



A Strong Convergence Process for Multi-valued Quasi Nonexpansive Mappings in CAT(o) spaces

Hamid Reza Sahebi^{a,*}, Stojan Radenovic^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

^bFaculty of Mechanical Engineering University of Belgrade, Serbia.

ARTICLE INFO

KEYWORDS

Quasi nonexpansive
 CAT(o) spaces
 fixed point
 Hemicompact map.

ABSTRACT

In the current research, a new iterative algorithm is proposed for finding a common fixed points of multi-valued quasi nonexpansive mappings and the strong convergence of the scheme is proved in CAT(o) spaces. Moreover, the strong convergence theorem for hemi-compact map is studied as well.

ARTICLE HISTORY

RECEIVED:2021 FEBRUARY 05

ACCEPTED:2021 AUGUST 08

1 Introduction

The study of CAT(o) spaces was initiated by W.A.Kirk [7]. He show that every nonexpansive single-valued mapping defined on a bounded closed convex subset of a complete CAT(o) space always has a fixed point. The fixed point theorems in CAT(o) spaces has applications in graph theory, biology, and computer science(see [1, 4, 5, 9]). Dhompongsa et al in [3]obtained some convergence theorems for different iterations for nonexpansive single-valued mappings in CAT(o) spaces. Many authors introduced and studied kinds of iterative for single and multi-valued mappings in Hilbert spaces (see [6, 8, 10, 11, 12]).

The purpose of this article is study the iterative scheme define as follow:

Let D be a closed convex subset of a complete CAT(o) space. Let the multi-valued $T_1, T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be quasi nonexpansive map with $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is generated iteratively by $x_1 \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \alpha_n z_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \beta_n z'_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n)x_n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \in [a, b]$ are real sequences in $a, b \in (0, 1)$ and $z_n \in T_1x_n, z'_n \in T_2y_n$. We show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is strongly convergence to common fixed point T_1 and T_2 .

* Corresponding Author's E-mail: sahebi@mail.aiau.ac.ir(H.R. Sahebi)

2 CAT(o) Spaces

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A geodesic path joining $x \in X$ to $y \in X$ (or more briefly, a geodesic from x to y) is a map γ from a closed interval $[0, l] \subset \mathbb{R}$ to X such that $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(l) = y$, and $d(\gamma(t), \gamma(t')) = |t - t'|$, for all $t, t' \in [0, l]$. In particular, γ is an isometry and $d(x, y) = l$. The image γ is called a geodesic (or metric) segment joining x and y . When it is unique, this geodesic is denoted by $[x, y]$. The space (X, d) is said to be a geodesic space if every two points of X are joined by a geodesic, and X is said to be uniquely geodesic if there is exactly one geodesic joining x to y , for each $x, y \in X$. A subset $Y \subset X$ is said to be convex if Y includes every geodesic segment joining any two of its points. A geodesic triangle $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in a geodesic metric space (X, d) consists of three points in X (the vertices of Δ) and a geodesic segment between each pair of vertices (the edges of Δ). A comparison triangle for geodesic triangle $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in (X, d) is a triangle $\bar{\Delta}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \Delta(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$ in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 such that $d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j) = d(x_i, x_j)$, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. A geodesic metric space is said to be a CAT(o) space [2] if all geodesic triangles of appropriate size satisfy the following comparison axiom. Let Δ be a geodesic triangle in X and let $\bar{\Delta}$ be a comparison triangle for Δ . Then, Δ is said to satisfy the CAT(o) inequality if for all $x, y \in \Delta$ and all comparison points $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{\Delta}$, $d(x, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. It is known that in a CAT(o) space, the distance function is convex [2]. Complete CAT(o) spaces are often called Hadamard spaces. Finally, we observe that if x, y_1, y_2 are points of a CAT(o) space and if y_0 is the midpoint of the segment $[y_1, y_2]$, which we will denote by $\frac{y_1 \oplus y_2}{2}$, then the CAT(o) inequality implies

$$d(x, \frac{y_1 \oplus y_2}{2})^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}d(x, y_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}d(y_1, y_2)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

A geodesic metric space is a CAT(o) space if and only if it satisfies inequality (2.1)(which is known as the CN inequality).

Let X be a complete CAT(o) space and $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in X . For $x \in X$ set

$$r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n)$$

the asymptotic radius $r(\{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is given by

$$r(\{x_n\}) = \inf \{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in X\}$$

and the asymptotic center $A(\{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is the

$$A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X : r(x, \{x_n\}) = r(\{x_n\})\}.$$

Let (X, d) be a geodesic space and D be a nonempty convex subset of complete CAT(o) space X . The set D is called Proximal if for each $x \in X$, there exists an element $y \in D$ such that $d(x, y) = d(x, D)$, where $d(x, D) = \inf \{d(x, z) : z \in D\}$.

The families of nonempty closed bounded subsets, and nonempty proximal bounded subsets of D , is denoted by $CB(D)$ and $P(D)$, respectively.

The Hausdroff metric on $CB(D)$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \text{Max} \{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \}$$

for $A, B \in CB(D)$.

An element $p \in D$ is called a fixed point of multi-valued $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ if $p \in Tp$. The set of fixed points of T is denoted by $F(T)$.

Also, The multi-valued mapping $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ is called

- (1): Quasi nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $H(Tx, Tp) \leq d(x, p)$ for all $x \in D$ and $p \in F(T)$.
- (2): L -Lipschitzian, if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq L d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in D$.

- (3): Hemicompact, if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p \in D$.
- (4): Two multi-valued maps $T_1, T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ are satisfied condition *II* if there is a non decreasing function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0, f(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 d(x, T_i x) \geq f(d(x, \bigcap_{i=1}^2 F(T_i)))$$

The following Lemma will be useful for proving the main results in this paper:

Lemma 2.1. ([3]) *Let (X, d) be a CAT(o) space. For $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique point $z \in [x, y]$ such that*

$$d(x, z) = td(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, z) = (1 - t)d(x, y),$$

we use the notation $(1 - t)x \oplus ty$ for the unique z .

Lemma 2.2. ([3]) *Let (X, d) be a CAT(o) space. Then*

$$d((1 - t)x \oplus ty, z)^2 \leq (1 - t)d(x, z)^2 + td(y, z)^2 - t(1 - t)d(x, y)^2,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y, z \in X$.

3 Strong convergence theorems

Here our main result is presented.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a complete CAT(o) space and D a nonempty closed convex subset X and $T_1, T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be a quasi nonexpansive multi-valued maps with $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ such that $T_1 p = \{p\}$ and $T_2 p = \{p\}$ for each $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. Suppose $x_1 \in D$ and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.1). Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$ exists.*

Proof. Let $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, p) &= d(\alpha_n z_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, p) \\ &\leq \alpha_n d(z_n, p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p) \\ &= \alpha_n d(z_n, T_1 p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p) \\ &\leq \alpha_n H(T_1 x_n, T_1 p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

Since T_1 is quasi nonexpansive

$$d(y_n, p) \leq \alpha_n d(x_n, p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p) = d(x_n, p). \quad (3.1)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d(\beta_n z_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n)x_n, p) \\ &\leq \beta_n d(z_n, p) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) \\ &= \beta_n d(z_n, T_2 p) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) \\ &\leq \beta_n H(T_2 y_n, T_2 p) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

T_2 is quasi nonexpansive

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq \beta_n d(y_n, p) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p).$$

Now, by (3.1) we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq \beta_n d(x_n, p) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) = d(x_n, p)$$

this implies that the sequence $\{d(x_n, p)\}$ is decreasing and bounded. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a complete CAT(o) space and D a nonempty closed convex subset X and $T_1 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be a quasi nonexpansive multi-valued map and $T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be a quasi nonexpansive and L -Lipchitzian multi-valued map. Moreover, $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_1 p = \{p\}, T_2 p = \{p\}$ for each $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. If $\{T_1, T_2\}$ satisfies condition II, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.1) convergence strongly to common fixed point T_1 and T_2 .

Proof. Let $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, p)^2 &= d(\alpha_n z_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, p)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n d(z_n, p)^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, x_n)^2 \\ &= \alpha_n d(z_n, T_1 p)^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, x_n)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n H(T_1 x_n, T_1 p)^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, x_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since T_1 is quasi nonexpansive

$$d(y_n, p)^2 \leq d(x_n, p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, x_n)^2. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p)^2 &= d(\beta_n z'_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n)x_n, p)^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n d(z'_n, p)^2 + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(z'_n, x_n)^2 \\ &= \beta_n d(z'_n, T_2 p)^2 + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(z'_n, x_n)^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n H(T_2 y_n, T_2 p)^2 + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(z'_n, x_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since T_2 is quasi nonexpansive

$$d(x_{n+1}, p)^2 \leq \beta_n d(y_n, p)^2 + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(z'_n, x_n)^2.$$

The inequality (3.2) implies that

$$d(x_{n+1}, p)^2 \leq d(x_n, p)^2 - \beta_n \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, x_n)^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d(z'_n, x_n)^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a^2(1 - b)d(z_n, x_n)^2 + a(1 - b)d(z'_n, x_n)^2 &\leq \beta_n \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, x_n)^2 + \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d(z'_n, x_n)^2 \\ &\leq d(x_n, p)^2 - d(x_{n+1}, p)^2. \end{aligned}$$

But, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^2(1 - b)d(z_n, x_n) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(1 - b)d(z'_n, x_n) < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, x_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z'_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Additionally, since

$$d(x_n, T_1 x_n) \leq d(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, z_n) &= d(\alpha_n z_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, z_n) \\ &\leq \alpha_n d(z_n, z_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n) = (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, z_n) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.6)

$$d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(y_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) &\leq d(x_n, T_2 y_n) + d(T_2 y_n, T_2 x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, T_2 y_n) + H(T_2 y_n, T_2 x_n) \end{aligned}$$

since T_2 is L -Lipschitzian

$$d(x_n, T_2 x_n) \leq d(x_n, z_n) + L d(y_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By condition (II) we conclude $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)) = 0$, i.e., there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and sequence p_k in $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, p_k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \quad \text{for all } k.$$

From

$$d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_k) \leq d(x_{n_k}, p_k) < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

it follows that

$$d(p_{k+1}, p_k) \leq d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_{k+1}) + d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_k) < \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^k} < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

This show that the sequence p_k is cauchy in D and then is convergence to $x^* \in D$. On the other hand,

$$d(p_k, T_i x^*) \leq H(T_i p_k, T_i x^*) \leq d(p_k, x^*) \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}$$

then $d(x^*, T_i x^*) = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and so $x^* \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$, i.e., $\{x_{n_k}\}$ convergence strongly to x^* . Theorem 3.1 implies that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ convergence strongly to x^* common fixed point T_1 and T_2 . \square

Theorem 3.3. Let X be a complete CAT(o) space and D a nonempty closed convex subset X . Let $T_1, T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be a quasi nonexpansive multi-valued map with $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_1 p = \{p\}$ and $T_2 p = \{p\}$ for each $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. Assume that T_1, T_2 be hemicompact continuous maps. Suppose $x_1 \in D$ and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.1). Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$ exists.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 along with the proof and by equation (3.4) and (3.6), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_i x_n) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since T_1, T_2 are hemicompact, there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p$ for some $p \in D$.

Also, T_1, T_2 are continuous, we obtain

$$d(x_{n_k}, T_i x_{n_k}) \rightarrow d(p, T_i p).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, T_i x_{n_k}) = 0$, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p, T_i p) = 0$ and so $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$.

Theorem 3.1 implies that $\{x_n\}$ strongly convergence to p . □

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a complete CAT(o) space and D a nonempty closed convex subset X and $T_1, T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be multi-valued map and $\{P_{T_1}, P_{T_2}\}$ be a quasi nonexpansive and P_{T_2} be L -Lipchitzian. Also, $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_1 p = \{p\}$ and $T_2 p = \{p\}$ for each $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. If $\{T_1, T_2\}$ satisfies condition (II), then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.1) convergence strongly to common fixed point T_1 and T_2 .*

Proof. Let $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$, we have $p \in P_{T_i} = \{p\}$,

$$d(z_n, p) \leq d(z_n, P_{T_1} p) \leq H(P_{T_1} x_n, P_{T_1} p) \leq d(x_n, p), \tag{3.8}$$

$$d(z'_n, p) \leq d(z'_n, P_{T_2} p) \leq H(P_{T_2} y_n, P_{T_2} p) \leq d(y_n, p) \tag{3.9}$$

By assumption, we obtain

$$d(y_n, p) = d(\alpha_n z_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) x_n, p) \leq \alpha_n d(z_n, p) + (1 - \alpha_n) d(x_n, p).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d(\beta_n z'_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) x_n, p) \\ &\leq \beta_n d(z'_n, p) + (1 - \beta_n) d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

(3.8) together (3.9) implies that

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq d(x_n, p). \tag{3.10}$$

By similar proof argument in Theorem 3.1, one can easy obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z'_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$d(x_n, T_1 x_n) \leq d(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = 0.$$

Hausdruff metric space definition implies that for each positive $n \geq 1$ there exist $\widetilde{x}_n \in P_{T_2}$ such that

$$d(\widetilde{x}_n, z'_n) \leq H(P_{T_2} x_n, P_{T_2} y_n) + \frac{1}{n}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) &\leq d(\widetilde{x}_n, z'_n) + d(z'_n, x_n) \\ &\leq H(P_{T_2}x_n, P_{T_2}y_n) + \frac{1}{n} + d(z'_n, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since P_{T_2} is L -Lipchitzian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) &\leq L d(x_n, y_n) + d(z'_n, x_n) + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq L d(x_n, z_n) + L d(y_n, z_n) + d(z'_n, x_n) + \frac{1}{n} \\ &= L d(x_n, z_n) + L d(\alpha_n z_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, z_n) + d(z'_n, x_n) + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq L(1 + \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n) + d(z'_n, x_n) + \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) = 0.$$

From inequality

$$d(x_n, T_2x_n) \leq d(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$$

we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2x_n) = 0.$$

Condition (II) implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)) = 0$, then there exist sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and sequence $\{p_k\}$ in $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, p_k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \text{ for all } k.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} d(p_{k+1}, p_k) &\leq d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_{k+1}) + d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_k) \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^k} < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This show that the sequence p_k is cauchy in D and then is convergence to $x^* \in D$.

Also,

$$d(p_k, T_i x^*) \leq H(P_{T_i} p_k, P_{T_i} x^*) \leq d(p_k, x^*) \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}$$

then $d(x^*, T_i x^*) = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and so $x^* \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$.

It implies that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ convergence strongly to x^* . Theorem 3.1 implies that $\{x_n\}$ strongly convergence to x^* common fixed point T_1 and T_2 . \square

4 Acknowledgment

The authors very grateful to the referee for their careful reading, comments and suggestions, which improve the presentation of this article.

References

- [1] Bartolini I, Ciaccia P, Patella M. String matching with metric trees using an approximate distance. In International Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval 2002 Sep 11 (pp. 271-283). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [2] Bridson MR, Haefliger A. Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. Springer Science & Business Media; 2013 Mar 9.
- [3] Dhompongsa S, Kaewkhao A, Panyanak B. Lim's theorems for multivalued mappings in CAT (o) spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 2005 Dec 15;312(2):478-87.
- [4] Dhompongsa S, Panyanak B. On \square -convergence theorems in CAT (o) spaces. Computers & Mathematics with Applications. 2008 Nov 1;56(10):2572-9.
- [5] Espinola R, Kirk WA. Fixed point theorems in R-trees with applications to graph theory. Topology and its Applications. 2006 Jan 1;153(7):1046-55.
- [6] Kirk WA. Fixed point theorems in spaces and-trees. Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2004 Dec;2004(4):1-8.
- [7] Geometry G. Fixed Point Theory. In Seminar of Mathematical Analysis: Proceedings, Universities of Malaga and Seville (Spain), September 2002-February 2003 2003 (No. 64, p. 195). Universidad de Sevilla.
- [8] Laowang W, Panyanak B. Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive nonself mappings in CAT (o) spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2009 Dec;2010:1-1.
- [9] Park S. The KKM principle in abstract convex spaces: Equivalent formulations and applications. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications. 2010 Aug 15;73(4):1028-42.
- [10] Panyanak B. Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces. Computers & Mathematics with Applications. 2007 Sep 1;54(6):872-7.
- [11] Razani A, Salahifard H. Invariant approximation for CAT (o) spaces. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications. 2010 Mar 1;72(5):2421-5.
- [12] Sastry KP, Babu G. Convergence of Ishikawa iterates for a multi-valued mapping with a fixed point. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal. 2005 Dec;55(4):817-26.