



On Inclusion Relations Between Generalized Wiener Classes

N. Haddadzadeh ^{*†}

Received Date: 2019-07-05 Revised Date: 2019-11-11 Accepted Date: 2020-03-25

Abstract

In this paper we aim to study inclusion relations between the generalized Wiener classes $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$. In particular, we give a sufficient condition for the inclusion $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$ which leads us to new results for other classes of functions previously considered. We also obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for equality of two distinct classes of this type. Furthermore, we extend and unify a number of results in the literature including an important theorem of Avdispahić about Waterman spaces.

Keywords : Generalized bounded variation; Modulus of variation; Generalized Wiener class; Waterman class.

1 Introduction

WE commence this paper by recalling a generalization of the classical concept of bounded variation which is central to our work here. A nondecreasing sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$ of positive reals is said to be a Λ -sequence if $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} = \infty$.

Definition 1.1 Let Λ be a Λ -sequence and $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of positive reals such that $1 \leq p_n \uparrow p \leq \infty$. A real function f on an interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of p_n - Λ -bounded variation if :

$$V_{\Lambda}(f; p_n \uparrow p) := \sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{\{I_j\}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} < \infty$$

where the $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^s$ are collections of nonoverlapping subintervals of $[a, b]$ such that $\inf_j |I_j| \geq \frac{b-a}{2^n}$.

The symbol $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$ stands for the linear space of functions of p_n - Λ -bounded variation. This class was introduced by Vyas in [11] where, among other things, it is shown that $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$ with pointwise operations and a suitable norm turns into a Banach algebra.

When $\lambda_j = 1$ for all j , we obtain the class $BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$ —introduced by Kita and Yoneda [6]—which is a generalization of the well-known Wiener class BV_p . On the other hand, taking $p_n = p$ for all n , we obtain the class $\Lambda BV^{(p)}$ [10]. If further we take $p = 1$, the Waterman class ΛBV is obtained. In the sequel, we suppose that $[a, b] = [0, 1]$.

The main purpose of this paper is extending and unifying a number of inclusion theorems in the literature. More specifically, in Section 3 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for

*Corresponding author. nhaddad25@gmail.com, Tel:+98(918)8491131.

†Department of Mathematics, Abadan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Abadan, Iran.

equality of two distinct $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$ classes, which extends the main result of [6]. We shall also present a sufficient condition for the inclusion $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$ for arbitrary Λ, Γ, p_n and q_n ; this condition is new even for the special case of $p_n = q_n = 1$. In Section 4, a unifying condition is introduced that yields three inclusion results already known. We note that a main ingredient in our proofs is an inequality which is discussed in the next section.

2 Preliminaries

In [4], the authors established an inequality for positive monotonic sequences which can be used to relate norms of certain function spaces:

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^q z_j\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k y_j\right)^{-1} \tag{2.1}$$

where $1 \leq q < \infty$, and $\{x_j\}, \{y_j\}$ and $\{z_j\}$ are positive nonincreasing sequences.

The following lemma supplements this inequality and will be used in our proofs.

Lemma 2.1 *If $0 < q < 1$, then (2.1) holds whenever the sequence*

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k z_i / \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right\}_k$$

is nondecreasing.

Proof. First, we apply (2.1) with $q = 1$ to obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j z_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k z_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i\right)^{-1} \tag{2.2}$$

Then an application of the Hölder inequality

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^q z_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j z_j)^q z_j^{1-q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j z_j\right)^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j\right)^{1-q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j\right)^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j\right)^{1-q} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k z_i\right)^q \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i\right)^{-q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j\right)^q \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k z_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i\right)^{-q} \end{aligned}$$

where the last two inequalities are due, respectively, to (2.2) and the fact that

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k z_i / \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right\}_k$$

is nondecreasing.

We will also need the following inequality which is sometimes called the equimonotonic sequences inequality [5, Theorem 368]:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{x}_j \bar{y}_{n+1-j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{x}_j \bar{y}_j \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{x_j\}, \{y_j\}$ are sequences of real numbers, and $\{\bar{x}_j\}, \{\bar{y}_j\}$ are their descending rearrangements, respectively.

3 Relationships between the generalized Wiener classes $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$

Now we turn our attention to the mutual relations between generalized Wiener classes $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$. The following result is a nontrivial extension of [6, Theorem 3.1]. For the necessity part of the proof we use a refinement of the method in [6].

Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty, 1 \leq p_n \uparrow p$ and $1 \leq q_n \uparrow q$. Then $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)} = \Lambda BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\left|\frac{1}{p_n} - \frac{1}{q_n}\right|} < \infty \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. *Sufficiency.* Assume that $f \in \Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$. For an arbitrary but fixed n , let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^s$ be a nonoverlapping collection of subintervals of $[0, 1]$ with $\inf |I_j| \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$, and put $q = q_n/p_n$, $x_j = |f(I_j)|^{p_n}$, $y_j = z_j = 1/\lambda_j$. Note that with inequality (2.3) in mind, we may assume that the x_j are in descending order.

If $p_n \leq q_n$, applying inequality (2.1) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(|f(I_j)|^{p_n})^{\frac{q_n}{p_n}}}{\lambda_j} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{1 - \frac{q_n}{p_n}} \end{aligned}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{q_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\left| \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n} \right|} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\left| \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n} \right|} \end{aligned}$$

If $q_n < p_n$, using Lemma 2.1 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{q_n}}{\lambda_j} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{1 - \frac{q_n}{p_n}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus in any event, we have shown that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{q_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\left| \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n} \right|} \end{aligned}$$

Taking suprema over all collections $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^s$ as above, and over all n yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & V_\Lambda(f; q_n \uparrow q) \\ & \leq V_\Lambda(f; p_n \uparrow p) \cdot \sup_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\left| \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n} \right|} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which means that $f \in \Lambda BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$. Repeating a similar argument, we obtain the reverse inclusion as well.

Necessity. To proceed by contraposition, suppose that (3.4) does not hold. We may, without loss of generality, assume that:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n}} = \infty$$

and

$$d_n := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_n}} \downarrow 0$$

Then we define a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ on the interval $[0, 1]$ inductively. Let f_0 be the identically zero function on $[0, 1]$. When f_{n-1} is defined, f_n will be defined to be the function whose graph on the interval

$$\left[\frac{j-1}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{j}{2^{n-1}} \right]; \quad j = 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}$$

consists of the two consecutive line segments connecting the points:

$$\left(\frac{j-1}{2^{n-1}}, f_{n-1} \left(\frac{j-1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right), \left(\frac{2j-1}{2^n}, f_n \left(\frac{2j-1}{2^n} \right) \right)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{j}{2^{n-1}}, f_{n-1} \left(\frac{j}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right)$$

where :

$$\begin{aligned} & f_n \left(\frac{2j-1}{2^n} \right) := \\ & \begin{cases} \min \left\{ f_{n-1} \left(\frac{j-1}{2^{n-1}} \right), f_{n-1} \left(\frac{j}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right\} + d_n, & (n \text{ is odd}) \\ \max \left\{ f_{n-1} \left(\frac{j-1}{2^{n-1}} \right), f_{n-1} \left(\frac{j}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right\} - d_n, & (n \text{ is even}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{p_n\}$ is increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)} \\ \leq & \frac{1p \cdot n}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)} \\ & \frac{1p \cdot n}{\overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)}} \\ & 1p \cdot n \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \leq 2 \\ 1p \cdot n + 1 \frac{d_n}{d_{n+1}} = & \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right) \\ & \overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)} \\ \leq & \frac{1p \cdot n}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)} \\ & \frac{1p \cdot n}{\overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)}} \\ & 1p \cdot n \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d_n \leq 2d_{n+1} \quad \text{for all } n$$

This, along with the fact that $d_n \downarrow 0$, implies:

$$|f_n(x) - f_{n+m}(x)| \leq d_n,$$

for all $n, m \geq 1, x \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence there exists a function f such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq d_n, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, x \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.5}$$

We claim that $f \in \Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$ but $f \notin \Lambda BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$. To see this, let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^s$ be a collection of nonoverlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$ such that $\inf |I_j| \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k = 1 \leq j \leq s : & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{-1} \\ & \leq |I_j| < \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $j \in \sigma_k$, if $a_j = \inf I_j$ and $b_j = \sup I_j$, using (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(I_j)| & \leq |f(a_j) - f_k(a_j)| \\ & + |f_k(a_j) - f_k(b_j)| + |f_k(b_j) - f(b_j)| \\ & \leq d_k + |f_k(a_j) - f_k(b_j)| + d_k \leq 4d_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we define t_n to be the smallest positive integer with $2^n \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^{t_n}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} & = \sum_{k=0}^{t_n-1} \sum_{j \in \sigma_k} \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{t_n-1} (4d_k)^{p_n} \sum_{j \in \sigma_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \\ & = 4^{p_n} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{p_n}{p_k}} \sum_{j \in \sigma_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \\ & \leq 4^{p_n} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j \in \sigma_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \\ & \leq 2 \cdot 4^{p_n} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j \in \sigma_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \\ & \leq 2 \cdot 4^{p_n} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n-1} \sum_{j \in \sigma_k} |I_j| \leq 8^{p_n} \end{aligned}$$

where in the third inequality we have used the fact that :

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1} \leq 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1}$$

Consequently we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \leq 8 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1$$

which means that $f \in \Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$.

Finally we show that $f \notin \Lambda BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$. To see this, note that from the definition of f we have

$$|f(j/2^n) - f((j-1)/2^n)| = d_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

Hence it follows that :

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{|f(I_j)|^{q_n}}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} & = \left(d_n^{q_n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \\ & = d_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n}} \end{aligned}$$

where $I_j := [(j-1)/2^n, j/2^n]$.

Note that we have earlier assumed that $\limsup_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{p_n}} = \infty$. As a result, we see that $f \notin \Lambda BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$, as desired.

The mutual relationship between the generalized Wiener classes $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$ is rather chaotic even in the special case where $p_n = q_n = 1$ for all n . It is worth mentioning that in order to determine when $\Lambda BV^{(p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$ ([4, Theorem 1.4]), it has been assumed that $p \leq q$, or in Theorem 3 we have assumed that $\Lambda = \Gamma$. So, it would be highly desirable to find a condition that implies the general inclusion $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$ without any additional restrictions on the p_n, q_n, Λ and Γ . The following theorem provides such a condition which is new even for special cases; see the corollaries to this result. (Note, of course, that we deal with the case of interest where Γ is unbounded.)

The inclusion $\Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$ holds whenever:

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} < \infty$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \Lambda BV^{(p_n \uparrow p)}$. For an arbitrary but fixed n , let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^s$ be a nonoverlapping collection of subintervals of $[0, 1]$ with $\inf |I_j| \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$, and put $q = q_n/p_n, x_j = |f(I_j)|^{p_n}, y_j = 1/\lambda_j, z_j = 1/\gamma_j$. Using inequality 2.3, we may also assume that the x_j are arranged in descending order. Now, by Abel's transformation and applying (2.1) together with Lemma 2.1 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{|f(I_k)|^{q_n}}{\gamma_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) \sum_{j=1}^k |f(I_j)|^{q_n} + \frac{1}{\gamma_s} \sum_{j=1}^s |f(I_j)|^{q_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & \quad \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{1}{\gamma_s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{|f(I_j)|^{p_n}}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \max_{1 \leq m \leq s} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) V_{\Lambda}(f; p_n \uparrow p)^{q_n} \\ & \quad \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\gamma_s} V_{\Lambda}(f; p_n \uparrow p)^{q_n} \max_{1 \leq m \leq s} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) V_{\Lambda}(f; p_n \uparrow p)^{q_n} \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) V_{\Lambda}(f; p_n \uparrow p)^{q_n} \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & = V_{\Lambda}(f; p_n \uparrow p)^{q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma_s} \max_{1 \leq m \leq s} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\right) \max_{1 \leq m \leq k} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q_n}{p_n}} \end{aligned}$$

Taking suprema over all collections $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^s$ as above, and over all n yields $V_{\Gamma}(f; q_n \uparrow q) < \infty$. That is, $f \in \Gamma BV^{(q_n \uparrow q)}$.

The inclusion $\Lambda BV^{(p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q)}$ holds whenever:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_n}\right) \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-\frac{q}{p}} < \infty$$

The inclusion $\Lambda BV \subseteq \Gamma BV$ holds whenever:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_n}\right) n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1} < \infty$$

4 Relationships between the classes $\Lambda V[h]^{(p)}$

A sequence h of positive reals is said to be a modulus of variation if it is nondecreasing and concave, i.e., $h(tn + (1 - t)m) \geq th(n) + (1 - t)h(m)$ whenever h is defined at n, m and $tn + (1 - t)m$. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and Λ be a Λ -sequence.

Definition 4.1 For a bounded real function f on $[a, b]$, the sequence

$$\nu_{p,\Lambda}(f; n) := \sup \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j)|^p}{\lambda_j}$$

is the modulus of variation associated to f , where the supremum is taken over all collections $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of nonoverlapping subintervals of $[a, b]$. The class of all functions f for which $\nu_{p,\Lambda}(f; n) = O(h(n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is denoted by $\Lambda V[h]^{(p)}$.

This class was first introduced in a more general context and was studied in connection with the Riemann–Stieltjes integration theory [7]. Note that many of the classes previously considered may be obtained by making special choices of Λ, p and h . This provides us with a general setting to unify a number of inclusion theorems (see Theorem 4 and its corollaries). For instance if $p = 1$, then taking $\Lambda = \{1\}$ we get the Chanturiya class $V[\nu]$, and taking $h = \{1\}$ we obtain the Waterman class ΛBV .

Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Suppose that either the sequence

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} / \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}_n$$

or

$$\left\{ h_2(n)^{\frac{1}{q}} / h_1(n)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}_n$$

is nondecreasing. Then the inclusion $\Lambda V[h_1]^{(p)} \subseteq \Gamma V[h_2]^{(q)}$ holds whenever:

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_j} / h_2(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(h_1(n) / \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Lambda V[h_1]^{(p)}$ and consider a fixed n . Let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a nonoverlapping collection of subintervals of $[0, 1]$. Set $x_j := |f(I_j)|^p$,

$y_j := 1/\lambda_j$ and $z_j := 1/\gamma_j$. In view of the equimonotonic sequences inequality (2.3) we can, and do, assume that the x_j are arranged in descending order. Now, applying (2.1) with $q/p \geq 1$ in place of q we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j)|^q}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j)|^p}{\lambda_j} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j)|^q}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j)|^p}{\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\nu_{p,\Lambda}(f; n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq C.h_1(n)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{h_2(n)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{h_1(n)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = C.h_2(n)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C , depending only on f . As a result, taking supremum over all collections $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^n$ as above, it follows that

$$\nu_{q,\Gamma}(f; n) \leq C^q.h_2(n),$$

which means that $f \in \Gamma V[h_2]^{(q)}$.

Making suitable choices of $\Lambda, \Gamma, p, q, h_1$ and h_2 to invoke the preceding theorem, we obtain the following corollaries.

([1]) The following inclusion holds:

$$\Lambda BV \subseteq V \left[n / \sum_{j=1}^n 1/\lambda_j \right]$$

([4]) Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Then the inclusion $\Lambda BV^{(p)} \subseteq \Gamma BV^{(q)}$ holds whenever

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

([2]) The inclusion $V[h_1] \subseteq V[h_2]$ holds whenever

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \frac{h_1(n)}{h_2(n)} < \infty$$

We end this paper with a characterization of when $\Lambda V[h]^{(p)}$ coincides with $B[0, 1]$, the space of all bounded functions on $[0, 1]$.

The equality $\Lambda V[h]^{(p)} = B[0, 1]$ holds if and only if

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} h(n)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} < \infty \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Assume (4.7) and let $f \in B[0, 1]$. Then there exists some positive constant C such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \leq Ch(n)$ for all n . So if $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is a collection of nonoverlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$, we have:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j)|^p}{\lambda_j} \leq 2^p \|f\|_\infty^p \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \leq 2^p C \|f\|_\infty^p h(n)$$

where $\|f\|_\infty = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in [0, 1]\}$. Thus, $f \in \Lambda V[h]^{(p)}$.

Conversely, let $\Lambda V[h]^{(p)} = B[0, 1]$. To show that (4.7) holds, we construct an $f \in B[0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^k}, \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}); k = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now consider the collection

$$I_k = [\frac{1}{2^k}, \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k}] ; k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_k} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f(I_k)|^p}{\lambda_k} \\ &\leq \nu_{p,\Lambda}(f; n) \leq h(n) \sup_{1 \leq r < \infty} \frac{\nu_{p,\Lambda}(f; r)}{h(r)} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is a result of our assumption that $f \in \Lambda V[h]^{(p)}$. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by the Vice Chancellery for Research and Technology, Abadan Branch, Islamic Azad University.

References

[1] M. Avdispahić, On the classes ΛBV and $V[\nu]$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1985) 230-234.

[2] Z. A. Chanturiya, The modulus of variation of a function and its application in the theory of Fourier series, *Soviet. Math. Dokl.* 15 (1974) 67-71.

[3] U. Goginava, Relations between ΛBV and $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ classes of functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 101 (2003) 263-272.

[4] M. M. Goodarzi, M. Hormozi, N. Memić, Relations between Schramm spaces and generalized Wiener classes, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 450 (2017) 829-838.

[5] G. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, Inequalities, 2nd edn., *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1952.

[6] H. Kita, K. Yoneda, A generalization of bounded variation, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 56 (1990) 229-238.

[7] N. Paul Schembari, M. Schramm, $\Phi V[h]$ and Riemann-Stieltjes integration, *Colloq. Math.* 60 (1990) 421-441.

[8] M. Schramm, Functions of Φ -bounded variation and Riemann-Stieltjes integration, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 267 (1985) 49-63.

[9] M. Schramm, D. Waterman, Absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of $\Lambda BV^{(p)}$ and $\varphi \Lambda BV$, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 40 (1982) 273-276.

[10] M. Shiba, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of class $\Lambda BV^{(p)}$, *Sci. Rep. Fac. Ed. Fukushima Univ.* 30 (1980) 7-10.

[11] R. G. Vyas, A note on functions of $p(n)$ - Λ -Bounded Variation, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 78 (2011) 199-204.

[12] D. Waterman, On convergence of Fourier series of functions of bounded generalized variation, *Studia Math.* 44 (1972) 107-117.



Naser Haddadzadeh was born in Abadan in 1970. He received the B.E. degree in mathematics from the University of Birjand in 1995, and M.Sc. in pure mathematics from the Sharif University in 1997 and Ph.D. in pure mathematics,

Analysis from the Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch in 2012. He is currently a faculty member at Islamic Azad University, Abadan Branch. His research interests include Frame theory, Operator theory, PDEs and Dynamic Systems.