

International Journal of Agricultural Management & Development (IJAMAD) Available online on: www.ijamad.com ISSN: 2159-5852 (Print) ISSN:2159-5860 (Online)

A Logistic Regression Analysis: Agro-Technical Factors Impressible from Fish Farming in Rice Fields, North of Iran

Seyyed Ali Noorhosseini-Niyaki 1*, Mohammad Sadegh Allahyari 2

Received:5 April 2012, Accepted: 25 July 2012

ADSURAG

Keywords: Rice-Fish Farming, Technical-Agronomic Factors, Pest, Weed, Plow, Fertilizer

his study was carried out to identify Technical-Agronomic Factors Impressible from Fish Farming in Rice Fields. This investigation carried out by descriptive survey during July-August 2009. Studied cities including Talesh, Rezvanshahr and Masal set in Tavalesh region near to Caspian Sea, North of Iran. The questionnaire validity and reliability were determined to enhance the dependability of the results. Data were collected from 184 respondents (61 adopters and 123 non-adopters) randomly sampled from selected villages and analyzed using logistic regression analysis. Results showed that there was a significant positive relationship (p<0.05) between biological control of pests in rice fields and the fish farming in rice fields. Also, there was a significant negative relationship (p < 0.10) between the fish farming in rice fields and variables of quantity using pesticide of Diazinon in rice fields and number of plows in rice fields.

¹Department of Agronomy, Lahidjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahidjan, Iran

²Department of Agricultural Management, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

* Corresponding author's email: Noorhosseini.SA@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The earliest records of fish culture in ricefields originate from China, circa 2000 years ago, followed by India, 1500 years ago. Other countries with a recorded history of rice-fish culture are Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Japan, Madagascar, Italy, Russia, Vietnam (Rothuis, 1998), Egypt, Philippines, Bangladesh, Cambodia, Korea and other countries (Saikia and Das, 2008; Frei and Becker, 2005; Halwart, 1998). Also in northern Iran rice-fish farming is a new farming system (Karami et al., 2006; Noorhosseini and Allahyari, 2010). Integrated rice-fish farming offers a solution to economic problem of farmers by contributing to food, income and nutrition. Not only the adequate supply of carbohydrate, but also the supply of animal protein is significant through rice-fish farming. Fish, particularly small fish, are rich in micronutrients and vitamins, and thus human nutrition can be greatly improved through fish consumption (Larsen et al., 2000; Ahmed and Garnett, 2011; Noorhosseini and Mohammadi, 2010). Many reports suggest that integrated rice-fish farming is ecologically sound because fish improve soil fertility by increasing the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus (Giap et al., 2005; Dugan et al., 2006; Noorhosseini, 2012). The feeding behavior of fish in rice fields causes aeration of the water. Integrated rice-fish farming is also being regarded as an important element of integrated pest management (IPM) in rice crops (Berg, 2001; Hilbrands and Yzerman, 2004). At the farm level rice-fish integration reduces use of fertilizer, pesticides and herbicides in the field. Such reduction of costs lowers farmer's economic load and increases their additional income from fish sale (Noorhosseini, 2010; Noorhosseini and Radjabi, 2010). Also, integrated rice-fish farming gave higher rice yields and

fetched higher gross margin than sole rice cropping system (Das et al., 2002; Hossain et al., 2005). Ahmed and Garnett (2011) Reported that higher yields can be achieved by increasing inputs in the integrated farming system. Integrated rice-fish farming also provides various socioeconomic and environmental benefits. Nevertheless, only a small number of farmers are involved in integrated rice-fish farming due to a lack of technical knowledge, and an aversion to the risks associated with flood and drought. In addition, Ahmed et al., (2011) Reported that rice-fish farming is as production efficient as rice monoculture and that integrated performs better in terms of cost and technical efficiency compared with alternate rice-fish farming. However, a lack of technical knowledge of farmers, high production costs and risks associated with flood and drought are inhibiting more widespread adoption of the practice. Our objective was to identify technical-agronomic factors impressible from fish farming in rice fields in north of Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Studied Location and Survey: This study was carried out by survey during July and August 2009. Studied area including Talesh, Rezvanshahr and Masal set in Tavalesh region of Guilan province near to Caspian Sea, north of Iran (Figure 1). Respondents were selected from rural area and categorized into adopters and non-adopters of integrated rice-fish farming. Totally 184 farmers were selected by stratified random sampling technique using the table for determining the sample from given population developed by Bartlett et al., (2001) that including 61 (33.15%) adopters and 123 (66.85%) nonadopters (Table 1). This survey was conducted in using a questionnaire with open-ended questions. The questionnaire was pre-tested by in-

Table 1: Total sample size used in the study area

	Talesh	Masal	Rezvanshahr	Total
IRFF Adopters Population	31	31	17	17
IRFF Adopters Sample Size	19	28	14	14
IRFF Non-adopters Sample Size	38	56	29	29



Figure 1: Site of study

terviewing three farmers (not included in the study). After some modifications, it was tested again with 10 other respondents.

Statistical Analysis: The technical-agronomic variables for the two groups were examined using logistic regression model. The dependent variable was the adoption of rice-fish farming. The dependent variable was dichotomized with a value 1 if a farmer was an adopter of integrated rice-fish farming and 0 if non-adopter. The definitions and measurement of variables are present in Table 2. AF, AH, MW, AP, BP and WI were entered in the model as dummy variables. The other variables namely QF, QD and NP were entered as continuous variables. Data analysis was conducted with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 18).

The model was specified as follows;

Y=f(AF,		3 4337	AD DD	OD	A TD	TT TT
$V - f / \Lambda L$				1 N N		\mathbf{X}
I = I (A)	VIP ALL		4 F D F			

strength of the joint effect of the covariates on probability of adoption among farmers in the zone. The results also showed that the decision on adoption of rice-fish farming is determined by biological control of pests in rice fields (BP), quantity using Diazinon in rice fields (QD) and number of plows in rice fields (NP) which have significant influence. Also, the Wald indicating the relative contribution of individual variable to probability of adoption of rice-fish farming showed that BP (4.538) was the most important factor determining choice of adoption of ricefish farming among the rice farmers. Generally, the results of logistic regression show that there was a significant positive relationship (p < 0.05)between biological control of pests in rice fields and the fish farming in rice fields (Table 3). These results were similar to Frei and Becker (2005) and Kathiresan (2007). In other words, fish farming in rice fields reduced the use of chemical control methods that is light reason of rice-fish farming system sustainability. Also, there was a significant negative relationship (p < 0.10) between the fish farming in rice fields and quantity using Diazinon in rice fields (Table 3). These results are consistent with Saikia and Das (2008), Salehi and Momen Nia (2006). The use of chemical control methods reduced with adoption of rice-fish farming which is also

Dependent variable Y = Adoption	Adopters = 1, Non adopters = 0		
Independent variable	Yes = 1, No = 0		
AF = Application of Chemical Fertilizers	Kg/ha		
QF = Quantity Using Chemical Fertilizers	Yes = 1, No = 0		
AH = Application of Herbicides	Yes = 1, No = 0		
MW = Mechanical Control of Weed	Yes = 1, No = 0		
AP = Application of Pesticides	Yes = 1, No = 0		
BP = Biological Control of Pests	Kg/ha		
QD = Quantity Using Diazinon	Number in year		
NP = Number of Plows	Very mach = 5, Much = 4, Intermediate = 3, Little = 2, Very		
WI = Accessibility to Water Supply for Irrigation	little = 1		

Table 2: Definition of variables included in the regression model

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the Logit likelihood regression model indicated that the overall predictive power of the model (70.1%) is quite high, while the significant Chi square (p<0.01) is indicative of

compatible with sustainable agriculture. Since many fish species feed partly on the aquatic fauna, it has been assumed that they can act as biological control agents in rice fields. Concurrent rice and fish culture decrease pesticides appli-

225

Fish Farming in Rice Fields/ Noorhosseini and Allahyari

	В	S.E.	Wald	Sig.
AF	-19.131	25320.477	0.000	0.999
QF	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.943
AH	-21.476	18858.287	0.000	0.999
MW	20.493	40192.879	0.000	1.000
AP	0.611	0.558	1.200	0.273
BP	0.996	0.468	4.538	0.033**
QD	-0.029	0.016	3.311	0.069*
NP	-0.717	0.396	3.273	0.070*
WI	0.112	0.167	0.450	0.502
Constant	20.822	51110.003	0.000	1.000

Table 3: Logistic regression coefficients of the technical-agronomic factors affecting adoption of rice-fish farming

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05 and * p<0.10 -2 log likelihood = 204.830 Chi square statistic = 28.943***

Overall Correct predictions = 70.1%

cation compare monoculture (Berg, 2002; Noorhosseini, 2011). In general, common carp, being an omnivorous feeder, seems to be the most promising species in controlling insects and snails [15]. In addition, there was a significant negative relationship (p<0.10) between fish farming in rice fields and number of plows in rice fields (Table 3). According to results, by adoption of rice-fish farming, number of plows was reduced. It seems that the decrease of tillage frequency among adopters, caused by farms occupied by fishes is the time dimension.

CONCLUSION

In general, our results suggest that biological control of pests in rice fields, quantity using Diazinon in rice fields, and numbers of plows in rice fields were the most important technical-agronomic factors impressible from fish farming in rice fields. In other words, adopters of rice-fish farming used less chemical materials in order to control pests and reduce the number of plows. Furthermore, society health and environment sustainability will be saved and they reach more profit that is economical. Also, since aquaculture requires resources such as pond, land, water and other inputs, poor farmers cannot afford the requirements. As a target to understand and meet their needs and to access the common water resources available in their rice-fields, rice-fish farming is the most appropriate technology in recent times.

REFERENCES

1- Ahmed, N. & Garnett, T.S. (2011). Integrated Rice-fish Farming in Bangladesh: Meeting the challenges of food security. Food Security. 3(1): 81-92. 2- Ahmed, N., Zander, K.K., & Garnett, S.T. (2011). Socioeconomic Aspects of Rice-fish Farming in Bangladesh: Opportunities, Challenges and Production Efficiency. The Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 55: 199–219.

3- Bartlett, J.E., J.W. Kotrlik and C.C. Higgins, (2001) Organizational Research: Determining Appropriate Sample Size in Survey Research. Information Technology, Learning and Performance Journal, 19(1): 43-50.

4- Berg, H. (2001) Pesticide Use in Rice and Rice-Fish Farms in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Crop Protection, 20: 897–905.

5-Berg, H. (2002) Rice Monoculture and Integrated Rice–Fish Farming in the Mekong Delta, Vietnameconomic and ecological considerations. Ecological Economics, 41: 95– 107.

6- Das, D.R., Quddus, M.A., Khan, A.H. & Nur-e-Elahi, M. (2002). Farmers Participatory Productivity Evaluation of Integrated Rice and Fish Systems in Transplanted Aman rice. Pakistan Journal Agronomi. 1(2-3): 105-106.

7- Dugan, P., Dey, M.M., & Sugunan, V.V. (2006). Fisheries and Water Productivity in Tropical River Basins: Enhancing Food Security and Livelihoods by Managing Water for Fish. Agricultural Water Management, 80: 262–275.

8- Frei. M., & K., Becker. (2005). Integrated Rice-Fish Culture: Coupled Production Saves Resources. Natural Resources Forum. 29: 135–143.

9- Giap, D.H., Yi, Y., & Lin, C.K. (2005). Effects of

Fish Farming in Rice Fields/ Noorhosseini and Allahyari

Different Fertilization and Feeding Regimes on the Production of Integrated Farming of Rice and Prawn Macrobrachium Rosenbergii (De Man). Aquaculture Research, 36: 292–299.

10- Halwart, M. (1998). Trends in Rice-Fish Farming. FAO Aquaculture Newsletter, 18: 3-11.

11- Hilbrands, A., & Yzerman, C. (2004). On-Farm Fish Culture. Printed by: Digigrafi, Wageningen, the Netherlands. Second edition. 67p.

12- Hossain, S.T., Sugimoto, H., Ahmed, G.J.U., & Islam, M.R. (2005). Effect of Integrated Rice-Duck Farming on Rice Yield, Farm Productivity, and Rice-Provisioning Ability of Farmers. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Development. 2 (1): 79-86.

13- Karami E. A., Rezaei Moghadam K., Ahmadvand M., & Lari, M.B. (2006). Adoption of Rice- Fish Farming (RFF) in Fars province. Iranian Journal Agriculture. Extension & Education. 2(2): 31-43.

14- Larsen, T., Thilsted, S.H., Kongsbak, K., & Hansen, M. (2000). Whole Small Fish as a Rich Calcium Source. British Journal of Nutrition. 83: 191–196.

15- Noorhosseini-Niyaki, S.A. (2010). Fish Farming in Rice Fields Toward Sustainable Agriculture: The Case Study in Guilan Province. The First National Conference on Sustainable and Cleaner Product, Esfahan of Iran. Nov 10-11, 2010.

16- Noorhosseini-Niyaki, S.A. (2011). Ecological and Biological Effects of Fish Farming In Rice Fields. Regional Congress of Sustainable Management Science-based in Agriculture and Natural Resources. Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran. 21-22 May 2011. pp: 244-250. 17- Noorhosseini-Niyaki, S.A. (2012) Production of Fish Varieties in Rice Fields Simultaneously. National Conference on Food Industries, Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University, Quchan Branch, Iran.

18- Noorhosseini-Niyaki, S.A., & Allahyari, M.S. (2010). Problems of Fish Farming in Rice Fields: The Case Study in Guilan Province. The First National Conference of Aquatic Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Booshehr Branch, Iran. 23-24 Feb, 2010. 15p.

19- Noorhosseini-Niyaki, S.A., & Mohammadi, N. (2010). Environmental and Social Benefits of Fish Farming in Rice Fields. The 4th Conference and Exhibition on Environmental Engineering, Tehran University, Iran.

20- Noorhosseini-Niyaki, S.A., & Radjabi, R. (2010). Decline Application of Insecticide and Herbicides in Integrated Rice-Fish Farming: the Case Study in North of Iran. American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture & Environ Science. 8(3): 334-338.

21- Rothuis, A. (1998). Rice-Fish Culture in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam: Constraint Analysis and Adaptive Research. Thesis Submitted for the Award of the Degree of Doctor of Science. Department of Biology, Laboratory of Ecology and Aquaculture, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Vietnam. 113p.

22- Saikia. S.K., & Das. D.N. (2008). Rice-Fish Culture and its Potential in Rural Development: A Lesson From Apatani Farmers, Arunachal Pradesh, India Journal Agriculture Rural Development. 6(1&2): 125-131.

23- Salehi, H, Momen-Nia, M. (2006) The Benefits of Fish and Rice Integrated Culture in Iran. Iranian Journal Fish Science. 15(3):97-108.

24- Kathiresan, R.M. (2007). Integration of Elements of a Farming System for Sustainable Weed and Pest Management in the Tropics. Crop Prot 26: 424-429.

227