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#### Abstract

A Class of new methods based on a septic non-polynomial spline function for the numerical solution of problems in calculus of variations is presented. The local truncation errors and the methods of order 2 th, 4 th, 6 th, 8 th, 10 th, and 12 th. are obtained. The inverse of some band matrixes are obtained which are required in proving the convergence analysis of the presented method. Convergence analysis of these methods is discussed. Numerical results are given to illustrate the efficiency of methods and compared with the methods in [23,32-34].
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## 1. Introduction

In some problems arising in analysis, mechanics, geometry, etc., it is necessary to determine the maximal and minimal of a certain functional. Such problems are called variational problems. Many authors obtained analytical and numerical methods for the solution of the calculus of variations. The direct method of Ritz methods, Walsh series method, Bernstein direct method, Haar wavelet, orthogonal

[^0]polynomials, Legendre wavelets, Adomian decomposition, and Galerkin method in solving variational problems has been of considerable concern and is well covered in many textbooks and papers see $[2,6-14,17,26]$ and references therein. The simplest form of a variational problem can be considered as:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right]=\int_{a}^{b} H\left(t, u_{1}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(n), u_{1}^{\prime}(t), \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime}(n)\right) d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with the given boundary conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}(a)=\alpha_{1}, u_{2}(a)=\alpha_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}(a)=\alpha_{n}  \tag{2}\\
u_{1}(b)=\beta_{1}, u_{2}(b)=\beta_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}(b)=\beta_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this paper we consider special form of the variational problem in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[u(t)]=\int_{a}^{b} H\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=\lambda_{1}, u(b)=\lambda_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We known that the function solution should satisfy in the following equation (EulerLagrange equation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{u}-\frac{d}{d t} H_{u^{\prime}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with same boundary conditions. Some authors obtained numerical methods for the solution of boundary value problems using finite difference, spline and nonpolynomial spline for example see $[1,3-5,15,16,18-25,27-34]$. The basic motivation of this paper is discussed convergence analysis of the non-polynomial spline for solutions of calculus of variations problems. The paper is organized in four sections. We use the consistency relation of non-polynomial septic spline for approximate the solution of (3)-(4). Section 2 is devoted to the description of the method and development of boundary conditions and also we obtain the methods of order 2 th, 4 th, 6 th, 8 th, 10 th, and 12 th. The new approach for convergence analysis is discussed in section 3. Finally, in section 4, numerical evidences are included to show the practical applicability and superiority of our method and compare with the other methods.

## 2. Description of the Method and Development of Boundary Conditions

Let us consider a mesh with nodal points $x_{i}=a+i h$ on $[a, b]$ such that:

$$
\Delta: a=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n-1}<x_{n}=b
$$

where $h=\frac{b-a}{n}, x_{i}=a+i h$, for $i=0(1) n$. For each segment $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], i=$ $0,1,2, \ldots, n-1$ the non-polynomial spline $Q_{i}(x)$ has the following form [20]:
$Q_{i}(x)=a_{i} \operatorname{cosk}\left(x-x_{i}\right)+b_{i} \operatorname{sink}\left(x-x_{i}\right)+c_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{5}+d_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{4}+e_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\kappa_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+g_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)+r_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}, e_{i}, \kappa_{i}, g_{i}$, and $r_{i}$ are constants and also $k$ is the frequency of the trigonometric part of the spline functions which can be real or pure imaginary and which will be used to raise the accuracy of the method. Let $u(x)$ be the exact solution, and let $S_{i}$ be an approximation to $u_{i}$ obtained by the segment $Q_{i}(x)$ passing through the points $\left(x_{i}, S_{i}\right)$ and $\left(x_{i+1}, S_{i+1}\right)$. The non-polynomial spline is defined by the following relations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S(x)=Q_{i}(x), \quad x \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], i=0, \ldots, n-1 \\
S(x) \in C^{\infty}[a, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

To derive the coefficients $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}, e_{i}, \kappa_{i}, g_{i}$, and $r_{i}$, we first define:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=S_{i}, & Q_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=m_{i},
\end{array} \quad Q_{i}^{(2)}\left(x_{i}\right)=M_{i}, \quad Q_{i}^{(6)}\left(x_{i}\right)=L_{i}, ~\left(Q_{i+1}, Q_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=m_{i+1}, Q_{i}^{(2)}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=M_{i+1}, Q_{i}^{(6)}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=L_{i+1} .\right.\right.
$$

By algebraic manipulation we get the following expression where $\theta=k h$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{i}=-\frac{L_{i}}{k^{6}}, b_{i}=-\frac{-\cot (\theta) L_{i}+\csc (\theta) L_{1+i}}{k^{6}}, \kappa_{i}=-\frac{L_{i}-k^{4} M_{i}}{2 k^{4}}, r_{i}=\frac{L_{i}}{k^{6}}+u_{i} \\
g_{i}=-\frac{\cot (\theta) L_{i}-\csc (\theta) L_{1+i}-k^{5} m_{i}}{k^{5}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i}= & -\frac{1}{20 h^{5} k^{6}}\left(120 L_{i}-10 h^{2} k^{2} L_{i}-60 h k \cot (\theta) L_{i}-60 h k \cos (\theta) \cot (\theta) L_{i}\right. \\
& -60 h k \sin (\theta) L_{i}-120 L_{1+i}+10 h^{2} k^{2} L_{1+i}+60 h k \cot (\theta) L_{1+i}+60 h k \csc (\theta) L_{1+i} \\
& \left.+60 h k^{6} m_{i}+60 h k^{6} m_{1+i}+10 h^{2} k^{6} M_{i}-10 h^{2} k^{6} M_{1+i}+120 k^{6} u_{i}-120 k^{6} u_{1+i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i}= & -\frac{1}{4 h^{4} k^{6}}\left(-60 L_{i}+6 h^{2} k^{2} L_{i}+32 h k \cot (\theta) L_{i}+28 h k \cos (\theta) \cot (\theta) L_{i}\right. \\
& +28 h k \sin (\theta) L_{i}+60 L_{1+i}-4 h^{2} k^{2} L_{1+i}-28 h k \cot (\theta) L_{1+i}-32 h k \csc (\theta) L_{1+i} \\
& \left.-32 h k^{6} m_{i}-28 h k^{6} m_{1+i}-6 h^{2} k^{6} M_{i}+4 h^{2} k^{6} M_{1+i}-60 k^{6} u_{i}+60 k^{6} u_{1+i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i}= & -\frac{1}{2 h^{3} k^{6}}\left(20 L_{i}-3 h^{2} k^{2} L_{i}-12 h k \cot (\theta) L_{i}-8 h k \cos (\theta) \cot (\theta) L_{i}\right. \\
& -8 h k \sin (\theta) L_{i}-20 L_{1+i}+h^{2} k^{2} L_{1+i}+8 h k \cot (\theta) L_{1+i}+12 h k \csc (\theta) L_{1+i} \\
& \left.+12 h k^{6} m_{i}+8 h k^{6} m_{1+i}+3 h^{2} k^{6} M_{i}-h^{2} k^{6} M_{1+i}+20 k^{6} u_{i}-20 k^{6} u_{1+i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the continuity of the third, fourth and fifth derivatives, that is $Q_{i-1}^{(\rho)}(x)=$ $Q_{i}^{(\rho)}(x), \rho=3,4$, and 5 , we obtain the following useful consistency relation in terms
of second derivative of spline $M_{i}$ and $u_{i}$.
$\alpha_{1}\left(M_{i-3}+M_{i+3}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(M_{i-2}+M_{i+2}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(M_{i-1}+M_{i+1}\right)+\alpha_{4} M_{i}=\left[\left(u_{i+3}+u_{i-3}\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\beta_{1}\left(u_{i+2}+u_{i-2}\right)+\beta_{2}\left(u_{i+1}+u_{i-1}\right)+\beta_{3} u_{i}\right] \frac{1}{h^{2}}, i=3, \ldots, n-3, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{\left(120 \theta-20 \theta^{3}+\theta^{5}-120 \sin (\theta)\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
$\alpha_{2}=\frac{-2\left(240 \theta+20 \theta^{3}-13 \theta^{5}+\theta\left(120-20 \theta^{2}+\theta^{4}\right) \cos (\theta)-360 \sin (\theta)\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
$\alpha_{3}=\frac{\left(840 \theta+100 \theta^{3}+67 \theta^{5}+\left(960 \theta+80 \theta^{3}-52 \theta^{5}\right) \cos (\theta) 1800 \sin (\theta)\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
$\alpha_{4}=-\frac{4\left(240 \theta+20 \theta^{3}-13 \theta^{5}+3 \theta\left(120+20 \theta^{2}+11 \theta^{4}\right) \cos [\theta]-600 \sin (\theta)\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
$\beta_{1}=-\frac{40 \theta^{2}\left(-\theta\left(12+\theta^{2}\right)+\theta\left(-6+\theta^{2}\right) \cos (\theta)+18 \sin (\theta)\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
$\beta_{2}=-\frac{20 \theta^{2}\left(42 \theta+5 \theta^{3}+4 \theta\left(12+\theta^{2}\right) \cos (\theta)-90 \sin (\theta)\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
$\beta_{3}=\frac{80 \theta^{2}\left(12 \theta+\theta^{3}+3 \theta\left(6+\theta^{2}\right) \cos (\theta)-30 \sin [\theta]\right)}{20 \theta^{2}\left(-6 \theta+\theta^{3}+6 \sin (\theta)\right)}$,
By expanding (7) in Taylor series about $x_{i}$, we obtain the following local truncation error $t_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{i}=- & \left(2+2 \beta_{1}+2 \beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\right) u_{i}+h^{2} u_{i}^{(2)}\left(-9+2 \alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}-4 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{12} h^{4} u_{i}^{(4)}\left(-81+108 \alpha_{1}+48 \alpha_{2}+12 \alpha_{3}-16 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{360} h^{6} u_{i}^{(6)}\left(-729+2430 \alpha_{1}+480 \alpha_{2}+30 \alpha_{3}-64 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{20160} h^{8} u_{i}^{(8)}\left(-6561+40824 \alpha_{1}+3584 \alpha_{2}+56 \alpha_{3}-256 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \\
+ & \frac{h^{10}}{1814400} u_{i}^{(10)}\left(-59049+590490 \alpha_{1}+23040 \alpha_{2}+90 \alpha_{3}-1024 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \\
+ & \frac{h^{12}}{239500800} u_{i}^{(12)}\left(-531441+7794468 \alpha_{1}+135168 \alpha_{2}+132 \alpha_{3}-4096 \beta_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{h^{14} u_{i}^{(14)}}{43589145600}\left(-4782969+96722262 \alpha_{1}+745472 \alpha_{2}+182 \alpha_{3}-16384 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+O\left(h^{15}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the above truncation error to eliminate the coefficients of various powers $h$ we can obtain classes of the methods. For different choices of parameters
$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$, we get the class of methods such as:
(I) Second-order method. For $\alpha_{1}=50, \alpha_{2}=10, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=0, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$ and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we have

$$
t_{i}=450 h^{4} u_{i}^{(4)}+O\left(h^{5}\right) .
$$

(II) Fourth-order method. For $\alpha_{1}=50, \alpha_{2}=10, \alpha_{3}=-450, \alpha_{4}=900, \beta_{1}=$ $24, \beta_{2}=15$, and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we have

$$
t_{i}=307 h^{6} u_{i}^{(6)}+O\left(h^{7}\right) .
$$

(III) Sixth-order method. For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{42}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{20}{7}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{397}{14}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{1208}{21}, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=$ 15 , and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we have

$$
t_{i}=\frac{h^{8} u_{i}^{(8)}}{252}+O\left(h^{9}\right) .
$$

(IV) Eighth-order method. For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{337}{4927}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{39712}{44343}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{16285}{44343}, \alpha_{4}=0, \beta_{1}=$ $\frac{-33536}{14781}, \beta_{2}=\frac{18755}{14781}$, and $\beta_{3}=0$, we have

$$
t_{i}=\frac{65629 h^{10} u_{i}^{(10)}}{27936090}+O\left(h^{11}\right) .
$$

(V) Tenth-order method. For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{2867}{62559}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{34180}{20853}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{158339}{20853}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{525032}{62559}, \beta_{1}=$ $\frac{6272}{993}, \beta_{2}=-\frac{7265}{993}$, and $\beta_{3}=0$, we have

$$
t_{i}=\frac{3319 h^{12} u_{i}^{(12)}}{35390520}+O\left(h^{13}\right) .
$$

(VI) Twelve-order method. For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1857}{49483}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{110322}{49483}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{989739}{49483}, \alpha_{4}=$ $\frac{2175924}{49483}, \beta_{1}=\frac{112266}{7069}, \beta_{2}=\frac{112995}{7069}$, and $\beta_{3}=-\frac{464660}{7069}$, we have

$$
t_{i}=\frac{114669 h^{14} u_{i}^{(14)}}{19812993200}+O\left(h^{15}\right) .
$$

we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x_{i}, u_{i}\right)=f_{i} \equiv f\left(x_{i}, u\left(x_{i}\right)\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i}$ is the approximation of the exact value $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}(x)$ is non-polynomial septic spline function [20]. By substituting (9) in the spline relation (7), we obtain the nonlinear equations in the following form.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1}\left(f_{i-3}+f_{i+3}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(f_{i-2}+f_{i+2}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(f_{i-1}+f_{i+1}\right)+\alpha_{4} f_{i} \\
-\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\left(u_{i+3}+u_{i-3}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(u_{i+2}+u_{i-2}\right)+\beta_{2}\left(u_{i+1}+u_{i-1}\right)+\beta_{3} u_{i}\right)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=3, \ldots, n-3 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain unique solution for the nonlinear system (10) we need four more equations. We define the following identities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{k=0}^{4} \gamma_{k} u_{k}+h^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \eta_{k} u_{k}^{\prime \prime}+t_{1} h^{14} u_{0}^{(14)}=0, & i=1, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{5} \mu_{k} u_{k}+h^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \sigma_{k} u_{k}^{\prime \prime}+t_{2} h^{14} u_{0}^{(14)}=0, & i=2,  \tag{11}\\
\sum_{k=0}^{5} \mu_{k} u_{n-k}+h^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \sigma_{k} u_{n-k}^{\prime \prime}+t_{n-2} h^{14} u_{0}^{(14)}=0, & i=n-2, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{4} \gamma_{k} u_{n-k}+h^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \eta_{k} u_{n-k}^{\prime \prime}+t_{n-1} h^{14} u_{0}^{(14)}=0, & i=n-1,
\end{array}
$$

In order that $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{n-2}$ and $t_{n-1}$ are $O\left(h^{14}\right)$, we find that the unknown coefficients in (11) as follows:

$$
\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right)=(65,-104,14,24,1), \quad t_{1}=t_{n-1}=\left(\frac{1210210269217}{326918592000}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \eta_{4}, \eta_{5}, \eta_{6}, \eta_{7}, \eta_{8}, \eta_{9}, \eta_{10}, \eta_{11}, \eta_{12}\right)=
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{-2248215317}{19958400}, \frac{4539179}{17600}, \frac{-6055918291}{6652800}, \frac{9918918899}{4989600}, \frac{-892246279}{285120}, \frac{18019157507}{4989600},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{-30650022317}{9979200}, \frac{2380569353}{1247400}, \frac{-16851712321}{19958400}, \frac{503717713}{1995840}, \frac{-130447723}{2851200}, \frac{18976637}{4989600}\right), \\
& \left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5},\right)=(26,14,-80,15,24,1), t_{2}=t_{n-2}=\left(\frac{521085679991}{373621248000}\right), \\
& \left(\frac{-7712745923}{159667200}, \frac{259885933}{5702400}, \frac{-19222747601}{53222400}, \frac{8351791919}{11404800}, \frac{-3712133107}{3193344}, \frac{95883047}{71280}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{-2614370381}{2280960}, \frac{28466192407}{39916800}, \frac{-7204033313}{22809600}, \frac{16761737}{177408}, \frac{-43435489}{2534400}, \frac{113795873}{79833600}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Convergence Analysis

In this section, we investigate the convergence analysis of the tenth-order method and also in the same way we can prove the convergence analysis for any of the other methods. The equations (10) along with boundary condition (11) yields nonlinear system of equations, and may be written in a matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} U^{(1)}+\lambda h^{2} B \mathbf{f}^{(1)}\left(U^{(1)}\right)=R^{(1)}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\text { where } \mathbf{f}^{(1)}\left(U^{(1)}\right)=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)^{t},\right.
$$

the matrices $A_{0}$ and $B$ are an $(n-1) \times(n-1)$-dimensional which have the following forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=-\left(P_{n-1}(1,2,1)\right)^{3}+30\left(P_{n-1}(1,2,1)\right)^{2}-120 P_{n-1}(1,2,1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $P_{n-1}(x, z, y)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{n-1}(x, z, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
z & -y & & & \\
-x & z & -y & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -x & z & -y \\
& & & & -x & z
\end{array}\right), \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-65 u_{0}, \\
-26 u_{0}, \\
-u_{0}-\lambda \alpha_{1}, \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
-u_{n}-\lambda \alpha_{1}, \\
-26 u_{u}, \\
-65 u_{0},
\end{array}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} \bar{U}^{(1)}+\lambda h^{2} B \mathbf{f}^{(1)}\left(\bar{U}^{(1)}\right)=R^{(1)}+t^{(1)}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector $\bar{U}^{(1)}=u\left(x_{i}\right),(i=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$, is the exact solution and $t^{(1)}=$ $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]^{T}$, is the vector of local truncation error.
By using (12) and (17) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A E^{(1)}=\left[A_{0}+\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right] E^{(1)}=t^{(1)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
E^{(1)}=\bar{U}^{(1)}-U^{(1)} \\
\mathbf{f}^{(1)}\left(\bar{U}^{(1)}\right)-\mathbf{f}^{(1)}\left(U^{(1)}\right)=F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) E^{(1)}, \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{i}}\right\},(i=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$, is a diagonal matrix of order $n-1$. To prove the existence of $A^{-1}$, since $A=A_{0}+h^{4} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)$, we have to show $A_{0}=-P_{n-1}^{3}(1,2,1)+30 P_{n-1}^{2}(1,2,1)-120 P_{n-1}(1,2,1)$, is nonsingular.

By using Henrici [15] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P_{n-1}(1,2,1)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8 h^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the matrix $A_{0}$ is nonsingular and also $\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|<\omega$ where $\omega$ is a positive number $\left(\|\right.$.$\| is the L_{\infty}$ norm).

THEOREM 3.1 If $Y<\frac{1}{\lambda h^{2}\|B\|\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|}$, then the matrix $A$ given by (18) is monotone $\left(Y=\max \left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{i}}\right|, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1\right)$.
Proof From (18) we have

$$
A=A_{0}+\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)
$$

hence $A A_{0}^{-1}=I+\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}$, so that

$$
A_{0} A^{-1}=\left(I+\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1}=
$$

$$
=I-\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)+\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{2}-\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{3}+\ldots
$$

$$
=\left[I-\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)\right]\left[I+\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{4}+\ldots .\right]
$$

Also if $\rho\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)<1$ then, the two infinite series convergence.
Let $\left\|F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right\| \leqslant Y=\max \left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{i}}\right|, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, then

$$
A^{-1}=
$$

$\left[A_{0}^{-1}-A_{0}^{-1} \lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right]\left[I+\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right)^{4}+\ldots\right]$,
where the infinite series is nonnegative. Hence to show that $A$ is monotone, it sufficient to show that $\left[A_{0}^{-1}-A_{0}^{-1} \lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) A_{0}^{-1}\right]>0$. Here we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I>A_{0}^{-1} \lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right), \\
\left\|\lambda h^{2} A_{0}^{-1} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right\| \leqslant \lambda h^{2}\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|\|B\|\left\|F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right\|<1 . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then

$$
Y<\frac{1}{\lambda h^{2}\|B\|\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|}
$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $u(x)$ be the exact solution of the boundary value problem (4)-(5) and assume $u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, be the numerical solution obtained by solving the system (12). Then we have

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{10}\right)
$$

provided $Y<\frac{17325}{279548636 \lambda h^{2} \omega}$, where
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{2867}{62559}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{34180}{20853}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{158339}{20853}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{525032}{62559}, \beta_{1}=\frac{6272}{993}, \beta_{2}=-\frac{7265}{993}, \beta_{3}=0$,
Proof We can write the error equation (18) in the following form

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{(1)}=\left(A_{0}+\lambda h^{2} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right)^{-1} t^{(1)}=\left(I+\lambda h^{2} A_{0}^{-1} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right)^{-1} A_{0}^{-1} t^{(1)}, \\
\left\|E^{(1)}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\left(I+\lambda h^{2} A_{0}^{-1} B F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|\left\|t^{(1)}\right\| \|,
\end{gathered}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E^{(1)}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|\left\|t^{(1)}\right\|}{1-\lambda h^{2}\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|\|B\|\left\|F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right\|}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\lambda h^{2}\left\|A_{0}^{-1}\right\|\|B\|\left\|F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right)\right\|<1$. Following [22] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t^{(1)}\right\| \leqslant \frac{3319 h^{12} M_{12}}{35390520} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{12}=\max \left|u^{(12)}(\xi)\right|, a \leqslant \xi \leqslant b$.
From inequalities (22), (23), \| $A_{0}^{-1}\|<\omega,\| F_{k}\left(U^{(1)}\right) \| \leqslant Y\left(Y=\max \left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{i}}\right|, i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$, ) and $\|B\| \leqslant \frac{279548636}{17325}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E\| \leqslant \frac{17325 \omega h^{12} M_{12}}{252\left(1-279548636 \lambda h^{2} \omega Y\right)} \equiv O\left(h^{10}\right), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y<\frac{17325}{279548636 \lambda h^{2} \omega} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Corollary

In the same manner we can prove the convergence analysis of the other methods and we get:
(i) For $\alpha_{1}=50, \alpha_{2}=10, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=0, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$ and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we have

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{2}\right) .
$$

(ii) For $\alpha_{1}=50, \alpha_{2}=10, \alpha_{3}=-450, \alpha_{4}=900, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$, and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we get

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{4}\right) .
$$

(iii) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{42}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{20}{7}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{397}{14}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{1208}{21}, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$, and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we obtain

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{6}\right) .
$$

(iv) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{337}{4927}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{39712}{44343}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{16285}{44343}, \alpha_{4}=0, \beta_{1}=\frac{-33536}{14781}, \beta_{2}=\frac{18755}{14781}$, and $\beta_{3}=0$, we have

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{8}\right) .
$$

(v) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{2867}{62559}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{34180}{20853}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{158339}{20853}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{525032}{62559}, \beta_{1}=\frac{6272}{993}, \beta_{2}=-\frac{7265}{993}$, and $\beta_{3}=0$, we get

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{10}\right) .
$$

(vi) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1857}{49483}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{110322}{49483}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{989739}{49483}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{2175924}{49483}, \beta_{1}=\frac{112266}{7069}, \beta_{2}=\frac{112995}{7069}$, and $\beta_{3}=-\frac{464660}{7069}$, we have

$$
\|E\| \equiv O\left(h^{12}\right) .
$$

It follows $\|E\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $h \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore the convergence of the methods have been established.

## 4. Numerical Illustrations

In order to test the viability of the proposed methods based on non-polynomial spline and to demonstrate its convergence computationally, we consider three examples. Example 1 and 2 has been solved using our methods with different values of $n, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ in (8),

Table 1. Observed maximum absolute errors for Example 1.

| $n$ | Sixth-Order | Eight-Order | Tenth-Order | Twelve-Order |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $7.03 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.98 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.19 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.31 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 32 | $1.25 \times 10^{-10}$ | $7.13 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.30 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.50 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| 64 | $2.03 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.72 \times 10^{-13}$ | $9.67 \times 10^{-19}$ | $2.05 \times 10^{-19}$ |
| 128 | $3.19 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.05 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.16 \times 10^{-21}$ | $1.45 \times 10^{-23}$ |
| 256 | $5.01 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.09 \times 10^{-18}$ | $9.93 \times 10^{-25}$ | $9.56 \times 10^{-28}$ |
| 512 | $7.82 \times 10^{-18}$ | $1.60 \times 10^{-20}$ | $9.70 \times 10^{-28}$ | $6.06 \times 10^{-32}$ |
| 1024 | $1.22 \times 10^{-19}$ | $6.25 \times 10^{-23}$ | $9.48 \times 10^{-31}$ | $3.75 \times 10^{-36}$ |

(i) For $\alpha_{1}=50, \alpha_{2}=10, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=0, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$ and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we have second-order method.
(ii) For $\alpha_{1}=50, \alpha_{2}=10, \alpha_{3}=-450, \alpha_{4}=900, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$, and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we get fourth-order method.
(iii) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{42}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{20}{7}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{397}{14}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{1208}{21}, \beta_{1}=24, \beta_{2}=15$, and $\beta_{3}=-80$, we obtain sixth-order method.
(iv) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{337}{4927}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{39712}{44343}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{16285}{44343}, \alpha_{4}=0, \beta_{1}=\frac{-33536}{14781}, \beta_{2}=\frac{18755}{14781}$, and $\beta_{3}=0$, we have eighth-order method.
(v) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{2867}{62559}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{34180}{20853}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{158339}{20853}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{525032}{62559}, \beta_{1}=\frac{6272}{993}, \beta_{2}=-\frac{7265}{993}$, and $\beta_{3}=0$, we get tenth-order method.
(vi) For $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1857}{49433}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{110322}{49483}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{989739}{49483}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{2175924}{49483}, \beta_{1}=\frac{112266}{7069}, \beta_{2}=\frac{112995}{7069}$, and $\beta_{3}=-\frac{464660}{7069}$, we have twelve-order method and also compared the obtained solution with the exact solution. The maximum absolute errors in solutions are tabulated in Tables 1 and 2 and the maximum absolute errors in solutions of example 1 are compared with methods in [23,32-34]. In example 3 which has no exact solution, the maximum absolute errors in solutions of example 3 are obtained with compared solutions in $n=16$ and $n=32$. The tables show that our results are more accurate. All calculations were implemented using Mathematica6.0 with Working Precision 50.
Example 1. We consider the following variational problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min J[u(x)]=\int_{0}^{1}\left(u(x)+u^{\prime}(x)-4 e^{3 x}\right)^{2} d x \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with given boundary conditions

$$
u(0)=0, u(1)=e^{3}
$$

By using Euler-Lagrange (5) equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)-u(x)-8 e^{3 x}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same boundary conditions. The exact solution of this problem is $u(x)=$ $e^{(3 x)}$.

Example 2. Consider the following variational problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min J[u(x)]=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}}\left((u(x))^{2}-\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right) d x \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$
u(0)=0, u\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=\sqrt{2}
$$

Table 2. The maximum absolute errors for Example 1 in [32] and [34].

| $n$ | $h$ | $\left\\|E_{u}(h)\right\\|_{\infty}$ in $[32]$ | $\\|E\\|$ in $[34]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.2500000 | $3.52887 \times 10^{-3}$ | - |
| 8 | 0.1250000 | $3.96710 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.9109 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 16 | 0.0625000 | $2.85156 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.7165 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 32 | 0.0312500 | $1.85427 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.2845 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 64 | 0.0156250 | $1.17167 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.0707 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 128 | 0.0078125 | $7.34391 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.6764 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 256 | 0.00390625 | - | $6.6906 \times 10^{-5}$ |

Table 3. The maximum absolute errors for Example 1 in [33].

| $n$ | $h$ | $\left\\|E_{u}(h)\right\\|_{\infty}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.200 | $3.10326 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 10 | 0.100 | $1.96017 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 20 | 0.050 | $1.22896 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 30 | 0.033 | $2.43458 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 40 | 0.025 | $7.70612 \times 10^{-7}$ |

Table 4. The maximum absolute errors for Example 1 in [23].

| $n$ | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{n}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-8}$ | $8.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-13}$ |

Table 5. Observed maximum absolute errors for Example 2.

| $n$ | Second-Order | Fourth-Order | Sixth-Order |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $8.71 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.44 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.51 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 32 | $2.34 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.66 \times 10^{-8}$ | $7.52 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| 64 | $5.97 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.14 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.20 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| 128 | $1.50 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.85 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.87 \times 10^{-19}$ |
| 256 | $3.75 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.41 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.93 \times 10^{-21}$ |
| 512 | $9.38 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.51 \times 10^{-12}$ | $4.58 \times 10^{-23}$ |
| 1024 | $2.34 \times 10^{-7}$ | $9.41 \times 10^{-14}$ | $7.16 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| $n$ | Eight-Order | Tenth-Order | Twelve-Order |
| 16 | $7.72 \times 10^{-15}$ | $6.15 \times 10^{-20}$ | $3.60 \times 10^{-20}$ |
| 32 | $2.96 \times 10^{-17}$ | $3.09 \times 10^{-23}$ | $2.24 \times 10^{-24}$ |
| 64 | $1.08 \times 10^{-19}$ | $2.87 \times 10^{-26}$ | $1.38 \times 10^{-28}$ |
| 128 | $4.41 \times 10^{-22}$ | $2.91 \times 10^{-29}$ | $8.44 \times 10^{-33}$ |
| 256 | $1.64 \times 10^{-24}$ | $2.73 \times 10^{-32}$ | $5.15 \times 10^{-37}$ |
| 512 | $6.42 \times 10^{-27}$ | $2.67 \times 10^{-35}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{-41}$ |
| 1024 | $2.51 \times 10^{-29}$ | $2.61 \times 10^{-38}$ | $1.92 \times 10^{-45}$ |

By using Euler-Lagrange equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)=0, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same boundary conditions. The exact solution of this problem is $u(x)=$ $\sin (x)+\cos (x)$.
Example 3. Consider the following variational problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min J[u(x)]=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime}(x)+e^{u(x)}\right) d x, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$
u(0)=0, u(1)=1 .
$$

Table 6. Observed maximum absolute errors for Example 3.

| $x$ | Second-Order | Fourth-Order | Eight-Order | Twelve-Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{16}$ | $2.52 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.13 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.45 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.04 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $\frac{4}{16}$ | $7.80 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.27 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.07 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3.50 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $\frac{8}{16}$ | $1.22 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.85 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.50 \times 10^{-10}$ | $5.65 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $\frac{12}{16}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.57 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.71 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.09 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $\frac{15}{16}$ | $3.37 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.62 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.22 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.06 \times 10^{-12}$ |

By using Euler-Lagrange (5) equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2} e^{u(x)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same boundary conditions. This example has no exact solution.

## 5. Conclusion

The approximate solutions of the extremum of a functional over the specified domain by using non-polynomial spline, shows that our methods are better in the sense of accuracy and applicability. These have been verified by the maximum absolute errors max $\left|e_{i}\right|$ given in tables. Some properties of band matrices are obtained, which are required in proving the convergence analysis of the finite difference and spline methods.

## References

[1] G. Akram and S. S. Siddiqi, End conditions for interpolatory septic splines, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 82 (12) (2005 ) 1525-1540.
[2] M. Arsalani and M. A. Vali, Numerical solution of nonlinear variational problems with moving boundary conditions by using Chebyshev wavelets, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 5 (20) (2011) 947-964.
[3] G. Akram and S. Siddiqi, Solution of sixth order boundary value problems using non-polynomial spline technique, Applied Mathematical Comput, 181 (2006) 708-720.
[4] A. Boutayeb and E. H. Twizell, Numerical methods for the solution of special sixth-order boundaryvalue problems. International Journal Of Computer Mathematical, 45 (1992) 207-223.
[5] A. Boutayeb and E. H. Twizell, Finite-difference methods for the solution of special eighth-order boundary-value problems, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 48 (1993 ) 63-75.
[6] R. Y. Chang and M. L. Wang, Shifted Legendre direct method for variational problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 39 (1983) 299-307.
[7] C. F. Chen and C. H. Hsiao, A Walsh series direct method for solving variational problems, Journal of the Franklin Institute, 300 (1975) 265-280.
[8] S. Dixit, V. K. Singh, A. K. Singh and O. P. Singh, Bernstein direct method for solving variational problems, International Mathematical Forum, 48 (2010) 2351-2370.
[9] L. E. Elgolic, Calculus of variations, Pergamon press, Oxford, 1962.
[10] L. Elsgolts, Differential equations and the calculus of variations, translated from the Russian by G. Yankovsky, Mir Publisher, Moscow, (1977).
[11] I. M. Gelfand and S. V. Fomin, Calculus of variations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, (1963).
[12] I. R. Horng and J. H. Chou, Shifted Chebyshev direct method for solving variational problems, International Journal of Systems Science, 16 (1985) 855-861.
[13] C. H. Hsiao, Haar wavelet direct method for solving variational problems, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 64 (2004) 569-585.
[14] C. Hwang and Y. P. Shih, Laguerre series direct method for variational problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 39 (1983) 143-149.
[15] P. Henrici, Discrete Variable Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley, New York, 1961.
[16] R. Jalilian, Non-Polynomial Spline Solutions for Special Nonlinear Fourth-Order Boundary Value Problems, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling \& Computations, 1 (2) (2011) 135-147.
[17] O. El Khayyari, Solving Linear Sixth-Order Boundary Value Problems by Using Hyperbolic Uniform Spline Method, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling \& Computations, 3 (3) (2013) 169180.
[18] J. Rashidinia and R. Jalilian, Non-polynomial spline for solution of boundary-value problems in plate deflection theory, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 84 (2007) 1483-1494.
[19] J. Rashidinia, R. Jalilian and R. Mohammadi, Non-polynomial spline methods for the solution of a system of obstacle problems, Applied Mathematical Computations, 188 (2007) 1984-1990.
[20] M. A. Ramadan, I. F. Lashien and W. K. Zahra, A class of methods based on a septic non-polynomial spline function for the solution of sixth-order two-point boundary value problems, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 85 (5) (2008) 759-770.
[21] J. Rashidinia and F. Barati, Non-polynomial quartic spline solution of boundary - value problem, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling \& Computations, 1 (2011) 35-44.
[22] M. Ramadan, I. Lashien and W. Zahra, Quintic non-polynomial spline solutions for fourth order boundary value problem, Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat, 14 (2009) 1105-1114.
[23] A. Saadatmandi and M. Dehghan, The numerical solution of problems in calculus of variation using Chebyshev finite difference method, Physics Letters A, 372 (2008) 4037-4040.
[24] S. S. Siddiqi and G. Akram, Septic spline solutions of sixth-order boundary value problems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 215 (2008) 288-301.
[26] R.S. Schechter, The Variation Method in Engineering, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1967).
[27] I. A. Tirmizi and E. H. Twizell, Higher-Order Finite-Difference Methods for Nonlinear Second-Order Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems, Applied Mathematics Letters, 15 (2002) 897-902.
[28] E. H. Twizell and A. Boutayeb, Numerical methods for the solution of special and general sixth-order boundary-value problems with applications to Bnard layer eigenvalue problems, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 431 (1990) 433-450.
[29] R. A. Usmani and S. A. Wasrt, Quintic spline solutions of boundary value problems, Comput. Math. with Appl, 6 (1980) 197-203.
[30] R. A. Usmani and M. Sakai, A connection between quartic spline and Numerov solution of a boundary value problem, Int. J. Comput. Math, 26 (1989) 263-273.
[31] M. Van Daele, G. Vanden berghe and H. A. De Meyer, Smooth approximation for the solution of a fourth-order boundary value problem based on non-polynomial splines, J. Comput. Appl. Math, 51 (1994) 383-394.
[32] M. Zarebnia, M. Hoshyar and M. Sedaghati, A Non-Polynomial Spline Method for the Solution of Problems in Calculus of Variations, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 51 (2011) 986-991.
[33] M. Zarebnia and Z. Sarvari, Numerical solution of the boundary value problems in calculus of variations using parametric cubic spline method, Journal of Information and Computing Science, 8 (4) (2013) 275-282.
[34] M. Zarebnia and M. Birjandi, The Numerical Solution of Problems in Calculus of Variation Using B-Spline Collocation Method, Journal of Applied Mathematics, doi:10.1155/2012/605741, (2012).


[^0]:    *Corresponding author. Email: rezajalilian@iust.ac.ir,rezajalilian72@gmail.com

