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#### Abstract

We obtain some inequalities related to the powers of numerical radius inequalities of Hilbert space operators. Some results that employ the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for vectors in normed linear spaces are also obtained. We improve and generalize some inequalities with respect to Specht's ratio. Among them, we show that, if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy in some conditions, it follows that


$$
\omega^{2}\left(A^{*} B\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\||A|^{4}+|B|^{4}\right\|-\inf _{\|x\|=1} \frac{1}{4 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle\left(A^{*} A-B^{*} B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}
$$

for some $h>0$, where $\|\cdot\|, \omega(\cdot)$ and $S(\cdot)$ denote the usual operator norm, numerical radius and the Specht's ratio, respectively.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the $C^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $\langle.,$.$\rangle . We recall some definitions and concepts from [11].$

An operator $A$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is positive, denoted by $A \geqslant 0$, if $A$ is self-adjoint ( $A=A^{*}$ ) and $\langle A x, x\rangle \geqslant 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$; equivalently, $A$ is positive if and only if $A=B^{*} B$ for some operator $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, for some scalars $m$ and $M$, we write $m I \leqslant A \leqslant M I$ if $m \leqslant\langle A x, x\rangle \leqslant M$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H},\|x\|=1$, where $I$ stands for the identity operator of

[^0]$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The absolute value of $A$ is denoted by $|A|=\left(A^{*} A\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Note that for a self-adjoint operator $A, m I \leqslant A \leqslant M I$ if and only if $s p(A) \subset[m, M]$. Also the set of all positive invertible operators is denoted by $\mathcal{B}^{+}(\mathcal{H})$.

For an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the usual operator norm is defined by $\|A\|=\sup \|A x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H},\|x\|=1$ and the numerical radius of $A$ is given by $\omega(A)=\sup \{|\langle A x, x\rangle|$ : $x \in \mathcal{H},\|x\|=1\}$. The numerical radius satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leqslant \omega(A) \leqslant\|A\| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second inequality in (1) has been improved in [7, Theorem 1] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(A) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\||A|+\left|A^{*}\right|\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\|A\|+\left\|A^{2}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The left hand of inequality (2) was extended in [4, Theorem 1] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{r}(A) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\||A|^{2 r \nu}+\left|A^{*}\right|^{2 r(1-\nu)}\right\|, \quad r \geqslant 1,0<\nu<1, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which this inequality will be improved in the end of this paper.
Dragomir in [2, Theorem 1], proved the following inequality by the product of two operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{r}\left(B^{*} A\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\||A|^{2 r}+|B|^{2 r}\right\|, \quad r \geqslant 1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using of operator inequality, we improve the inequality (4).
Let $A \in \mathcal{B}^{+}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $B$ be a positive operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$. The operator $\nu$-weighted geometric mean of $A$ and $B$ is defined by $A \bigsqcup_{\nu} B \equiv A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\nu} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which $\nu \in[0,1]$.

Recall that the Specht's ratio [5, 13] was defined by $S(h)=\frac{h^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{e \log h^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$ for a positive real number $h \neq 1$, and it has some properties as follows:
(i) $S(1)=1$ and $S(h)=S\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)>1$ for $h>0$.
(ii) $S(h)$ is a monotone increasing function on $(1, \infty)$.
(iii) $S(h)$ is a monotone decreasing function on $(0,1)$.

Lemma 1.1 [6, Theorem 1] For $a, b>0$ and $\nu \in[0,1]$, it follows that $(1-\nu) a+\nu b \geqslant S\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{r}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^{\nu}$, where $r=\min \{\nu, 1-\nu\}$ and $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio.$
Theorem 1.2 [6, Theorem 2] Let $A$ and $B$ be two positive operators and let $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$ be positive real numbers satisfying the following conditions (i) or (ii):
(i) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant A \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant B \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
(ii) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant B \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant A \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
with $h=\frac{M}{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\nu) A+\nu B \geqslant S\left(h^{r}\right) A \natural_{\nu} B \geqslant A \natural_{\nu} B & \geqslant S\left(h^{r}\right)\left\{(1-\nu) A^{-1}+\nu B^{-1}\right\}^{-1} \\
& \geqslant\left\{(1-\nu) A^{-1}+\nu B^{-1}\right\}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu \in[0,1], r=\min \{\nu, 1-\nu\}$, and $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio.$

Remark 1 Note that if $A=a I, B=b I, \nu=\frac{1}{2}$, and $r=\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 1.2, then

$$
S(\sqrt{h}) \sqrt{a b} \leqslant \frac{a+b}{2},
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio.$
The goal of this paper is to establish considerable generalizations of these inequalities that are based on some classical convexity inequalities for non-negative real numbers and some operator inequalities. Also, by using of operator inequality and Specht's ratio, we improve some numerical radius inequalities.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we state some useful lemmas that we need them for improving and generalizing some inequalities. The first lemma is a generalized form of the mixed Schwarz inequality, which was proved by Kittaneh [8, Theorem 1].

Lemma 2.1 Let $A$ be an operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $f$ and $g$ be nonnegative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $f(t) g(t)=t$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$. Then

$$
|\langle A x, y\rangle| \leqslant\|f(|A|) x\|\left\|g\left(\left|A^{*}\right|\right) y\right\|
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.
The well-known Hermite-Hadamard inequalities state that for a convex function $f$ : $J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leqslant \int_{0}^{1} f(t a+(1-t) b) d t \leqslant \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a, b$ in real interval $J$ (see [1]).
Let $f$ be a convex function on a real interval $J$ containing $\operatorname{sp}(\mathrm{A})$, where $A$ is a selfadjoint operator. Then for every $x \in \mathcal{H},\|x\|=1$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\langle A x, x\rangle) \leqslant\langle f(A) x, x\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an operator version of the Jensen inequality due to Mond and Pečarić [9, Theorem 1].
Utilizing the following lemma, leads to the improvement of some inequalities that prove by other mathematicians.

Lemma $2.2[10$, page 5$]$ Let $f$ be a twice differentiable on $[a, b]$. If $f$ is convex such that $f^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \lambda:=\min _{x \in[a, b]} f(x)>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{8} \lambda(b-a)^{2} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3 Let $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let the continuous functions $f$ and $g$ be non-negative functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the relation $f(t) g(t)=t$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$, and let $k$ be a non-negative increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$ and twice differentiable such that $k^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \lambda>0$, with $k(0)=0$. Also let the positive real numbers $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $0<m^{\prime} \leqslant\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle \leqslant m<M \leqslant\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle \leqslant M^{\prime}$,
(ii) $0<m^{\prime} \leqslant\left\langle A^{*} f^{2}(|X|) A x, x\right\rangle \leqslant m<M \leqslant\left\langle B^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) B x, x\right\rangle \leqslant M^{\prime}$,
with $h=\frac{M}{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(\omega\left(A^{*} X B\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\|k\left(B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right)+k\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A\right)\right\|-\inf _{\|x\|=1} \xi(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever

$$
\xi(x)=\frac{1}{8 S(\sqrt{h})} \lambda\left(\left\langle\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A-B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2},
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio and \lambda>0$.
Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle A^{*} X B x, x\right\rangle\right|=|\langle X B x, A x\rangle| \leqslant \sqrt{\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, Remark 1 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle} & \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h}))}\left(\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle\left(B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B+A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the last inequality and (9) that

$$
\left|\left\langle A^{*} X B x, x\right\rangle\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle\left(B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B+A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
k\left(\left|\left\langle A^{*} X B x, x\right\rangle\right|\right) \leqslant & k\left(\frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle\right)\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{S(\sqrt{h})} k\left(\frac{\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle}{2}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\frac{k\left(\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle\right)+k\left(\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle\right)}{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{8} \lambda\left(\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right] \quad(\text { by Lemma 2.2) } \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\left(\left\langle k\left(B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)+\left(\left\langle k\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{8 S(\sqrt{h})} \lambda\left(\left\langle A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}(\text { by Lemma 2.1) } \\
= & \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\left\langle\left(k\left(B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right)+k\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{8 S(\sqrt{h})} \lambda\left(\left\langle\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A-B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$, we reach

$$
k\left(\omega\left(A^{*} X B\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\|k\left(B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right)+k\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A\right)\right\|-\inf _{\|x\|=1} \xi(x)
$$

whenever

$$
\xi(x)=\frac{1}{8 S(\sqrt{h})} \lambda\left(\left\langle\left(A^{*} g^{2}\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right) A-B^{*} f^{2}(|X|) B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}
$$

This inequality completes proof and imlies inequality (8).
Note that inequality (10) follows from that if $k$ is a non-negative increasing convex function, with $k(0)=0$ and $\alpha=\frac{1}{S(\sqrt{h})} \leqslant 1$ then $k(\alpha t) \leqslant \alpha k(t)$.

Shebrawi and Albadawi [12, Remark 2.10], for each $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, proved the following general numerical radius inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{r}\left(A^{*} X B\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(A^{*}\left|X^{*}\right| A\right)^{r}+\left(B^{*}|X| B\right)^{r}\right\|, \quad r \geqslant 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequality (11) and Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following inequality.
Corollary 2.4 Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 hold. By taking $k(t)=t^{2}$ on $[0, \infty)$, thus the required $\lambda$ would be ${ }^{\prime} 2^{\prime}$.
(i) If $0<m^{\prime} I<B^{*}|X| B \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant A^{*}\left|X^{*}\right| A<M^{\prime} I$ or $0<m^{\prime} I<A^{*}|X| A \leqslant$ $m I<M I \leqslant B^{*}|X| B<M^{\prime} I$ for positive real numbers $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{2}\left(A^{*} X B\right) \leqslant & \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\|\left(A^{*}\left|X^{*}\right| A\right)^{2}+\left(B^{*}|X| B\right)^{2}\right\| \\
& -\inf _{\|x\|=1} \frac{1}{4 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle\left(A^{*}\left|X^{*}\right| A-B^{*}|X| B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which improves inequality (11) in especial conditions.
(ii) If $X=I$ holds in conditions of (i), then

$$
\omega^{2}\left(A^{*} B\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\||A|^{4}+|B|^{4}\right\|-\inf _{\|x\|=1} \frac{1}{4 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle\left(A^{*} A-B^{*} B\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}
$$

which improves inequality (4) in especial conditions.
(iii) If $A=B=I$ holds in conditions of (i), then

$$
\omega^{2}(X) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\|\left|X^{*}\right|^{2}+|X|^{2}\right\|-\inf _{\|x\|=1} \frac{1}{4 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle\left(\left|X^{*}\right|-|X|\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio and \lambda>0$.
In order to prove the following theorem, we need Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Recall that Dragomir provides an extension of Furuta's inequality as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|\langle D C B A x, y\rangle|^{2} \leqslant\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $A, B, C, D \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and any vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. In (12), the equality holds if and only if the vectors $B A x$ and $C^{*} D^{*} y$ are linearly dependent in $\mathcal{H}$ (see [3]).

Theorem 2.5 Suppose that $A, B, C, D$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$ are operators that $f$ is a positive increasing operator convex function on $\mathbb{R}$ and also that $f$ is twice differentiable such that $f^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \lambda>0$, with $f(0)=0$. Let the positive real numbers $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant A^{*}|B|^{2} A \leqslant m I \leqslant M I \leqslant D\left|C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
(ii) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant D\left|C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} \leqslant m I \leqslant M I \leqslant A^{*}|B|^{2} A \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
with $h=\frac{M}{m}$. Then for every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(|\langle D C B A x, y\rangle|) \leqslant & \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\left\langle f\left(A^{*}|B|^{2} A\right) x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle f\left(D\left|C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*}\right) y, y\right\rangle\right.  \tag{13}\\
& \left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio and \lambda>0$.
Proof. Utilizing the monotonicity and convexity of increasing function $f$ for the inequality (12), implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(|\langle D C B A x, y\rangle|) \leqslant & \left.\left.\left.f\left(\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\leqslant & \left.\left.f\left(\frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle+\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle\right)\right) \quad \text { (by Remark 1) } \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{S(\sqrt{h})} f\left(\frac{\left.\left.\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle+\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle}{2}\right)  \tag{14}\\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\frac{\left.\left.f\left(\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle\right)+f\left(\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle\right)}{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{8} \lambda\left(\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right)\right] \quad \quad \text { (by Lemma 2.2) } \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\left\langle f\left(A^{*}|B|^{2} A\right) x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle f\left(D\left|C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*}\right) y, y\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\left.\left\langle A^{*}\right| B\right|^{2} A x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle D| C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*} y, y\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right] \quad \quad \text { (by Lemma 2.1) }
\end{align*}
$$

for every vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, which proves the desired inequality.
Corollary 2.6 Suppose that $T$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$ that $f$ is a positive increasing operator convex function on $\mathbb{R}$ and also that $f$ is twice differentiable such that $f^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \lambda>0$. Let the positive real numbers $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant|T|^{2 \alpha} \leqslant m I \leqslant M I \leqslant\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 \beta} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
(ii) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 \beta} \leqslant m I \leqslant M I \leqslant|T|^{2 \alpha} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
with $h=\frac{M}{m}$. Then for every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$ (with $\alpha+\beta \geqslant 1$ ), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.f\left(|\langle T| T|^{\alpha+\beta-1} x, y\right\rangle \mid\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left[\left\langle f\left(|T|^{2 \alpha}\right) x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle f\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 \beta}\right) y, y\right\rangle\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 \alpha} x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 \beta} y, y\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio and \lambda>0$.
Proof. Replacing $D$ by $U, B$ by $1_{\mathcal{H}}, C$ by $|T|^{\beta}$ and $A$ by $|T|^{\alpha}$ in (13), implies that

$$
D C B A=U|T|^{\beta}|T|^{\alpha}=U|T||T|^{\alpha+\beta-1}=T|T|^{\alpha+\beta-1}
$$

Then, by utilizing

$$
A^{*}|B|^{2} A=|T|^{2 \alpha} \text { and } D\left|C^{*}\right|^{2} D^{*}=U|T|^{2 \beta} U^{*}=|T|^{2 \beta}
$$

we reach the interest inequality (15).
In resumption, we introduce improvement of inequality (3), in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.7 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $f$ be a positive increasing operator convex function on $\mathbb{R}$ and twice differentiable such that $f^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \lambda>0$. Also let positive real numbers $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$ satisfy in the following conditions (i) or (ii):
(i) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant|T|^{2 s} \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
(ii) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t} \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant|T|^{2 s} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
with $h=\frac{M}{m}$ and $s+t=1$. Then for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\langle T x, x\rangle) \leqslant & \left.\frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle f\left(|T|^{2 s}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)+\left\langle f\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for every $r \geqslant 1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{r}(T) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\||T|^{2 r s}+\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 r t}\right\| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio.$
Proof. Suppose that $T=U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of $T$. Utilizing Schwarz inequality in the Hilbert space, Remark 1 and convexity of the function $h(t)=t^{r}$ for $r \geqslant 1$ imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\langle T x, x\rangle| & \left.=|\langle | T|^{s} x,|T|^{t} U^{*} x\right\rangle \mid  \tag{18}\\
& \leqslant\left\||T|^{s} x\right\| \cdot\left\||T|^{t} U^{*} x\right\| \\
& \left.\left.=\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle\left.^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left.\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle+\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle}{2 S(\sqrt{h})} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{\left.\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle^{r}+\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle^{r}}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Also, by using Remark 1 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left.\left.f\left(\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leqslant & f\left(\frac{\left.\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle+\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{S(\sqrt{h})} f\left(\frac{\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle+\langle | T^{*}\left|{ }^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle}{2}\right)  \tag{20}\\
\leqslant & \left.\frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(f\left(\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle\right)+f\left(\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right) \quad(\text { by Lemma } 2.2) \\
\leqslant & \left.\frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left(\left\langle f\left(|T|^{2 s}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)+\left\langle f\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \left.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle-\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right) \quad \quad \text { (by Lemma 2.1) }
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by combining inequalities (18) and (21), we imply the desired inequality (16).
From (19) and applying Holder-McCarthy inequality for the positive operator $|T|^{2 s}$ and $\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t}$ and the convexity of the function $f(t)=t^{r}$ for $r \geqslant 1$ imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\left.\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle^{r}+\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle^{r}}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \leqslant\left(\frac{\left.\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 r s} x, x\right\rangle+\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 r t} x, x\right\rangle}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}  \tag{22}\\
& =\left(\frac{\left\langle\left(|T|^{2 r s}+\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 r t}\right) x, x\right\rangle}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$. Now, combining inequalities (18) and (22) implies that

$$
|\langle T x, x\rangle|^{r} \leqslant \frac{1}{2 S(\sqrt{h})}\left\langle\left(|T|^{2 r s}+\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 r t}\right) x, x\right\rangle
$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$. By taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$ and this fact the operator $|T|^{2 r s}+\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t r}$ is self-adjoint, we have the desired inequality (17), which this improves (3).

Theorem 2.8 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let positive real numbers $m, m^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$ satisfy in the following conditions (i) or (ii):
(i) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}} \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
(ii) $0<m^{\prime} I \leqslant\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}} \leqslant m I<M I \leqslant|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}} \leqslant M^{\prime} I$,
with $h=\frac{M}{m}, 0<\alpha<1$ and $s+t=1$. Then for every $r \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2 r}(T) \leqslant\left\|\frac{\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}|T|^{\frac{2 r s}{\alpha}}+\frac{1-\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 r t}{1-\alpha}}\right\|, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S($.$) is the Specht's ratio.$
Proof. Suppose that $T=U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of $T$. By using Schwarz
inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|\langle T x, x\rangle|^{2} \leqslant\left.\langle | T\right|^{2 s} x, x\right\rangle\left.\langle | T^{*}\right|^{2 t} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} x, x\right\rangle\left\langle\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\alpha} x, x\right\rangle \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We note that, for positive operator $Q$ and $0<w<1$ and unit vector $u \in \mathcal{H},\left\langle Q^{w} u, u\right\rangle \leqslant\langle Q u, u\rangle^{w}$. If we apply this property for positive operators $|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}}$ and $\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}}($ for $0<\alpha<1$ ), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} x, x\right\rangle\left\langle\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\alpha} x, x\right\rangle \leqslant\left\langle\left(|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}}\right) x, x\right\rangle^{\alpha}\left\langle\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}}\right) x, x\right\rangle^{1-\alpha} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$. Now, using Theorem 1.2, it implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left\langle\left(|T|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} x, x\right\rangle\left\langle\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\alpha} x, x\right\rangle \leqslant\left.\frac{\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T\right|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle+\left.\frac{1-\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$.
On the other hand, we have the elementary inequality from the convexity of $h(u)=u^{r}$ (for $r \geqslant 1$ ) in the following:

$$
\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b \leqslant\left(\alpha a^{r}+(1-\alpha) b^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad \alpha \in(0,1), a, b \geqslant 0 .
$$

Using this inequality leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left.\frac{\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T\right|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle+\left.\frac{1-\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle \\
& \left.\left.\leqslant\left(\left.\frac{\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T\right|^{\frac{2 s}{\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle^{r}+\left.\frac{1-\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 t}{1-\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& \left.\left.\leqslant\left(\left.\frac{\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T\right|^{\frac{2 r s}{\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle+\left.\frac{1-\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\langle | T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 r t}{1-\alpha}} x, x\right\rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$. Now, by using inequalities (24), (25), (26) and (27) we reach

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle T x, x\rangle|^{2 r} \leqslant\left\langle\left(\frac{\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}|T|^{\frac{2 r s}{\alpha}}+\frac{1-\alpha}{S\left(h^{r}\right)}\left|T^{*}\right|^{\frac{2 r t}{1-\alpha}}\right) x, x\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$. At the end, we take the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|=1$ in the inequality (28) and we get the interest inequality (23).
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