

Effect of Corn Silage Combined with Prebiotic or Probiotic on Performance, Immune Response, Blood Parameters, and Bone Indices in Molted Layer Hens

Research Article

M. Akbari Alaei¹, B. Dastar^{1*}, T. Ghoorchi¹, A. Khosravi¹ and M. Alemi²

¹ Department of Animal and Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Science, Gorgan University of Agricultural Science and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran

² Department of Animal and Poultry Physiology, Faculty of Animal Science, Gorgan University of Agricultural Science and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran

Received on: 9 Dec 2022

Revised on: 11 Mar 2023

Accepted on: 14 Mar 2023

Online Published on: Jun 2023

*Correspondence E-mail: dastar@gau.ac.ir

© 2010 Copyright by Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran

Online version is available on: www.ijas.ir

ABSTRACT

This experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of corn silage with prebiotic or probiotic on performance, immune response, and bone indices in layer hens during the molting period. Sixty 89-weeks old hens after the acclimatization period was used in a completely randomized design with five treatments including production diet (PD), feed withdrawal (FW), 20% PD plus 80% corn silage (CS80), 80% corn silage with 20% PD and probiotic at a level of 0.5 g/kg (CS80+Pro); 80% corn silage with 20% PD and prebiotic at a level of 1 g/kg (CS80+Pre). Four replicates of three birds were allocated to each treatment and the experiment lasted for 14 days. Results indicated that hens fed corn silage alone or combined with prebiotic or probiotic had significantly lesser feed intake than the PD group ($P < 0.05$). Hens subjected to feed withdrawal treatment or corn silage feeding loss of roughly 22 to 30 percent of their body weight which was significantly lesser than hens fed PD ($P < 0.05$). The FW and the use of corn silage alone or with prebiotic or probiotic compared to PD, resulted in a significant decrease of the ovary and liver weights ($P < 0.05$). Blood phosphorus concentration was significantly higher in corn silage with prebiotic or probiotic treatments than in FW and PD treatments ($P < 0.05$). This experiment showed that corn silage could be used as an effective method for force molting instead of feed withdrawal.

KEY WORDS corn silage, laying hen, molt, prebiotic, probiotic.

INTRODUCTION

Molt induction is a management tool used to prolong hen lay. This physiological process is associated with severe loss of feed intake, weight loss, productive tract reflux, and ovulation pause (Glatz and Tilbrook, 2020). Molt induction at the end of the laying phase will improve the egg quality of aged hens, more eggs produced, prolong the reproductive period, and ultimately increase the economic efficiency of commercial laying hens (Ayasi *et al.* 2022). One of the most common methods is to remove the feed and change the length of the light to reduce the weight by 25% to 30%.

With the regression of the bird's reproductive system, the bird's production cessation and prepares for the next production period (Moghaddam *et al.* 2018). The hens that lost the most body weight showed the greatest improvement in egg shell quality and the highest egg production in the next laying cycle (Baker *et al.* 1983).

Starvation puts stress on the bird, and therefore molting causes to weaken the immune system (Landers *et al.* 2007). This situation makes birds involved with pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella* (Holt, 2003), and susceptible to bone problems such as osteoporosis and bone fragility in older hens in the post-molting period (Park *et al.* 2004). There-

fore, feed withdrawal for several days is immoral and endangers birds' health.

High-fiber diets such as alfalfa meal may be used in weight-loss programs (Ayasi *et al.* 2022). Corn silage is a high-fiber feed obtained from the controlled fermentation of whole corn by anaerobic bacteria (lactic acid-producing bacteria) and is widely used as animal feed. In addition, corn silage and some feed additives such as prebiotics and probiotics increase the production of short-chain fatty acids and decrease the pH of the cecum by expanding the population of lactic acid-producing bacteria (Khan *et al.* 2020).

Probiotics are live microorganisms that provide health benefits to the host when administered in sufficient amounts (Hill *et al.* 2014). Prebiotics are a substrate that is selectively used by host microorganisms and has beneficial effects on health (Gibson *et al.* 2017). Prebiotics and probiotics increase the production of short-chain fatty acids and decrease the pH of the cecum by expanding the population of lactic acid-producing bacteria, which reduces the population of pathogenic microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tract (Donalson *et al.* 2008; Neal-McKinney *et al.* 2012). On the other hand, improving the function of the immune system (Pourabedin and Zhao, 2015) and improving the morphological characteristics of the intestine have also been well-proven (Zhao *et al.* 2019). Egg weight and egg mass improved in laying hens fed with probiotic (Protexin) supplementing the diet (Khan *et al.* 2011). The use of Protexin probiotic in laying hens can improve performance and egg traits (Nobakht and Fard, 2016).

This study aimed to evaluate the immune response and some blood parameters during the molting period and the performance in molting hens fed by corn silage with and without multi-species probiotics (Protexin) or prebiotics (Bio-Mos[®]) in comparison with feed-deprived birds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Birds and experimental design

The animal trial was conducted following the Animal Care and Use Review Committee guidelines of Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran (Approval No. MAD 1392A/116/40).

A total of 60 Lohmann Selected Leghorn (LSL) 89-weeks old were obtained from a local commercial layer and then placed in the wire cage system (dimensions of 40×45 cm) under controlled climate conditions. Further, the hens were divided into five treatment groups with four replicates in each treatment (three birds per replicate). Before the experiment, laying hens were kept for ten days for the proper terminology adjustment period. Daily 110 g feed was offered to each hen and a light period of 16 hours of light and 8 hours (hr) of dark (16L:8D) was adopted.

The mean temperature of the house was 27 ± 1.5 °C, and the average light intensity was 4.5 ± 0.5 lx. One week before the molting period, the lighting program was changed to 8 hr of light and 16 hr of darkness per day (8L:16D). The five dietary treatments including production diet (PD); total feed withdrawal (FW); 80% corn silage with 20% production diet (CS80); 80% corn silage with 20% production diet and probiotic at a level of 0.5 g/kg (CS80+Pro); 80% corn silage with 20% production diet and prebiotic at a level of 1 g/kg (CS80+Pre) was offered. Corn silage had 30% dry matter, 7% crude protein, 0.29% calcium, and 0.24% phosphorus. Protexin was used based on catalog recommendations. The production diet was based on the LSL recommendation guide and prepared in mash form (Table 1). All groups had free access to water and feed during the molting period for 14 days, except the FW group, which was deprived of feed during the experiment. The mean room temperature was 28 ± 1 °C during the molting period, and the light intensity was approximately 15.5 ± 1.5 lx.

Table 1 The ingredients and chemical composition of basal diet

| Ingredients | % |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Corn | 63.56 |
| Soybean meal (44% CP) | 23.88 |
| Soy oil | 0.66 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.09 |
| CaCO ₃ | 9.93 |
| Salt | 0.29 |
| DL-Met. | 0.08 |
| L-Lys. | 0.01 |
| Vitamin supplement ¹ | 0.25 |
| Mineral Supplement ² | 0.25 |
| Calculated composition | |
| AME, kcal/kg | 2720 |
| CP, % | 15.3 |
| Met. % | 0.3 |
| Met+Cys. % | 0.54 |
| Lys. % | 0.59 |
| Thr. % | 0.41 |
| Ca, % | 4.09 |
| Available P, % | 0.35 |
| Na, % | 0.15 |

¹ Provided the following per kilogram of diets: vitamin A (trans retinyl acetate): 3500000 IU; vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol): 1000000 IU; vitamin E (DL- α -tocopheryl acetate): 9000 IU; vitamin K₃: 1000 mg; Thiamine: 900 mg; Riboflavin: 3300 mg; vitamin B₃ (niacin): 5000 mg; vitamin B₅ (pantothenic acid): 15000 mg; vitamin B₆: 150 mg; vitamin B₉: 500 mg; vitamin B₁₂: 7.5 mg and Choline chloride: 250000 mg.

² Provided the following per kilogram of diets: Mn (from MnSO₄, H₂O): 50000 mg; Zn (from ZnO): 50000 mg; Fe (from FeSO₄.7H₂O): 25000 mg; Cu (from CuSO₄.5H₂O): 5000 mg; I 9from Ca (IO₃)₂H₂O: 500 mg and Se (from sodium selenite): 100 mg.

Multi-species probiotic and prebiotic

Protexin is one of the commercial multi-strain probiotics used in poultry production (Probiotics International Limited, UK manufacture). Protexin contains different natural bacteria, mostly of Lactobacillus serotypes, including *Lac-*

tiplantibacillus plantarum, *Lactocaseibacillus rhamnosus*, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Bifidobacterium bifidum*, *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Enterococcus faecium*.

Bio-Mos[®] is the outer cell wall from a selected strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast rich in β -glucans and mannan oligosaccharides which is a prebiotic-supplemented feed for animal and poultry nutrition.

Data collection

The feed was withdrawn at the start of the experiment and hens received their respective molting diets for 14 days. At the beginning and end of the experiment, all birds were weighed and the percentage of body weight loss (BWL) was calculated. At the end of the experiment, one hen was selected from each pen, weighed, slaughtered, and then their oviduct and ovary were weighed by a digital scale, and data were expressed as a percentage of live body weight. After slaughtering, the right leg bones of hens were collected. Cleaned bones were weighed and then placed in a muffle furnace and ashed at 600 °C for eight hours (Muszyński *et al.* 2017).

At the beginning and end of the experiment, one hen in each replicate was selected for measuring blood parameters. The blood samples (5 mL) were taken from the brachial vein and collected in heparin tubes. 3 ml of the blood was used for measuring differential counts of white blood cells.

For this purpose, 100 cells were counted, once on each slide, using a light microscope at $\times 1000$ magnification (Olympus CX31; Olympus Company, Tokyo, Japan). The heterophils (H) and lymphocytes (L) were counted and then the H/L ratio was determined. The remaining blood samples (2 mL) were centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 12 min. and then plasma levels of glucose, calcium, and phosphorus were determined spectrophotometrically with commercial kits (Pars Azmun, Tehran, Iran).

The day before applying the treatments, one bird from each replicate was randomly selected and 0.3 mL of 5% sheep red blood cells (SRBC) was injected into the breast muscle of the hens to determine the humoral immune response. The blood samples (3 mL) were taken from the brachial vein of injected hens on days 1, 7, and 14 of the experiment. Serum samples were then used to measure antibody titer against SRBC using a direct hemagglutination assay (He *et al.* 2020).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed in a completely randomized design using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS software (SAS, 2005). Duncan's multiple range test was used to separate the statistical significance among the means, and significance was declared at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment results on heterophile, lymphocyte, and H/L ratio at the beginning and 14 days of the experiment are presented in Table 2. At the beginning of the experiment, there was no significant difference in heterophile, lymphocyte, and H/L ratio. On day 14 molting, the heterophile and H/L ratio was significantly higher in FW than in PD and CS80+Pretreatments. Also, the lymphocyte in the FW group was significantly lower than in PD and CS80+Pre treatments. Table 3 shows no significant difference among any treatments in antibody production against SRBC at any time period.

The results of the effect molt period on feed intake, body weight, and relative organ weights are presented in Table 4. The greatest feed intake was seen in the PD group, and feed intake was similar among other treatments except for the FW group ($P < 0.05$). The greatest BWL belonged to the FW group while the lesser was for the PD group, in which the differences were statistically significant compared to the other groups ($P < 0.05$). Birds in the PD group had higher liver and ovary weights than birds in other groups ($P < 0.05$). The treatments had no significant differences in heart, spleen, and oviduct weight ($P < 0.05$).

There was no significant difference in glucose, calcium (Ca), and phosphorus (P) at the beginning of the experiment (Table 5). On day 14 of the molting period, the phosphorus was significantly higher in CS80 + Pro and CS80 + Pre than PD and FW groups.

Table 6 shows no significant difference between treatments in the ash of the tibia and femur but tibia and femur weight were significantly higher in the FW group than in other treatments ($P < 0.05$).

The use of probiotics and prebiotics stimulates the immune system and increases resistance to pathogens (Tang *et al.* 2017). Probiotics and prebiotics can improve immune system function (Hassan and Ragab, 2007; Alaqil *et al.* 2020). Heterophils are cells that have bactericidal properties and play an important role in the inflammation of the body because these cells are one of the most abundant granulocytes in the body of most avian species (Mitchell and Johns, 2008). The H/L ratio in laying hens under stress conditions increases (Davis *et al.* 2000). Increasing the number of heterophile indicates the presence of pathogens and stress in the body. Further, the H/L ratio also indicates immunity status, which lower ratio indicates greater immunity and the possibility of resistance to pathogens and stress (Sturkie, 1995; Price *et al.* 2018). Similar findings were reported by Khan *et al.* (2011) and Tang *et al.* (2017) who find the addition of prebiotics and probiotics caused a decrease in the H/L ratio. An increase in the percentage of lymphocytes indicates an immune-stimulating effect.

Table 2 Effects of treatments on heterophile (H), lymphocyte (L), and heterophile-lymphocyte (H/L) ratio of laying hens at 1 and 14 days of the experiment

| Treatment | Day-1 | | | Day-14 | | |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Heterophile (%) | Lymphocyte (%) | H/L ratio | Heterophile (%) | Lymphocyte (%) | H/L ratio |
| PD | 23.75 | 72.25 | 0.313 | 21.50 ^c | 78.50 ^a | 0.275 ^c |
| FW | 25.00 | 75.00 | 0.336 | 29.75 ^a | 70.25 ^c | 0.424 ^a |
| CS80 | 24.25 | 75.75 | 0.322 | 29.00 ^{ab} | 71.00 ^{bc} | 0.411 ^{ab} |
| CS80 + Pre | 22.50 | 77.50 | 0.291 | 25.75 ^b | 74.25 ^b | 0.340 ^b |
| CS80 + Pro | 24.25 | 75.75 | 0.321 | 28.00 ^{ab} | 72.00 ^{bc} | 0.390 ^{ab} |
| SEM | 1.43 | 1.30 | 0.025 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.02 |
| P-value | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |

PD: production diet; FW: feed withdrawal; CS80: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet; CS80 + Pre: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + prebiotic; CS80 + Pro: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + probiotic.

The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05). SEM: standard error of the means.

Table 3 Effects of treatments on antibody production against ship red blood cells (SRBC) of laying hens at 1, 7, and 14 days of the experiment (means of log2 of the reciprocal of the last dilution exhibiting agglutination)

| Treatment | Day-1 | Day-7 | | | Day-14 | | |
|------------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|------|------|
| | IgT | IgT | IgG | IgM | IgT | IgG | IgM |
| PD | 1.75 | 3.66 | 2.66 | 1.00 | 4.12 | 3.25 | 0.87 |
| FW | 2.12 | 3.00 | 1.66 | 1.33 | 2.75 | 1.75 | 1.00 |
| CS80 | 1.5 | 4.00 | 3.33 | 0.66 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 0.25 |
| CS80 + Pre | 2.25 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.25 | 1.25 |
| CS80 + Pro | 1.5 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 0.50 | 5.00 | 4.25 | 0.75 |
| SEM | 0.46 | 1.05 | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.82 | 0.66 | 0.27 |
| P-value | 0.64 | 0.83 | 0.39 | 0.82 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.46 |

PD: production diet; FW: feed withdrawal; CS80: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet; CS80 + Pre: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + prebiotic; CS80 + Pro: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + probiotic.

SEM: standard error of the means.

Table 4 Effects of treatments on feed intake, body weight, and relative organ weight after 14- days molting period

| Treatment | Feed intake, g per bird | Initial BW (g) | BW loss (%) | Ovary (% BW) | Oviduct (% BW) | Liver (% BW) | Spleen (% BW) | Heart (% BW) |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| PD | 684.71 ^a | 1690.83 | 8.81 ^c | 1.35 ^a | 0.37 | 1.96 ^a | 0.099 | 0.41 |
| FW | - | 1587.08 | 30.22 ^a | 0.43 ^b | 0.35 | 1.41 ^b | 0.107 | 0.40 |
| CS80 | 488.84 ^b | 1584.17 | 23.72 ^b | 0.29 ^b | 0.23 | 1.38 ^b | 0.109 | 0.39 |
| CS80 + Pre | 504.60 ^b | 1605.00 | 22.20 ^b | 0.48 ^b | 0.21 | 1.45 ^b | 0.100 | 0.37 |
| CS80 + Pro | 389.95 ^b | 1630.83 | 25.67 ^b | 0.59 ^b | 0.35 | 1.41 ^b | 0.102 | 0.38 |
| SEM | 38.10 | 27.98 | 1.71 | 0.122 | 0.075 | 0.10 | 0.007 | 0.031 |
| P-value | 0.0023 | 0.08 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.505 | 0.008 | 0.85 | 0.91 |

PD: production diet; FW: feed withdrawal; CS80: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet; CS80 + Pre: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + prebiotic; CS80 + Pro: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + probiotic.

The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05). SEM: standard error of the means.

Table 5 Effects of treatments on some serum parameters after 14- days molting period (mg/dL)

| Treatment | 1 day | | | 14 day | | |
|------------|-------|---------|------|--------|---------|--------------------|
| | Ca | Glucose | P | Ca | Glucose | P |
| PD | 9.75 | 194.26 | 4.11 | 13.29 | 180.96 | 3.11 ^b |
| FW | 7.30 | 190.64 | 4.81 | 9.33 | 161.36 | 2.66 ^b |
| CS80 | 13.92 | 163.90 | 4.91 | 11.48 | 165.97 | 4.35 ^{ab} |
| CS80 + Pre | 14.99 | 142.92 | 6.57 | 18.24 | 156.60 | 7.01 ^a |
| CS80 + Pro | 9.43 | 179.56 | 5.65 | 17.76 | 190.29 | 6.33 ^a |
| SEM | 3.31 | 24.03 | 0.82 | 23.46 | 20.73 | 0.74 |
| P-value | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.93 | 0.01 |

PD: production diet; FW: feed withdrawal; CS80: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet; CS80 + Pre: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + prebiotic; CS80 + Pro: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + probiotic.

The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05). SEM: standard error of the means.

Table 6 Effects of treatments on the tibia and femur

| Treatment | Tibia (g) | | Femur (g) | |
|------------|--------------------|------|------------|------|
| | Dry weight | Ash | Dry weight | Ash |
| PD | 4.59 ^b | 2.42 | 6.05 | 3.28 |
| FW | 5.48 ^{ab} | 3.23 | 6.38 | 3.47 |
| CS80 | 5.60 ^{ab} | 3.13 | 6.90 | 2.70 |
| CS80 + Pre | 6.28 ^{ab} | 3.12 | 6.61 | 3.01 |
| CS80 + Pro | 6.95 ^a | 2.97 | 7.72 | 2.79 |
| SEM | 0.66 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| P-value | 0.18 | 0.8 | 0.38 | 0.8 |

PD: production diet; FW: feed withdrawal; CS80: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet; CS80 + Pre: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + prebiotic; CS80 + Pro: 80% corn silage + 20% production diet + probiotic.

The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference ($P > 0.05$).

SEM: standard error of the means.

These cells play an important role in the production of humoral antibodies. Also, feeding with probiotics and prebiotics improves gastrointestinal tract (GIT) health, and decreases oxidative stress, thereby enhancing GIT microbiota and antioxidant capacity (Tayeri *et al.* 2018; Bayraktar and Tekce, 2020), and increasing immunity in laying hens during the molting period (Dastar *et al.* 2016). The results of antibody production against SRBC showed that the response of chickens to antibody production against SRBC in experiment treatments was not significantly different. These results are similar to other reports (Khodadadi *et al.* 2008; Bayraktar *et al.* 2021), which reported that injection of SRBC did not affect the production of antibody production against SRBC. They suggested that the H/L ratio was a better measure of stress conditions during molting. This study shows that the use of prebiotics and probiotics in stressful situations such as molting stimulates the immune response of laying hens and reduces the effects of stress.

Hens in the PD group had the highest feed intake (684.71 g) and had a significant difference with other treatments. Soe *et al.* (2009) reported that diluted diets of laying hens such as wheat bran and rice hull reduced feed intake during the molting period compared to the group fed the diet of laying. With its high fiber content and slow-down passage rate through the hen's digestive tract, corn silage causes the hen to feel satiety (Biggs *et al.* 2004; Ayasi *et al.* 2016). The optimal weight loss after the spotting period is 25% to 30%, and this amount of reduction can lead to increased egg production (Moghaddam *et al.* 2018). The body weight loss of molting treatments was between 22% to 30% in the present study. Bodyweight loss is due to weight loss in the liver, ovaries, and oviducts (Ayasi *et al.* 2022). Decreased photoperiod reduces the concentration of reproductive hormones by affecting the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, leading to degeneration ovary and weight loss (Berry, 2003). Similar to Donalson *et al.* (2005), weight loss in the

PD group could be due to reduced photoperiod during molting. Liver weight loss indicates a reduction in the liver's metabolizable energy sources such as glycogen and lipids (Donalson *et al.* 2005).

Birds' calcium stores are affected by estrogen (Wilson and Thorup, 1998), which is at its lowest during the molting period (Ashoori *et al.* 2021). Reducing feed intake and low amounts of minerals in corn silage reduced blood calcium and phosphorus levels (Gongruttananun *et al.* 2017).

Scholz-Ahrens *et al.* (2001) and Swiatkiewicz and Arczewska (2012) showed that prebiotics increases the absorption of minerals such as calcium. Align to this study, prebiotics increase the absorption of minerals such as calcium and phosphorus, leading to changes in serum phosphorus and increasing the reabsorption and storage of minerals (Rodehutsord and Rosenfelder, 2016). The availability of minerals such as phosphorus and calcium is very desirable for eggshell formation, skeletal structure improvement, and bone mineralization in laying hens (Pineda-Quiroga *et al.* 2019).

Stimulation of the molting by feed withdrawal is one of the factors increasing bone fracture in laying hens (Park *et al.* 2004). The present experiment results showed that the tibia's dry weight in the CS80 + Pro group was significantly higher than in other treatments. This result was similar to the report of Kim *et al.* (2006). Prebiotics and probiotics increase the population of lactic acid-producing bacteria such as lactobacillus, leading to increased short-chain production (Scholz-Ahrens *et al.* 2001). They also improve bone quality by increasing the absorption of some minerals such as calcium because of increasing solubility (Swiatkiewicz and Arczewska, 2012). Adding probiotics to the diet of laying hens can increase the mineral density in the tibia and femur and the mineral content of the femur (Yan *et al.* 2019). Current findings showed that probiotics positively affect the skeletal health of laying hens.

CONCLUSION

The results showed that corn silage with probiotic or prebiotic reduces body weight by 22% to 25% and the weight of internal organs during the molting period. Thus, corn silage is a method comparable to feed withdrawal for induced molt in laying hens. Therefore, instead of the feed withdrawal method, which is stressful in laying hens, it is recommended to use corn silage with probiotic or prebiotic as a molting method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank all the teams who worked on the experiments and provided results during this study.

REFERENCES

- Alaqil A.A., Abbas A.O., El-Beltagi H.S., El-Atty H.K.A., Mehaissen G.M.K. and Moustafa E.S. (2020). Dietary supplementation of probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* modulates cholesterol levels, immune response, and productive performance of laying hens. *Animals*. **10**, 1588-1569.
- Ashoori A., Saki A.A., Ahmadi A. and Jafari M. (2021). Molting method alternative and detection of estrogen receptors by immunohistochemical methods on molted layers. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* **53**, 96-105.
- Ayasi H., Dastar B., Ghoorchi T., Hashemi S.R. and Tabaraei A. (2016). Effect of utilization of maize silage in molt inducing diets on performance, immune response and bone quality in laying hens. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* **25**, 52-57.
- Ayasi H., Dastar B., Ghoorchi T., Hashemi R.R., Tabaraei A. and Alemi M. (2022). Effect of corn silage and alfalfa meal as alternative induced molt methods to improving *Salmonella enteritidis* resistance in laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* **101**, 1-13.
- Baker M., Brake J. and McDaniel G.R. (1983). The relationship between body weight loss during an induced molt and post molt egg production, egg weight, and shell quality in caged layers. *Poult. Sci.* **62**, 409-413.
- Bayraktar B. and Tekce E. (2020). Effect of probiotic supplementation on adipokine profile visfatin adiponectin and chemerin intestinal citrulline and thyroid functions in Japanese quails subjected to heat stress. *European Poult. Sci.* **84**, 1-14.
- Bayraktar B., Tekce E., Kaya H., Gürbüz A.B., Dirican E., Korkmaz S., Atalay B. and Ülker U. (2021). Adipokine, gut and thyroid hormone responses to probiotic application in chukar partridges (*Alectoris chukar*) exposed to heat stress. *Acta Vet. Hung.* **69**, 282-290.
- Berry W.D. (2003). The physiology of induced molting. *Poult. Sci.* **82**, 971-980.
- Biggs P.E., Persia M.E., Koelkebeck K.W. and Parsons C.M. (2004). Further evaluation of nonfeed removal methods for molting programs. *Poult. Sci.* **83**, 745-752.
- Dastar B., Khosravi A., Boldajie F. and Ghoorchi T. (2016). Effect of calcium with and without probiotic, lactose, or both on organ and body weights, immune response and caecal microbiota in molted laying hens. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **100**, 243-250.
- Donalson L.M., Kim W.K., Woodward C.L., Herrera P., Kubena L.F., Nisbet D.J. and Ricke S.C. (2005). Utilizing different ratios of alfalfa and production diet for molt induction and performance in commercial laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* **84**, 362-369.
- Donalson L.M., McReynolds J.L., Kim W.K., Chalova V.I., Woodward C.L., Kubena L.F., Nisbet D.J. and Ricke S.C. (2008). The influence of a fructooligosaccharide prebiotic combined with alfalfa molt diets on the gastrointestinal tract fermentation, *Salmonella enteritidis* infection, and intestinal shedding in laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* **87**, 1253-1262.
- Davis G.S., Anderson K.E. and Carroll A.S. (2000). The effects of long-term caging and molt of Single Comb White Leghorn hens on heterophil to lymphocyte ratios, corticosterone and thyroid hormones. *Poult. Sci.* **79**, 514-518.
- Gibson G.R., Hutkins R., Sanders M.E., Prescott S.L., Reimer R.A., Salminen S.J., Scott K., Stanton C., Swanson K.S., Cani P.D., Verbeke K. and Reid G. (2017). Expert consensus document: The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **14**, 491-502.
- Gongruttananun N., Kochagate P., Poonpan K., Yu-nun N., Aungsakul J. and Sopa N. (2017). Effects of an induced molt using cassava meal on body weight loss, blood physiology, ovarian regression, and postmolt egg production in late-phase laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* **96**, 1925-1933.
- Glatz P.C. and Tilbrook A.J. (2020). Welfare issues associated with molting of laying hens. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* **61**, 1006-1012.
- Hassan H.A. and Ragab M.S. (2007). Single and combined effects of manan oligosaccharide (MOS) and dietary protein on the performance and immunity response of laying hens. *Egyptian Poult. Sci. J.* **27**, 969-987.
- He S., Yin Q., Xiong Y., Liu D. and Hu H. (2020). Effects of dietary fumaric acid on the growth performance, immune response, relative weight and antioxidant status of immune organs in broilers exposed to chronic heat stress. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **65**, 104-113.
- Hill C., Guarner F., Reid G., Gibson G.R., Merenstein D.J., Pot B., Morelli L., Canani R.B., Flint H.J., Salminen S., Calder P.C. and Sanders M.E. (2014). The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **11**, 506-514.
- Holt P.S. (2003). Molting and *Salmonella enteritidis* serovar *enteritidis* infection: The problem and some solutions. *Poult. Sci.* **8**, 1008-1010.
- Khan S.H., Atif M., Mukhtar N., Rehman A. and Fared F. (2011). Effects of supplementation of multi-enzyme and multi-species probiotic on production performance, egg quality, cholesterol level and immune system in laying hens. *J. Appl.*

- Res.* **39**, 386-398.
- Khan S., Moore R.J., Stanley D. and Chousalkar K.K. (2020). The gut microbiota of laying hens and its manipulation with prebiotics and probiotics to enhance gut health and food safety. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **86**, 1-20.
- Khodadadi I.H., Moravej H., Shivazad M. and Mehrabani-Yeganeh H. (2008). Comparison of four induced molting methods based on subsequent performance and welfare of single comb white leghorn hens. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.* **1**, 98-102.
- Kim W.K., Donalson L.M., Mitchell A.D., Kubena L.F., Nisbet D.J. and Ricke S.C. (2006). Effects of alfalfa and fructooligosaccharide on molting parameters and bone qualities using dual energy X-Ray absorptiometry and conventional bone assays. *Poult. Sci.* **85**, 15-20.
- Landers K.L., Moore R.W., Dunkley C.S., Herrera P., Kim W.K., Landers D.A., Howard Z.R., McReynolds J.L., Byrd J.A., Kubena L.F., Nisbet D.J. and Ricke S.C. (2007). Immunological cell and serum metabolite response of 60-week-old commercial laying hens to an alfalfa meal molt diet. *Bioresour. Technol.* **99**, 604-608.
- Moghaddam A., Kakhki R., Mousavi Z. and Anderson K.E. (2018). An appraisal of moulting on post-moult egg production and egg weight distribution in white layer hens; meta-analysis. *British Poult. Sci.* **59**, 278-285.
- Mitchell E.B. and Johns J. (2008). Avian hematology and related disorders. *Vet. Clin. N. Am. Exot. Anim. Pract.* **11**, 501-509.
- Muszyński S., Kwiecień M., Tomaszewska E., Świetlicka I., Dobrowolski P., Kasperek k. and Jeżewska-Witkowska G. (2017). Effect of caponization on performance and quality characteristics of long bones in Polbar chickens. *Poult. Sci.* **96**(2), 491-500.
- Neal-McKinney J.M., Lu X., Duong T., Larson C.L., Call D.R., Shah D.H. and Konkel M.E. (2012). Production of organic acids by probiotic lactobacilli can be used to reduce pathogen load in poultry. *PLoS One.* **7**, e43928.
- Nobakht A. and Fard B.H. (2016). The effects of using rice bran, enzyme and probiotic on performance, egg quality traits and blood metabolites in laying hens. *Iranian J. Anim. Sci.* **46**, 417-427.
- Park S.Y., Kim W.K., Birkhold S.G., Kubena L.F., Nisbet D.J. and Ricke S.C. (2004). Induced molting issues and alternative dietary strategies for the egg industry in the United States. *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **60**, 196-209.
- Pineda-Quiroga C., Borda-Molina D., Chaves-Moreno D., Ruiz R., Atxaerandio R., Camarinha-Silva A. and García-Rodríguez A. (2019). Microbial and functional profile of the ceca from laying hens affected by feeding prebiotics, probiotics, and synbiotics. *Microorganisms.* **7**, 123-130.
- Pourabedin M. and Zhao X. (2015). Prebiotics and gut microbiota in chickens. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **362**, 122-129.
- Price P.T., Byrd J.A., Alvarado C.Z., Pavlidis H.O., McIntyre D.R. and Archer G.S. (2018). Utilizing original XPCTM in feed to reduce stress susceptibility of broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **97**, 855-859.
- Rodehutsord M. and Rosenfelder P. (2016). Phytate Destruction-Consequences for Precision in Animal Nutrition. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- SAS Institute. (2005). SAS[®]/STAT Software, Release 9.4. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC. USA.
- Scholz-Ahrens K.E., Schaafsma Vanden G., Heuvel E.G.H.M. and Schrezenmeir J. (2001). Effects of prebiotics on mineral metabolism. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **73**, 459-464.
- Sturkie P.D. (1995). Avian Physiology. Springer Verlag, New York.
- Soe H.Y., Yayota M. and Ohtani S.H. (2009). Effect of molt-induction period on induction of molt and post-molt performance in laying hens. *J. Poult. Sci.* **46**, 203-211.
- Swiatkiewicz S. and Arczewska-Wlosek A. (2012). Prebiotic fructans and organic acids as feed additives improving mineral availability. *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **68**, 269-279.
- Tang S.G.H., Sieo C.C., Ramasamy K., Saad W.Z., Wong, H.K. and Ho Y.W. (2017). Performance, biochemical and hematological responses, and relative organ weights of laying hens fed diets supplemented with prebiotic, probiotic and symbiotic. *BMC Vet. Res.* **13**, 248-259.
- Tayeri V., Seidavi A., Asadpour L. and Phillips C.J.C. (2018). A comparison of the effects of antibiotics, probiotics, synbiotics and prebiotics on the performance and carcass characteristics of broilers. *Vet. Res. Commun.* **42**, 195-207.
- Wilson S. and Thorp B.H. (1998). Estrogen and cancellous bone loss in the fowl. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* **62**, 506-511.
- Yan F., Murugesan G. and Cheng H. (2019). Effects of probiotic supplementation on performance traits, bone mineralization, cecal microbial composition, cytokines and corticosterone in laying hens. *Animal.* **13**, 33-41.
- Zhao Y., Zeng D., Wang H., Qing X., Sun N., Xin J., Luo M., Khalique A., Pan K., Shu G., Jing B. and Ni X. (2019). Dietary probiotic *Bacillus licheniformis* H2 enhanced growth performance, morphology of small intestine and liver, and antioxidant capacity of broiler chickens against *Clostridium perfringens*-induced subclinical *Necrotic enteritis*. *Probiotics Antimicro.* **12**, 883-895.