IRANIAN JOURNAL OF CATALYSIS



A green synthesis of di-indolyloxindols catalyzed by CuO/g-C₃N₄ nanocomposite under mild conditions

Ali Allahresani*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Birjand, P. O. Box 97175-615, Birjand, Iran.

Received 19 May 2017; received in revised form 18 September 2017; accepted 11 October 2017

ABSTRACT

In this study, novel $CuO/g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposite was simply synthesized by impregnation of $g-C_3N_4$ with CuO nanoparticles. Then, the heterogeneous catalyst was characterized by various techniques including Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Moreover, the Friedel–Crafts 3-indolylation reaction of isatin with indole derivatives in water as a green solvent was investigated using catalytic amount of $CuO/g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposite. The results showed that di-indolyloxindole derivatives are synthesized in good to excellent yields at mild conditions. Finally, this method has some advantages including the use of water as a green solvent, short reaction time, room temperature, easy work up and excellent yields.

Keywords: CuO/g-C₃N₄ nanocomposite, Di-indolyloxindols; Indole, Isatin.

1. Introduction

Recently, Cu and Cu_xO nanoparticles attracted great attention for catalyzing N-arylation reaction in the synthesis of N-heterocycles because of economic and industrial points [1-3]. Copper (I) nanoparticles catalyzed the synthesis of quinazolinones using benzylamine 2-halobenzamide and [4]. CuO nanoparticle is also applied as a novel catalyst in several N, O and S-arylation reactions [5-9]. From green chemistry view points, water as a green solvent offers many advantages in the synthesis of organic compounds because it is cheap, non-toxic and non-flammable readily available, but a major challenge in handling the nanoparticles in water is the tendency of the nanoparticles to aggregate quickly into micrometersized particles due to van der Waal forces [10-15]. Composite materials which are made by the decoration of the nanoparticles onto the surface of some platforms like graphene nanosheets, which made a strong interface between the filler and matrix, significantly reduced the nanoparticle agglomeration and the composites with larger surface area show superior properties, compared with bare nanomaterials.

*Corresponding author emails: a_allahresani@birjand.ac.ir rasaniali@gmail.com Tel./Fax: +98 56 3220 2065 Graphitic carbon nitride $(g-C_3N_4)$ as an organic polymer and metal-free organic semiconductor consisting mainly of carbon and nitrogen has attracted widespread attention due to its special characteristics in heterogeneous (photo) catalysis and can be a good candidate for the preparation of composite materials [16,17]. g-C₃N₄ is easily-synthesized by polymerization of cheap materials like thiourea, urea, cyanamide, melamine, and dicyandiamide [18-24]. The g-C₃N₄ possesses advantages such as high stability, large surface areas, non-toxicity, easy functionalization, high thermal stability, particular physical features, easy recycling, and good bio-compatibility [25,26]. The immobilization of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles onto g-C₃N₄ promotes the charge separation at the interface of the metal and metal oxide nanoparticles heterojunction and improves the catalytic performance and also restricts the aggregation of nanoparticles leading to an enhancement of selectivity and catalytic activity [27,28].

Di-indolyloxindoles as heterocyclic compounds which have antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and laxative effects are synthesized by the reaction of isatin or its derivatives with barbituric acid, pyrazolones, aromatic in triflic acid, and other routes. Di-indolyloxindoles are also isolated from plants [29,30]. Several methods have been reported for the synthesis of oxindole derivatives. A variety of catalysts such as KAl(SO₄)₂, FeCl₃, I₂, ceric ammonium nitrate, N,N,N,N-tetramethylguanidinium trifluoroacetate, TfOH, Bi(OTf)₃, and silica sulfuric acid, montmorillonite-K10 clay, KSF, Amberlyst-15, phosphotungstic acid, ionic liquids, boron trifluoride supported on nano-SiO₂ and prolinium triflate (ProTf) [31-47] have been used to achieve these compounds, and some drawbacks were observed in these protocols. Therefore, the introduction of new efficient methods in this field is still important. Now, in continuation of our previous study on the synthesis of biologically important compounds using simple, efficient and nontoxic catalysts [48-51] herein, we report the synthesis of di-indolyloxindole derivatives by the coupling of isatin and indole derivatives in the presence of CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposite as an inexpensive and efficient catalyst in an aqueous solvent.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

All chemicals were purchased from Merck Company and used without purification. IR spectra were recorded using Bruker Vector-22 FT-IR spectrometer (AVATR-370) in a KBr pellet, scanning from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ at room temperature. The Philips CM10 instrument was used for TEM images. The Bruker D₈-advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu K_a radiation (k = 1.5406 Å) was employed for XRD measurements. TGA patterns were recorded using a Perkin–Elmer Diamond TG/DTA thermal analyzer by heating the samples in an Argon flow at a rate of 100 mL min⁻¹ with a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹. ¹H and ¹³CNMR spectra were carried out using Bruker DPX-250 Advance instrument at 250 MHz and 62.9 MHz in DMSO-d₆ using TMS as an internal standard.

2.2. Synthesis of g- C_3N_4 nanosheets

The g-C₃N₄ powder was synthesized according to the literature [52]. Briefly, melamine (10 g) was added to an alumina crucible with a cover and heated to 550°C in a muffle furnace at a rate of 2°C min⁻¹ under air conditions. The crucible was kept at this temperature for 4 h. The resulting yellow polymer was finely ground into powder for further use. Finally, 0.05 g of g-C₃N₄ was ultrasonicated in 50 mL of water for 24 h and centrifuged to remove the unexfoliated g-C₃N₄.

2.3. Synthesis of CuO nanoparticles

To synthesize the CuO nanocrystals, polyvinilpoly pyrrolidone (PVP, 1.5 g) was dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water, followed by the addition of 0.17 g of CuCl₂ [53,54]. Then, the NaOH solution (6.0 M, 20 mL) and ammonia solution (25%, 3.9 mL) were added drop

wise into the above mixture with continuous stirring and the solution was further stirred for 0.5 h. Finally, ascorbic acid solution (0.3 M, 20 mL) was added drop wise to the mixture stirred for 3 h at 55°C. The mixture was centrifuged and the precipitate was collected, washed with distilled water and ethanol and dried in a vacuum oven at 80°C for 8 h.

2.4. Fabrication of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ octahedra nanocomposite

In a typical procedure, $g-C_3N_4$ (1 g) was dispersed in 50 mL of water for 24 h. Then, CuO (0.1 g) was added to the mixture and stirred for 48 h. After evaporation of the water, a powder sample of CuO@g-C₃N₄ nano-composite was obtained and dried at 80°C for 12 h.

2.5. General procedure for the synthesis of diindolyloxindoles

Typically, heterogeneous CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocatalyst (75 mg) was dispersed for 30 min in water (3 mL) followed by addition of indole (2.0 mmol) and isatin (1.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred for the appropriate time and the progress of the reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography. After the reaction was completed, the product was extracted with ethyl acetate and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The crude product was recrystallized in hot ethanol. The CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocatalyst was separated by centrifuging, washed with EtOH, dried and reused for the next run.

Selected spectral data [38,55,56]

5- Bromo-1- ethyl-3,3- bis (1- methyl-1H- indol-3- yl) indolin-2- one (**Table 4, entry 8**):

White solid. m.p.= 324-326°C. IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3425, 3345, 3103, 3045, 1694, 1608, 1461, 1095, 724 cm⁻¹. ¹HNMR (250 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.25 (t, 3H, *CH*₃-CH₂), 3.35 (q, 2H, *CH*₂-CH₃), 3.7 (CH₃), 6.82-6.90 (m, 4H), 7.05-7.18 (m, 4H), 7.33-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.52 (m, H) ppm. ¹³CNMR (62.9 MHz, DMSO): δ = 12.8 (CH₃), 32.8 (CH₂), 52.6 (C), 110.5 (C-H), 111.6 (C-H), 112.9 (C-H), 114.3 (C), 119.2 (C-H), 121.3 (C-H), 121.8 (C-H), 126.1 (C-H), 128.6 (C-H), 129.1 (C-H), 131.4 (C), 136.8 (C), 137.9 (C-H), 141.5 (C), 176.5 (C=O) ppm.

3,3-bis (1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl) indolin-2-one (**Table** 4, entry 2):

White solid. m.p.=335-337°C. IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3344, 3043, 1699, 1607, 1466, 1105, 737 cm⁻¹. ¹HNMR (250 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.68(s, 6H, 2CH₃), 6.8-7.08 (m, 6H,) 7.20-7.34 (m, 6H), 10.60 (s, H, NH Isatin) ppm. ¹³CNMR (62.9 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 37.56 (2CH₃), 57.61 (C), 114.85 (C), 114.99 (C-H), 118.67 (C-H),

123.60 (C-H), 126.15 (C-H), 126.30 (C-H), 126.80 (C), 130.12 (C-H), 131.25 (C-H), 133.14 (C-H), 133.69(C), 139.72 (C), 142.55 (C-H), 146.49(C), 183.78 (C=O) ppm.

3,3-di (1H-indol-3-yl) indolin-2-one (Table 4, entry 1):

White solid. m.p.=312-313°C. IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3362, 3125, 3034, 1702, 1618, 1489, 1096, 731 cm⁻¹. ¹HNMR (250 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 6.76-7.05 (m, 7H), 7.21 (m, 3H),7.30-7.34(m, 2H), 10.58 (s, H, NH Isatin), 10.96 (s, 2H, 2NH, Indol) ppm. ¹³CNMR (62.9 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 57.81 (C), 114.87 (C), 116.86 (C-H), 119.53 (C-H), 123.49 (C-H), 125.98 (C-H), 126.22 (C-H), 126.75 (C-H), 129.47 (C-H), 130.12 (C), 130.91 (C-H), 133.10 (C), 139.82(C), 142.15, 146.46(C), 184.06 (C=O) ppm.

3. Results and Discussion

CuO nanoparticle was synthesized by the reaction of $CuCl_2$ with PVP in deionized water. The g-C₃N₄ was synthesized by the heating of melamine (Scheme 1).

 $g-C_3N_4$ was dispersed in water, followed by addition of CuO nanoparticle then, the mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. The solution was heated to remove

water and the obtained powder was dried at 80°C for 12 h (Scheme 2).

3.1. Characterizations of catalyst

The CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocatalyst was characterized by different techniques. To calculate the content of CuO nanoparticles in g-C₃N₄ nanosheets, the TGA image of g-C₃N₄, and CuO@g-C₃N₄ nano composites was performed under air atmosphere from room temperature to 800°C (Fig. 1).

In airflow, pure g-C₃N₄ is stable below 550°C, but with the temperature higher than 550°C, the decomposition or sublimation of g-C₃N₄ was occurring. This decomposition is completed at about 650°C (Fig. 1a). The stability of the CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites was obviously decreased due to the oxidation and decomposition of g-C₃N₄ in the CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites. The decomposition temperature decreased to 550°C, which indicated that there was a tight contact between CuO nanoparticles and g-C₃N₄ (Fig. 1b). It can be calculated from the mass loss of CuO@g-C₃N₄ in the CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites that the mass fraction of CuO and g-C₃N₄ in the CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites are 5 and 95%, respectively.



Scheme 1. Schematic representation for the synthesis of CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites [57,58].



Scheme 2. Schematic representation for the synthesis of CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites.



Fig. 1. Thermogravimetric weight loss pattern of (a) $g-C_3N_4$ and (b) $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ with temperature raised from $10^{\circ}C/min$.

Fig. 2 Shows the FT-IR spectrum of $g-C_3N_4$ and $CuO@g-C_3N_4$. The broad peak ranging from 3150 to 3400 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the N-H bond. The peak at 1645 cm⁻¹ was related to heterocyclic C=N stretching, vibration bond, while the peaks at 1238, 1317, 1405 and 1543 cm⁻¹ were considered as C–N stretching of tri-s-triazine. Besides, the sharp peak centered at 806 cm⁻¹ is corresponding to the out-of plane bending vibration of triazine cycle (Fig. 2a) [59-61]. The FT-IR spectrum of CuO@g-C_3N_4 shows the vibration bands at 525, 580 and 675 cm⁻¹ which are assigned to the CuO nanoparticles (Fig. 2b) [62-64].

The XRD patterns of $g-C_3N_4$ and $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ were shown in Fig. 3. The peaks at 13.1° and 27.4° could be assigned to the hexagonal phase of $g-C_3N_4$ (JCPDS 087-1526). Furthermore, the (100) weak diffraction peak at 13.1° was related to the in-plane repeated units in the $g-C_3N_4$.



Fig. 2. FT-IR spectra of (a) $g-C_3N_4$ and (b) $CuO@g-C_3N_4$.



Fig. 3. XRD image of (a) g-C₃N₄ and (b) CuO@g-C₃N₄.

The strong peak at 27.4° is corresponding to the characteristic (002) interlayer stacking peak of g-C₃N₄ [58,59]. In the composite sample pattern, no obvious diffraction peaks of the other phases or impurities can be detected. After loading CuO nanoparticles, the intensity of the peak centered at 27.4° decreased milder as a result of the dilution effect. Furthermore, new typical peaks which are located at 35.3° , 39.4° , and 74.1° and indexed as (111), (200), (220) appeared in the XRD pattern of CuO@g-C₃N₄ [66]. Using the Debye-Sheerer equation, the average particle size of CuO nanoparticles was 22 nm.

Fig. 4 shows the TEM image of Pure g-C₃N₄ and CuO@g-C₃N₄. As shown in the fig. 4a, the agglomeration of the lamellar structure was observed. The TEM image of CuO@g-C₃N₄ exhibited that CuO nanoparticles were homogeneously dispersed on the surface of the g.C₃N₄ with an average particle size of about 26 nm, which is consistent with the results of XRD. The hydrodynamic diameter distribution of CuO nanoparticles is also determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Fig. 4c). 20 numbers of CuO nanoparticles were selected and the mean values of these nanoparticles was obtained by DLS with standard deviation of 6.58. Consequently, the size distribution of CuO is centered at a value of 26, 03 nm.

3.2. Catalytic activity studies

3.2.1. Synthesis of di-indolyloxindole

To evaluate the catalytic ability of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposite, the synthesis of di-indolyloxindole from indole and isatin with the nanocomposite as a catalyst was investigated at room temperature (model reaction). The reaction was carried out using 75 mg of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ in water under ambient temperature (Scheme 3).

A. Allahresani / Iran. J. Catal. 7(4), 2017, 293-302



Fig. 4. TEM image of (a) g-C₃N₄, (b) CuO@g-C₃N₄ and (c) DLS image of CuO.

The results showed that the amount of catalyst loading was very important in the progress of the reaction. The reaction required 2 h to give 31 % of the oxindole product in the presence of 20 mg of catalyst (Table 1, entry 1). Moreover, the increase of catalyst loading to 60 mg improves the yield to 82 % (Table 1, entry 3). The CuO@g-C₃N₄ catalyst with 75 mg loading was very effective as it afforded the product in the quantitative yield (95 %, Table 1, entry 4) within 1 hour. Further increase in the amount of catalyst loading did not significantly improve the yield (Table 1, entries 5,6).

A control experiment with $g-C_3N_4$ under similar condition was conducted and the desired product was

traced (Table1, entry 7). Considering this, it was concluded that there is a synergistic effect between the CuO and the g-C₃N₄, which is responsible for the high catalytic activity of the CuO@g-C₃N₄ catalyst. The effect of reaction time was determined (10-70 min) on the synthesis of di-indolyloxindoles (Table 2).

As shown in Table 2, the yields were increased by increasing the time up to 60 min and further increasing at the time did not affect the yields. The model reaction was also conducted in the presence of CuO nanoparticles and despite the better yield in the first run, the yields were clearly decreased in the reusing step of catalyst (not listed).



Scheme 3. The synthesis of di-indolyloxindole derivatives in the presence of catalytic amount of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposite in water.

Entry	$CuO@g-C_3N_4 (mg)$	Yield (%)
1	20	31
2	40	59
3	60	82
4	75	95
5	80	97
6	85	98
°7	75	trace

Table 1. The effect of different amounts of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ on the synthesis of di-indolyloxindole.^a

Table 3. The effect of different solvents on the reaction of indole and isatin catalyzed by $CuO@g-C_3N_4$.^a

^aReaction conditions: Isatin (1.0 mole), indole (2 mmol).

This may happen due to the aggregation of catalyst in the water solvent [65]. To investigate the effect of solvents, the model reaction was carried out in various solvents with the range of polarity (nonpolar to polar solvents) with CuO@g-C₃N₄ (75 mg) as a catalyst at room temperature. The reaction proceeded in polar solvents and the best yield was observed in water media (Table 3, entries 6–10), while the yields decreased in nonpolar solvents (Table 3, entries 1–5).

Although CuO nanoparticle can promote the reaction better than CuO@g-C₃N₄, a series of problems always occurs in the presence of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles. One of these problems is aggregation, which is solved by g-C₃N₄. As mentioned earlier, the g-C₃N₄ as "active support" restricts the aggregation of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, leading to enhancing the selectivity and activity.

Table 2. The effect of time on the synthesis of diindolyloxindole in the presence of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$.^a

Entry	Time (min)	Yield (%)
1	10	20
2	20	41
3	30	59
4	40	73
5	50	85
6	60	95
7	65	97
8	70	98

^aReaction conditions: Isatin (1.0 mmol), indole (2 mmol), catalyst (75 mg).

Entry	Solvent	Yield (%)
1	THF	13
2	DMF	22
3	CHCl ₃	29
4	CH_2Cl_2	31
5	$C_2H_4Cl_2$	35
6	DMSO	55
7	CH ₃ CN	60
8	MeOH	65
9	EtOH	66
10	Water	95

^aReaction conditions: Isatin (1.0 mmol), indole (2 mmol), CuO@g- C_3N_4 (75 mg), solvent (3 mL) at room temperature during 1 h.

To show the generality of the heterogeneous catalyst in organic reactions, CuO@g-C3N4 was used as an efficient and novel heterogeneous nanocomposite for the synthesis of a series of di-indolyloxindoles derivatives using isatins and indoles derivatives and the results are summarized in Table 4. Either substrate containing electron-releasing or electron-withdrawing groups employed 75 mg of CuO@g-C₃N₄ as the catalyst (Table 4, entries 1–18). As can be seen in Table 4, the reaction promoted well and gave the excellent yields (92-95 %), when indoles with bearing electronreleasing substituents on the carbon neighboring the nitrogen were employed (Table 4, entries 2, 5 and 8). In contrast, indoles with electron-withdrawing groups on the phenyl ring decreased the yields (80 %) in 75 min (Table 4, entry 3). Furthermore, N-benzyl isatin with electron-releasing groups gave lower yields (80-86 %) in 75 min (Table 4, entries 13-15). Finally, the best yields were achieved with isatins bearing electronwithdrawing groups on the R^4 position and indoles bearing electron-releasing substituents in the R¹ position (Table 4, entry 8).

A small amount of starting materials left untreated and no side product is formed. Also, the high activity of the CuO@g-C₃N₄ may be due to the uniform distribution of CuO nanoparticles, which are stabilized by π -conjugated graphitic carbon nitrides and readily accessible surface exposed CuO nanoparticles which obviously favor the C–C bond forming reactions.

$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{2} R^{2} + N^{2} \longrightarrow R^{4} R^{5} \longrightarrow R^{4} R^{2} R^{4} R^{4} R^{4} R^{4} R^{4} R^{3} R^{4} R^{3} R^{4} R^$	R^{-} N R^{2} R^{2} R^{2}	$\sum_{\substack{N \\ R^{1} \\ R^{1}}}^{R^{3}}$
--	---	---

Yield: 80-95%							
Enters		Indole		Isatin			X7: 11 (0/)b
Entry —	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	R ⁵	Time (min)	Y leid (%) ²
1	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	60	95
2	Me	Η	Н	Н	Н	55	95
3	Н	Η	Br	Н	Н	75	80
4	Н	Η	Н	Н	Cl	55	94
5	Н	Me	Н	Н	Cl	45	93
6	Н	Η	Br	Н	Cl	75	88
7	Me	Н	Н	Н	Br	60	87
8	Me	Н	Н	CH ₂ CH ₃	Br	45	95
9	Н	Н	Br	Н	Br	75	83
10	Н	Η	Н	Н	NO ₂	70	95
11	Н	Me	Н	Н	NO_2	65	95
12	Н	Η	Br	Н	NO_2	75	94
13	Н	Η	Н	PhCH ₂	Н	75	80
14	Н	Me	Н	PhCH ₂	Н	75	86
15	Н	Η	Br	PhCH ₂	Н	75	80
16	Н	Н	Н	Me	Н	75	89
17	Н	Me	Н	Me	Н	75	90
18	Н	Н	Br	Me	Н	75	81

Table 4. Synthesis of di-indolyloxindoles catalyzed by SiO₂@g-C₃N₄ in water at room temperature.^a

^aReaction conditions: Isatin derivatives (1.0 mmol), indole derivatives (2 mmol), catalyst (75 mg) and water (3 mL).

The aqueous filtered phase was analyzed by atomic absorption to investigate leaching of CuO from the solid CuO@g-C₃N₄ catalyst and no leaching of Cu metal was detected in it. Therefore, the mesoporous graphitic carbon nitride containing CuO could serve as an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for the synthesis of oxindole derivatives. The reaction can be rationalized by assuming the following mechanism (Scheme 4). As shown in Scheme 3, CuO@g-C₃N₄ activates the carbonyl groups of isatin followed by the nucleophilic addition of indole to isatin to form the intermediate (A). This intermediate undergoes a further nucleophilic attack with the second indole molecule to afford diindolyloxindole derivatives.

The efficiency of our method was also compared with some other published works in the literature

[31,35,40,66-72]. As the results shown in Table 5, $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ showed sufficient efficiency compared to the other catalysts (Table 5, entry 11).

From an industrial perspective, long-term stability, recovery, and reusability are the main objects of using heterogeneous catalysts. For this purpose, under optimized conditions the model reaction was performed as previously described, followed by separating, washing and drying the catalyst and reusing in another four successive catalytic cycles under identical reaction conditions. The results showed excellent yields with a negligible decrease in a catalytic activity (The yields were 95, 94, 91, 88 and 88 %, respectively). So, the performance of CuO@g-C₃N₄ nanocomposite was consistent and validates its recyclability.



Scheme 4. A proposed mechanism for the synthesis of di-indolyloxindole catalyzed by CuO@g-C₃N₄.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a green and rapid hydrothermal method was introduced for the synthesis of $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposites. Then, $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposites was characterized by various techniques. The prepared $CuO@g-C_3N_4$ nanocomposites was applied for the synthesis of biologically active di-indolyloxindole derivatives in good to excellent yields (95 %). In addition, the reusability of nanocomposite was investigated and the results showed that the catalyst has good reusability after 5 cycles. This protocol provides a straightforward approach for deposition of CuO nanoparticles onto the g-C_3N_4 nanosheets and can be readily extended to the preparation of other classes of metal hybrids based on g-C_3N_4 nanosheets for technological and industrial applications.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the University of Birjand for financial support.

References

- S.G. Babu, K. Ramasamy, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 50 (2011) 9594–9600.
- [2] F. Monnier, M. Taillefer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48 (2009) 6954-6971.
- [3] G. Evano, N. Blanchard, M. Toumi, Chem. Rev. 108 (2008) 3054-3131.
- [4] W. Xu, Y. Jin, H. Liu, Y. Jiang, H. Fu, Org. Lett. 13 (2011) 1274-1277.
- [5] H. Wang, X. Cao, L. Xiangxiang, S. Fuhong, G-J. Deng, Org. Lett. 15 (2013) 4900-4903.
- [6] B-Q. Hu, L-X. Wang, J-F. Xiang, L. Yang, Y-L. Tang, Chin. Chem. Lett. 26 (2015) 369-372.

Entry	Catalyst	Solvent/Temp.(°C)	Time (min)	Yield (%)	Ref.
1	Cellulose sulfuric acid (5 mol %)	Solvent-free/ r.t.	120	88	[66]
2	Tungstic acid (10 mol %)	EtOH/ r.t.	360	92	[67]
3	Fe ₃ O ₄ -SO ₃ H (0.1 g)	CH ₃ CN/ 60°C	60	93	[68]
4	I ₂ (10 mol %)	$CH_2Cl_2/r.t.$	840	82	[69]
5	LiClO ₄ (10 mol %)	EtOH/ 60°C	240	93	[70]
6	Nano-NiO (0.004 gr)	H ₂ O/ 70°C	30	98	[71]
7	SiO ₂ -OSO ₃ H (0.2 g)	$CH_2Cl_2/r.t.$	120	94	[40]
8	Bi (OTf) ₃	CH ₃ CN/ r.t.	180	92	[35]
9	Ceric ammonium nitrate	EtOH/ U.S.	180	95	[31]
10	PEG/OSO ₃ H	CH ₃ CN/ r.t.	150	93	[72]
11	CuO@g-C ₃ N ₄	$H_2O/r.t.$	50	95	This work

Table 5. The comparison of the efficiency of CuO@g-C₃N₄ with different catalysts.^a

^aReaction conditions: Indole(2.0 mmol), isatin (1 mmol).

- [7] D.K. Sreenivas, N. Ramkumar, R. Nagarajan, Org. Biomol. Chem. 10 (2012) 3417-3423.
- [8] A. Kumar, D. Saxena, M.K. Gupta, Green Chem. 15 (2013) 2699-2703.
- [9] N. Khatun, S.K. Santra, A. Banerjee, B.K. Patel, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 6 (2015) 1309-1313
- [10] (a) S.K. Rout, S. Guin, J. Nath, B.K. Patel, Green Chem.
 14 (2012) 2491-2498. (b) M. Baghbanzadeh, P. Salehi, M. Dabiri, G. Kozehgary, Synthesis (2006) 344-348.
- [11] S.K. Friedlander, Smoke, Dust, and Haze: Fundamentals of aerosol dynamics, New York, Oxford University Press (2000)
- [12] C.H. Nam, R. Pfeffer, R.N. Dave, S. Sundaresan, AIChE. J. 50 (2004) 1776–1785.
- [13] Q. Yu, R.N. Dave, C. Zhu, J.A. Quevedo, R. Pfeffer, AIChE. J. 51 (2005) 1971–1979.
- [14] J.A. Kurkela, D.P. Brown, J. Raula, E.I. Kauppinen, Powder Technol. 180 (2008) 164–171.
- [15] W. Yao, G. Suangsheng, W. Fei, W. Jun, Powder Technol. 124 (2002) 152–159.
- [16] Y. Wang, X. Wang, M. Antonietti, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 68-89.
- [17] S. Cao, J. Low, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, Adv. Mater. 27 (2015) 2150-2176.
- [18] K. Takanabe, K. Kamata, X. Wang, M. Antonietti, J. Kubota, K. Domen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (2010) 13020–13025.
- [19] F. Dong, L.W. Wu, Y.J. Sun, M. Fu, Z.B. Wu, S.C. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. 21 (2011) 15171–15174.
- [20] J.H. Liu, Y.W. Zhang, L.H. Lu, G. Wu, W. Chen, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 8826–8828.
- [21] Y.W. Zhang, J.H. Liu, G. Wu, W. Chen, Nanoscale 4 (2012) 5300–5303.
- [22] J.D. Hong, X.Y. Xia, Y.S. Wang, R. Xu, J. Mater. Chem. 22 (2012) 15006–15012.
- [23] Y.J. Wang, Z.X. Wang, S. Muhammad, J. He, CrystEngComm 14 (2012) 5065–5070.
- [24] J.S. Zhang, M.W. Zhang, R.Q. Sun, X.C. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 10145–10149.
- [25] L.C. Chen, X.T. Zeng, P. Si, Y.M. Chen, Y.W. Chi, D.H. Kim, G. Chen, Anal. Chem. 86 (2014) 4188– 4195.
- [26] A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J-O. Müller, R. Schlögl, J.M. Carlsson, J. Mater. Chem. 18 (2008) 4893-4908.
- [27] X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, Nat. Mater. 8 (2009) 76–80.
- [28] B. Long, J. Lin, X. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2 (2014) 2942–2951.
- [29] J. Bergman, N. Eklund, Tetrahedron 36 (1980) 1445-1450.
- [30] J. Azizian, A.A. Mohammadi, A.R. Karimi, M.R. Mohammadizadeh, J. Chem. Res. 6 (2004) 424-426.
- [31] S.Y. Wang, S.J. Ji, Tetrahedron 62 (2006) 1527-1535.
- [32] P. Paira, A. Hazra, S. Kumar, R. Paira, K.B. Sahu, S. Naskar, P. Saha, S. Mondal, A. Maity, S. Banerjee, N.B. Mondal, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 19 (2009) 4786-4789.

- [33] K. Rad-Moghadam, M. Sharifi-Kiasaraie, H. Taheri-Amlashi, Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 2316-2321.
- [34] A. Kamal, Y.V.V. Srikanth, M.N.A. Khan, T.B. Shaik, M. Ashraf, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 20 (2010) 5229-5231.
- [35] J.S. Yadav, B.V.S. Reddy, K.U. Gayathri, S. Meraj, A.R. Prasad, Synthesis (2006) 4121-4123.
- [36] D.A. Klumpp, K.Y. Yeung, G.K.S. Prakash, G.A. Olah, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 4481-4484.
- [37] J. Azizian, A.A. Mohammadi, N. Karimi, M.R. Mohammadizadeh, A.R. Karimi, Catal. Commun. 7 (2006) 752-755.
- [38] K. Alimohammadi, Y. Sarrafi, M. Tajbakhsh, Monatsh. Chem. 139 (2008) 1037–1039.
- [39] M. Chakrabarty, S. Sarkar, Y. Harigaya, J. Chem. Res. (2005) 540–542.
- [40] M. Nikpasand, M. Mamaghani, Kh. Tabatabaeian, H.A. Samimi, Synth. Commun. 40 (2010) 3552–3560.
- [41] M. Haghighi, K. Nikoofar, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 20 (2016) 101-106.
- [42] N. Karimi, H. Oskooi, M.M. Heravi, M. Saeedi, M. Zakeri, N. Tavakoli, Chin. J. Chem. 29 (2011) 321–323.
- [43] Y. Sarrafi, K. Alimohammadi, M. Sadatshahabi, N. Norozipoor, Monatsh. Chem. 143 (2012) 1519–1522.
- [44] M. Shiri, J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 10 (2013) 1019–1023.
- [45] A. Saffar-Teluri, Res. Chem. Intermed. 40 (2014) 1061-1067.
- [46] I. Sharma, A. Saxena, C.K. Ojha, C.K.P. Paradasani, R.T. Paradasani, T. Mukherjee, Chem. Sci. 114 (2002) 523-531.
- [47] F.X. Felpin, O. Ibarguren, L. Nassar-Hardy, E. Fouquet, J. Org. Chem. 74 (2009) 1349-1352.
- [48] M.A. Nasseri, A. Allahresani, A.A. Esmaeili, Lett. Org. Chem. 11 (2014) 91–96.
- [49] M.A. Nasseri, A. Allahresani, H. Raissi, Iran J. Catal. 4 (2014) 33–40.
- [50] M.A. Nasseri, A. Allahresani, H. Raissi, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 26087-26093.
- [51] A. Allahresani, M.A. Nasseri, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 60702-60710.
- [52] L.S. Yin, Y.P. Yuan, S.W. Cao, Z.Y. Zhang, C. Xu, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 6127-6132.
- [53] L. Liu, Y. Qi, J. Hu, Y. Liang, W. Cui, Appl. Surf. Sci. 351 (2015) 1146–1154.
- [54] X. Liu, B. Geng, Q. Du, J. Ma, X. Liu, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 448 (2007) 7–14.
- [55] B. Das, K. Damodar, N. Chowdhury, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 269 (2007) 81-84.
- [56] M. Vijender, P. Kishore, P. Narender, B. Satyanarayana, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 266 (2007) 290-293.
- [57] Q. Su, J. Sun, J. Wang, Z. Yang, W. Cheng, S. Zhang, Catal. Sci. Technol. 4 (2014) 1556-1562.
- [58] J. Xu, H.-T. Wu, X. Wang, B. Xue, Y.-X. Li, Y. Cao, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 (2013) 4510-4517.
- [59] J.H. Sun, J.S. Zhang, M.W. Zhang, M. Antonietti, X.Z. Fu, X.C. Wang, Nat. Commun. 3 (2012) 1139-1145.
- [60] Y.J. Cui, J.S. Zhang, G.G. Zhang, J.H. Huang, P. Liu, M. Antonietti, X.C. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. 21 (2011) 13032-13039.

- [61] F.T. Li, Y.B. Xue, B. Li, Y.J. Hao, X.J. Wang, R.H. Liu, J. Zhao, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 53 (2014) 19540-19549.
- [62] W. Lu, B. Liu, Q. Qiu, F. Wang, Z. Luo, P. Zhang, S. Wei, J. Alloy Compd. 479 (2009) 480–483
- [63] R. Sankar, P. Manikandan, V. Malarvizhi, T. Fathima, K.S. Shivashangari, V. Ravikumar, Spectrochim. Acta. A 121 (2014) 746-750.
- [64] R. Sankar, R. Maheswari, S. Karthik, K.S. Shivashangari, V. Ravikumar, Mater. Sci. Eng. C 44 (2014) 234-239.
- [65] J. Zhang, J. Buffle, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 174 (1995) 500-509.
- [66] H. Alinezhad, A. H. Haghighi, F. Salehian, Chin. Chem. Lett. 21 (2010) 183–186.

- [67] G.M. Patel, P.T. Deota, Heterocycl. Commun. 19 (2013) 421–424.
- [68] A.R. Karimi, Z. Dalirnasab, G.H. Yousefi, A. Akbarizadeh, Res. Chem Intermed. 41 (2015) 10007– 10016
- [69] B.V. Subba Reddy, N. Rajeswari, M. Sarangapani, Y. Prashanthi, R.J. Ganji, A. Addlagatta, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 22 (2012) 2460–2463.
- [70] K. Rad-Moghadam, S. Gholizadeh, Iran J. Catal. 4 (2014) 41-47.
- [71] M.A. Nasseri, F. Ahrari, B. Zakerinasab, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 26517-26520.
- [72] M.A. Nasseri, B. Zakerinasab, Iran J. Catal. 5 (2013) 109-116.