



## Some results on graded $S$ -strongly prime submodules

F. Farzalipour<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran.

Received 6 August 2023; Revised 6 January 2024; Accepted 31 January 2024.

Communicated by Shervin Sahebi

---

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a group with identity  $e$  and  $R$  be a commutative  $G$ -graded ring with nonzero identity,  $S \subseteq h(R)$  a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  and  $M$  be a graded  $R$ -module. A graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$  with  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$  is said to be graded  $S$ -strongly prime if there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$ , then  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  for all  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . The aim of this paper is to introduce and investigate some basic properties of the notion of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules, especially in graded multiplication modules. Moreover, we investigate the behaviour of this structure under graded module homomorphisms, localizations of graded modules, quotient graded modules, Cartesian product.

---

**Keywords:** Graded  $S$ -prime submodule, graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule, graded multiplication module.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 16W50, 13C05, 16D80.

### 1. Introduction

In recent years, rings with a group-graded structure have become increasingly important and consequently, the graded analogues of different concepts are widely studied in [1, 2, 4–8, 10, 12–15]. In this paper, first, we introduce and study the notions of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules and graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodules of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  as a generalization of graded prime submodules and we investigate some properties of such graded submodules. For example, we show that if  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , then  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule and  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ . Also, we give some characterizations of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules in graded multiplication modules. Second, we

---

E-mail address: f.farzalipour@pnu.ac.ir (F. Farzalipour).

investigate the behaviour of this structure under graded module homomorphisms, localizations, quotient graded modules, Cartesian product.

Let  $G$  be a group with identity  $e$  and  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is said to be a  $G$ -graded if  $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$  such that  $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$  for all  $g, h \in G$ , where  $R_g$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$  for all  $g \in G$  [13]. The elements of  $R_g$  are homogeneous of degree  $g$ . An element  $r$  of  $R$  has a unique decomposition as  $r = \sum_{g \in G} r_g$  with  $r_g \in R_g$  for all  $g \in G$ , but the sum being a finite sum, i.e. almost all  $r_g$  zero. Let  $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$  be a graded ring and  $I$  be an ideal of a graded ring  $R$ . Then  $I$  is said to be a graded ideal of  $R$ , if  $I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (I \cap R_g)$ , i.e., for  $x \in I$ ,  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$ , where  $x_g \in I$  for all  $g \in G$ . Moreover,  $R/I$  becomes a  $G$ -graded ring with  $g$ -component  $(R/I)_g = (R_g + I)/I$  for  $g \in G$  [13]. A graded ring  $R$  is called graded quasilocal ring if it has a unique graded maximal ideal [12]. We call  $S \subseteq h(R)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  if  $0 \notin S$ ,  $1 \in S$  and  $s_g s'_g \in S$  for all  $s_g, s'_g \in S$  [12]. Let  $R$  be a graded ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. We say that  $M$  is a graded  $R$ -module if there exists a family of subgroups  $\{M_g\}_{g \in G}$  of  $M$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$  and  $R_g M_h \subseteq M_{gh}$  for all  $g, h \in G$ . The elements of  $M_g$  are called homogeneous of degree  $g$ . It is clear that  $M_g$  is an  $R_e$ -submodule of  $M$  for all  $g \in G$ . Moreover,  $h(M) = \bigcup_{g \in G} M_g$  [13]. Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -submodule of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $N$  is said to be a graded  $R$ -submodule if  $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (N \cap M_g)$ , i.e. for  $m \in N$ ,  $m = \sum_{g \in G} m_g$ , where  $m_g \in N$  for all  $g \in G$ . Moreover,  $M/N$  becomes a  $G$ -graded module with  $g$ -component  $(M/N)_g = (M_g + N)/N$  for  $g \in G$  [13]. A proper graded submodule  $N$  of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be graded prime if  $r_g m_h \in N$  where  $r_g \in h(R)$  and  $m_h \in h(M)$ , then  $m_h \in N$  or  $r_g \in (N : M)$ . A graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is called graded prime if the zero graded submodule is graded prime in  $M$  [2]. A proper graded submodules  $N$  of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is call graded semiprime if  $r_g^k m_h \in N$  for some  $r_g \in h(R)$ ,  $m_h \in h(M)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $r_g m_h \in N$  [9]. Let  $S \subseteq h(R)$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  and  $N$  be a graded submodule of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $N$  is said to be a graded  $S$ -prime submodule if there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $r_g m_h \in N$ , then  $sm_h \in N$  or  $sr_g \in (N : M)$  for each  $r_g \in h(R)$  and  $m_h \in h(M)$  [15]. A graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is called graded finitely generated if  $M = Rm_{g_1} + Rm_{g_2} + \dots + Rm_{g_n}$  for some  $m_{g_1}, \dots, m_{g_n} \in h(M)$  [2]. Let  $S \subseteq h(R)$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  and  $M$  be a graded  $R$ -module. Then  $S^{-1}M$  is a graded  $S^{-1}R$ -module with

$$(S^{-1}M)_g = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} : (\deg m)(\deg s)^{-1} = g \right\}$$

and  $(S^{-1}R)_g = \left\{ \frac{r}{s} : (\deg r)(\deg s)^{-1} = g \right\}$  [13] Let  $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$  and  $M' = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M'_g$  be two graded  $R$ -modules. A mapping  $f$  from  $M$  into  $M'$  is said to be a graded homomorphism, if for all  $m, n \in M$ ;

- (1)  $f(m + n) = f(m) + f(n)$ ,
- (2)  $f(rm) = rf(m)$ , for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ ,
- (3) For any  $g \in G$ ;  $f(M_g) \subseteq M'_g$  [12].

Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be  $G$ -graded rings. Then  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  is a  $G$ -graded ring with  $R_g = (R_1)_g \times (R_2)_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $M_1$  be a  $G$ -graded  $R_1$ -module,  $M_2$  be a  $G$ -graded  $R_2$ -module and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . Then  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  is a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module with  $M_g = (M_1)_g \times (M_2)_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . Also, if  $S_1 \subseteq h(R_1)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R_1$  and  $S_2 \subseteq h(R_2)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R_2$ , then  $S = S_1 \times S_2$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . Furthermore, each graded submodule of  $M$  is of the form  $N = N_1 \times N_2$  where  $N_i$  is a graded submodule of  $M_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  [12]. A graded

$R$ -module  $M$  is called graded multiplication module, if every graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $N = IM$  for some graded ideal  $I$  of  $R$  [3].

Throughout this work,  $R$  is a commutative graded rings with identity and  $M$  is a graded  $R$ -module. Also,  $S \subseteq h(R)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ .

## 2. Characterizations of graded $S$ -strongly prime submodules

**Definition 2.1** (a) A proper graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a graded strongly prime submodule if  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$ , then  $x_g \in N$  or  $y_h \in N$  for each  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ .

(b) A graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$  with  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$  is said to be graded  $S$ -strongly prime if there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$ , then  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  for each  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ .

Note that if we consider  $R$  as a graded  $R$ -module, then graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules are exactly graded  $S$ -prime ideals of  $R$ .

The following Lemma is known, but we write it here for the sake of references.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $M$  be a graded module over a graded ring  $R$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) If  $I$  and  $J$  are graded ideals of  $R$ , then  $I + J$  and  $I \cap J$  are graded ideals of  $R$ .
- (ii) If  $I$  is a graded ideal of  $R$ ,  $N$  is a graded submodule of  $M$ ,  $r_g \in h(R)$  and  $x_h \in h(M)$ , then  $Rx_h, IN, r_gN$  and  $(0 :_M I)$  are graded submodules of  $M$ .
- (iii) If  $N$  and  $K$  are graded submodules of  $M$ , then  $N + K$  and  $N \cap K$  are also graded submodules of  $M$  and  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded ideal of  $R$ . Also,  $Ann_R(M) = (0 :_R M)$  is a graded ideal of  $R$ .
- (iv) Let  $\{N_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a collection of graded submodules of  $M$ . Then  $\sum_\lambda N_\lambda$  and  $\bigcap_\lambda N_\lambda$  are graded submodules of  $M$ .

### Proposition 2.3

- (i) Every graded strongly prime submodule  $N$  of  $M$  with  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$  is also a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii) Let  $S \subseteq h(R)$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of units in  $R$ . Then a graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is graded strongly prime if and only if  $N$  is graded  $S$ -strongly prime.

**Proof.** The proof is completely straightforward. ■

By setting  $S = \{1\}$ , we conclude that every graded strongly prime submodule is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule by Proposition 2.3. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

### Example 2.4

- (i) Let us observe  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  as a trivially  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded ring and  $M = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $R$ -module with  $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  and  $M_1 = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  where  $n$  is a positive integer with  $M_0$ . Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $n$  and  $S = \mathbb{Z} - p\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the submodule  $p\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$  be  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $R$ -module with  $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $R_1 = i\mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ . Consider the graded submodule  $N = \langle 4i \rangle$  of graded  $R$ -module  $R$ . Put  $s = 4$ . It is easy to see that  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule. But  $N$  is not a graded strongly prime submodule.

**Definition 2.5** (a) Let  $N$  be a graded submodule of  $M$  such that  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $N$  is said to be a graded  $S$ -semiprime submodule if there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $r_g^2 m_h \in N$ , then  $sr_g m_h \in N$  for all  $r_g \in h(R)$  and  $m_h \in h(M)$ .

(b) Let  $N$  be a graded submodule of  $M$  such that  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $N$  is said to be a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule if there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)x_g \subseteq N$ , then  $sx_g \in N$  for all  $x_g \in h(M)$ .

(c) A graded ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called graded  $S$ -semiprime if  $I \cap S = \emptyset$  and there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $a_g^2 \in I$ , then  $sa_g \in I$  for all  $a_g \in h(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.6** Every graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule is a graded  $S$ -semiprime submodule.

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$  and suppose  $r_g^2 m_h \in N$  where  $r_g \in h(R)$  and  $m_h \in h(M)$ . Thus,  $((N + R(r_g m_h)) :_R M)(r_g m_h) = r_g((N + R(r_g m_h)) :_R M)m_h \subseteq r_g(N + R(r_g m_h)) \subseteq N$ . Since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sr_g m_h \in N$ . Therefore,  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -semiprime submodule. ■

**Proposition 2.7** If  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$ , then  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -semiprime ideal of  $R$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a_g^2 \in (N :_R M)$  where  $a_g \in h(R)$ . Let  $m \in M$ . Hence  $m = \sum_{h \in G} m_h$  where  $m_h \in M_h$  for all  $h \in G$ . Suppose  $m_h \in M_h$ . Thus  $((N + R(a_g m_h)) :_R M)(a_g m_h) = a_g((N + R(a_g m_h)) :_R M)m_h \subseteq a_g(N + R(a_g m_h)) \subseteq N$ . Since  $N$  is graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sa_g m_h \in N$ , so  $sa_g m \in N$  and  $sa_g \in (N :_R M)$ . Therefore,  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -semiprime ideal of  $R$ . ■

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.7 is not hold.

**Example 2.8** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  be a trivially  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded ring and  $M = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the field of rational numbers be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded module with  $M_0 = \mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}$  and  $M_1 = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Q}$ . Take the graded submodule  $N = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  and the multiplicatively closed subset  $S = \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the graded ideal  $(N :_{\mathbb{Z}} M) = 0$  is a graded  $S$ -semiprime, but  $N$  is not a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$ . Let  $s$  be an arbitrary element of  $S$ . Choose a prime number  $p$  with  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . Note that  $((N + R(\frac{1}{p}, 0)) :_R M)(\frac{1}{p}, 0) \subseteq N$ , but  $(\frac{s}{p}, 0) \notin N$ .

**Proposition 2.9**

- (i) Every graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule.
- (ii) Every graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule.
- (iii) Every graded maximal submodule  $N$  of  $M$  with  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Thus there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  for all  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ , implies that  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$ . Let  $r_g m_h \in N$  and  $sm_h \notin N$  for some  $r_g \in h(R)$  and  $m_h \in h(M)$ . We show that  $sr_g \in (N :_R M)$ . Let  $x = \sum_{k \in G} x_k \in M$ . Thus we have  $((N + Rm_h) :_R M)(r_g x_k) = r_g((N + Rm_h) :_R M)x_k \subseteq r_g(N + Rm_h) \subseteq N$  for any  $x_k \in M_k$ , since  $sm_h \notin N$  and  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , we conclude  $sr_g x_k \in N$  for any  $x_k \in M_k$ . Hence  $sr_g x \in N$ . Therefore  $sr_g M \subseteq N$  and so  $sr_g \in (N :_R M)$ .

(ii) It is clear.

(iii) Let  $N$  be a graded maximal submodule of  $M$  such that  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Let  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$  and  $((N + Rx_g) : M)y_h \subseteq N$ . Let  $x_g \notin N$ . Thus  $N + Rx_g = M$ , hence  $(N + Rx_g :_R M) = R$  and we conclude  $y_h \in N$ . Therefore  $N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule, and since  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then by Proposition 2.3,  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

The following example shows that the concept of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules is different from the concept of graded  $S$ -prime submodules.

**Example 2.10** Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -graded ring,  $P$  be a graded prime ideal of  $R$  and  $S = h(R) - P$ . Then  $P \times P$  is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule of graded  $R$ -module  $R \times R$ , because  $P \times P$  is a graded prime submodule of  $R \times R$  and  $(P \times P :_R R \times R) \cap S = P \cap S = \emptyset$ . But it is not a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Let  $s$  be an arbitrary element of  $S$ . Then  $((P \times P + R(1, 0)) :_R R \times R)(0, 1) \subseteq P \times P$ , but  $s(1, 0) \notin P \times P$  and  $s(0, 1) \notin P \times P$ .

**Proposition 2.11** Let  $M$  be a graded module over a graded field  $R$  and  $N$  be a proper graded submodule of  $M$ . Then  $N$  is a graded maximal submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a graded maximal submodule of  $M$ . We have  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , because if  $s \in (N :_R M) \cap S$ , then  $1 = s^{-1}s \in (N :_R M)$ , a contradiction. Thus by Proposition 2.9,  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Conversely, let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  which is not a graded maximal submodule of  $M$ . Then there exists  $x_g \in h(M) \setminus N$  such that  $Rx_g + N \neq M$ . Let  $y = \sum_{h \in G} y_h \in M$ . Hence for any  $y_h \in M_h$ , we have  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h = \{0\}y_h = \{0\} \subseteq N$ . Thus there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Since  $x_g \notin N$ , so  $sx_g \notin N$ . We conclude that  $sy_h \in N$  and  $y_h \in N$ , so  $y \in N$ . Thus,  $N = M$ , which is a contradiction. ■

**Corollary 2.12** Let  $N$  a graded submodule of  $M$  with  $(N : M) = P$  and  $S = h(R) - P$ . If  $P$  is a graded maximal ideal of  $R$ , then there exists a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M$  with  $(\mathcal{M} : M) = P$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $M/N$  is a graded module over the graded field  $R/P$ , so it has a graded maximal submodule, say  $\mathcal{M}/N$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a graded maximal submodule of  $M$  containing of  $N$  and hence  $P = (N : M) \subseteq (\mathcal{M} : M)$ , we have  $(\mathcal{M} : M) = P$ . Since  $(\mathcal{M} : M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then by Proposition 2.9,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

**Definition 2.13** A graded submodule  $N$  of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is called graded  $S$ - $I$ -maximal if  $(N :_R M) = I$  and there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $K$  is a graded submodule of  $M$  containing of  $N$  with  $(K :_R M) = I$ , then  $sK \subseteq N$ .

**Theorem 2.14** Let  $M$  be a graded  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a graded submodule of  $M$ .

- (i)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$  and  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (iii)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$  and  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ .
- (iv)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ - $(N :_R M)$ -maximal submodule of  $M$ .

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Apply Proposition 2.9.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Note that for every graded  $S$ -prime submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , the graded ideal  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$  (see [15, Proposition 2.6]).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) : M)x_g \subseteq N$ , then  $sx_g \in N$  for all  $x_g \in M$ . Let  $K$  be a graded submodule of  $M$  containing  $N$  with  $(K :_R M) = (N :_R M)$ . We show that  $sK \subseteq N$ . Let  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$  be an arbitrary element of  $K$ . Since  $N \subseteq N + Rx_g \subseteq K$  for any  $g \in G$ , then  $(N :_R M) \subseteq ((N + Rx_g) :_R M) \subseteq (K :_R M) = (N :_R M)$  and so  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M) = (N :_R M)$ . Thus,  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)x_g = (N :_R M)x_g \subseteq N$ , since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime submodule of  $M$ ,  $sx_g \in N$  and hence  $sx \in N$ . Therefore,  $sK \subseteq N$  as required. ■

**Proposition 2.15** Let  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules of  $M$  such that  $(N_i :_R M) = P$  for all  $i \in I$ . If  $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , then there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sN_i \subseteq N_j$  for all  $i, j \in I$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$ . Thus,  $(N :_R M) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (N_i :_R M) = P = (N_j :_R M)$  for each  $j \in I$ . Since  $N$  is graded  $S$ -strongly semiprime and by Proposition 2.14((i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)),  $N$  is graded  $S$ - $(N :_R M)$ -maximal and  $N \subseteq N_j$  with  $(N :_R M) = (N_j :_R M)$ , so there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sN_j \subseteq N$  for all  $j \in I$ . ■

**Lemma 2.16** Let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then the following statements hold for some  $s \in S$ .

- (i)  $(N :_M s') \subseteq (N :_M s)$  for all  $s' \in S$ .
- (ii)  $((N :_R M) :_R s') \subseteq ((N :_R M) :_R s)$  for all  $s' \in S$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $m = \sum_{g \in G} m_g \in (N :_M s')$  where  $s' \in S$ . Then  $s'm_g \in N$  for any  $m_g \in h(M)$ . Since every graded  $S$ -strongly prime is graded  $S$ -prime, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sm_g \in N$  or  $ss' \in (N :_R M)$ . As  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , we get  $sm_g \in N$  so  $sm \in N$ , namely  $m \in (N :_M s)$ .

(ii) It follows from (i). ■

**Theorem 2.17** Let  $N$  be a graded submodule of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  provided  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $(N :_M s)$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$  for some  $s \in S$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Then there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$ , then  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  for all  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . We prove that  $(N :_M s)$  is a graded strongly prime submodule. Taking  $x_g, y_h \in M$  with  $((N :_M s) + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq (N :_M s)$ , we have  $((N :_M s) + Rx_g) :_R M)(sy_h) \subseteq s(N :_M s) \subseteq N$ . Since  $N \subseteq (N :_M s)$ ,  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)(sy_h) \subseteq N$ . Thus,  $sx_g \in N$  or  $s^2y_h \in N$ . If  $sx_g \in N$ , then  $x_g \in (N :_M s)$ . If  $s^2y_h \in N$ , then  $y_h \in (N :_M s^2) \subseteq (N :_M s)$  by Lemma 2.16. Hence,  $(N :_M s)$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Conversely, assume that  $(N :_M s)$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Let  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Since  $N \subseteq (N :_M s)$ , we have  $x_g \in (N :_M s)$  or  $y_h \in (N :_M s)$ . Thus,  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$ , and so  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

**Theorem 2.18** Let  $N$  be a graded submodule of  $M$  provided  $(N :_R M) \subseteq \text{Jac}^{gr}(R)$ , where  $\text{Jac}^{gr}(R)$  is the intersection of all graded maximal ideals of  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii)  $N$  is a graded prime submodule of  $M$  and  $N$  is a graded  $(h(R) - \mathfrak{m})$ -strongly prime

submodule of  $M$  for each graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $N$  be a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Then  $N$  is a graded prime submodule of  $M$ . Since  $(N :_R M) \subseteq \text{Jac}^{gr}(R)$ ,  $(N :_R M) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  for each graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and so  $(N :_R M) \cap (h(R) - \mathfrak{m}) = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $N$  is a graded  $(h(R) - \mathfrak{m})$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  by Proposition 2.3.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $N$  is a graded prime submodule of  $M$  and  $N$  is a graded  $(h(R) - \mathfrak{m})$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  for each graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  and  $y_h \notin N$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a graded maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $N$  is a graded  $(h(R) - \mathfrak{m})$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , there exists  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in h(R) - \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $s_{\mathfrak{m}}x_g \in N$  or  $s_{\mathfrak{m}}y_h \in N$ . If  $s_{\mathfrak{m}}y_h \in N$ , then since  $N$  is a graded prime submodule of  $M$  and  $y_h \notin N$ ,  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in (N :_R M)$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $s_{\mathfrak{m}}x_g \in N$ . Consider the set  $Q = \{s_{\mathfrak{m}} \mid \exists \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^{gr}(R); s_{\mathfrak{m}} \notin \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } s_{\mathfrak{m}}x_g \in N\}$ . Suppose that  $\langle Q \rangle \neq R$ . Take any graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}'$  containing  $Q$ . Then the definition of  $Q$  requires that there exists  $s_{\mathfrak{m}'} \in Q$  and  $s_{\mathfrak{m}'} \notin \mathfrak{m}'$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\langle Q \rangle = R$  and  $1 = r_1s_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + r_2s_{\mathfrak{m}_2} + \dots + r_ns_{\mathfrak{m}_n}$  for some  $r_i \in R$  and  $s_{\mathfrak{m}_i} \notin \mathfrak{m}_i$  with  $s_{\mathfrak{m}_i}x_g \in N$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_i \in \text{Max}^{gr}(R)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $x_g = r_1s_{\mathfrak{m}_1}x_g + r_2s_{\mathfrak{m}_2}x_g + \dots + r_ns_{\mathfrak{m}_n}x_g \in N$ . Hence  $N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

By the previous theorem we have the following result:

**Corollary 2.19** Let  $M$  be a graded module over a graded quasilocal ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii)  $N$  is a graded prime submodule of  $M$  and  $N$  is a graded  $(h(R) - \mathfrak{m})$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .

Now, we characterize graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules of a graded multiplication module.

**Theorem 2.20** Let  $M$  be a graded multiplication  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a graded submodule of  $M$  provided that  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii)  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ .
- (iii)  $N = IM$  for some graded  $S$ -prime ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $\text{ann}(M) \subseteq I$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It follows from Theorem 2.14.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Consider  $I = (N :_R M)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By [15, Proposition 2.8],  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule of  $M$ . Thus, there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $r_gm_h \in N$ , then  $sm_h \in N$  or  $sr_g \in (N :_R M)$  for all  $m_h \in h(M)$  and  $r_g \in h(R)$ . Now, we show that  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Let  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  and  $sy_h \notin N$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule of  $M$ ,  $s((N + Rx_g) :_R M) \subseteq (N :_R M)$ . As  $M$  is a graded multiplication  $R$ -module, we have

$$s(N + Rx_g) = s((N + Rx_g) :_R M)M \subseteq (N :_R M)M = N.$$

Therefore,  $sx_g \in N$  and  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

**Lemma 2.21** Let  $Q$  be a graded  $S$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\text{Grad}(Q)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ .

**Proof.** First note that  $\text{Grad}(Q) \cap S = \emptyset$ , because if  $s \in \text{Grad}(Q) \cap S$ , then  $s^n \in Q \cap S$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction. Let  $a_g b_h \in \text{Grad}(Q)$  where  $a_g, b_h \in h(R)$ . Thus,  $(a_g b_h)^k \in Q$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $Q$  is a graded  $S$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sa_g^k \in Q$  or  $sb_h^k \in \text{Grad}(Q)$ . We conclude  $sa_g \in \text{Grad}(Q)$  or  $sb_h \in \text{Grad}(Q)$ . Hence,  $\text{Grad}(Q)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ . ■

**Lemma 2.22** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then  $(\text{Grad}(N) :_R M) = \text{Grad}((N :_R M))$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Theorem 4 of [11]. ■

**Theorem 2.23** Let  $M$  be a graded finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module. If  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , then  $\text{Grad}(N)$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .

**Proof.** Since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ ,  $(N :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.14. Thus, by Lemma 2.21,  $\text{Grad}((N :_R M))$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ . By Lemma 2.22, we have  $(\text{Grad}(N) :_R M) = \text{Grad}((N :_R M))$ . Thus,  $(\text{Grad}(N) :_R M)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule of  $M$ . Now, the result follows from Theorem 2.20. ■

### 3. Behaviour of graded $S$ -strongly prime submodules

In this section, we investigate the behaviour of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules under graded module homomorphisms, localizations, quotient graded modules and Cartesian product.

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  be a graded  $R$ -homomorphism. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If  $N'$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M'$  such that  $(f^{-1}(N') :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $f^{-1}(N')$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is a graded epimorphism and  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  containing  $\text{Ker}(f)$ , then  $f(N)$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M'$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $((f^{-1}(N') + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq f^{-1}(N')$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Thus,  $f(((f^{-1}(N') + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(N')) \subseteq N'$ . Since  $f$  is a graded  $R$ -homomorphism,  $((f^{-1}(N') + Rx_g) :_R M)f(y_h) \subseteq N'$ . Now, we show that  $((N' + Rf(x_g)) :_R M') \subseteq ((f^{-1}(N') + Rx_g) :_R M)$ . Take  $r \in ((N' + Rf(x_g)) :_R M')$ . Then  $rM' \subseteq N' + Rf(x_g)$ . Since  $f(M) \subseteq M'$ , we have  $f(rM) = rf(M) \subseteq rM' \subseteq N' + Rf(x_g)$ . This implies that  $rM \subseteq f^{-1}(N' + f(Rx_g))$ . It is clear that  $f^{-1}(N' + f(Rx_g)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N') + Rx_g$ . Thus,  $r \in ((N' + Rx_g) :_R M)$  and so  $((N' + Rf(x_g)) :_R M')f(y_h) \subseteq N'$ . Since  $N'$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M'$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sf(x_g) \in N'$  or  $sf(y_h) \in N'$ . Therefore,  $sx_g \in f^{-1}(N')$  or  $sy_h \in f^{-1}(N')$  as needed.

(ii) First note that  $(f(N) :_R M') \cap S = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, there exists  $s \in (f(N) :_R M') \cap S$ . Hence,  $sM' \subseteq f(N)$  and then  $f(sM) = sf(M) = sM' \subseteq f(N)$  and  $sM \subseteq N + \text{Ker}(f) = N$ . That means  $s \in (N :_R M)$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $((f(N) + Rx'_g) :_R M')y'_h \subseteq f(N)$  where  $x'_g, y'_h \in h(M')$ . Since  $f$  is a graded epimorphism,  $f(x_g) = x'_g$  and  $f(y_h) = y'_h$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Thus  $(f(N + Rx_g) :_R M')f(y_h) \subseteq f(N)$ . It is easy to see that  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M) \subseteq (f(N + Rx_g) :_R M')$ . Hence  $f(((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h) \subseteq f(N)$  and  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N + \text{Ker}(f) \subseteq N$ . Thus, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Therefore,



$sf(x_g) \in f(N)$  or  $sf(y_h) \in f(N)$ , and so  $f(N)$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M'$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $N$  and  $K$  be graded submodules of  $M$  with  $K \subseteq N$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) If  $N'$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  with  $(N' :_R K) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $K \cap N'$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $K$ .
- (ii)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $N/K$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M/K$ .

**Proof.** (i) Consider the injection  $i : K \rightarrow M$  defined by  $i(x) = x$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $i^{-1}(N') = K \cap N'$ . By  $(N' :_R K) \cap S = \emptyset$ , we give  $(i^{-1}(N') :_R K) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Thus, the rest follows from Proposition 3.1(i).

(ii) Let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Then consider the canonical homomorphism  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/K$  defined by  $\pi(m) = m + K$  for all  $m \in M$ . Then note that  $\pi$  is a graded epimorphism and  $\text{Ker}(\pi) = K \subseteq N$ . Thus by Proposition 3.1(ii),  $N/K$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M/K$ . Conversely, assume that  $N/K$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M/K$ . Let  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  where  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . We have  $((N + Rx_g)/K :_R M/K) = (R(x_g + K) + N/K :_R M/K) = ((N + Rx_g) :_R M)$ . Thus,  $((R(x_g + K) + N/K) :_R M/K)(y_h + K) \subseteq N/K$ . Since  $N/K$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M/K$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s(x_g + K) \in N/K$  or  $s(y_h + K) \in N/K$ . Thus,  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$ , and so  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

Let  $S \subseteq h(R)$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . The saturation  $S^*$  of  $S$  is defined as  $S^* = \{x \in h(R) \mid \frac{x}{1}$  is a homogeneous unit of  $S^{-1}R\}$ . Note that  $S^* \subseteq h(R)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  containing  $S$ .

**Proposition 3.3**

- (i) Let  $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq h(R)$  be multiplicatively closed subsets of  $R$ . If  $N$  is a graded  $S_1$ -strongly prime submodule and  $(N :_R M) \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $N$  is a graded  $S_2$ -strongly prime submodule.
- (ii) A graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule if and only if it is a graded  $S^*$ -strongly prime submodule.
- (iii) If  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , then  $S^{-1}N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of graded  $S^{-1}R$ -module  $S^{-1}M$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is clear.

(ii) Let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule. Assume that  $(N :_R M) \cap S^* \neq \emptyset$  and  $r \in (N :_R M) \cap S^*$ . Let  $r = \sum_{g \in G} r_g$  where  $r_g \in R_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . Hence,  $\frac{r_g}{1}$  is a homogeneous unit of  $S^{-1}R$ , that is,  $\frac{r_g}{1} \frac{a}{s} = \frac{1}{1}$  for some  $a \in h(R)$  and  $s \in S$ . Thus,  $us = ur_g a \in S$  for some  $u \in S$ . Then  $us = ur_g a \in (N :_R M) \cap S$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $(N :_R M) \cap S^* = \emptyset$ . Since  $S \subseteq S^*$ , by (i),  $N$  is a graded  $S^*$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Conversely, assume that  $N$  is a graded  $S^*$ -strongly prime submodule. Let  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Since  $N$  is a graded  $S^*$ -strongly prime submodule, there exists  $s' \in S^*$  so that  $s'x_g \in N$  or  $s'y_h \in N$ . As  $\frac{s'}{1}$  is a unit of  $S^{-1}R$ , there exist  $u, s \in S$  and  $a \in h(R)$  such that  $su = us'a$ . Put  $us' = s'' \in S$ . Then note that  $s''x_g \in N$  or  $s''y_h \in N$ . Therefore,  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .

(iii) Let  $N$  be a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule. Thus, we have  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$

and there exists  $s \in S$  such that whenever  $((N + Rx_g) : M)y_h \subseteq N$ , then  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  for all  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . Let

$$\left( (S^{-1}N + S^{-1}R\left(\frac{x_g}{u}\right)) :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M \right) \frac{y_h}{v} \subseteq S^{-1}N$$

where  $\frac{x_g}{u}, \frac{y_h}{v} \in S^{-1}M$ . We show that  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)(sy_h) \subseteq N$ . If  $r \in ((N + Rx_g) :_R M)$ , then we can write  $r = \sum_{k \in G} r_k$  where  $r_k \in R_k$  for any  $k \in G$ . Hence, for any  $k \in G$ ,  $r_k M \subseteq N + Rx_g$  and  $(\frac{r_k}{1})S^{-1}M \subseteq S^{-1}N + S^{-1}R\left(\frac{x_g}{1}\right) = S^{-1}N + S^{-1}R\left(\frac{x_g}{u}\right)$  and so  $(\frac{r_k}{1})(\frac{y_h}{v}) \in S^{-1}N$ . Hence, there exist  $n \in N$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in S$  such that  $t_2(t_1 r_k y_h - vn) = 0$ . Thus,  $(t_2 t_1) r_k y_h \in N$ , and since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -prime submodule, we get  $st_1 t_2 \in (N :_R M)$  or  $sr_k y_h \in N$ . As  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , we have  $sr_k y_h \in N$  for every  $k \in G$  and so  $sry_h \in N$ . Hence,  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)(sy_h) \subseteq N$ . It follows that  $sx_g \in N$  or  $s^2 y_h \in N$  since  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule. Therefore,  $\frac{x_g}{u} = \frac{sx_g}{su} \in S^{-1}N$  or  $\frac{y_h}{v} = \frac{s^2 y_h}{s^2 v} \in S^{-1}N$ . Thus,  $S^{-1}N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ . ■

The following example shows that the converse of part (iii) of Proposition 3.3 is not true in general.

**Example 3.4** Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $R$  is trivially  $G$ -graded by  $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $R_1 = \{0\}$ . Consider the  $R$ -module  $U = \mathbb{Q}[i]$ . Then  $U$  is  $G$ -graded by  $U_0 = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $U_1 = i\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $M = U \times U$  is a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module with  $M_0 = U_0 \times U_0$  and  $M_1 = U_1 \times U_1$ . Take the graded submodule  $N = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  and the multiplicatively closed subset  $S = \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $((N + R(x, y)) :_R M) = 0$  for any  $(x, y) \in M$ . Let  $s$  be an arbitrary element of  $S$ . Choose prime numbers  $p, q$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then note that  $((N + R(\frac{1}{p}, 0)) :_R M)(0, \frac{1}{q}) \subseteq N$ . But  $(\frac{s}{p}, 0) \notin N$  and  $(0, \frac{s}{q}) \notin N$ , it follows that  $N$  is not a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . Since  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $S^{-1}N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ .

**Proposition 3.5** Let  $M$  be a graded finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a graded submodule of  $M$  satisfying  $(N :_R M) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii)  $S^{-1}N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $S^{-1}M$  and there is an  $s \in S$  satisfying  $(N :_M s') \subseteq (N :_M s)$  for all  $s' \in S$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It follows from Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 2.16.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $((N + Rx_g) :_R M)y_h \subseteq N$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in h(M)$ . We have  $((S^{-1}N + S^{-1}R\left(\frac{x_g}{1}\right)) :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M)\frac{y_h}{1} \subseteq S^{-1}N$ . Then  $\frac{x_g}{1} \in S^{-1}N$  or  $\frac{y_h}{1} \in S^{-1}N$  since  $S^{-1}N$  is a graded strongly prime submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ . Thus,  $ux_g \in N$  or  $ty_h \in N$  for some  $u, t \in S$ . By assumption, there exists  $s \in S$  so that  $(N :_M u) \subseteq (N :_M s)$  and  $(N :_M t) \subseteq (N :_M s)$ . Thus,  $sx_g \in N$  or  $sy_h \in N$  and so  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . ■

**Lemma 3.6** Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  and  $S = (S_1 \times S_2) \cap h(R)$  where  $S_i \subseteq h(R_i)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  is a graded ideal of  $R$ . If  $P$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $P_1$  is a graded  $S_1$ -prime ideal of  $R_1$  and  $P_2 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$  or  $P_2$  is a graded  $S_2$ -prime ideal of  $R_2$  and  $P_1 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $P$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$ . Since  $(1, 0)(0, 1) = (0, 0) \in P$ , there exists  $s = (s_1, s_2) \in S$  so that  $s(1, 0) = (s_1, 0) \in P$  or  $s(0, 1) = (0, s_2) \in P$  and thus,  $P_1 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$  or  $P_2 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . We may assume that  $P_1 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ . As  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ , we have  $P_2 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $x_g y_h \in P_2$  for some  $x_g, y_h \in R_2$ . Since  $(0, x_g)(0, y_h) \in P$  and  $P$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal, we get either  $s(0, x_g) = (0, s_2 x_g) \in P$  or  $s(0, y) = (0, s_2 y) \in P$  and

this yields  $s_2x_g \in P_2$  or  $s_2y_h \in P_2$ . Therefore,  $P_2$  is a graded  $S_2$ -prime ideal of  $R_2$ . In the other case, one can easily show that  $P_1$  is a graded  $S_1$ -prime ideal of  $R_1$ . ■

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  be a graded  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ -module and  $S = (S_1 \times S_2) \cap h(R)$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  where  $M_i$  is a graded  $R_i$ -module and  $S_i \subseteq h(R_i)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that  $N_1$  is a graded submodule of  $M_1$  and  $N_2$  is a graded submodule of  $M_2$  and  $N = N_1 \times N_2$ . If  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , then  $N_1$  is a graded  $S_1$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_1$  and  $(N_2 :_{R_2} M_2) \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$  or  $N_2$  is a graded  $S_2$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_2$  and  $(N_1 :_{R_1} M_1) \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ . First, note that  $(N :_R M) = (N_1 :_{R_1} M_1) \times (N_2 :_{R_2} M_2)$  is a graded  $S$ -prime ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.14. Hence, by Lemma 3.6,  $(N_1 :_R M_1) \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$  or  $(N_2 :_R M_2) \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . We may assume that  $(N_1 :_R M_1) \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ . We will show that  $N_2$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_2$ . Let  $((N_2 + R_2(x_2)_g) :_{R_2} M_2)(y_2)_h \subseteq N_2$  for some  $(x_2)_g, (y_2)_h \in h(M_2)$ . We have  $((N_1 \times N_2 + R(0, (x_2)_g)) :_R M_1 \times M_2)(0, (y_2)_h) \subseteq N_1 \times N_2$  because if  $(r_1, r_2) \in ((N_1 \times N_2 + R(0, (x_2)_g)) :_R M_1 \times M_2)$ , then  $(r_1, r_2)(M_1 \times M_2) \subseteq N_1 \times N_2 + R(0, (x_2)_g)$ . We get  $r_2M_2 \subseteq N_2 + R(x_2)_g$ . Thus,  $(r_1, r_2)(0, (y_2)_h) = (0, r_2(y_2)_h) \in N_1 \times N_2$  and so  $((N_1 \times N_2 + R(0, (x_2)_g)) :_R M_1 \times M_2)(0, (y_2)_h) \subseteq N_1 \times N_2$ . Then there exists  $s = (s_1, s_2) \in S$  such that  $(s_1, s_2)(0, (x_2)_g) \in N_1 \times N_2$  or  $(s_1, s_2)(0, (y_2)_h) \in N_1 \times N_2$  since  $N_1 \times N_2$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , hence  $s_2(x_2)_g \in N_2$  or  $s_2(y_2)_h \in N_2$ . Therefore,  $N_2$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_2$ . In the other case, it can be similarly shown that  $N_1$  is a graded  $S_1$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_1$ . ■

**Corollary 3.8** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$  be a graded  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n$ -module and  $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n \cap h(R)$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  where  $M_i$  is a graded  $R_i$ -module and  $S_i \subseteq h(R_i)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_n$  is a graded submodule of  $M$ . If  $N$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$ , then  $N_i$  is a graded  $S_i$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $(N_j :_{R_j} M_j) \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} - \{i\}$ .

**Proof.** We apply induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the result is true. If  $n = 2$ , then it follows from Theorem 3.7. Let it hold when  $k < n$ . Now, we will prove if  $k = n$ . Let  $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_n$ . Put  $N' = N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_{n-1}$  and  $S' = S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_{n-1} \cap h(R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_{n-1})$ . Then, by Theorem 3.7, for  $N = N' \times N_n$  is a graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M$  that  $N'$  is a graded  $S'$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M'$  and  $(N_n :_{R_n} M_n) \cap S_n \neq \emptyset$  or  $N_n$  is a graded  $S_n$ -strongly prime submodule of  $M_n$  and  $(N' :_{R'} M') \cap S' \neq \emptyset$  where  $M' = M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_{n-1}$  and  $R' = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$ . The rest follows from the induction hypothesis. ■

### 4. Conclusions

In this article, we introduced the concept of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules of a graded module over a commutative graded ring. In fact, the concept of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules is different from the concept of graded strongly prime submodules and many results for graded strongly prime submodules do not apply to graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules. Several properties, examples and characterizations of graded  $S$ -strongly prime submodules, especially in graded multiplication modules, have been investigated. Moreover, we explored the behaviour of graded  $S$ -strongly prime sub-

modules under graded module homomorphisms, localizations, quotient graded modules, Cartesian product.

## Acknowledgments

The author is deeply grateful to the referee(s) for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments and for her/his valuable suggestions which led to some improvements in the quality of this paper.

## References

- [1] R. Abu-Dawwas, M. Bataineh, Graded prime submodules over non-commutative rings, *Vietnam J. Math.* 46 (3) (2018), 681-692.
- [2] S. Ebrahimi Atani, F. Farzalipour, On graded secondary modules, *Turkish J. Math.* 31 (2007), 371-378.
- [3] J. Escoriza, B. Torrecillas, Multiplication objects in commutative grothendieck categories, *Comm. Algebra.* 26 (6) (1998), 1867-1883.
- [4] F. Farzalipour, P. Ghiasvand, A generalization of graded prime submodules over non-commutative graded rings, *J. Algebra. Related Topics.* 8 (1) (2020), 39-50.
- [5] F. Farzalipour, P. Ghiasvand, Graded  $S$ -1-absorbing prime submodules in graded multiplication modules, *Int. Electronic J. Algebra.* 32 (2022), 62-79.
- [6] F. Farzalipour, P. Ghiasvand, On graded weak multiplication modules, *Tamkang J. Math.* 43 (2) (2012), 171-177.
- [7] F. Farzalipour, P. Ghiasvand, On the union of graded prime submodules, *Thai. J. Math.* 9 (1) (2011), 49-55.
- [8] P. Ghiasvand, F. Farzalipour, Generalization of graded second submodules, *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica.* 13 (1) (2021), 164-181.
- [9] P. Ghiasvand, F. Farzalipour, Graded semiprime submodules over non-commutative graded rings, *J. Algebraic Sys.* 10 (1) (2022), 95-110.
- [10] K. Hakan Oral, Ü. Tekir, A. G. Ağargün, On graded prime and primary submodules, *Turkish J. Math.* 35 (2011), 159-167.
- [11] R. McCasland, M. Moore, On radicals of submodules of finitely generated modules, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 29 (1) (1986), 36-39.
- [12] N. Nastasescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded Rings Theory*, Mathematical Library 28, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [13] N. Nastasescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [14] M. Refai, K. Al-Zoubi, On graded primary ideals, *Turkish J. Math.* 28 (2004), 217-229.
- [15] H. Saber, T. Alraqad, R. Abu-Dawwas, On graded  $S$ -prime submodules, *AIMS Math.* 6 (3) (2020), 2510-2524.