Available online at http://ijim.srbiau.ac.ir/
Int. J. Industrial Mathematics (ISSN 2008-5621)
Vol. 9, No. 2, 2017 Article ID IJIM-00719, 6 pages
Research Article

Science and Research Branch (IAU)

On End and Coupled Endpoints of θ-F-Contractive Set-Valued Mappings

B. Mohammadi * †, E. Alizadeh ‡

Received Date: 2015-06-25 Revised Date: 2016-07-08 Accepted Date: 2017-03-04

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new concept in set-valued mappings which we have called condition (UHS). Then, adding this condition to a new type of contractive set-valued mappings, recently has been introduced by Amini-Harandi [Fixed and coupled fixed points of a new type contractive set-valued mapping in complete metric spaces, Fixed point theory and applications, 215 (2012)], we prove that this mapping have a unique end point. Then, we state and prove a result about existence of coupled fixed point of this type of contractive set-valued mappings defined on $M \times M$, where M is a complete metric space (Recently, Amini-Harandi proved existence of coupled fixed point only for self mappings). Finally, we introduce one another new concept, which we have called condition $(UHS)^*$. Then, adding this condition we state and prove existence of coupled endpoint for such contractive set-valued mappings. Some examples are given to illustrate the results.

Keywords : Endpoint; Coupled fixed point; Coupled endpoint; θ-F-Contractive; Set-valued mappings.

1 Introduction

There are many extentions of the Banach contraction principle in literature. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $CB(X)$ denote the set of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of X. Let H be the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ with respect to metric d, that is, $H(A, B) = max\{\sup_{x\in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y\in B} d(y, A)\}$ for all $A, B \in CB(X)$, where $d(y, A) = inf_{x\in A} d(y, x)$. Let $T : X \to 2^X$ is a set-valued mapping. It is called that x is a fixed point of T if $x \in Tx$. In 1969, Nadler extended the Banach contraction principle to set-valued mappings as follows: (Nadler [10]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$.Then T has a fixed point. In 1989, Mizoguchi and takahashi extended Nadler's result as follows: (Mizoguchi and takahashi [8]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y))d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha : [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{t\to r^+} \alpha(t) < 1$, for all $r \in [0, +\infty)$. Then T has a fixed point.

Let $F : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ be two maps. Througout this paper let Δ be the set of all pairs of (F, θ) satisfying the following conditions:

*Corresponding author. bmohammadi@marandiau.ac.ir, Tel:+984142220306
†Department of Mathematics, Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marand, Iran.
‡Department of Mathematics, Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marand, Iran.

(δ_1) For each strictly decreasing sequence $\{t_n\}$ in $(0,+\infty)$, $\theta(t_n) \not\to 0$.

(δ_2) F is strictly increasing.

(δ_3) For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in $(0,+\infty)$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$.

(δ_4) If $t_n \downarrow 0$ and $\theta(t_n) \le F(t_n) - F(t_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n < \infty$.

For example , let $\theta(t) = \tau$, for some $\tau > 0$ and $F(t) = \ln(t) + t$. It is easy to see that $(F,\theta) \in \Delta$ (for details see [4]). Another example is $\theta(t) = -ln(\alpha(t))$, where $\alpha : [0,\infty) \to [0,1)$ and $\limsup_{t\to r^+}\alpha(t) < 1$, for all $r \in (0,\infty)$ and $F(t) = \ln(t)$ (see [4]). Recently, Amini-Harandi introduced the following generalization of Theorem 1 and the theorem of Wardowski (see Wardowski's [14]). (Amini Harandi [4]) Let (X,d) be a metric space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping and $(F,\frac{\theta}{2}) \in \Delta$ such that

$$\theta(d(x,y)) + F(H(Tx,Ty)) \le F(d(x,y)), \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x,y \in X$ with $Tx \neq Ty$. If T be compact valued or F be continuous from the right, Then T has a fixed point.

2 Main Results

Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping. It is called that T has the approximate endpoint property if $\inf_{x\in X}\sup_{y\in Tx} d(x,y) = 0$. In 2010, Amini Harandi proved that if $H(Tx,Ty \le \psi(d(x,y))$, for all $x,y \in X$, where $\psi : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ is a mapping with some properties, then T has a unique endpoint $x \in X$, that is, $Tx = \{x\}$ if and only if T has the approximate endpoint property ([2]). We say that T satisfies condition (UHS) if for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ such that $H(Tx,Ty) \ge \sup_{b\in Ty} d(y,b)$. Also, we say that T is θ-F-contractive if (1.1) holds for all $x,y \in X$ with $Tx \neq Ty$.

Now, we state and prove the main result of this paper. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and $(F,\frac{\theta}{2}) \in \Delta$. Let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a θ-F-contractive set-valued mapping satisfying condition (UHS). Then T has a unique endpoint. Let $x_0 \in X$. Since T satisfies condition (UHS), hence there exists $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $H(Tx_0,Tx_1) \ge \sup_{b\in Tx_1} d(x_1,b)$. If $Tx_0 = Tx_1$, then $x_1 \in Tx_0 = Tx_1$ and so $H(\{x_1\},Tx_1) = \sup_{b\in Tx_1} d(x_1,b) \le H(Tx_0,Tx_1) = 0$. Hence $Tx_1 = \{x_1\}$ and so x_1 is an endpoint of T. So, we may assume that $Tx_0 \neq Tx_1$. Now since T is θ-F-contractive, hence $\theta(d(x_0,x_1)) + F(H(Tx_0,Tx_1)) \le F(d(x_0,x_1))$. By continuing this process, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, $H(Tx_n,Tx_{n+1}) \ge \sup_{b\in Tx_{n+1}} d(x_{n+1},b)$, $Tx_n \neq Tx_{n+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(d(x_n,x_{n+1})) &+ F(H(Tx_n,Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\le F(d(x_n,x_{n+1})),\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}) &\le \sup_{b\in Tx_{n+1}} d(x_{n+1},b) \\ &\le H(Tx_n,Tx_{n+1}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since F is increasing and $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ (since $Tx_n \neq Tx_{n+1}$), so

$$\begin{aligned}F(d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2})) &< F(H(Tx_n,Tx_{n+1})) \\ &+ \frac{\theta(d(x_n,x_{n+1}))}{2}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\theta(d(x_n,x_{n+1}))}{2} + F(d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2})) \\ &< F(H(Tx_n,Tx_{n+1})) + \theta(d(x_n,x_{n+1})) \\ &\le F(d(x_n,x_{n+1})).\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Put $t_n = d(x_n,x_{n+1})$. Then, from (2.4) we have $\frac{\theta(t_n)}{2} + F(t_{n+1}) \le F(t_n)$ and so

$$\frac{\theta(t_n)}{2} \le F(t_n) - F(t_{n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\theta(t_n) > 0$, then we have $F(t_{n+1}) < F(t_n)$. Since F is strictly increasing, hence $t_{n+1} < t_n$ and so $\{t_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and so converges to some $r \ge 0$. Now we show that $r = 0$. By (δ_1) we have $\theta(t_n) \not\to 0$ and hence $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}\theta(t_n) = \infty$. Now, from (2.5), we have $\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}\theta(t_i) \le F(t_1) - F(t_{n+1})$. Therefore $\infty = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty}\theta(t_i) \le F(t_1) - \lim_{n\to\infty}F(t_{n+1})$. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty}F(t_n) = -\infty$ and so $\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n = 0$. From (δ_4), we have $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}t_n < \infty$. Hence

$\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty$. Therefore, from the triangle inequality $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \to x$. Now we show that x is an endpoint of T. To show this, we get two cases:

(i) There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Tx_n \neq Tx$ for all $n \geq N$.

(ii) There exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $Tx_{n_i} = Tx$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the case (i), we have

$$\theta(d(x_n, x)) + F(H(Tx_n, Tx)) \leq F(d(x_n, x)), \tag{2.7}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now since $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$, hence from (δ_3), we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(d(x_n, x)) = -\infty$. From (2.6) we result $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(H(Tx_n, Tx)) = -\infty$ and so $\lim_{n\to\infty} H(Tx_n, Tx) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n) \\ = \ & \max\{d(x_n, Tx_n), \sup_{b\in Tx_n} d(x_n, b)\} \\ \leq \ & H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now since F is increasing, from (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) + F(H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n)) \\ & \leq \ \theta(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) + F(H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\ & \leq \ F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Since $d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \to 0$, hence $F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))) \to -\infty$. Hence, from (2.8), $F(H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n)) \to -\infty$ and so $H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n) \to 0$. Now

$$H(\{x\}, Tx) \leq d(x, x_n) + H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n)$$
$$+H(Tx_n, Tx) \to 0.$$

Hence, $H(\{x\}, Tx) = 0$ and so $\{x\} = Tx$. Therefore, x is an endpoint of T.
In the case (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} H(\{x\}, Tx) \ & \leq \ d(x, x_{n_i}) + H(\{x_{n_i}\}, Tx_{n_i}) \\ & \leq \ d(x, x_{n_i}) + H(Tx_{n_i-1}, Tx_{ni}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

But since $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to 0$, from (2.2) we can conclude that $H(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \to 0$. Hence $H(Tx_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i}) \to 0$. Now the right side of inequality (2.10) tends to zero and hence H({x},Tx)=0. So, we have shown that x is an endpoint of T.

For the uniquness of endpoint let x, y are two endpoints of T such that $x \neq y$. Then $Tx = \{x\} \neq \{y\} = Ty$. Now we have $\theta(d(x, y)) + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$ and $H(Tx, Ty) = d(x, y)$. Hence $\theta(d(x, y)) \leq 0$. Which is a contradiction.

Example 2.1 *Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and define the metric d on X by*

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = y \\ x + y & x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Let $T : X \to CB(X)$ is defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{0\} & x = 0 \\ \{0, 1, 2, ..., x - 1\} & x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $Tx \neq Ty$, then $x \neq y$. In the case where $x, y \in \{1, 2, ...\}$, then $H(Tx, Ty) = x + y - 2$. If $x = 0$ and $y \in \{1, 2, ...\}$, then $H(Tx, Ty) = y - 1$. In any case $H(Tx, Ty) - d(x, y) \leq -1$. Hence

$$\frac{H(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} e^{H(Tx,Ty)-d(x,y)} \leq e^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$1 + \ln(H(Tx, Ty)) + H(Tx, Ty)$$
$$\leq \ln(d(x, y)) + d(x, y)).$$

Now put $\theta(t) = 1$ and $F(t) = \ln t + t$. Then $(F, \frac{\theta}{2}) \in \Delta$ and F is θ-F-contractive set-valued mapping. Now we show that T satisfies condition (UHS). For this let $x \in X$. If $x = 0$ or $x = 1$, then $Tx = \{0\}$. Now put $y = 0$. Then $y \in Tx$ and $H(Tx, Ty) = 0 = \sup_{b\in Ty} d(y, b)$. In the case where $x \in \{2, ...\}$, we have $Tx = \{0, 1, 2, ..., x-1\}$ and since $x \geq 2$, hence $x-1 \geq 1$. Now put $y = 1$. Then $y \in Tx$ and $Ty = \{0\}$. Hence $H(Tx, Ty) = x - 1 \geq 1 = \sup_{b\in Ty} d(y, b)$. Therefore T satisfies condition (UHS). Then by Theorem 2, T has a unique endpoint. Here 0 is the only endpoint of T.

In 2012, Amini-Harandi proved the following result about coupled fixed point of θ-F-contractive mappings.

Theorem 2.1 *(Amini Harandi [4]) Let (M, ρ) be a complete metric space and let $(F, \frac{\theta}{2}) \in \Delta$. let $f : M \times M \to M$ be a mapping such that*

$$\theta(\rho(x, u) + \rho(y, v)) + F(\rho(f(x, y), f(u, v))$$

$$+\rho(f(y,x),f(v,u))) \le F(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)),$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$*, with* $f(x,y) \neq f(u,v)$ *or* $f(y,x) \neq f(v,u)$*. Then* f *has a coupled fixed point* $(x,y) \in M \times M$*. That is* $f(x,y) = x$ *and* $f(y,x) = y$*.* In the following theorem we extend Theorem 2.1 to set-valued mappings. Let (M,ρ) be a complete metric space and let $(F,\frac{\theta}{2}) \in \Delta$. Let $\hbar : M \times M \to CB(M)$ be a set-valued mapping such that

$$\theta(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)) + F(H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v))$$
$$+ H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u)) \le F(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v))), \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$, with $\hbar(x,y) \neq \hbar(u,v)$ or $\hbar(y,x) \neq \hbar(v,u)$. If $\hbar$ be compact valued or F be continuous from the right, then $\hbar$ has a coupled fixed point (x,y) in $M \times M$. That is $x \in \hbar(x,y)$ and $y \in \hbar(y,x)$.

Let $X = M \times M$ and define the metric d on X by $d((x,y),(u,v)) = \rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)$, for all $(x,y),(u,v) \in X$. It is easy to show that (X,d) is a complete metric space. Define $T : X \to X$ by $T(x,y) = \hbar(x,y) \times \hbar(y,x)$. Using (2.11), we shall show that

$$\theta(d((x,y),(u,v))) + F(H_d(T(x,y),T(u,v))) \le F(d((x,y),(u,v))) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $(x,y),(u,v) \in X$ with $T(x,y) \neq T(u,v)$, where H_d is the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ with respect to the metric d on X. At first, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_d(T(x,y),T(u,v)) \\
=\ & H_d(\hbar(x,y)\times\hbar(y,x),\hbar(u,v)\times\hbar(v,u)) \\
=\ & \max\{\sup_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\hbar(x,y)\times\hbar(y,x)} \\
& d((\xi_1,\xi_2),\hbar(u,v)\times\hbar(v,u)) \\
,\ & \sup_{(\eta_1,\eta_2)\in\hbar(u,v)\times\hbar(v,u)} \\
& d((\eta_1,\eta_2),\hbar(x,y)\times\hbar(y,x))\} \\
=\ & \max\{\sup_{\xi_1\in\hbar(x,y)}\rho(\xi_1,\hbar(u,v)) \\
+\ & \sup_{\xi_2\in\hbar(y,x)}\rho(\xi_2,\hbar(u,v)), \\
& \sup_{\eta_1\in\hbar(u,v)}\rho(\eta_1,\hbar(x,y)) \\
+\ & \sup_{\eta_2\in\hbar(v,u)}\rho(\eta_2,\hbar(y,x))\} \\
\le\ & H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v)) + H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u)).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now since F is strictly increasing, from (2.11) and (2.13) we have (2.12) holds. Now if $\hbar$ be compact valued then T is compact valued. Now all of the conditions of Theorem 1 holds. Hence by the theorem T has a fixed point (x,y) in $X = M \times M$, that is, $(x,y) \in T(x,y) = \hbar(x,y)\times\hbar(y,x)$. Hence $x \in \hbar(x,y)$ and $y \in \hbar(y,x)$. So (x,y) is a coupled fixed point of $\hbar$.

Definition 2.1 *Let* (M,ρ) *be a metric space and let* $\hbar : M\times M \to CB(M)$ *be a set-valued mapping. We say that* $\hbar$ *satisfies condition* $(UHS)^*$*, if for any* $x,y \in M$*, there exist* $u \in \hbar(x,y)$ *and* $v \in \hbar(y,x)$ *such that*

$$\max\{\sup_{\xi_1\in\hbar(x,y)}\rho(\xi_1,\hbar(u,v)) + \sup_{\xi_2\in\hbar(y,x)}\rho(\xi_2,\hbar(u,v)),$$
$$\sup_{\eta_1\in\hbar(u,v)}\rho(\eta_1,\hbar(x,y)) + \sup_{\eta_2\in\hbar(v,u)}\rho(\eta_2,\hbar(y,x))\}$$
$$\ge \sup_{a\in\hbar(u,v)}\rho(u,a) + \sup_{b\in\hbar(v,u)}\rho(v,b). \tag{2.14}$$

In following theorem we introduce and prove a result about coupled endpoints of set-valued mappings that satisfies condition $(UHS)^*$. Let (M,ρ) be a complete metric space and let $(F,\frac{\theta}{2}) \in \Delta$. Let $\hbar : M \times M \to CB(M)$ be a set-valued mapping satisfying condition $(UHS)^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)) \\
+\ & F(H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v)) + H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u))) \\
\le\ & F(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$ with $\hbar(x,y) \neq \hbar(u,v)$ or $\hbar(y,x) \neq \hbar(v,u)$. Then $\hbar$ has a unique coupled endpoint (x,y) in $M \times M$, that is, $\{x\} = \hbar(x,y)$ and $\{y\} = \hbar(y,x)$. Let (X,d) and $T : X \to X$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2. We want to show that T has the condition (UHS). Let $(x,y) \in X$. Then, $x,y \in M$. Since $\hbar$ has the condition $(UHS)^*$, then there exist $u \in \hbar(x,y)$ and $v \in \hbar(y,x)$ such that (2.13) holds. From (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_d(T(x,y),T(u,v)) \\
\ge\ & \sup_{a\in\hbar(u,v)}\rho(u,a) + \sup_{b\in\hbar(v,u)}\rho(v,b) \\
=\ & \sup_{(a,b)\in\hbar(u,v)\times\hbar(v,u)} d((u,v),(a,b)) \\
=\ & \sup_{(a,b)\in T(u,v)} d((u,v),(a,b)).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Now since $(u,v) \in T(x,y)$ and (2.16) holds, hence T has condition (UHS). From (2.15) and as in the proof of Theorem (2), we have

$$\theta(d((x,y),(u,v))) + F(H_d(T(x,y),T(u,v))) \leq F(d((x,y),(u,v))),$$

for all $(x,y),(u,v) \in X$ with $T(x,y) \neq T(u,v)$. Hence by Theorem 2, we can say that T has a unique end point (x,y) in X. That is $\{(x,y)\} = T(x,y)$. Hence $\{x\} = \hbar(x,y)$ and $\{y\} = \hbar(y,x)$.

Example 2.2 *Let $M = [0,\infty)$ and define the metric ρ on X by $\rho(x,y) = |x-y|$. Let $\hbar : M \times M \to CB(M)$ is defined by $\hbar(x,y) = [0, \frac{|x-y|}{4}]$. Then we have*

$$H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v)) + H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u))$$

$$= 2(\frac{|x-y|}{4} - \frac{|u-v|}{4})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(|x-u|+|y-v|) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)),$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$. Then we will have

$$\ln 2 + \ln(H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v)) + H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u)))$$

$$\leq \ln(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)),$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$ with $\hbar(x,y) \neq \hbar(u,v)$ or $\hbar(y,x) \neq \hbar(v,u)$. If we put $\theta(t) = \ln 2$ and $F(t) = \ln t$, then (2.15) holds. Also we show that $\hbar$ satisfies condition $(UHS)^*$. To see this, let $x,y \in M$. Put $u = v = 0$, then obviously $u \in \hbar(x,y)$, $v \in \hbar(y,x)$ and $\hbar(u,v) = \hbar(v,u) = \{0\}$. Hence $\sup_{a\in\hbar(u,v)} \rho(u,a) + \sup_{b\in\hbar(v,u)} \rho(v,b) = 0$. So the inequality (2.14) holds. Now we have shown that $\hbar$ has the condition $(UHS)^*$. Now by Theorem 2 we can say that $\hbar$ has a unique coupled endpoint (x,y) in $M \times M$. Here $(0,0)$ is the only endpoint of $\hbar$.

Example 2.3 *Let $M = [0,\infty)$ and define the metric ρ on X by $\rho(x,y) = |x-y|$. Define $\hbar : M \times M \to CB(M)$ by $\hbar(x,y) = [0, \frac{r}{2}(x+y)]$, where $r < 1$. Then we have*

$$H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v)) + H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u))$$

$$= 2|\frac{r}{2}(x+y) - \frac{r}{2}(u+v)|$$

$$\leq r(|x-u|+|y-v|) = r(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)),$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$. Then we will have

$$-\ln r + \ln(H(\hbar(x,y),\hbar(u,v)) + H(\hbar(y,x),\hbar(v,u)))$$

$$\leq \ln(\rho(x,u)+\rho(y,v)),$$

for all $x,y,u,v \in M$ with $\hbar(x,y) \neq \hbar(u,v)$ or $\hbar(y,x) \neq \hbar(v,u)$. If we put $\theta(t) = -\ln r$ and $F(t) = \ln t$, then (2.15) holds. It is easy to show that $\hbar$ satisfies condition $(UHS)^*$. Now by Theorem 2 we can say that $\hbar$ has a unique coupled endpoint (x,y) in $M \times M$. Here $(0,0)$ is the only endpoint of $\hbar$.

3 Conclusion

In this research, existence of endpoint, coupled fixed point and coupled endpoint are proved for θ-F-contractive set-valued mappings. For further research, existence of endpoint, coupled fixed point and coupled endpoint are recommended for θ-F-quasicontractive set-valued mappings.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marand, Iran.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a new approach for ranking of fuzzy numbers. First, we present a new method for ranking fuzzy numbers based on the γ-cuts, the belief features and the signal/noise ratios of fuzzy numbers. The proposed method calculates the signal/noise ratio of each γ-cut of a fuzzy number to evaluate the quantity and the quality of a fuzzy number, where the signal and the noise are defined as the middle-point and the spread of each γ-cut of a fuzzy number, respectively. We use the value of a as the weight of the signal/noise ratio of each γ-cut of a fuzzy number to calculate the ranking index of each fuzzy number. The proposed fuzzy ranking method can rank any kinds of fuzzy numbers with different kinds of membership functions.

References

[1] A. Amini-Harandi, D. ORegan, Fixed point theorems for set-valued contraction type maps in metric spaces,*Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010, Article ID 390183 (2010).

[2] A. Amini-Harandi, Endpoints of set-valued contractions in metric spaces,*Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010) 132-134.

[3] A. Amini-Harandi, Coupled and tripled fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces with application to initial value problem,*Math. Comput. Model.* 57 (2013) 2343-2348.

[4] A. Amini-Harandi, Fixed and coupled fixed point of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 215 (2012).

[5] L. Ciric, Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 2716-2723.

[6] N. Hussain, Amini-Harandi, A, Cho, YJ, Approximate endpoints for set-valued contractions in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Article ID 614867 (2010).

[7] D. Klim, D. Wardowski, Fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 334 (2007) 132-139.

[8] N. Mizoguchi, W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 141 (1989) 177-188.

[9] A. Meir, E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 28 (1969) 326-329.

[10] SB Jr. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pac. J. Math.* 30 (1969) 475-488.

[11] T. Suzuki, Fixed-point theorem for asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type in complete metric spaces, *Non-linear Anal.* 64 (2006) 971-978.

[12] D. Wardowski, Endpoints and fixed points of set-valued contractions in cone metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 512-516.

[13] D. Wardowski, On set-valued contractions of Nadler type in cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 275-278.

[14] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 94 (2012).

Babak Mohammadi is anassistant professor at the Department of Mathematics, Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marand, Iran. He received his Phd in Pure Mathematics (Nonlinear Analysis) from Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran, Iran. His research interests include fixed point and endpoint of multi-valued contractive and quasi-contractive mappings in complete metric space and metric spaces endowed with a graph.

Esmaeil Alizadehis is Phd student at the Department of Mathematics, Shabestar Branch, IslamicAzad University, Shabestar, Iran. Currently, He is instructor of Department of Mathematics,Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marand, Iran. His research interests include nonlinear analysis, functional analysis, Banach Algebra, Frame theory, Fixed point theory.