Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra Vol. 08*, No.* 03*,* 2019*,* 191*-* 202

Ring endomorphisms with nil-shifting property

C. A. K. Ahmed^{a,*}, R. T. M. Salim^a

^a*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, Kurdistan Region, Iraq.*

Received 17 October 2018; Revised 16 May 2019; Accepted 26 May 2019.

Communicated by Shervin Sahebi

Abstract. Cohn called a ring *R* is reversible if whenever $ab = 0$, then $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. The reversible property is an important role in noncommutative ring theory. Recently, Abdul-Jabbar et al. studied the reversible ring property on nilpotent elements, introducing the concept of commutativity of nilpotent elements at zero (simply, a CNZ ring). In this paper, we extend the CNZ property of a ring as follows: Let R be a ring and α an endomorphism of *R*, we say that *R* is right (resp., left) α -nil-shifting ring if whenever $a\alpha(b) = 0$ (resp., $\alpha(a)b = 0$ for nilpotents *a, b* in *R, bα*(*a*) = 0 (resp., $\alpha(b)a = 0$). The characterization of *α*-nil-shifting rings and their related properties are investigated.

 \odot 2019 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

Keywords: CNZ ring, reversible ring, matrix ring, polynomial ring. **2010 AMS Subject Classification**: 46A19, 47L07.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity. Let *R* be a ring. $N^*(R)$ and $N(R)$ denote the upper nilradical (i.e., sum of nil ideals) and the set of all nilpotent elements in *R*, respectively. Note that $N^*(R) \subseteq N(R)$. Denote the *n* by *n* (*n* ≥ 2) full (resp., upper triangular) matrix ring over *R* by $Mat_n(R)$ (resp., $U_n(R)$). Denote ${(a_{ij}) \in U_n(R)$ *∣* the diagonal entries of (a_{ij}) are all equal} by $D_n(R)$. Use e_{ij} , a matrix unit, for the matrix with (i, j) -entry 1 and elsewhere 0. The polynomial (resp., power series) ring with an indeterminate *x* over *R* is denoted by $R[x]$ (resp., $R[[x]]$). \mathbb{Z}_n denotes the ring of integers modulo *n*.

*∗*Corresponding author.

Print ISSN: 2252-0201 ^{**O**} 2019 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

Online ISSN: 2345-5934 *O* 2019 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: chenar.ahmed@uoz.edu.krd (C. A. K. Ahmed); renas.salim@uoz.edu.krd (R. T. M. Salim).

A ring is called reduced if it has no non zero nilpotent elements. Cohn [6] called a ring *R* reversible if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. Recently, a ring R is said to satisfy the commutativity of nilpotent elements at zero (simply, *R* is called a CNZ ring) [1] if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$. Reversible rings are clearly CNZ, but not conversely as in [1, Example 1.1].

According to Krempa [14], an endomorphism α of a ring R is called *rigid* if $a\alpha(a)$ = 0 implies $a = 0$ for $a \in R$, and a ring R is called α -rigid [9] if there exists a rigid endomorphism α of R. Note that any rigid endomorphism of a ring is a monomorphism and *α*-rigid rings are reduced rings by [9, Proposition 5]. Following [8], a ring *R* is said to be *α*-compatible if for each $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow a\alpha(b) = 0$. If *R* is an *α*-compatible ring, then the endomorphism α is clearly a monomorphism. The notion of an α -compatible ring is a generalization of α -rigid rings to the more general case where the ring is not assumed to be reduced.

In [4, Definition 2.1], an endomorphism α of a ring R is called right skew reversible if whenever $ab = 0$ for $a, b \in R$, $b\alpha(a) = 0$, and the ring R is called right α -skew reversible if there exists a right skew reversible endomorphism α of R. Similarly, left α -skew reversible rings are defined. A ring *R* is called *α*-skew reversible if it is both left and right *α*-skew reversible. Note that *R* is an *α*-rigid ring if and only if *R* is semiprime and right α -skew reversible for a monomorphism α of R by [4, Proposition 2.5(iii)]. We change over from "an α -reversible ring" in [4] to "an α -skew reversible ring" to cohere with other related definitions.

An endomorphism α of a ring R is called a right (resp., left) skew CNZ if whenever $ab = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$, $b\alpha(a) = 0$ (resp., $\alpha(b)a = 0$), and the ring *R* is called right (resp., left) α -skew CNZ if there exists a right (resp., left) skew CNZ endomorphism α of R ; the ring *R* is called *α*-skew CNZ if it is both left and right *α*-skew CNZ [2, Definition 2.1].

In [5, Definition 2.1], a ring *R* with an endomorphism α is called right (resp., left) *α*-shifting if whenever $a\alpha(b) = 0$ (resp., $\alpha(a)b = 0$) for $a, b \in R$, $b\alpha(a) = 0$ (resp., $\alpha(b)a = 0$; and the ring *R* is called *α*-shifting if it is both right and left *α*-shifting. It is shown that *R* is an *α*-rigid ring if and only if *R* is right *α*-shifting and $aRa(a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$ for any $a \in R$ in [5, Proposition 1.2].

Note that reversible rings are CNZ, and right *α*-skew reversible rings are right *α*-skew CNZ, but each converse does not hold by [1, Example 2.2] and [2, Example 2.6]. The concepts of reversible rings and right *α*-skew reversible rings do not imply each other by [4, Examples 2.2 and 2.3], and the concepts of CNZ rings and right α -skew CNZ rings are independent on each other by $[1, Example 2.2]$ and $[2, Example 2.6]$, using $[1,$ Theorem 2.7]. Furthermore, the right *α*-skew reversible condition and the right *α*-shifting condition of a ring do not dependent on each other by [5, Example 1.1].

The following diagram shows all implications among the concepts above.

*• {*reduced rings*} −→ {*reversible rings*} −→ {*CNZ rings*}*

{α-compatible rings*}*

↗ • {α-rigid rings*} −→ {*right *α*-skew reversible rings*} −→ {*right *α*-skew CNZ rings*} ↘*

*{*right *α*-shifting rings*}*

Proposition 1.1 Let R be an α -compatible ring. Then

(1) *R* is reversible if and only if *R* is right (left) α -skew reversible if and only if *R* is right (left) α -shifting.

(2) [2, Theorem 2.3(4)] *R* is CNZ if and only if *R* is right (left) α -skew CNZ.

Proof. (1) This is routine, noting that $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow a\alpha(b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha(a)b = 0$ in *R*.

Based on the arguments above, in this paper, we introduce the notation of an *α*-nilshifting ring for an endomorphism α of a ring as a generalization of α -shifting rings and study its related properties. Throughout this paper, α denotes a nonzero endomorphism of a given ring, unless specified otherwise. We denote *id^R* for the identity endomorphism of a given ring *R*.

2. Right *α***-nil-shifting rings**

We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.1 An endomorphism α of a ring R is called right (resp., left) nil-shifting if whenever $a\alpha(b) = 0$ (resp., $\alpha(a)b = 0$) for $a, b \in N(R)$, $b\alpha(a) = 0$ (resp., $\alpha(b)a = 0$), and the ring *R* is called a right (resp., left) α -nil-shifting if there exists a right (resp., left) nil-shifting endomorphism α of *R*. A ring *R* is called α -nil-shifting if it is both left and right α -nil-shifting.

Any right α -shifting ring is clearly right α -nil-shifting but not conversely by next example.

Example 2.2 Consider a ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with an endomorphism α defined by

$$
\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then *R* is obviously right *α*-nil-shifting, since $N(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. For $A =$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$, we obtain $A\alpha(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, but $B\alpha(a) =$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\neq 0$, showing that *R* is not right *α*-shifting.

A ring *R* is a CNZ ring if *R* is one-sided id_R -nil-shifting. Every subring *S* with $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$ of a right α -nil-shifting is also right α -nil-shifting. We use this fact freely. It is easily checked that *R* is CNZ if and only if *R* is right (left) α -skew CNZ if and only if *R* is right (left) α -nil-shifting when *R* is an α -compatible ring, but there exists an α -nil-shifting ring which is not right *α*-skew CNZ ring as follows.

Example 2.3 Let *K* be a field and $A = K\langle a, b \rangle$ be the free algebra with noncommuting indeterminates *a, b* over *K*. Define an automorphism δ of *R* by $a \mapsto b$ and $b \mapsto a$. Let *I* be the ideal of *A* generated by ab , ba , a^3 and b^3 . Set $R = A/I$. Since $\delta(I) \subseteq I$, we can obtain an automorphism *α* of *R* by defining $\alpha(s+I) = \delta(s) + I$ for $s \in A$. We identify every element of *A* with its image in *R* for simplicity. Then *R* is not right α -skew CNZ. For $a, b \in N(R)$, $ab = 0$ but $b\alpha(a) = b^2 \neq 0$ by the construction of *I*.

Now, we show that *R* is right α -nil-shifting. Note that $N(R) = \{ ha + ka^2 + sb + tb^2 \mid h, k, s, t \in K \}.$ Let $x\alpha(y) = 0$ for $x = h_1a + k_1a^2 + s_1b +$ $t_1b^2, y = h_2a + k_2a^2 + s_2b + t_2b^2 \in N(R)$ where $h_i, k_j, s_l, t_m \in K$. Then $0 = x\alpha(y) =$

194 *C. A. K. Ahmed and R. T. M. Salim / J. Linear. Topological. Algebra.* 08*(*03*) (*2019*)* 191*-*202*.*

 $(h_1a + k_1a^2 + s_1b + t_1b^2)(h_2b + k_2b^2 + s_2a + t_2a^2) = h_1s_2a^2 + s_1h_2b^2$ implies that

$$
(h_1 = 0, s_1 = 0), (h_1 = 0, h_2 = 0), (s_2 = 0, s_1 = 0)
$$
 or $(s_2 = 0, h_2 = 0).$

 $(i)h_1 = 0, s_1 = 0$: Since $x = k_1a^2 + t_1b^2$ and $y = h_2a + k_2a^2 + s_2b + t_2b^2$, $y\alpha(x) =$ $(h_2a + k_2a^2 + s_2b + t_2b^2)(k_1\delta(a^2) + t_1\delta(b^2)) = (h_2a + k_2a^2 + s_2b + t_2b^2)(k_1b^2 + t_1a^2) = 0.$ (ii) $h_1 = 0, h_2 = 0$: Since $x = k_1 a^2 + s_1 b + t_1 b^2$ and $y = k_2 a^2 + s_2 b + t_2 b^2$, $y\alpha(x) =$ $(k_2a^2 + s_2b + t_2b^2)(k_1\delta(a^2) + s_1\delta(b) + t_1\delta(b^2)) = (k_2a^2 + s_2b + t_2b^2)(k_1b^2 + s_1a + t_1a^2) = 0.$ (iii) $s_2 = 0, s_1 = 0$: Since $x = h_1a + k_1a^2 + t_1b^2$ and $y = h_2a + k_2a^2 + t_2b^2$, $y\alpha(x) =$ $(h_2a + k_2a^2 + t_2b^2)(h_1\delta(a) + k_1\delta(a^2) + t_1\delta(b^2)) = (h_2a + k_2a^2 + t_2b^2)(h_1b + k_1b^2 + t_1a^2) = 0$ $(iv) s_2 = 0, h_2 = 0$: Since $x = h_1 a + k_1 a^2 + s_1 b + t_1 b^2$ and $y = k_2 a^2 + t_2 b^2$, $y \alpha(x) =$ $(k_2a^2+t_2b^2)(h_1\delta(a)+k_1\delta(a^2)+s_1\delta(b)+t_1\delta(b^2)) = (k_2a^2+t_2b^2)(h_1b+k_1b^2+s_1a+t_1a^2) = 0.$ Therefore *R* is right α -nil-shifting. The proof for the left case is similar.

The next example shows that the concept of an α -nil-shifting ring is not left-right symmetric.

Example 2.4 We adapt [2, Example 2.2]. Consider a ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ and an endomorphism of *R* defined by $\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right)$ $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $N(R) =$ $\int (a \, b)$ 0 *c* $\{a, c \in \{0, 2\}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$. Let $A\alpha(B) = 0$ for $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ 0 *c*) and $B = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & a' \end{pmatrix}$ 0 *c ′*) *∈* $N(R)$. Then $aa' = 0$ and it implies that $B\alpha(A) = 0$. Hence *R* is a right *α*-nil-shifting ring. Next, we show that *R* is not a left *α*-nil-shifting ring. To see this, take $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $B =$ $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in N(R)$. Then $\alpha(A)B = 0$, but $\alpha(B)A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$.

Note that any domain with a monomorphism α is obviously α -nil-shifting, but the converse is not true by Example 2.4.

Proposition 2.5 For a ring R with an endomorphism α , we have the following statements.

(1) If $N(R)^2 = 0$, then *R* is an α -nil-shifting ring.

(2) Let *R* be a CNZ ring. Then (i) *R* is right α -nil-shifting if and only if *R* is α -nilshifting; and (ii) if *R* is right α -skew CNZ and α is a monomorphism, then *R* is right *α*-nil-shifting.

(3) Let *R* be a right *α*-nil-shifting ring with a monomorphism *α*. Then $ab = 0$ if and only if $b\alpha^2(a) = 0$.

(4) Let $\alpha^2 = id_R$. Then *R* is right α -nil-shifting if and only if *R* is CNZ.

Proof. (1) It follows from the fact that $\alpha(N(R)) \subseteq N(R)$.

(2) (i) Suppose that *R* is a right α -nil-shifting ring and let $\alpha(a)b = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$. Then $b\alpha(a) = 0$ and so $a\alpha(b) = 0$. Thus $\alpha(b)a = 0$ since *R* is CNZ and $\alpha(b) \in N(R)$. Hence *R* is left *α*-nil-shifting, entailing that *R* is *α*-nil-shifting.

(ii) Suppose that *R* is right α -skew CNZ with an monomorphism α and let $a\alpha(b) = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$. Then $0 = \alpha(b)\alpha(a) = \alpha(ba)$ and so $ba = 0$ since α is a monomorphism. So $ab = 0$ and hence $b\alpha(a) = 0$ by hypothesis, showing that *R* is right α -nil-shifting.

(3) For $a, b \in N(R)$, $ab = 0$ if and only if $\alpha(a)\alpha(b) = 0$ if and only if $b\alpha^2(a) = 0$.

(4) Suppose that *R* is right α -nil-shifting and let $ab = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$. By (3), we have $b\alpha^2(a) = 0$ and so $ba = 0$. Thus, R is CNZ.

Conversely, assume that *R* is CNZ and let $a\alpha(b) = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$. Then $\alpha(b)a = 0$,

since $\alpha(b) \in N(R)$. Hence, $0 = \alpha(\alpha(b))a = \alpha^2(b)\alpha(a) = b\alpha(a)$ showing that *R* is right α -nil-shifting.

The converse of Proposition 2.5(1) does not hold by Example 2.4(1). Example 2.4 shows that the condition "*R* is a CNZ ring" in Proposition 2.5(2) cannot be dropped. In fact, the ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ is right α -skew CNZ but not CNZ by [2, Example 2.4].

Theorem 2.6 (1) Let α_{γ} be an endomorphism of a ring R_{γ} for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) R_γ is a right α_γ -nil-shifting ring for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(ii) The direct sum $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ of R_{γ} is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting for the endomorphism $\bar{\alpha}$: $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma} \to \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ defined by $\overline{\alpha}((a_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = (a_{\gamma}(a_{\gamma}))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$.

(iii) The direct product $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ of R_{γ} is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting for the endomorphism $\overline{\alpha}$: $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma} \to \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ defined by $\overline{\alpha}((a_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = (\alpha_{\gamma}(a_{\gamma}))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$.

(2) Let *S* be a ring and $\sigma: R \to S$ a ring isomorphism. Then *R* is a right α -nil-shifting if and only if *S* is a right $\sigma \alpha \sigma^{-1}$ -nil-shifting.

Proof. (1) It is enough to show (i)) \Rightarrow (iii), since the class of α -nil-shifting rings is closed under subrings. Note that $N(\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}) \subseteq \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N(R_{\gamma})$ and $\alpha_{\gamma}(R_{\gamma}) \subseteq R_{\gamma}$ for each *γ* $\in \Gamma$. Suppose that R_γ is right *α*-nil-shifting for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and let $A\overline{\alpha}(B) = 0$ where $A = (a_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}, B = (b_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in N(\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}).$ Then $a_{\gamma} \alpha(b_{\gamma}) = 0$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $b_{\gamma} \alpha(a_{\gamma}) = 0$ since R_{γ} is right α -nil-shifting and $a_{\gamma}, b_{\gamma} \in N(R_{\gamma})$. Thus $B\bar{\alpha}(A) = 0$, entailing that the direct product $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ of R_{γ} is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

(2) Clearly, $N(S) = \sigma(N(R))$. Then $a, b \in N(R)$ if and only if $a' = \sigma(a), b' = \sigma(b) \in$ *N*(*S*). So, $a\alpha(b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma(a\alpha(b)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 = \sigma(a)\sigma\alpha(b) = \sigma(a)\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(b)) \Leftrightarrow$ $a' \sigma \alpha \sigma^{-1}(b') = 0$. The proof is complete.

Corollary 2.7 Let *R* be a ring with an endomorphism α . If *e* is a central idempotent of a ring *R* with $\alpha(e) = e$ and $\alpha(1-e) = 1-e$, then eR and $(1-e)R$ are right α -nil-shifting if and only if *R* is right α -nil-shifting.

Proof. It comes from Theorem 2.6(1), since $R \cong eR \oplus (1-e)R$ and the class of right α -nil-shifting rings is closed under subrings.

Recall that for a ring *R* with an endomorphism *α* and an ideal *I* of *R*, if *I* is an *α*-ideal $(a, e, a) \subseteq I$ of *R*, then $\bar{\alpha}: R/I \to R/I$ defined by $\bar{\alpha}(a+I) = \alpha(a) + I$ for $a \in R$ is an endomorphism of a factor ring *R/I*.

Example 2.8 (1) Let *K* be a field and $R = K\langle a,b\rangle$ be the free algebra with noncommuting indeterminates *a, b* over *K*. Then *R* is a domain. Define an automorphism α of *R* by $a \mapsto b$ and $b \mapsto a$. Then *R* is obviously an α -nil-shifting ring. Now, let *I* be the ideal of *R* generated by ab, a^2 and b^3 . For $a + I, b + I \in N(R/I)$, we get $(a+I)\bar{\alpha}((b+I)) = (a+I)(\alpha(b)+I)) = a^2 + I = I$, but $(b+I)\bar{\alpha}((a+I)) = b^2 + I \neq I$ by the construction of *I*. Thus, R/I is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting. This concludes that the class of right α -nil-shifting rings is not closed under homomorphic images.

(2) We refer to [2, Example 2.8]. Let *A* be a reduced ring and consider a ring $R = U_3(A)$ with an endomorphism α defined by

$$
\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}.
$$

196 *C. A. K. Ahmed and R. T. M. Salim / J. Linear. Topological. Algebra.* 08*(*03*) (*2019*)* 191*-*202*.*

Then
$$
N(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b, c, d \in A \right\}
$$
. For $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in N(R)$,

we obtain $x\alpha(y) = 0$, but $y\alpha(x) =$ \mathcal{L} 0 0 0 0 0 0 $\neq 0$. Thus, *R* is not right *α*-nil-shifting.

Now, for a nonzero proper ideal *I* = $\sqrt{ }$ \mathcal{L} 0 0 *A* 0 0 *A* 0 0 *A* \setminus of *R*, $R/I \cong U_2(A)$ is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting

by Proposition 2.5(1) and obviously,

Theorem 2.9 Let *R* be a ring with an endomorphism α . For an α -ideal *I* of *R*, let R/I be a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting ring for some ideal *I* of a ring *R* with $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$. If *I* is an α -rigid as a ring without identity, then *R* is a right α -nil-shifting ring.

Proof. Let $a\alpha(b) = 0$ for $a, b \in N(R)$. Then $b\alpha(a) \in I$ since R/I is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting ring. Then $b\alpha(a)\alpha(b\alpha(a)) = 0$ and so $b\alpha(a) = 0$, since $b\alpha(a) \in I$ and *I* is an α -rigid (and so reduced). Thus, R is right α -nil-shifting.

The condition "*I* is α -rigid as a ring without identity" in Theorem 2.9 is not superfluous by Example 2.8(2): In fact, $(x + I)\bar{\alpha}(x + I) = I$, where $x =$ $\sqrt{ }$ \mathcal{L} 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 \setminus *[∈]/ I.*

For a ring *R* with an endomorphism α and $n \geq 2$, the corresponding $(a_{ij}) \rightarrow (\alpha(a_{ij}))$ induces an endomorphism of $Mat_n(R)$, $U_n(R)$ and $D_n(R)$, respectively. We denote them by $\bar{\alpha}$. Notice that for a reduced ring *R*, both $U_2(R)$ and $D_2(R)$ are $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting for any endomorphism α of R by Proposition 2.5(1). We will freely use these facts without reference.

However, there exists a reduced ring A with an endomorphism α such that $Mat_2(A)$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting as follows.

Example 2.10 Define an automorphism α of \mathbb{Z}_2 by $0 \mapsto 1$ and $1 \mapsto 0$. Consider $R =$ $Mat_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N(R)$, we have

$$
a\bar{\alpha}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(1) & \alpha(1) \\ \alpha(1) & \alpha(1) \end{pmatrix} = 0,
$$

but

$$
b\bar{\alpha}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(0) & \alpha(1) \\ \alpha(0) & \alpha(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.
$$

Thus, $Mat_2(A)$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Remark 1 Note that $Mat_n(R)$ *,* $D_n(R)$ *and* $U_n(R)$ *, for* $n \geq 3$ *are not right* $\bar{\alpha}$ *-nil-shifting for any ring R with an endomorphism* α *such that* $\alpha(1) \neq 0$ (*e.g.,* α *is a monomorphism*). *Let R be a ring with an endomorphism* α *such that* $\alpha(1) \neq 0$ *. For the ring* $D_3(R)$ *, consider* $(0.0 \alpha(1))$

$$
e_{12}, e_{23} \in N(D_3((R))).
$$
 Then $e_{23}\bar{\alpha}(e_{12}) = 0$, but $e_{12}\bar{\alpha}(e_{23}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha(1) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ showing

that $D_3(R)$ *is not right* $\bar{\alpha}$ -*nil-shifting.*

Similarly, we can show that $D_m(R)$ *for* $m \geq 4$ *is not right* $\bar{\alpha}$ -*nil-shifting. Consequently, it can be obtained that* $Mat_n(R)$ *and* $U_n(R)$ *for* $n \geq 3$ *are not right* $\bar{\alpha}$ -*nil-shifting, since the class of* α *-nil-shifting rings is closed under subrings* S *with* $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$ *.*

One may ask whether both $D_2(R)$ and $U_2(R)$ are right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting when either R is a reversible ring or *R* is a right α -nil-shifting ring with an endomorphism α . However the answer is negative by the following example.

Example 2.11 (1) We apply the ring construction and argument in [13, Example 2.1]. Consider the free algebra $A = \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, c \rangle$ with noncommuting indeterminates $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, c$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Define an automorphism δ of A by

 $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, c \mapsto b_0, b_1, b_2, a_0, a_1, a_2, c$

respectively. Let *B* be the set of all polynomials with zero constant terms in *A* and consider the ideal *I* of *A* generated by

$$
a_0a_0, a_0a_1 + a_1a_0, a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0, a_1b_2 + a_2b_1, a_2b_2, a_0rb_0, a_2rb_2,
$$

\n
$$
b_0a_0, b_0a_1 + b_1a_0, b_0a_2 + b_1a_1 + b_2a_0, b_1a_2 + b_2a_1, b_2a_2, b_0ra_0, b_2ra_2,
$$

\n
$$
(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)r(b_0 + b_1 + b_2), (b_0 + b_1 + b_2)r(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)
$$
 and $r_1r_2r_3r_4$,

where $r, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in B$. Then clearly $B^4 \subseteq I$. Set $R = A/I$. Since $\delta(I) \subseteq I$, we can obtain an automorphism α of R by defining $\alpha(s + I) = \delta(s) + I$ for $s \in A$. We identify every element of A with its image in R for simplicity. Then R is a reversible ring by the argument in [13, Example 2.1]. Note that *R* is not right α -nil-shifting, since $a_0\alpha(b_0) = a_0\delta(b_0) = a_0a_0 = 0$ for $a_0, b_0 \in N(R)$, but $b_0\alpha(a_0) = b_0\delta(a_0) = b_0^2 \neq 0$.

Now, we show that $D_2(R)$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting. For $x = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 0 *a*⁰) and $y = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ $0\,b_0$ \setminus in $N(D_2(R))$, we have $x\bar{\alpha}(y) = 0$ by the construction of *I*. But

$$
y\bar{\alpha}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta(a_0) & \delta(a_1) \\ 0 & \delta(a_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \neq 0,
$$

entailing that $D_2(R)$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting. Therefore, we conclude that both $D_n(R)$ and $U_n(R)$ for $n \geq 2$ need not be right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

(2) We use [2, Example 3.5]. Consider a ring $R = U_2(A)$ over a reduced ring A and an endomorphism α of R is defined by

$$
\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then *R* is right *α*-nil-shifting by Proposition 2.5(1). Clearly *R* is not reversible. For

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \in N(D_2(R))
$$

198 *C. A. K. Ahmed and R. T. M. Salim / J. Linear. Topological. Algebra.* 08*(*03*) (*2019*)* 191*-*202*.*

with $A^3 = 0$ and $B^2 = 0$, we have $A\overline{\alpha}(B) = 0$ but

$$
B\bar{\alpha}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.
$$

Thus, $D_2(R)$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting, and it implies that $D_n(R)$ and $U_n(R)$ for $n \geq 2$ need not be right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting, when *R* is right α -nil-shifting with an endomorphism α .

Theorem 2.12 For a ring R with an endomorphism α , the following are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is α -rigid;
- (2) $U_2(R)$ is $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting;
- (3) $U_2(R)$ is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Proof. Recall that if *R* is an *α*-rigid ring, then *R* is reduced and $\alpha(1) = 1$ by [9, Proposition 5. So, it is enough to show that $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $U_2(R)$ be right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting and assume on the contrary that *R* is not *α*-rigid. Then there exists $0 \neq a \in R$ with $a\alpha(a) = 0$. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in N(U_2(R))$, we have $A\bar{\alpha}(B) = 0$ but $B\bar{\alpha}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, entailing that $U_2(R)$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -shifting. This induces a contra-

diction, and so such *a* cannot exist. Thus R is α -rigid.

As a corollary of Proposition 2.5(4) and Theorem 2.12, we get the following.

Corollary 2.13 [1, Theorem 2.7] A ring R is reduced if and only if $U_2(R)$ is a CNZ ring.

The ring " $U_2(R)$ " in Theorem 2.12 cannot be replaced by the ring " $D_2(R)$ " as follows.

Example 2.14 Consider the direct sum $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the endomorphism defined by $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$. Then *R* is a commutative reduced ring, and so $D_2(R)$ is $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting. But *R* is not *α*-rigid, since $(1,0)a((1,0)) = (0,0)$ for $(1,0) \in R$.

For a ring *R* and $n \ge 2$, let $V_n(R)$ be the ring of all matrices (a_{ij}) in $D_n(R)$ such that $a_{st} = a_{(s+1)(t+1)}$ for $s = 1, \ldots, n-2$ and $t = 2, \ldots, n-1$. Note that $V_n(R) \cong \frac{R[x]}{x^n R[x]}$ $\frac{R[x]}{x^n R[x]}$. Note that $V_n(R)$ over an *α*-rigid ring *R* is $\bar{\alpha}$ -shifting by [5, Theorem 3.13(2)] and hence *α*¯-nil-shifting.

3. Extensions of right *α***-nil-shifting rings**

For a ring *R* with an endomorphism α , we denote $R[x; \alpha]$ a *skew polynomial* ring (also called an *Ore extension of endomorphism type*) whose elements are the polynomials $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i, a_i \in R$, where the addition is defined as usual and the multiplication subject to the relation $xa = \alpha(a)x$ for any $a \in R$. The set $\{x^{j}\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ is easily seen to be a left Ore subset of $R[x; \alpha]$, so that one can localize $R[x; \alpha]$ and form the skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[x, x^{-1}]$; α . Elements of $R[x, x^{-1}]$; α are finite sums of elements of the form $x^{-j}ax^i$ where $a \in R$ and *i* and *j* are nonnegative integers. The skew power series ring is denoted by $R[[x; \alpha]]$, whose elements are the series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ for some $a_i \in R$ and nonnegative integers *i*. The *skew Laurent power series* ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ which contains

 $R[[x; \alpha]]$ as a subring, arises as the localization of $R[[x; \alpha]]$ with respect to the Ore set ${x^{j}}_{j\geqslant0}$, and when α is an automorphism of *R*, it consists elements of the form $a_s x^s + a_{s+1} x^{s+1} + \cdots + b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots$, for some $a_i, b_j \in R$ and integers s, i, j , where the addition is defined as usual and the multiplication is defined by the rule $xa = \alpha(a)x$ for any $a \in R$. Note that $\alpha(1) = 1$ for any skew Laurent power series (skew Laurent polynomial) ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]](R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha])$, since $1x^n = x^n = x1x^{n-1} = \alpha(1)x^n$ for any $n \geq 1$ where 1 is the identity of *R*. For a ring *R* with endomorphism α , the corresponding $\sum a_i x^i$ $\rightarrow \sum \alpha(a_i) x^i$ induces an endomorphism of $R[x; \alpha]$, $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$, $R[[x; \alpha]]$ and $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$, respectively. We denote them by $\bar{\alpha}$.

The concept of a right α -nil-shifting ring does not go up to skew polynomial rings (skew power series rings) by next example.

Example **3.1** We adapt the ring in [12, Example 2.8], based on [13, Example 2.1]. Take the same *A* and the automorphism δ of *A* as in Example 2.11. Let *C* be the set of all polynomials with zero constant terms in *A* and consider the ideal *I* of *A* generated by

 $a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0, a_1b_2 + a_2b_1, a_2b_2, a_0rb_0, a_2rb_2,$ b_0a_0 , $b_0a_1 + b_1a_0$, $b_0a_2 + b_1a_1 + b_2a_0$, $b_1a_2 + b_2a_1$, b_2a_2 , b_0ra_0 , b_2ra_2 , $(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)r(b_0 + b_1 + b_2), (b_0 + b_1 + b_2)r(a_0 + a_1 + a_2),$ $a_0a_0, a_2a_2, a_0ra_0, a_2ra_2, b_0b_0, b_2b_2, b_0rb_0, b_2rb_2, r_1r_2r_3r_4$ $a_0a_1 + a_1a_0$, $a_0a_2 + a_1a_1 + a_2a_0$, $a_1a_2 + a_2a_1$, $b_0b_1 + b_1b_0$, $b_0b_2 + b_1b_1 + b_2b_0$, $b_1b_2 + b_2b_1$, $(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)r(a_0 + a_1 + a_2), (b_0 + b_1 + b_2)r(b_0 + b_1 + b_2),$

where $r, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in C$. Then clearly $C^4 \subseteq I$. Set $R = A/I$. Since $\delta(I) \subseteq I$, we can obtain an automorphism α of R by defining $\alpha(s+I) = \delta(s) + I$ for $s \in A$. We identify every element of *A* with its image in *R* for simplicity. For $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x^2 + a_2x^4$, $q(x) = a_0 + a_1x^2 + a_2x^4$ $b_0c + b_1cx^2 + b_2cx^4 \in N(R[x; \alpha]),$ since $C^4 \subseteq I$ we have

$$
p(x)\bar{\alpha}(q(x)) = (a_0 + a_1x^2 + a_2x^4)(a_0c + a_1cx^2 + a_2cx^4) = 0
$$

but, since $b_0cb_1 + b_1cb_0 \neq 0$ we have

$$
q(x)\bar{\alpha}(p(x)) = (b_0c + b_1cx^2 + b_2cx^4)(b_0 + b_1x^2 + b_2x^4) \neq 0.
$$

Thus $R[x; \alpha]$ is not right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting ring. Notice that R is reversible and right α skew CNZ by [13, Example 2.1] and [2, Example 3.6], respectively. Thus *R* is a right *α*-nil-shifting ring by Proposition 2.5(2-ii), since *α* is an automorphism of *R*.

Following [3], a ring *R* is called skew power-serieswise *α*-Armendariz if $a_i b_j = 0$ for all *i* and *j* whenever $p(x)q(x) = 0$ for $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i, q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in R[[x; \alpha]]$. It is shown that *R* is a α -rigid ring if and only if *R* is reduced and skew power-serieswise α -Armendariz in [3, Theorem 3.3(1)]. It is obvious that skew power-serieswise *α*-Armendariz property of a ring is inherited to its subrings, and α is clearly a monomorphism by help of [3, Theorem 3.3(3)]. (We also change over from "a skew power series Armendariz ring with the endomorphism α " in [3] to "a skew power-serieswise α -Armendariz ring".)

Note that every skew power-serieswise *α*-Armendariz ring is *α*-compatible by help of [12, Proposition 3.14], and thus the concepts of CNZ rings, right *α*-skew CNZ rings and right *α*-nil-shifting rings are coincided in skew power-serieswise *α*-Armendariz rings.

Lemma 3.2 [16, Theorem 2.13] Let *R* be a skew power-serieswise $α$ -Armendariz ring and α an automorphism of *R*. If we let *S* is one of symbols $R[x; \alpha]$, $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$, $R[[x; \alpha]]$

or $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]],$ then $N(RS) = N(R)S$.

Theorem 3.3 Let *R* be a skew power-serieswise α -Armendariz ring and α an automorphism of *R*. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is right α -nil-shifting.
- (2) $R[x; \alpha]$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.
- (3) $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.
- (4) $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.
- (5) $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Proof. It suffices to show that $(1) \Rightarrow (5)$: Assume that (1) holds *R* is right *α*-nil-shifting. Let $p(x)\bar{\alpha}(q(x)) = 0$ for $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i, q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in N(R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]])$. Then $a_i, b_j \in N(R)$ by Lemma 3.2 and so $a_i \alpha(b_j) = 0$ for all *i*, *j*. Thus, $b_j \alpha(a_i) = 0$ by (1) and $b_j a^{\tilde{n}}(a_i) = 0$ for any non negative integer *n*, since *R* is *α*-compatible as noted above. This yields $q(x)\bar{\alpha}(p(x)) = 0$, and thus, $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Let *R* be a ring and α a monomorphism of *R*. Now, we consider the Jordan's construction of an over-ring of *R* by α (see [11] for more details). Let $A(R, \alpha)$ be the subset $\{x^{-i}rx^i \mid r \in R \text{ and } i \geq 0\}$ of the skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$. Note that for $j \geq 0$, $x^{j}r = \alpha^{j}(r)x^{j}$ implies $rx^{-j} = x^{-j}\alpha^{j}(r)$ for $r \in R$. This yields that for each $j \geq 0$ we have $x^{-i}rx^{i} = x^{-(i+j)}\alpha^{j}(r)x^{i+j}$. It follows that $A(R, \alpha)$ forms a subring of $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$ with the following natural operations: $x^{-i}rx^{i} + x^{-j}sx^{j} =$ $x^{-(i+j)}(\alpha^{j}(r) + \alpha^{i}(s))x^{i+j}$ and $(x^{-i}rx^{i})(x^{-j}sx^{j}) = x^{-(i+j)}\alpha^{j}(r)\alpha^{i}(s)x^{i+j}$ for $r, s \in R$ and *i, j* \geq 0. Note that *A*(*R, α*) is an over-ring of *R,* and the map $\bar{\alpha}$: *A*(*R, α*) \rightarrow *A*(*R, α*) defined by $\bar{\alpha}(x^{-i}rx^{i}) = x^{-i}\alpha(r)x^{i}$ is an automorphism of $A(R, \alpha)$. Jordan showed, with the use of left localization of the skew polynomial $R[x; \alpha]$ with respect to the set of powers of x, that for any pair (R, α) , such an extension $A(R, \alpha)$ always exists in [11]. This ring *A*(*R, α*) is usually said to be the Jordan extension of *R* by *α*.

Proposition 3.4 For a ring *R* with a monomorphism α , *R* is right α -nil-shifting if and only if the Jordan extension $A = A(R, \alpha)$ of R by α is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Proof. It is sufficient to show the necessity. Suppose that *R* is right α -nil-shifting and $c\bar{\alpha}(d) = 0$ for $c = x^{-i}rx^{i}$, $d = x^{-j}sx^{j} \in N(A)$ for $i, j \ge 0$. Then $r, s \in N(R)$ obviously. From $c\bar{\alpha}(d) = 0$, we get $\alpha^{j}(r)\alpha^{i+1}(s) = 0$ and so $0 = \alpha^{i}(s)\alpha(\alpha^{j}(r)) = \alpha^{i}(s)\alpha^{j+1}(r)$ by hypothesis. Hence,

$$
d\bar{\alpha}(c) = (x^{-j}sx^{j})\bar{\alpha}(x^{-i}rx^{i}) = (x^{-j}sx^{j})(x^{-i}\alpha(r)x^{i})
$$

= $x^{-(j+i)}\alpha^{i}(s)\alpha^{j}(\alpha(r))x^{i+j} = x^{-(j+i)}\alpha^{i}(s)\alpha^{j+1}(r))x^{i+j} = 0.$

Therefore, the Jordan extension *A* is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Let *R* be an algebra over a commutative ring *S*. Due to Dorroh [7], the Dorroh extension of *R* by *S* is the Abelian group $R \times S$ with multiplication given by $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2)$ = $(r_1r_2 + s_1r_2 + s_2r_1, s_1s_2)$ for $r_i \in R$ and $s_i \in S$. We use *D* to denote the Dorroh extension of *R* by *S*. For an *S*-endomorphism *α* of *R* and the Dorroh extension *D* of *R* by *S*, $\overline{\alpha}: D \to D$ defined by $\overline{\alpha}(r,s) = (\alpha(r), s)$ is an *S*-algebra homomorphism.

Theorem 3.5 Let *R* be an algebra over a commutative reduced ring *S* with an *S*endomorphism α . Then *R* is a right α -nil-shifting ring if and only if the Dorroh extension *D* of *R* by *S* is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Proof. It can be easily checked that $N(D) = (N(R), 0)$ since S is a commutative reduced

ring. Then every nilpotent element D is of the form $(r, 0)$ for some nilpotent element r of *R*. Thus, $(r_1, 0)\bar{\alpha}((r_2, 0)) = (0, 0)$ if and only if $r_1\alpha(r_2) = 0$. This implies that *R* is right α -nil-shifting if and only if the Dorroh extension *D* is $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

An element *u* of a ring *R* is *right regular* if $ur = 0$ implies $r = 0$ for $r \in R$. Similarly, *left regular* is defined, and *regular* means if it is both left and right regular (and hence not a zero divisor). Assume that *M* is a multiplicatively closed subset of *R* consisting of central regular elements. Let α be an automorphism of *R* and assume $\alpha(m) = m$ for every $m \in M$. Then $\alpha(m^{-1}) = m^{-1}$ in $M^{-1}R$ and the induced map $\bar{\alpha}m : M^{-1}R \to M^{-1}R$ defined by $\bar{\alpha}(u^{-1}a) = u^{-1}\alpha(a)$ is also an automorphism.

Proposition 3.6 Let *R* be a ring with an automorphism α and assume that there exists a multiplicatively closed subset *M* of *R* consisting of central regular elements and $\alpha(m) = m$ for every $m \in M$. Then *R* is a right α -nil-shifting ring if and only if $M^{-1}R$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting ring.

Proof. It suffices to prove the necessary condition. First, note that $N(M^{-1}R)$ = $M^{-1}N(R)$. Suppose that *R* is right *α*-nil-shifting. Let $A\bar{\alpha}(B) = 0$ with $A = u^{-1}a$, $B = v^{-1}b \in N(M^{-1}R)$ where $u, v \in M$ and $a, b \in N(R)$. Then $a\alpha(b) = 0$ and so $b\alpha(a) = 0$ by assumption. Thus,

$$
B\bar{\alpha}(A) = v^{-1}b\bar{\alpha}(u^{-1}a) = v^{-1}u^{-1}b\alpha(a) = 0
$$

showing that $M^{-1}R$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting ring.

Let *R* be a ring with an endomorphism *α*. Recall that the map $R[x] \to R[x]$ (resp., $R[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow R[x, x^{-1}]$ defined by $\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha(a_i) x^i$ (resp., $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ ∑ *7→* $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha(a_i) x^i$ is an endomorphism of $R[x]$ (resp., $R[x, x^{-1}]$), and clearly the map extends *α*. We still denote the extended maps $R[x] \to R[x]$ and $R[x, x^{-1}] \to R[x, x^{-1}]$ by $\bar{\alpha}$.

Corollary 3.7 Let *R* be a ring with an endomorphism α such that $\alpha(1) = 1$. Then $R[x]$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting if and only if $R[x; x^{-1}]$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

Proof. It directly follows from Proposition 3.6. For, letting $M = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$, M is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[x]$ such that $R[x, x^{-1}] = M^{-1}R[x]$ and $\bar{\alpha}(x) = x$ since $\alpha(1) = 1.$

A ring *R* is called right Ore if for given $a, b \in R$ with *b* is regular, there exists $a_1, b_1 \in R$ with b_1 regular such that $ab_1 = ba_1$. It is well-known fact that R is a right Ore ring if and only if the classical right quotient ring $Q(R)$ of R exists. Let R be a ring with the classical right quotient ring $Q(R)$. Then each automorphism α of R extends to $Q(R)$ by setting $\bar{\alpha}(ab^{-1}) = \alpha(a)(\alpha(b))^{-1}$ for $a, b \in R$, assuming that $\alpha(b)$ is regular for each regular element $b \in R$.

Recall that a ring *R* is called *NI* [15] if $N^*(R) = N(R)$. Note that *R* is NI if and only if $N(R)$ forms an ideal if and only if $R/N^*(R)$ is reduced.

Theorem 3.8 Let *R* be a right Ore ring with the classical right quotient ring *Q*(*R*) of *R* and *α* an automorphism of *R*. If $Q(R)$ is an NI ring, then *R* is a right *α*-nil-shifting ring if and only if $Q(R)$ is a right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting ring.

Proof. It suffices to establish the necessity. Let $Q(R)$ be an NI ring and R be a right *α*-nil-shifting. Then *R* is NI by [10, Lemma 2.1]. We freely use these assumption without reference in the following procedure. Let $A\bar{\alpha}(B) = 0$ for $A = ab^{-1}, B = cd^{-1} \in N(Q(R)),$ where $a, b, c, d \in R$ with b, d regular. Set I and J be the ideals of $Q(R)$ generated by *A* and $\bar{\alpha}(B)$, respectively. Then both *I* and *J* are nil with $a = Ab \in I$ and $\alpha(c) =$

 $B\alpha(d) \in J$, and so $a, \alpha(c) \in N(R)$ and moreover $c \in N(R)$. Since R is right Ore, there exist $c_1, b_1 \in R$ with b_1 regular such that $bc_1 = \alpha(c)b_1$ and $c_1b_1^{-1} = b^{-1}\alpha(c)$. Here note that $c_1 \in N(R)$. Indeed, $bc_1 = \alpha(c)b_1 \in J$ and so $c_1 = b^{-1}(bc_1) \in J$. From $0 = A\bar{\alpha}(B) = ab^{-1}\bar{\alpha}(cd^{-1}) = ab^{-1}\alpha(c)\alpha(d)^{-1} = ac_1b_1^{-1}\alpha(d^{-1}),$ we have $0 = ac_1 = a\alpha(c')$ putting $c_1 = \alpha(c')$ for some $c' \in N(R)$ and so $c'\alpha(a) = 0$ implies $c'\alpha(a)\alpha(b) = 0$. Thus $c' \alpha(ab) = 0 \Rightarrow ab \alpha(c') = 0 \Rightarrow abc_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a \alpha(c)b_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a \alpha(c) = 0$ and $c \alpha(a) = 0$.

Now for $a \in N(R)$, $d \in R$ with *d* regular, there exist $a_1 \in N(R)$, $d_1 \in R$ with *d*₁ regular such that $da_1 = \alpha(a)d_1$ where $\alpha(a) \in N(R)$ and $a_1d_1^{-1} = d^{-1}\alpha(a)$ by the same computation as above. Then $a_1 = d^{-1}\alpha(a)d_1 \in N(R)$ because $\alpha(a) \in N(R)$. Put $a_1 = \alpha(a')$ for some $a' \in N(R)$. Then, we have

$$
0 = c\alpha(a) = c\alpha(a)d_1 = cda_1 = cd\alpha(a')
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow 0 = a'\alpha(c)\alpha(d) \Rightarrow a'\alpha(c) = 0, \text{ since } \alpha(d) \text{ is regular}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow 0 = c\alpha(a') = ca_1.
$$

Thus,

$$
B\bar{\alpha}(A) = cd^{-1}\bar{\alpha}(ab^{-1}) = c(d^{-1}\alpha(a))\alpha(b)^{-1} = ca_1d_1^{-1}\alpha(b)^{-1} = 0,
$$

concluding that $Q(R)$ is right $\bar{\alpha}$ -nil-shifting.

References

- [1] A. M. Abdul-Jabbar, C. A. K. Ahmed, T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, On Commutativity of nilpotent elements at zero, Comm. Korean. Math. Soc. 32 (4) (2017), 811-826.
- [2] A. M. Abdul-Jabbar, C. A. K. Ahmed, T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, Y. J. Seo, Zero commutativity of nilpotent elements skewed by ring endomorphisms, Comm. Algebra. 45 (11) (2017), 4881-4895.
- [3] M. Başer, A. Harmanci, T. K. Kwak, Generalized semicommutative rings and their extensions, Bull. Korean. Math. Soc. 45 (2008), 285-297.
- M. Başer, C. Y. Hong, T. K. Kwak, On extended reversible rings, Algebra. Colloq. 16 (2009), 37-48.
- [5] M. Başer, F. Kaynabca, T. K. Kwak, Ring endomorphism with the reversible condition, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 25 (2010), 349-364.
- P. M. Cohn, Reversible rings, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 31 (1999), 641-648.
- [7] J. L. Dorroh, Concerning adjunctions to algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1932), 85-88.
- [8] E. Hashemi, A. Moussavi, Polynomial extensions of quasi-Baer rings, Acta. Math. Hungar. 107 (2005), 207- 224.
- [9] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim, T. K. Kwak, Ore extension of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra. 151 (2000), 215-226.
- [10] S. U. Hwang, Y. C. Jeon, Y. Lee, Structure and topological conditions of NI rings, J. Algebra. 302 (2006), 186-199.
- [11] D. A. Jordan, Bijective extensions of injective ring endomorphisms, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 25 (1982), 435-448.
- [12] N. K. Kim, T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, Insertion-of-Factors-Property skewed by ring endomorphisms, Taiwan. J. Math. 18 (2014), 849-869.
- [13] N. K. Kim, Y. Lee, Extensions of reversible rings, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra. 185 (2003), 207-223.
- [14] J. Krempa, Some example of reduced rings, Algebra. Colloq. 3 (1996), 289-300.
- [15] G. Marks, On 2-primal Ore extensions, Comm. Algebra. 29 (2001), 2113-2123.
- [16] A. R. Nasr-Isfahani, A. Moussavi, On skew power serieswise Armendariz rings, Comm. Algebra. 39 (2011), 3114-3132.