 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50% (w/w) level were prepared and subjected to various physicochemical analysis. The results showed that total saturated fatty acids were decreased, while oleic and linoleic acids as unsaturated fatty acids were increased in relation to added palm oil. The addition of palm oils caused slight increase in slip melting point, refractive index and red value indicated by Lovibond. Therefore Solid Fat Content (SFC) of oil blends with stearin fractions was higher than both palm oil and milkfat but blends with olein fraction had lower level, while the SFC of oil blends with palm oil was close to pure milkfat.

Keywords: Fatty Acid Profile, Milkfat, Palm Oil, Palm Olein, Palm Stearin, Physicochemical Properties.

## Introduction

A popular adulteration in edible oils and fats is the replacement of more expensive oils and fats with less expensive ones (Jee, 2002). Such replacement is considered quite profitable for producers (Singer *et al.*, 2008). Dairy products have a great and certain position in our diet (Lipp, 1995). Adulteration of milkfat has always been a serious problem due to economic advantages. Because of the high price of milkfat, there is a great temptation to adulterate it with oils with similar fatty acid profiles. It is the task of food monitoring laboratories to uncover such fraud (Precht, 1991). Some procedures in order to reduce production cost replace less expensive vegetable fats as substitutes for milk fat in dairy products (Sutton, 1989; Grummer, 1991; Forcato *et al.*, 2004). Today, adulteration is more sophisticated. The situation is more complex when the milkfat is adulterated by addition of plant oil like palm

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: M\_gharachorlo@srbiau.ac.ir

oil which is less expensive and readily available. To detect milkfat blending, it is possible to use both major and minor components as detection tool. The most effective ways to detect the presence of foreign fats in milk are by determining the fatty acid composition, the triacylglycerol profile and different fractions of other minor lipid constituents, mainly from the unsaponifiable fraction. Milkfat is one of the highest economic sources of dietary fat. It imparts organoleptic properties such as mouthfeel. buttery creamy aroma. palatability and desirable texture to the food. Nutritionally, milkfat has the highest cholesterol and hypercholesterolemic fatty acids percentage such as myristic and palmetic acids (Rousseau et al., 1996). Modification of physical, chemical and nutritional properties of milk fat can be achieved by numerous techniques. Blending and chemical interesterification of fats have been used to modify physiochemical and nutritional properties of natural fats (Rodrigues and Gioielli, 2003). Blending milkfat with vegetable oils can lead to spreads that harmonize nutrition and offer desirable organoleptic attributes as well as lowered overall costs of production (Rousseau et al., 1996). Warner and Knowlton (1997) reported that fatty acid profile of milk fat and natural antioxidants can be improved by blending with vegetable oils. Blending milk fat with vegetable oils affected solid fat content. Shen et al. (2001) found that the Solid Fat Contents (SFC) of blends (milk fat, hydrogenated coconut and cottonseed oils) were close to the weighted averages of the oil components at temperatures below 15°C. However, from 15 to 25°C, blends of milk fat with hydrogenated coconut oils exhibited SFC lower than those of the weighted averages of the oil components by up to 10% less solid fat. Palm oil is a natural product and has been consumed for many decades. It is now used worldwide in manufacturing of wide varieties

of food products, because of its numerous advantageous properties, such as its high thermal and oxidative stability and its plasticity at room temperature (Nor Aini et al., 2002; Mamat et al., 2005; Wan Rosnani et al., 2006). Palm oil and its products are ideally suited to be used in many food product including margarine, formulations soft cheeses, processed cheese, ice cream and milk powder. The use of palm oil can be maximized by employing modification processes such as fractionation, blending, interesterification and hydrogenation (Abdul Azis et al., 2011). In addition, palm oil contains a high proportion of palmitic acid (~44%) as well as considerable quantities of oleic (~39%) and linoleic acids (~10%) which give it a higher unsaturated fatty acid content than milkfat. This paper discusses methods for the detection of milk fat purity with an emphasis on physicochemical properties and fatty acid profile because palm oil has particular component which is absent in milkfat. Several methods have been used to check the purity of milkfat. Therefore, this paper discusses methods for the detection using statistical analysis of milkfat blending with an emphasis on chromatographic methods based on fatty acid by GC. Based on this preliminary investigation, the usefulness of this approach could be tested for other oils in the future. These data can be potentially useful in new formulation in about related industries.

This method reveals methods based on parameters like fatty acid composition and the physicochemical constants to detect the differences in pure milkfat with blended samples. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the impact of blending milk fat with refined palm oil on some physicochemical properties and fatty acid profile of blends.

## **Materials and Methods**

## - Materials

The experimental material consisted of

refined, bleached, deodorized (RBD) palm oil (PO 100%) palm olein oil (POO 100%) and palm stearin oil (PSO 100%) acquired from Behshahr Industrial Company and Milkfat (MF 100%) was obtained from Vizheh Company. All chemicals and solvents used were of analytical grade unless otherwise specified. The PO<sup>4</sup> POO and PSO were kept in darkness at 4°C and melted at 60°C prior to use. Milkfat was extracted from cream by heating in water bath at temperature of 40°C for 15 min with the aim to obtain the separation of the fat and water phase. The samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 40°C and 1400 rpm and the milkfat was collected for other analysis.

# - Preparations of milkfat samples blends with palm oils

Milkfat and palm, palm olein and palm stearin oil samples were melted at 50°C until a clear liquid phase was obtained and then were weighted to obtain mixtures at different concentrations of palm oil, palm olein and palm stearin: 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100% (w/w). The blend marked as: PO1, PO2, PO5, PO10, PO20, PO50, PO01, PO02, PO05, PO010, PO020, PO50, PS01, PS02, PS05, PS010, PS020 and PS050.

## - Methods

The physicochemical properties such as peroxide value, acidity, moisture content, refractive index, slip melting point, iodine value, solid fat content and color were carried out according to AOCS official methods; Cd 8-53, Ca 5a-40, Ca 2e-84, Cc 7-25, Cc 3-25, Cd 1c-85, Cd 16-81, Cc 13e-92 respectively (AOCS, 1997).

The fatty acid compositions of the samples were determined by gas chromatography (GC). The fatty acids were identified by the application of Agilent 6890 Series Gas chromatograph equipment Hp88 and Flame Ionisation Detector according to AOCS method.

#### - Statistical analysis

The experiments were carried out in triplicate order and experiments concerned with the determination of fatty acid by GC were carried out in duplicate and the results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The data were analyzed with statistical analysis using the Statistical Package for Social Science program (SPSS 22, USA). Data were calculated and analyzed by a paired sample t-test. Duncan's multiple range tests was used to balance the differences between sample means. Level of significance was defined at p < 0.05. Other chemical tests were calculated using the Microsoft excel software (2015).

## **Results and Discussion**

## - Physicochemical analysis

The physicochemical properties of milkfat, palm oil, palm olein, and palm stearin is presented in Table 1.

Table presents the 1 significant physicochemical values and characteristics of milkfat, palm oil and its fractions. The application of palm oil due to its properties make it an excellent choice for adulteration. Understanding the properties of different palm oils enables full exploitation of usage and application in food products. This study has indicated that palm oils except palm stearin have almost higher refractive index than milkfat sample. The slip melting in palm oil was found to be 33-35°C quite near to milkfat (32-33°C). The low melting fraction or olein fraction had melting point of 22°C whereas the higher melting fraction or stearin had melting point of 53°C. Palm oil had higher red value as measured by lovibond due to their high levels of carotenoids namely  $\beta$ carotene. The results have shown that Liquid fraction (olein) had the highest iodine value while the more solid fraction (stearin) had the lowest iodine value but quite nearer to milkfat. The differences in other physical characteristics such as peroxide value, acidity, and moisture content are detailed in

Table 1 and depend on various factors such as methods of packaging or storage of different temperature and extraction procedures. The solid fat content of oil is a measure (in percent) of the amount of solid fat present in the oil at any one temperature. It is measured by means of wide-line nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrometry after a standard tempering procedure for the sample (Shahidi, 2005).

Tables 2, 3 and 4 show the changes in the physicochemical properties of milkfat blending with 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 % (w/w)

palm oil, palm olein, and palm stearin respectively.

The results of some physicochemical properties of milkfat blends with palm are outlined in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

In terms of refractive index, there are generally no major differences between all blend samples except the by 50% blend.

The slip melting points are present at almost equal levels. The results showed remarkable changes in this property which occurred 50% blending.

Table 1. Major physicochemical	properties of milkfat,	palm oil, palm olei	n and palm stearin
--------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	--------------------

Sample/ property	Milkfat (MF)	Palm oil (po)	Palm olein (poo)	Palm stearin (pso)
Peroxide value (meq o2/kg oil)	$0\pm0.00$	$0 \pm 0.00$	$0 \pm 0.00$	$0 \pm 0.00$
Acidity (%)	$0.2 \pm 0.00$	$0.08 \pm 0.00$	$0.13 \pm 0.00$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$
Moisture Content (%)	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	$0 \pm 0.00$	$0 \pm 0.00$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$
Refractive index (at 40°C)	$1.4520 \pm 0.00$	$1.4562 \pm 0.00$	$1.4564 \pm 0.00$	$1.4494 \pm 0.00$
Slip melting point (°C)	32.5±0.5	$34 \pm 1.00$	$21.83{\pm}0.28$	$53 \pm 0.00$
Color	$R:2.9\pm0.00$	$R:4.7\pm0.00$	$R:4.16\pm 0.05$	$R:4.2\pm 0.00$
(by Lovibond)	$Y:70 \pm 0.00$	$Y:50 \pm 0.00$	$Y:50 \pm 0.00$	$Y:50 \pm 0.00$
Iodine value (g/100 g oil)	28.23±2.75	53.05±0.5	55.80±0.46	36.41±0.77
Solid fat content by NMR				
10°C	$48.92{\pm}0.16$	$48.84 \pm 0.12$	38.29± 3.47	$69.88 \pm 0.06$
20°C	$22.9 \pm 0.12$	$22.79 \pm 0.03$	$7.76 \pm 0.75$	$55.43 \pm 0.23$
30°C	$6.72 \pm 0.12$	$7.98 \pm 0.08$	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$34.65 \pm 0.06$
35℃	$1.45 \pm 0.13$	$4.99 \pm 0.14$	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$27.58 \pm 0.2$

\* Each value in the Table represents the means ± SD of eight analyses from triplicate.

\* Colorimetry by lovibond has red value showed by R and yellow value showed by Y.

\* Means within each column are significantly (p < 0.05) different.

**Table 2.** Changes in some major physicochemical properties of milkfat blends with 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50%(w/w) palm oil

Sample/ property	PO1	PO2	PO5	PO10	PO20	PO50
Refractive index (at 45°C)	$1.4521{\pm}0.00$	$1.4521{\pm}0.00$	$1.4523{\pm}0.00$	$1.4524{\pm}0.00$	$1.4529{\pm}0.00$	$1.4541{\pm}0.00$
Slip melting point (°C)	$32.16 \pm 0.11$	$32.33{\pm}0.05$	$32.33{\pm}0.05$	$32.36{\pm}0.11$	$32.5{\pm}0.00$	$33.86 \pm 0.11$
Color (by Lovibond)	$R:2.9 \pm 0.00$	$R:2.9\pm0.00$	$R:3.1 \pm 0.00$	$R:3.3 \pm 0.00$	$R:3.5\pm0.00$	$R:4.1 \pm 0.00$
Solid fat content by NMR	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$
10°C	$48.28 \pm 0.9$	$48.18 \pm 0.87$	$47.31 \pm 0.61$	$45.7 \pm 0.1$	$42.51 \pm 0.61$	$35.41 \pm 0.4$
20°C	$22.70{\pm}0.28$	$22.48{\pm}0.24$	$22.12 \pm 0.14$	$21.82 \pm 0.1$	$21.35{\pm}0.21$	$17.69 \pm 0.36$
30°C	$6.58 \pm 0.17$	$6.64 \pm 0.2$	$6.67{\pm}~0.05$	$6.71 \pm 0.14$	$6.75 \pm 0.11$	$6.76 \pm 0.14$
35°C	$1.62 \pm 0.1$	$1.63 \pm 0.13$	$1.65{\pm}~0.17$	$1.91 \pm 0.13$	$2.31 \pm 0.1$	$2.70\pm0.2$

\* Each value in the Table represents the means ± SD of four analyses from triplicate.

\* Abbreviation: means milkfat has 1,2,5,10,20 and 50 % palm oil.

\* Means within each column are significantly (p< 0.05) different.

### J. FBT, IAU, Vol. 10, No. 2, 29-38, 2020

Sample/property	POO1	POO2	P005	POO10	POO20	POO50
Refractive index (at 45°C)	$1.4521{\pm}~0.00$	$1.4521{\pm}0.00$	$1.4522{\pm}0.00$	$1.4525{\pm}0.00$	$1.4529{\pm}0.00$	$1.4542{\pm}0.00$
Slip melting point (°C)	$32 \pm 0.00$	$31.10 \pm 0.17$	$30.03{\pm}0.05$	$28.93{\pm}0.05$	$26.86{\pm}0.11$	$26.23{\pm}0.05$
Color (byLovibond)	$R:2.9\pm0.00$	$R:3\pm0.00$	$R{:}3.1{\pm}0.00$	$R:3.2\pm0.00$	$R:3.3\pm0.00$	$R:3.8\pm0.00$
Solid fat content by NMR	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm 0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$
10°C 20°C	$\begin{array}{c} 48.44 {\pm} \ 0.23 \\ 22.63 {\pm} \ 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.28 {\pm}~ 0.77 \\ 21.53 {\pm}~ 0.5 \end{array}$	$45.8 \pm 1$ 21.35 $\pm 1.64$	$45.09 \pm 2.5$ $20.23 \pm 0.63$	$40.74 \pm 0.54$ $18.52 \pm 0.38$	$29.96 \pm 0.4$ $12.8 \pm 0.24$
30°C 35°C	$6.63 \pm 0.22$ $1.43 \pm 0.16$	$6.53 \pm 0.48$ $1.2 \pm 0.16$	$6.2 \pm 0.1$ $1.17 \pm 0.43$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.61 {\pm}~ 0.16 \\ 1.01 {\pm}~ 0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.73 {\pm}~ 0.18 \\ 0.37 {\pm}~ 0.13 \end{array}$	$2.69 \pm 0.18$ $0.2 \pm 0.09$

**Table 3.** Changes in some major physicochemical properties of milkfat blends with 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 %(w/w) palm olein

\* Each value in the Table represents the means ± SD of four analyses from triplicate.

\* Abbreviation: means milkfat has 1,2,5,10,20 and 50 % palm olein.

\* Means within each column are significantly (p < 0.05) different.

**Table 4.** Changes in some major physicochemical properties of milkfat blends with 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 %(w/w) palm stearin

Sample/Property	PSO1	PSO2	PSO5	PSO10	PSO20	PSO50
Refractive index (at 45°C)	$1.4521{\pm}0.00$	$1.4521{\pm}0.00$	$1.4522{\pm}0.00$	$1.4523{\pm}0.00$	$1.4526{\pm}0.00$	$1.4535{\pm}0.00$
Slip melting point (°C)	$31.43 \pm 0.11$	$31.93 \pm 0.11$	$32.00{\pm}0.00$	$32.06{\pm}~0.11$	$34.03{\pm}0.05$	$42.10{\pm}0.17$
Color (by Lovibond)	$R:2.9\pm0.00$	$R{:}2.9{\pm}0.00$	$R{:}2.9{\pm}0.00$	$R{:}2.9{\pm}\:0.00$	$R:3.2\pm0.00$	$R:3.8\pm0.00$
Solid fat content by NMR	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$	$Y:70\pm0.00$
10°C	$48.28 \pm 0.05$	$48.24 \pm 0.01$	$47.99 \pm 0.61$	47.73±0.38	47.36± 0.33	43.95±1.13
20°C	$21.79{\pm}0.22$	$22.14{\pm}0.46$	$22.98{\pm}0.45$	$25.14{\pm}0.05$	$27.48{\pm}0.25$	$32.7 \pm 0.43$
30°C	$7.05 \pm 0.24$	$7.31 \pm 0.11$	$7.98 \pm 0.1$	$9.77{\pm}0.38$	$12.29{\pm}0.32$	$19.60 \pm 0.13$
35°C	$1.49 \pm 0.14$	$1.95{\pm}~0.18$	$2.62{\pm}~0.07$	$4.17{\pm}0.28$	$6.36{\pm}0.18$	$13.34{\pm}0.22$

\* Each value in the Table represents the means ± SD of four analyses from triplicate.

\* Abbreviation: means milkfat has 1,2,5,10,20 and 50 % palm stearin.

\* Means within each column are significantly (p < 0.05) different.

The highest change in red value of the color that was carried out by lovibond was shown by the replacement of 50% (w/w) milkfat with 50% (w/w) palm oil, olein and stearin; whereas the replacement of 1 to 10% exhibited the least changes in color.

The results show that major differences are for levels of 20% and much higher in 50% blending respectively.

The variation of solid fat content carried out by NMR between samples with palm olein revealed that as the temperature increased, from 10°C to 35°C the solid fat content decreased.

The change in solid fat content of blended samples with stearin fraction varied and was different from palm oil and olein fractions due to eutectic creation as shown in Table 2.

Besides these properties other major content such as fatty acids, sterols and tocols should be experienced.

## - Fatty acid composition

One of the first instrumental methods described was the analysis of fatty acid composition using gas chromatography. It is a relatively simple method, although it has certain limitations connected to changes in acid composition, depending on the season of the year and on animal feeding (Heussen *et al.*, 2007).

Milkfat has special fatty acid composition which vary by changes in factors such as breed of cow, diet and stage of lactation. Fatty acid composition can be used to detect vegetable oils and fats with different fatty acid composition in dairy products. However, differences in fatty acid of VOFs and milkfat should be very distinct to be applicable to use as a detection tool (Fox *et al.*, 1988; Ntakatsane *et al.*, 2013; Ulbert, 1994).

In some cases, it is difficult to use fatty acid composition to detect VOFs such as palm oil in dairy products, because there is similarity in their fatty acid composition and also variation in fatty acid composition of palm oil and milkfat can make the detection of adulteration difficult (Edem, 2002).

The fatty acid composition of milkfat, palm oil and its fractions are shown in Table 5.

The GC chromatograms indicated that the major difference between palm oil and other oils and fats is its higher proportion of palmitic acid in the constituent fatty acids. Palm olein and palm stearin share the same major fatty acids, namely palmitic acid, oleic acid and linoleic acid. However, palm olein has relatively more oleic acids and linoleic and less palmitic acids than does palm stearin.

The fatty acid composition of the oils samples indicated that these oils are highly suitable for milkfat substitution.

The results indicated that the predominant fatty acids in palm oil are palmitic, oleic, linoleic, and stearic acids in respective decreasing order.

Milkfat because of its properties (nutritional value, economics and physical and chemical properties) is one of the most common targets for adulteration.

The fatty acid composition of milkfat may be influenced by several factors, notably diet. As shown in a study carried out milkfat has very low amount of linolenic and linoleic acids. According to the regulation and standards, milkfat should contain 1.0-2.4% linoleic and 0.25-1.1% of linolenic acids. Vegetable fats

Fatty acid composition	t (%)	Milkfat	Palm oil	Palm olein	Palm stearin
	C4:0	$1.84 \pm 0.57$	ND	ND	ND
	Butyric acid				
	C6:0	$1.37{\pm}0.28$	ND	ND	ND
	Caproic acid				
	C8:0	$0.85 \pm 0.21$	ND	ND	ND
	Caprilic acid				
	C10:0	$2.14 \pm 0.19$	ND	ND	ND
Saturated fatty acids	Capric acid				
	C12:0	$2.7 \pm 0.14$	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	$0.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.12 \pm 0.03$
	Lauric acid				
	C14:0	$9.49 \pm 0.41$	$1\pm0.00$	$0.96 \pm 0.08$	$1.14 \pm 0.06$
	Myristic acid				
	C16:0	$37.86 \pm 0.1$	$41.9 \pm 0.42$	$39.57{\pm}0.38$	$57.81 \pm 0.83$
	Palmitic acid				
	C18:0	$6.99 \pm 0.15$	$4.34 \pm 0.07$	$4.4 \pm 0.42$	$5.03 \pm 0.38$
	Stearic acid				
	C14:1	$1.12 \pm 0.03$	ND	ND	$0.1 \pm 0.00$
	Tetradecanoic acid		0.40.0.00	0.4.4. 0.0.6	0.1. 0.00
	C16:1	$2.13 \pm 0.1$	$0.18 \pm 0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.06$	$0.1 \pm 0.00$
Monounsaturated fatty acids	Palmitoleic acid	2 0 5 6	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.1 0.00
	Ct18:1	$3 \pm 0.56$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.29 \pm 0.28$	$0.1 \pm 0.00$
	Trans isomers of oleic acid	01 72 . 0.07	10 (1 ) 0 10	12 ( 0 12	00 - 1 12
	C18:1	$21.73 \pm 2.07$	$40.61 \pm 0.12$	$42.6 \pm 0.42$	$28 \pm 1.13$
	Oleic acid	0.52.0.2	0.05.0.07	0.25.0.07	0.0.000
	Ct18:2	$0.53 \pm 0.2$	$0.25{\pm}0.07$	$0.35 \pm 0.07$	$0.2 \pm 0.00$
	Trans isomers of linoleic acid	2 (1 ) 0 4	0.05 0.26	10.24 . 0.09	C 19 · 0 1
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	C18:2 Linoleic acid	$3.61 \pm 0.4$	$9.95 \pm 0.36$	$10.34{\pm}0.08$	$6.48 \pm 0.4$
	C18:3	0.45 + 0.07	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	0.4 + 0.28	0.26 0.22
	Linolenic acid	$0.45 \pm 0.07$	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	$0.4 \pm 0.28$	$0.36 \pm 0.33$

Table 5. Fatty acid composition (%) of Milkfat, palm oil and its fractions using GC analysis

\* Each value in the table represents the means  $\pm$  SD from duplicate.

contain a high percentage of unsaturated fatty acids (Brat *et al.*, 2000; Rodrigues *et al.*,2007; Glew *et al.*, 1999; Ledoux *et al.*, 2005). One of the most characteristic fatty acids of milkfat is butyric acid (C4:0). The low content of linolenic acid and high proportion of palmitic acid (37.86%) in milkfat might also be taken into consideration.

Milkfat is mainly composed of saturated fatty acids, which are considered to have lower nutritional value than unsaturated fatty acids in milkfat (Sutton, 1989; Grummer, 1991).

As presented in Table 3, the ratio of saturated to unsaturated fatty acid in milkfat was is 1.94 while palm oil is 0.92 these findings are in agreement with values stated in the literature.

A part from the predominant fatty acids in both substrates milkfat showed the presence of short chain fatty acids and tertadecanoic acid, that are absent in palm oils. On the basis of mentioned properties, it is possible to detect the presence of palm origin in dairy product as an adulterant.

As shown in Table 5 milkfat is moderately rich in the monounsaturated and saturated fatty acid particularly palmitic acid (16:0). The composition of the minor fractions defines the genuineness of individual oils and fats along with their respective fatty acid compositions.

In summary changes in fatty acid composition (%) of milkfat samples blended with palm oil and its fractions are summarized in Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 respectively. In order to simulate blending, milkfat was mixed with palm oil and its fractions in ratios of 1%, 2%, 5%, 10%, 20% and 50%, and GC analysis results of the mixtures were obtained.

When the blending was palm oil, all FA contents except those of lauric acid, myristic acid, and stearic acid decreased; the palmitic

acid, oleic acid and linoleic acid contents increased proportionately to the blending level.

The short chain fatty acids (C4:0, C6:0, C8:0 and C10:0) contents were 1.87, 1.37, 0.85 and 2.14% respectively in 100% MF; however, mixtures with 1%, 2%, 5%, 10%, 20% and 50% palm oil contained lower amounts proportional to the blending levels respectively, and tertradecanoic acid content was 1.12 % in pure MF; however this fatty acid was not found in palm oil.

Blending of either palm oil or its fraction with milk fat will have a similar result with respect to the blending ratio.

It should be noted that lauric,palmitic acid in respect of palm oil and short chain fatty acid in case of milk fat can play a key role in the ratio of the blend or mixture.

Kim and co-workers also suggested that milkfat adulteration can be detected through the oleic aci and linoleic acids contents because the commercial MF was richer in short- to medium- chain-length saturated fatty acids than vegetable oils or other fats, significantly (p> 0.05). Therefore, it was proposed that myristic acid (C14:0), palmitic acid (C16:0), and stearic acid (C18:0) might be used in detecting MF adulteration (Kim *et al.*, 2015).

It should be noted that lauric,palmitic acid in respect of palm oil and short chain fatty acid in case of milk fat can play a key role in the ratio of the blend or mixture.

Kim and co-workers also suggested that milkfat adulteration can be detected through the oleic aci and linoleic acids contents because the commercial MF was richer in short- to medium- chain-length saturated fatty acids than vegetable oils or other fats, significantly (p> 0.05). Therefore, it was proposed that myristic acid (C14:0), palmitic acid (C16:0), and stearic acid (C18:0) might be used in detecting MF adulteration (Kim *et al.*, 2015).

## S. Khorsandmanesh et al.

Fatty acid composition	n (%)	PO1	PO2	PO5	PO10	PO20	PO50
	C4:0 Butyric acid	$1.54{\pm}~0.05$	$1.54{\pm}~0.02$	$1.46 \pm 0.04$	$1.44 \pm 0.15$	$1.22{\pm}0.17$	$0.77{\pm}0.03$
	C6:0 Caproic acid	$1.20\pm0.00$	$1.19{\pm}~0.01$	$1.18{\pm}~0.02$	$1.16{\pm}~0.05$	$1.01{\pm}~0.12$	$0.6 \pm 0.00$
	C8:0 Caprylic acid	$0.86{\pm}~0.02$	$0.85{\pm}~0.02$	$0.81{\pm}0.02$	$0.79{\pm}~0.00$	$0.72{\pm}~0.08$	$0.41{\pm}~0.01$
Saturated fatty acids	C10:0 Capric acid	$2.09{\pm}~0.01$	$2.01{\pm}~0.1$	$1.96{\pm}~0.15$	$1.89{\pm}~0.08$	$1.72{\pm}~0.11$	$1.02{\pm}~0.11$
Saturated fatty acids	C12:0 Lauric acid	$2.71{\pm}~0.03$	$2.59{\pm}~0.12$	$2.5{\pm}~0.14$	$2.39{\pm}~0.15$	$2.15{\pm}~0.14$	$1.35{\pm}~0.07$
	C14:0 Myristic acid	$9.49{\pm}~0.13$	$8.96{\pm}~0.2$	$8.89{\pm}~0.57$	$8.40{\pm}~0.53$	$7.53{\pm}0.38$	$4.86{\pm}~0.34$
	C16:0 Palmitic acid	$37.14{\pm}~0.00$	$37.35{\pm}~0.0$	$37.38{\pm}~0.00$	38.05±0.01	$38.2{\pm}~0.00$	$39.55{\pm}~0.07$
	C18:0 Stearic acid	$6.86{\pm}~0.00$	$6.8{\pm}~0.00$	$6.7{\pm}~0.00$	$6.55{\pm}\:0.07$	$6.24{\pm}~0.03$	$5.52 \pm 0.00$
	C14:1 Tetradecenoic acid	1.12±0.00	$1.05{\pm}~0.07$	$1.02{\pm}~0.02$	$0.98{\pm}~0.02$	$0.9{\pm}~0.00$	$0.53 \pm 0.04$
Monounsaturated fatty acids	C16:1 Palmitoleic acid	$1.97{\pm}~0.00$	$1.95{\pm}~0.01$	$1.87{\pm}~0.00$	$1.8 \pm 0.00$	$1.61{\pm}0.02$	$1.02{\pm}~0.03$
inonounsularated raity delas	Ct18:1 Trans isomers of oleic acid	$2.91{\pm}~0.12$	$2.65{\pm}~0.06$	$2.57{\pm}~0.04$	$2.4{\pm}~0.00$	$2.15{\pm}0.2$	$1.26{\pm}~0.08$
	C18:1 Oleic acid	$20.58{\pm}~1.43$	$21.75{\pm}~0.22$	$22.27{\pm}0.18$	$22.89{\pm}~0.43$	$24.65{\pm}~0.77$	$31.30{\pm}~0.00$
	Ct18:2 Trans isomers of linoleic acid	$0.5{\pm}~0.00$	$0.5{\pm}~0.00$	$0.51{\pm}0.02$	$0.51{\pm}0.15$	$0.7{\pm}~0.00$	$0.4{\pm}~0.00$
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	C18:2 Linoleic acid	$3.6{\pm}~0.28$	$3.7{\pm}~0.28$	$4.13{\pm}~0.1$	$4.19{\pm}~0.14$	$4.98{\pm}~0.17$	$6.98{\pm}~0.02$
	C18:3 Linolenic acid	$0.5 \pm 0.00$	$0.5 {\pm} 0.00$	$0.5 \pm 0.00$	$0.6 \pm 0.00$	$0.5 \pm 0.00$	$0.4{\pm}~0.00$

## Table 6. Fatty acid composition (%) of milkfat blends using GC analysis

\* Each value in the Table represents the means  $\pm$  SD from duplicate.

Table 7. Fatty aci	d composition (%)	) of milkfat blends with	palm olein using	g GC analysis
--------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------	---------------

Fatty acid composition	l(%)	PO01	POO2	PO05	POO10	POO20	POO50
	C4:0 Butyric acid	$1.63 \pm 0.07$	$1.61{\pm}0.1$	$1.54{\pm}~0.06$	$1.37{\pm}0.1$	$0.95{\pm}\ 0.21$	$0.77 \pm 0.1$
	C6:0 Caproic acid	$1.35{\pm}~0.00$	$1.31{\pm}~0.00$	$1.25{\pm}~0.00$	$1.24{\pm}~0.00$	$0.94{\pm}~0.01$	$0.63{\pm}~0.04$
	C8:0 Caprylic acid	$0.95{\pm}\ 0.00$	$0.92{\pm}~0.00$	$0.87{\pm}~0.00$	$0.85{\pm}\ 0.00$	$0.71{\pm}~0.02$	$0.44{\pm}~0.05$
Saturated fatty acids	C10:0 Capric acid	$2.19{\pm}~0.00$	$2.16{\pm}~0.00$	$2.08{\pm}~0.00$	$1.87{\pm}~0.1$	$1.63{\pm}~0.04$	$0.98{\pm}~0.11$
Saturated faity acids	C12:0 Lauric acid	$2.87{\pm}~0.00$	$2.63{\pm}~0.04$	$2.6{\pm}~0.00$	$2.38{\pm}0.11$	$2.08{\pm}~0.25$	$1.38{\pm}~0.12$
	C14:0 Myristic acid	$9.64{\scriptstyle\pm}~0.2$	$9.44{\pm}0.08$	$9.09{\pm}0.13$	$8.55{\pm}~0.22$	$7.62{\pm}~0.32$	$5{\pm}0.28$
	C16:0 Palmitic acid	$37.54{\pm}~0.21$	$37.61{\scriptstyle\pm}~0.26$	$37.73{\pm}0.1$	$38.06{\pm}~0.05$	$38.49{\pm}~0.01$	$39.01{\pm}0.41$
	C18:0 Stearic acid	$7.2 \pm 0.14$	$7.13{\pm}~0.24$	$6.98{\pm}0.16$	$6.7{\pm}~0.00$	$6.59{\pm}~0.15$	$5.59{\pm}0.14$
	C14:1 Tetradecenoic acid	$1.1{\pm}~0.00$	$1.1{\pm}~0.00$	$1.08{\pm}~0.02$	$1 \pm 0.00$	$0.89{\pm}\ 0.00$	$0.58{\pm}~0.02$
Mono unsaturated fatty acids	C16:1 Palmitoleic acid	$2.14{\pm}~0.22$	$2.1{\pm}~0.28$	$2.08{\pm}~0.3$	$2\pm 0.28$	$1.73{\pm}~0.24$	$1.12 \pm 0.11$
Mono unsaturated fatty aclus	Ct18:1 Trans isomers of oleic acid	$3.25{\pm}0.35$	$3.2{\pm}~0.28$	$2.95{\pm}~0.07$	$2.55{\pm}~0.07$	$2.37{\pm}~0.03$	$1.4 \pm 0.00$
	C18:1 Oleic acid	$21.95{\pm}~1.76$	$22.55{\pm}~1.48$	$22.8{\pm}~1.27$	$24.25{\pm}~0.35$	$26.85{\pm}1.1$	$33.3{\pm}0.7$
	Ct18:2 Trans isomers of linoleic acid	$0.6 \pm 0.14$	$0.6 \pm 0.14$	$0.6{\pm}~0.14$	$0.4{\pm}~0.00$	$0.45{\pm}~0.07$	$0.35{\pm}~0.07$
Poly unsaturated fatty acids	C18:2 Linoleic acid	$3.6 \pm 0.00$	$3.75{\pm}~0.2$	$3.88{\pm}0.16$	$4.35{\pm}~0.07$	$5.24{\pm}~0.05$	$7.2{\pm}~0.00$
	C18:3 Linolenic acid	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.39{\pm}\ 0.01$	$0.36{\pm}\ 0.05$	$0.35{\pm}~0.07$

\* Each value in the Table represents the means  $\pm$  SD from duplicate.

## J. FBT, IAU, Vol. 10, No. 2, 29-38, 2020

Fatty acid composit	ion (%)	PSO1	PSO2	PSO5	PSO10	PSO20	PSO50
	C4:0 Butyric acid	$1.83 \pm 0.00$	$1.68 \pm 0.00$	$1.54{\pm}~0.06$	$1.48 \pm 0.02$	$1 \pm 0.00$	0.4±0.00
	C6:0 Caproic acid	$1.42{\pm}~0.07$	$1.33 \pm 0.5$	$1.28{\pm}~0.02$	$1.16{\pm}~0.02$	$0.89{\pm}~0.00$	$0.41{\pm}~0.02$
	C8:0 Caprylic acid	$1\pm0.2$	$0.87{\pm}0.03$	$0.86{\pm}0.02$	$0.8 \pm 0.00$	$0.71{\pm}~0.00$	$0.34 \pm 0.00$
Saturated fatty acids	C10:0 Capric acid	$2.18{\pm}~0.06$	$2.14{\pm}~0.00$	$2.09{\pm}~0.00$	$1.85{\pm}~0.07$	$1.55{\pm}~0.07$	$0.9 \pm 0.00$
Saturated fatty acids	C12:0 Lauric acid	$2.75{\pm}~0.07$	$2.68{\pm}0.02$	$2.6{\pm}~0.00$	$2.4{\pm}~0.00$	$2.13 \pm 0.5$	$1.25{\pm}~0.07$
	C14:0 Myristic acid	$9.48{\pm}~0.01$	$9.37{\pm}0.00$	$9.33{\pm}0.02$	$8.64{\pm}~0.06$	$7.6{\pm}~0.2$	$5.1{\pm}0.14$
	C16:0 Palmitic acid	$37.81{\pm}~0.07$	$38.11{\pm}0.00$	$38.66{\pm}\ 0.01$	$39.83{\pm}0.23$	$41.44{\pm}~0.00$	$46.55{\pm}0.07$
	C18:0 Stearic acid	$7.05{\pm}~0.07$	$6.95{\pm}\:0.05$	$6.8{\pm}~0.00$	$6.64{\pm}~0.00$	$6.62{\pm}0.03$	$6.08{\pm}~0.02$
	C14:1 Tetradecenoic acid	$1.11{\pm}~0.01$	$1.11{\pm}0.01$	$1.08{\pm}~0.02$	$1.03{\pm}~0.02$	$0.93{\pm}~0.02$	$0.58{\pm}~0.00$
Monounsaturated fatty acids	C16:1 Palmitoleic acid	$1.97{\pm}~0.04$	$1.9{\pm}~0.00$	$1.86{\pm}\ 0.01$	$1.76{\pm}~0.02$	$1.6 \pm 0.00$	$1 \pm 0.00$
nionounounounou nuty uotas	Ct18:1 Trans isomers of oleic acid	$3.35{\pm}~0.35$	$3.35{\pm}0.35$	$2.9{\pm}~0.14$	$2.7{\pm}~0.14$	$2.3{\pm}~0.00$	$1.4{\pm}~0.00$
	C18:1 Oleic acid	$21.5{\pm}~0.28$	$21.55{\pm}~0.21$	$21.8{\pm}0.14$	$22.55{\pm}~0.07$	$23.7{\pm}~0.00$	$26.6{\pm}~0.00$
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	Ct18:2 Trans isomers of linoleic acid	$0.5{\pm}~0.00$	$0.6 \pm 0.00$	$0.6{\pm}~0.00$	$0.62{\pm}\:0.03$	$0.6 \pm 0.00$	$0.3 \pm 0.00$
	C18:2 Linoleic acid	$3.60 \pm 0.00$	$3.83{\pm}~0.05$	$3.98{\pm}~0.00$	$4.08{\pm}~0.02$	$4.53{\pm}~0.05$	$6.1{\pm}~0.00$
	C18:3 Linolenic acid	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.4 \pm 0.00$	$0.37{\pm}~0.03$

Table 8. Fatty acid composition (%) of milkfat blends with palm stearin using GC analysis

\* Each value in the Table represents the means  $\pm$  SD from duplicate.

#### Conclusion

In this study, development of analytical method for detecting milkfat blends with palm oil and its fractions were studied. Chromatographic and physicochemical analyses were capable of providing details of fatty acid composition and quality parameters of blended milkfat. The presence and level of blending can be determined by comparison of milkfat and mentioned oil compositions. The results showed that blending of MF with PO origin can decrease the levels of saturated fatty acids except palmitic acid and also decrease blending the levels of monounsaturated fatty acid except oleic acid, however the concentrations of linoleic acid increase in all blended samples. This work increasing indicated that by the concentrations of palm oil in blending, some properties like slip melting point, refractive index and red value in livibond are increased in all blended samples. This result is more useful for analysis of milkfat content, and it is believed that it can serve as a database for new formulation for dairy industries.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Behshahr Oil Industrial company and ToliKoolak Company for their help in conducting the experiment and assisting in sample preparation.

#### References

Abdul Aziz, M., Sabil, K. M. & Uemura, Y. (2011). Characterization of oil palm biomass as feed for torrefaction process. National Postgraduate Conference.

Brat, J. & Pokorny, J. (2000). Fatty acid composition of margarines and cooking fats available on the Czech market. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 13, 337-343.

Che Man, Y. B., Gan, H. L., NorAini, I., Nazimah, S. A. H. & Tan, C. P. (2005). Detection of lard adulteration in RBD palm olein using an electronic nose. Journal of Food Chemistry, 90, 852-835.

Edem, D. O. (2002). Palm oil: Biochemical, physiological, nutritional, hematological, and toxicological aspects: A review. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 57, 319-341.

Forcato, D. O., Carmine, M. P., Echeverria, G. E., Pecora, R. P. & Kivatinitz, S. C. (2004). Milk Fat Content Measurement by a Simple UV Specrtophotometric Method: An Alternative Screening Method. Journal of Dairy Science, 88, 478-481.

Fox, J. R., Duthie, A. H. & Wulff, S. (1988). Precision and sensitivity of a test for vegetable fat adulteration of milk fat. Journal of Dairy science, 71, 574-581.

Glew, R. H., Okolo, S. N., Chuang, L. T., Huang, Y. S. & Vanderjagt, D. J. (1999). Fatty acid composition of Fulani butter oil made from cow milk. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 12, 235-240.

Grummer, R. R. (1991). Effect of feed on the composition of milk fat. Journal of Dairy Science, 74(9), 3244-3257.

Heussen, P. C. M., Janssen, H. G., Samwel, I. B. M. & Van duynhoven, J. P. M. (2007). The use of multivariate modelling of nearinfra-red spectra to predict the butter fat content of spreads. Analytica Chimica Acta, 595, 176-181.

Jee, M. (2002). Adulteration and authentication of oils and fats: an overview. In: Jee M. (Editor). Oils and fats authentication. CRC press.

Kim, J. M., Kim, H. J. & Park, J. M. (2015). Determination of milk fat adulteration with vegetable oils and animal fats by gas chromatographic analysis. Journal of Food Science, 80 (9), 1945-1951.

Ledoux, M., Chardigny, J. M., Darbois, M., Soustre, Y. & Sebedio, J. L (2005). Fatty acid composition of French butters, with special emphasis on conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomers. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis,18, 409-425.

Lipp, M. (1995). Review of methods for the analysis of triglycerides in milk fat: application-for studies of milk quality and adulteration. Journal of Food Chemistry, 54, 213-221.

Mamat, H., Nor Aini, I., Mamot, S. & Jamaludin, R. (2005). Physicochemical characteristics of palm oil and sunflower oil blends fractionated at different temperatures. Journal of Food Chemistry, 91, 731–736.

Nor Aini, I., Razali, I., Noor Lida, H. M. D., Miskandar, M. S. & Radzuan, J. (2002). Blending of palm oil & palm products with other oils and fats for food applications. Journal of Oil Palm Bulletin, 6-15.

Ntakatsane, M. P., Liu, X. M. & Zhou, P. (2013). Short communication: Rapid Detection of milk fat adultration with vegetable oil by fluorescence spectroscopy. Journal of Dairy Science, 96, 2130-2136.

Precht, D. (1991). Detection of Adulterated Milk Fat by Fatty Acid and Triglyceride Analysis. Journal of Fat Sciences Technology, 538-544.

Rodrigues, J. N. & Gioielli, L.A. (2003). Chemical intersterification of milkfat and milkfatcorn oil blends. Journal of Food Research International, 36(2), 149-159.

Rodrigues, J. N., Torres, R. P., Mancini-Fihlo, J. & Gioielli, L. A. (2007). Physical and chemical properties of milkfat and phytosterol esters blends. Food Research International, 40, 748-755.

Rousseau, D., Forestiere, K., Hill, A. R. & Marangoni, A. G. (1996). Restructuring butterfat through blending and chemical interesterification: Melting behavior and triacylglycerol modification. Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society, 73, 963-972.

Shahidi, F. (2005). Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products, Sixth Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Shen, Z., Birkett, A., Augustin, M. A., Dungey, S. & Versteeg, C. (2001). Melting Behavior of Blends of Milk Fat with HydrogenatedCoconut and Cottonseed Oils. Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society, 78, 387-394.

Singer, A., Nogala-Kalucka, M. & Lampart-Szczapa, F. (2008). The content and antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds in cold pressed oils. Journal of Food Lipids, 15, 137—149.

Sutton, J. D. (1989). Altering milk composition by feeding. Journal of Dairy Science, 72, 2801-2814.

Ulbert, F. (1994). Detection of milk fat adulteration by linear discriminant analysis of fatty acid data. Journal of AOAC International, 77, 1326-1334.

Wan Rosnani, A. I., Nor Aini, I. & Nor Azman, I. (2006). Palm-based whipped toppings. MPOB Information Series, 324. MPOB, Bangi.

Warner, K. & Knowlton, S. (1997). Frying quality and oxidative stability of high-oleic corn oils. Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society, 74, 1317-1322.