

Application of Fuzzy Bicubic Splines Interpolation for Solving Two-Dimensional Linear Fuzzy Fredholm Integral Equations

H. Nouriani ^{*}, R. Ezzati ^{†‡}

Received Date: 2018-01-30 Revised Date: 2018-10-02 Accepted Date: 2018-12-07

Abstract

In this paper, firstly, we review approximation of fuzzy functions by fuzzy bicubic splines interpolation and present a new approach based on the two-dimensional fuzzy splines interpolation and iterative method to approximate the solution of two-dimensional linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equation (2DLFFIE). Also, we prove convergence analysis and numerical stability analysis for the proposed numerical algorithm. Finally, by an example, we show the efficiency of the proposed method.

Keywords : Two-dimensional linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations; Two dimensional fuzzy splines interpolation; Iterative method; Fuzzy numbers.

1 Introduction

Recently many authors proposed various numerical methods for solving one dimensional fuzzy integral equations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 39, 40, 42]. Also, two-dimensional fuzzy integral equations have been noticed by a lot of researchers because of their broad applications in engineering science. Some of the most important papers in this area are: trapezoidal quadrature rule and iterative method [7, 41, 42], triangular functions [23], quadrature iterative [26], Bernstein polynomials [12, 15], collocation fuzzy wavelet [20], homotopy analysis method (HAM) [35], open fuzzy cubature rule [8], kernel iterative method [29], modified homotopy perturbation [30], block-pulse functions [31],

optimal fuzzy quadrature formula [34], hybrid of Block-Pulse functions and Bernstein polynomials [41] and finally, iterative method and fuzzy bivariate block-pulse functions [37]. Furthermore, some researchers have solved one-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations by using fuzzy interpolation via iterative method such as: iterative interpolation method [28], Lagrange interpolation base on the extension principle [18], spline interpolation [22]. Also, in 2018, Nouriani and Ezzati [27] solved two-dimensional linear fuzzy integral equation by using the fuzzy Lagrange interpolation.

As we know interpolation is one of the most substantial and the most applicable methods in numerical analysis. So, in this study, we want to solve 2DLFFIEs by applying two-dimensional fuzzy splines interpolation and iterative method. First of all an approximate solution of integral by applying splines interpolation and iterative method is provided. Then, convergence analysis and numerical stability analysis of the proposed method in theorems 4.1 and 5.1 is proved.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

[†]Corresponding author. ezati@kiau.ac.ir, Tel: +98(912)3618518.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

The paper is organized as follows: Some notations and theorems about the structure of fuzzy sets are reviewed in Section 2. In Section 3, at first, we review two-dimensional fuzzy splines interpolation. Also, we present two-dimensional fuzzy splines interpolation and iterative method for solving 2DLFFIEs. Also, in Section 4, we verify convergence analysis for proposed method. In Section 5, we prove numerical stability analysis for the method. One numerical example is presented in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

At first, we review some basic definitions and necessary results about fuzzy set theory.

Definition 2.1 ([1]) A fuzzy number is a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties:

1. $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x_0) = 1$.
2. $f(\eta x + (1 - \eta)y) \geq \min\{f(x), f(y)\}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \eta \in [0, 1]$.
3. $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists$ neighborhood $U(x_0) : f(x) \leq f(x_0) + \epsilon, \forall x \in U(x_0)$.
4. In \mathbb{R} , the set $\overline{\text{supp}(f)}$ is compact.

The set of all fuzzy numbers is denoted by \mathbb{R}_F .

Definition 2.2 ([1]) For $f \in \mathbb{R}_F$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, define $[f]^0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > 0\}$ and

$$[f]^\alpha := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Then it is well known that for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $[f]^\alpha$ is a bounded and closed interval of \mathbb{R} . We define uniquely the sum $f \oplus g$ and the product $\mu \odot f$ for $f, g \in \mathbb{R}_F$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [f \oplus g]^\alpha &= [f]^\alpha + [g]^\alpha, \\ [\mu \odot f]^\alpha &= \mu[f]^\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where $[f]^\alpha + [g]^\alpha$ means the usual addition of two intervals (as subsets of \mathbb{R}) and $\mu[f]^\alpha$ means the usual product between a scalar and a subset of \mathbb{R} . Notice $1 \odot f = f$ and it holds $f \oplus g = g \oplus f, \mu \odot f = f \odot \mu$. If $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq 1$ then $[f]^{\alpha_2} \subseteq [f]^{\alpha_1}$. Actually $[f]^\alpha = [f_-^{(\alpha)}, f_+^{(\alpha)}]$, where $f_-^{(\alpha)} \leq f_+^{(\alpha)}, f_-^{(\alpha)}, f_+^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$. For $\mu > 0$ one has $\mu f_\pm^{(\alpha)} = (\mu \odot f)_\pm^{(\alpha)}$, respectively.

Definition 2.3 ([1]) Define $D : \mathbb{R}_F \times \mathbb{R}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(f, g) &= \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \max \left\{ \left| f_-^{(\alpha)} - g_-^{(\alpha)} \right|, \left| f_+^{(\alpha)} - g_+^{(\alpha)} \right| \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \text{Hausdorff distance} ([f]^\alpha, [g]^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $[g]^\alpha = [g_-^{(\alpha)}, g_+^{(\alpha)}]; f, g \in \mathbb{R}_F$. Clearly D is a metric on \mathbb{R}_F . Also (\mathbb{R}_F, D) is a complete metric space, with the following properties [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} D(f \oplus h, g \oplus h) &= D(f, g), \forall f, g, h \in \mathbb{R}_F, \\ D(k' \odot f, k' \odot g) &= |k'| D(f, g), \\ &\forall f, g \in \mathbb{R}_F, \forall k' \in \mathbb{R}, \\ D(f \oplus g, h \oplus e) &\leq D(f, h) + D(g, e), \\ &\forall f, g, h, e \in \mathbb{R}_F. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4 ([1]) Suppose $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F$ be fuzzy number valued functions, then the distance between f, g is defined by

$$D^*(f, g) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} D(f(x), g(x)).$$

Lemma 2.1 ([1])

1. If we denote $\tilde{0} := \chi_{\{0\}}$, then $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}_F, f \oplus \tilde{0} = \tilde{0} \oplus f = f$.
2. With respect to $\tilde{0}$, none of $f \in \mathbb{R}_F, f \neq \tilde{0}$ has opposite in \mathbb{R}_F .
3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha, \beta \geq 0$, and any $f \in \mathbb{R}_F$, we have $(\alpha + \beta) \odot f = \alpha \odot f \oplus \beta \odot f$. Notice that for general $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the above property is false.
4. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $f, g \in \mathbb{R}_F$, we have $\gamma \odot (f \oplus g) = \gamma \odot f \oplus \gamma \odot g$.
5. For any $\gamma, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $f \in \mathbb{R}_F$, we have $\gamma \odot (\eta \odot f) = (\gamma \odot \eta) \odot f$.

If we denote $\|f\|_F := D(f, \tilde{0}), \forall f \in \mathbb{R}_F$, then $\|\cdot\|_F$ has the properties of a usual norm on \mathbb{R}_F , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_F &= 0 \text{ iff } f = \tilde{0}, \\ \|\mu \odot f\|_F &= |\mu| \cdot \|f\|_F, \\ \|f \oplus g\|_F &\leq \|f\|_F + \|g\|_F, \\ \|f\|_F - \|g\|_F &\leq D(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(\mathbb{R}_F, \oplus, \odot)$ is not a linear space over \mathbb{R} , and consequently $(\mathbb{R}_F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is not a normed space. Here \sum^* denotes the fuzzy summation.

Definition 2.5 ([1]) A fuzzy valued function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in [a, b]$, if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $D(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$, whenever $x \in [a, b]$ and $|x - x_0| < \delta$. We say that f is fuzzy continuous on $[a, b]$ if f is continuous at each $x_0 \in [a, b]$, and denotes the space of all such functions by $C_F[a, b]$.

Definition 2.6 ([41]) Suppose that $f : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_f$ is a bounded mapping. The function $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta) = \sup \left\{ D(f(x, y), f(s, t)); \right. \\ \left. x, s \in [a, b]; y, t \in [c, d]; \right. \\ \left. \sqrt{(x - s)^2 + (y - t)^2} \leq \delta \right\},$$

is called modules of oscillation of f on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$. Also, if $f \in C_F([a, b] \times [c, d])$, then $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta)$ is called uniform modules of continuity of f .

Theorem 2.1 ([41]) The following properties hold:

1. $D(f(x, y), f(s, t)) \leq \omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \sqrt{(x - s)^2 + (y - t)^2})$, $\forall x, s \in [a, b], y, t \in [c, d]$;
2. $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta)$ is a nondecreasing mapping in δ ;
3. $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, 0) = 0$;
4. $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta_1) + \omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta_2)$, $\forall \delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0$;
5. $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, n\delta) \leq n\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta)$, $\forall \delta \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
6. $\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \mu\delta) \leq (\mu + 1)\omega_{[a,b] \times [c,d]}(f, \delta)$, $\forall \mu, \delta \geq 0$;

Theorem 2.2 ([41]) If f and g are Henstock integrable mapping on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ and if $D(f(s, t), g(s, t))$ is Lebesgue integrable, then

$$D \left((FH) \int_c^d \int_a^b f(s, t) ds dt, (FH) \int_c^d \int_a^b g(s, t) ds dt \right) \\ \leq (L) \int_c^d \int_a^b D(f(s, t), g(s, t)) ds dt.$$

3 The main result

In this section, we review bicubic fuzzy splines interpolation. Then, we propose bicubic fuzzy splines interpolation and iterative method for solving Eq. (3.12).

In [19], authors presented approximation of fuzzy functions by fuzzy interpolating bicubic splines by using following definitions and theorems.

Definition 3.1 ([19]) We denote by $\langle \cdot \rangle_n$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$, respectively, the Euclidean norm and inner product in \mathbb{R}^n . For any real intervals (a, b) and (c, d) , with $a < b$ and $c < d$, also, we consider the rectangle $R = (a, b) \times (c, d)$ and let $H^3(R)$ be the usual Sobolev space of functions u belonging to $L^2(R)$, together with all their partial derivatives $D^\beta(u)$ with $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$, in the distribution sense, of order $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq 3$. This space is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq 3} \int_R (D^\beta u(p))^2 dp \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

the seminorms

$$|u|_l = \left(\sum_{|\beta|=l} \int_R (D^\beta u(p))^2 dp \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ 0 \leq l \leq 3,$$

and the corresponding inner semiproducts

$$(u, v)_l = \sum_{|\beta|=l} \int_R D^\beta u(p) D^\beta v(p) dp, \\ 0 \leq l \leq 3.$$

Moreover, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $T_n = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, $T_m = \{y_0, \dots, y_m\}$ be some subsets of distinct of $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$, with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ and $c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d$. We denoted by

$S_3(T_n)$ and $S_3(T_m)$ the spaces of cubic splines of class C^2 given by

$$S_3(T_n) = \{s \in C^2[a, b] : s|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} \in P_3[x_{i-1}, x_i], i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

and

$$S_3(T_m) = \{s \in C^2[c, d] : s|_{[y_{j-1}, y_j]} \in P_3[y_{j-1}, y_j], j = 1, \dots, m\},$$

where $P_3[x_{i-1}, x_i](P_3[y_{j-1}, y_j])$ is the restriction on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]([y_{j-1}, y_j])$ of the linear space of real polynomials with total degree less than or equal to 3. It is known that $dim S_3(T_n) = n + 3$ ($dim S_3(T_m) = m + 3$). Let $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+3}\}$ and $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m+3}\}$ be bases of functions with local support of $S_3(T_n)$ and $S_3(T_m)$ respectively, and consider the space $S_3(T_n \times T_m)$ of bicubic spline functions of class C^2 given by

$$S_3(T_n \times T_m) = span\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+3}\} \otimes span\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m+3}\}.$$

This space is a Hilbert subspace of $H^3(R)$ equipped with the same norm, semi-norms and inner semi-products of such space, and verifies

$$S_3(T_n \times T_m) \subset H^3(R) \cap C^2(R). \tag{3.1}$$

Particulary, let

$$\{B_0^3(x), \dots, B_{n+2}^3(x)\} \left(\{B_0^3(y), \dots, B_{m+2}^3(y)\} \right),$$

be the C^2 -cubic B-splines basis of $S_3(T_n)(S_3(T_m))$, then

$$\{B_r^3(x)B_s^3(y), r = 0, \dots, n + 2, s = 0, \dots, m + 2\},$$

is the C^2 -bicubic B-splines basis of $S_3(T_n \times T_m)$, then $dim S_3(T_n \times T_m) = (n + 3)(m + 3)$ and we can define

$$B_k(x, y) = B_r^3(x)B_s^3(y), (x, y) \in R,$$

for $r = 0, \dots, n + 2, s = 0, \dots, m + 2, k = (m + 3)r + s + 1$. Then $1 \leq k \leq (n + 3)(m + 3)$ and if we denote $M = (n + 3)(m + 3)$, we have that

$$B_1(x, y), \dots, B_M(x, y),$$

is the C^2 -bicubic B-splines basis of $S_3(T_n \times T_m)$.

Definition 3.2 ([19]) Let $A^N = \{(x_i, y_j) \in T_n \times T_m, i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m\}$, with $N = (n + 1)(m + 1)$ and suppose that

$$\sup_{p \in R} \min_{a \in A^N} \langle p - a \rangle_2 = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), N \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.2) we deduce that $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Let L_1^N be a Lagrangian operator defined from $H^3(R)$ into \mathbb{R}^N given by

$$L_1^N v = (v(a))_{a \in A^N}, \tag{3.3}$$

and $L_2^N : H^3(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+2m+8}$ given by

$$L_2^N v = (\mathcal{L}l v)_{l=1, \dots, 2n+2m+8}, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}l v = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}(x_{l-1}, c), \\ l = 1, \dots, n + 1, \\ \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}(x_{l-n-2}, d), \\ l = n + 2, \dots, 2n + 2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}(a, y_{l-2n-3}), \\ l = 2n + 3, \dots, 2n + m + 3, \\ \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}(b, y_{l-2n-m-4}), \\ l = 2n + m + 3, \dots, 2n + 2m + 4, \\ \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2}(x_{in}, y_{jm}), \\ i = 0, 1, j = 0, 1, \\ l = 2n + 2m + 4 + 2i + j + 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $B^N = \{u_l, l = 1, \dots, N\} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1 ([19]) There exists a unique $S_N \in S_3(T_n \times T_m)$ such that

$$L_1^N S_N = (u_l)_{l=1, \dots, N}, \\ L_2^N S_N = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2m+8},$$

called the interpolating natural C^2 -bicubic spline associated with A^N and B^N .

Thus C^2 -bicubic spline verifies that

$$S_N(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k B_k(x, y), (x, y) \in R, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)^T \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is the solution of the linear system

$$A\alpha = b, \tag{3.6}$$

with $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$, where

$$A_i = (L_i^N B_k)_{k=1, \dots, M}, \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{3.7}$$

$$b_1 = (u_l)_{l=1, \dots, N}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$b_2 = (0)_{l=1, \dots, M-N}. \tag{3.9}$$

Theorem 3.2 ([19]) *Let $f \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ and let S_N be the interpolating natural C^2 -bicubic spline associated with A^N and $L_1^N f$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|f - S_N|_l \leq Ch^{4-l}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad N \rightarrow +\infty, \tag{3.10}$$

where $h = \max\{\frac{b-a}{n}, \frac{d-c}{m}\}$. Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|f - S_N\| = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Consider 2DLFFIE as follows

$$\begin{aligned} G(s, t) &= g(s, t) \\ &\oplus \mu \odot (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \\ &\odot G(x, y) dx dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\mu > 0$, $\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)$ is an arbitrary positive function on $[a, b] \times [c, d] \times [a, b] \times [c, d]$ and $g : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F$. We assume that \mathcal{K} is continuous, and therefore it is uniformly continuous with respect to (s, t) . So, there exists $\mathcal{M} > 0$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \max_{s, x \in [a, b], t, y \in [c, d]} |\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)|$.

Therefore, the two-dimensional interpolation in the spline form is

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n f(s_i, t_j) \odot sp_{ij}(x, y), \tag{3.13}$$

where the coefficients $f(s_i, t_j)$ are the fuzzy numbers.

Here, we consider the two-dimensional fuzzy spline interpolation in the given points $a = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = b$ and $c = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = d$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \odot G(x, y) \\ &\approx \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n sp_{ij}(x, y) \odot \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \odot G(s_i, t_j). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now, we propose a numerical method to solve (3.12). To do this, we suppose the following iterative procedure to approximate the solution of (3.12) in point (s, t)

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(s, t) &= g(s, t), \\ u_k(s, t) &= g(s, t) \\ &\oplus \mu \odot \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n C_{ij} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j), \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \int_c^d \int_a^b sp_{ij}(x, y) dx dy. \tag{3.16}$$

In Theorem 3.3 of [41], authors proved the existence and uniqueness solution of Eq. (3.12) by using the Banach fixed point theorem.

Theorem 3.3 ([41]) *Let the function $\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)$ be continuous and positive for $x, s \in [a, b]$, and $y, t \in [c, d]$, and let $g : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F$ be continuous on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$. If $B = \mu \mathcal{M}(d - c)(b - a) < 1$ then the fuzzy integral equation (3.12) has a unique solution $G^* \in X$ where*

$$X = \{g : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F; g \text{ is continuous}\},$$

be the space of two-dimensional fuzzy continuous functions with the metric D^* and it can be obtained by the following successive approximations method

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(s, t) &= g(s, t), \\ G_k(s, t) &= g(s, t) \\ &\oplus \mu \odot (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \\ &\odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \quad \forall k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Moreover, the sequence of successive approximations, $(G_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges to the solution G^* . Furthermore, the following error bound holds

$$D^*(G^*, G_k) \leq \frac{B^{k+1}}{1 - B} \mathcal{M}_1, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_1 = \sup_{s \in [a, b], t \in [c, d]} \|G(s, t)\|$. \square

4 Convergence analysis

In this section, we obtain an error estimate between the exact solution and the approximate solution of 2DLFFIE (3.12).

Theorem 4.1 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 and $\mu > 0$, the iterative procedure (3.15) converges to the unique solution of (3.12), G^* , and its error estimate is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D^*(G^*, u_k) \\
 & \leq \frac{B^{k+1}}{(1-B)} \mathcal{M}_1 \\
 & + \frac{B}{1-B} \left(\omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) \right. \\
 & \cdot \left. \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right) m_l \right),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_k &= \sup_{(s,t) \in [a,b] \times [c,d]} \|u_k(s, t)\|, \\
 m_l &= \max\{m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) &= \\
 & \max\{\omega(u_0, v(\Delta)), \dots, \omega(u_k, v(\Delta))\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D(G^*(s, t), u_k(s, t)) \\
 & \leq D(G^*(s, t), G_k(s, t)) \\
 & + D(G_k(s, t), u_k(s, t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.17) and (3.15), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D(G_k(s, t), u_k(s, t)) \\
 & = D\left(g(s, t) \oplus \mu \odot (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \right. \\
 & \odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\
 & g(s, t) \\
 & \oplus \mu \odot \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n C_{ij} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \\
 & \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \mu D\left((FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \right. \\
 & \odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\
 & \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n C_{ij} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \\
 & \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j) \right) \\
 & = \mu D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (FR) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (FR) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \right. \\
 & \odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\
 & \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n C_{ij} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \\
 & \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j) \right) \\
 & = \mu D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (FR) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (FR) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \right. \\
 & \odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\
 & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1}) \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \\
 & \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j) \\
 & \oplus C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t) \\
 & \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s_0, t_0) \right) \\
 & \leq \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n D\left((FR) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (FR) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \right. \\
 & \odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\
 & (FR) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (FR) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \\
 & \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j) dx dy \left. \right) \\
 & \oplus \mu D\left(C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t) \right. \\
 & \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s_0, t_0), \tilde{0} \right) \\
 & \leq \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[D\left(\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \odot G_{k-1}(x, y), \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)\right) \right. \\ & \left. + D\left(\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \odot u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j), \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s, t)\right) \right] dx dy \\ & + \mu D\left(C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t) \right. \\ & \left. \odot u_{k-1}(s_0, t_0), \tilde{0}\right) \\ & \leq \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \left(|\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)| \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(G_{k-1}(x, y), u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)) \\ & + |\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)| D(u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j), \tilde{0}) \\ & + \left| \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \right| \\ & D(u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j), \tilde{0}) \Big) dx dy \\ & + \mu D(C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t) \\ & \odot u_{k-1}(s_0, t_0), \tilde{0}). \end{aligned}$$

By supposing $m_k = \sup_{(s,t) \in [a,b] \times [c,d]} \|u_k(s, t)\|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & D(G_k(s, t), u_k(s, t)) \\ & \leq \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \\ & \left(|\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)| \left(D(G_{k-1}(x, y), u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \|u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)\| \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \right| \right. \\ & \left. \|u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)\| \right) dx dy \\ & + |\mu C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t)| \|u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)\|. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(G_k(s, t), u_k(s, t)) \\ & \leq \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \\ & \left(\mathcal{M} \left(D(G_{k-1}(x, y), u_{k-1}(s_i, t_j)) + m_{k-1} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \right| m_{k-1} \right) \\ & dx dy + \mu |C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t)| m_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that $v(\Delta) = \sup\{\sqrt{(s_i - s_{i-1})^2 + (t_j - t_{j-1})^2}\}, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n$, so we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & D(G_k(s, t), u_k(s, t)) \\ & \leq \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \left(\mathcal{M} D^*(G_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) \right. \\ & \left. + \mathcal{M} \omega(u_{k-1}, v(\Delta)) \right) dx dy \\ & + \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \mathcal{M} m_{k-1} dx dy \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \\ & \left| \frac{C_{ij}}{(t_j - t_{j-1})(s_i - s_{i-1})} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \right| m_{k-1} \\ & dx dy + \mu |C_{00} \mathcal{K}(s_0, t_0, s, t)| m_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & D(G_k(s, t), u_k(s, t)) \\ & \leq \mu \mathcal{M}(d - c)(b - a) D^*(G_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) \\ & + \mu \mathcal{M}(d - c)(b - a) \omega(u_{k-1}, v(\Delta)) \\ & + \mu \mathcal{M}(d - c)(b - a) m_{k-1} \\ & + \mu \mathcal{M}(d - c)(b - a) m_{k-1} \frac{\bar{C}}{(d - c)(b - a)} \\ & + \mu \mathcal{M} \bar{C} m_{k-1} \\ & \leq B D^*(G_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) \\ & + B \omega(u_{k-1}, v(\Delta)) \\ & + B m_{k-1} + 2 B m_{k-1} \frac{\bar{C}}{(d - c)(b - a)} \\ & = B D^*(G_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) \\ & + B \omega(u_{k-1}, v(\Delta)) \\ & + B m_{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{2 \bar{C}}{(d - c)(b - a)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{C} = \max |C_{ij}|$. Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) &\leq BD^*(G_{k-2}, u_{k-2}) \\ &+ B\omega(u_{k-2}, v(\Delta)) \\ &+ Bm_{k-2} \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right), \\ &\vdots \\ D^*(G_1, u_1) &\leq BD^*(G_0, u_0) \\ &+ B\omega(u_0, v(\Delta)) \\ &+ Bm_0 \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G_k, u_k) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \omega(u_{k-i}, v(\Delta)) B^i \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^k B^i m_{k-i}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m_l = \max\{m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}\}$ and $\omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) = \max\{\omega(u_0, v(\Delta)), \dots, \omega(u_k, v(\Delta))\}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G_k, u_k) &< \frac{B}{1-B} \left(\omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right) m_l \right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G^*, u) &\leq \frac{B^{k+1}}{1-B} \mathcal{M}_1 \\ &+ \frac{B}{1-B} \left(\omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right) m_l \right). \end{aligned}$$

5 Numerical stability analysis

To show the numerical stability analysis of the proposed method in previous section, we consider another starting approximation $f(s, t) = Y_0(s, t)$ such that $\exists \epsilon > 0$ for which $D(G_0(s, t), Y_0(s, t)) < \epsilon, \forall s, t \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$. The obtained sequence of successive approximations is

$$\begin{aligned} Y_k(s, t) &= f(s, t) \oplus \mu \odot (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \\ \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) &\odot Y_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and using the same iterative method as (3.15), the terms of produced sequence are

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(s, t) &= Y_0(s, t) = f(s, t), \\ v_k(s, t) &= f(s, t) \\ &\oplus \mu \odot \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n C_{ij} \mathcal{K}(s_i, t_j, s, t) \odot v_{k-1}(s_i, t_j). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1 *The proposed method (3.15), under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 is numerically stable with respect to the choice of the first iteration.*

Proof. At first, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(u_k(s, t), v_k(s, t)) &\leq D(u_k(s, t), G_k(s, t)) \\ &+ D(G_k(s, t), Y_k(s, t)) \\ &+ D(Y_k(s, t), v_k(s, t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{B}{1-B} \left(\omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right) m_l \right) \\ &+ D(G_k(s, t), Y_k(s, t)) \\ &+ \frac{B}{1-B} \left(\omega(v_m, v(\Delta)) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d-c)(b-a)} \right) m_l \right). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} D(G_k(s, t), Y_k(s, t)) &= D \left(g(s, t) \right. \\ &\oplus \mu \odot (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \\ &\odot G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\ &\left. f(s, t) \right) \\ &\oplus \mu \odot (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \odot Y_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy \Big) \\ & \leq D(g(s, t), f(s, t)) \\ & + \mu D \left((FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \odot \right. \\ & G_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy, \\ & \left. (FR) \int_c^d (FR) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t) \odot \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. Y_{k-1}(x, y) dx dy \right) \\ & \leq \epsilon \\ & + \mu \int_c^d \int_a^b |\mathcal{K}(x, y, s, t)| \\ & D(G_{k-1}(x, y), Y_{k-1}(x, y)) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G_k, Y_k) & \leq \epsilon \\ & + \mu \int_c^d \int_a^b \mathcal{M} D^*(G_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}) dx dy \\ & = \epsilon + BD^*(G_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G_k, Y_k) & \leq \epsilon + BD^*(G_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}) \\ D^*(G_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}) & \leq \epsilon + BD^*(G_{k-2}, Y_{k-2}) \\ & \vdots \\ D^*(G_1, Y_1) & \leq \epsilon + BD^*(G_0, Y_0). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(G_k, Y_k) & \leq \epsilon + B \left(\epsilon + BD^*(G_{k-2}, Y_{k-2}) \right) \\ & \leq \epsilon + B\epsilon + B^2 \left(\epsilon + BD^*(G_{k-3}, Y_{k-3}) \right) \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq \epsilon + B\epsilon + B^2\epsilon + B^3\epsilon + \dots + B^k D^*(G_0, Y_0) \\ & \leq \epsilon \left(1 + B + B^2 + B^3 + \dots + B^k \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1 - B}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(u_k, v_k) & \leq \frac{B}{1 - B} \left(\omega(u_m, v(\Delta)) \right. \\ & + \omega(v_m, v(\Delta)) \\ & \left. + 2m_l \left(1 + \frac{2\bar{C}}{(d - c)(b - a)} \right) + \epsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

6 Numerical examples

In this section, we use the proposed method in Section 3 for solving a two-dimensional linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations for solving example. By using the proposed method for $n = 3$, $k = 5$ and $r \in \{0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00\}$ in $(s, t) = (0.5, 0.5)$, we present the absolute errors in Table 1.

Example 6.1 ([23]) Consider the linear integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} G(s, t) & = g(s, t) \oplus \tag{6.20} \\ & (FR) \int_0^1 (FR) \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s, t, x, y) \odot G(x, y) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{g}(s, t, r) & = r \left(st + \frac{1}{676}(s^2 + t^2 - 2) \right), \\ \bar{g}(s, t, r) & = (2 - r) \left(st + \frac{1}{676}(s^2 + t^2 - 2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(s, t, x, y) & = \frac{1}{169}(s^2 + t^2 - 2)(x^2 + y^2 - 2), \\ & 0 \leq s, t, x, y \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The exact solution is

$$\underline{G}^*(s, t, r) = rst, \quad \bar{G}^*(s, t, r) = (2 - r)st.$$

Table 1: The absolute errors on the level sets with $n = 3$, $k = 5$ for Example 6.1 by using the proposed method in $(s, t) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

r - level	$\epsilon^r = \tilde{G}^*(s, t, r) - \tilde{u}_k(s, t, r) $
0.00	0.
0.25	$2.07813e - 5$
0.50	$4.15625e - 5$
0.75	$6.23438e - 5$
1.00	$8.31251e - 5$

Table 2: The absolute errors on the level sets with $n = 3$, $k = 5$ for Example 6.1 by using the proposed method in $(s, t) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

r - level	$\bar{e}^r = \bar{G}^*(s, t, r) - \bar{u}_k(s, t, r) $
0.00	$1.6625e - 4$
0.25	$1.45469e - 4$
0.50	$1.24688e - 4$
0.75	$1.03906e - 4$
1.00	$8.31251e - 5$

7 Conclusion

The 2DLFFIE is solved by utilizing iterative method and fuzzy bicubic spline interpolation. As it was expected the method used to approximate the integral in this equation is a suitable one since convergence analysis and stability analysis have been proved and also absolute error in example is good. As a result, considering the fact that the proposed method does not lead to solve fuzzy linear system, it can be utilized as an efficient method to solve this type of equations. As future researches, we can use finite and divided differences methods for solving two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations.

References

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou, Fuzzy mathematics: approximation theory, *Springer*, (2010).
- [2] E. Babolian, H. Sadeghi Goghary, S. Abbasbandy, Numerical solution of linear Fredholm fuzzy integral equations of the second kind by Adomian method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 161 (2005) 733-744.
- [3] M. Baghmisheh, R. Ezzati, Numerical solution of nonlinear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind using hybrid of block-pulse functions and Taylor series, *Advances in Difference Equations* 20 (2015), 15 pages.
- [4] M. Baghmisheh, R. Ezzati, Error estimation and numerical solution of nonlinear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind using triangular functions, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 30 (2016) 639-649.
- [5] A. M. Bica, Error estimation in the approximation of the solution of nonlinear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations, *Information Sciences* 178 (2008) 1279-1292.
- [6] A. M. Bica, C. Popescu, Approximating the solution of nonlinear Hammerstein fuzzy integral equations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 245 (2014) 1-17.
- [7] A. M. Bica, S. Ziari, Iterative numerical method for fuzzy Volterra linear integral equations in two dimensions, *Soft Computing* 21 (2017) 1097-1108.
- [8] A. M. Bica, S. Ziari, Open fuzzy cubature rule with application to nonlinear fuzzy Volterra integral equations in two dimensions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, *In press*, Corrected Proof.
- [9] A. R. Bozorgmanesh, M. Otadi, A. A. Safe Kordi, F. Zabihi, M. Barkhordari Ahmadi, Lagrange two-dimensional interpolation method for modeling nanoparticle formation during RESS process, *Int. J. Industrial Mathematics* 1 (2009) 175-181.
- [10] R. Ezzati, S. M. Sadatrasoul, Application of bivariate fuzzy Bernstein polynomials to solve two-dimensional fuzzy integral equations, *Soft Comput.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00500-016-2038-9/>.
- [11] R. Ezzati, S. M. Sadatrasoul, On numerical solution of two-dimensional nonlinear Urysohn fuzzy integral equations based on fuzzy Haar wavelets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 309 (2017) 145-164.
- [12] R. Ezzati, S. M. Sadatrasoul, Application of bivariate fuzzy Bernstein polynomials to solve two-dimensional fuzzy integral equations, *Soft Computing* 21 (2017) 3879-3889.
- [13] R. Ezzati, S. M. Sadatrasoul, Two hybrid and non-hybrid methods for solving fuzzy integral equations based on Bernoulli polynomials, *Journal off Fuzzy Set Valued Analysis* 2016 (2016) 31-42.
- [14] R. Ezzati, S. Ziari, Numerical solution and error estimation of fuzzy Fredholm integral equation using fuzzy Bernstein polynomials, *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 5 (2011) 2072-2082.

- [15] R. Ezzati, S. Ziari, Numerical solution of two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind using fuzzy bivariate Bernstein polynomials, *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems* 15 (2013) 84-89.
- [16] R. Ezzati, S. Ziari, Numerical solution of nonlinear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations using iterative method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 225 (2013) 33-42.
- [17] M. A. Fariborzi Araghi, Gh. Kazemi Gelian, Solving fuzzy Fredholm linear integral equations using sinc method and double exponential transformation, *Soft Comput.* 19 (2015) 1063-1070.
- [18] M. A. Fariborzi Araghi, N. Parandin, Numerical solution of fuzzy Fredholm integral equations by the Lagrange interpolation based on the extension principle, *Soft Comput.* 21 (2011) 2449-2456.
- [19] P. González, H. Idais, M. Pasadas, M. Yasin, Approximation of fuzzy functions by fuzzy interpolating bicubic splines: 2018 CMMSE conference, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10910-018-0946-x/>.
- [20] N. Hassasi, R. Ezzati, Numerical solution of two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations using collocation fuzzy wavelet like operator, *Int. J. Industrial Mathematics* 7 (2015) 11 pages.
- [21] H. Hosseini Fadravi, R. Buzhabadi, H. Saberi Nik, Solving linear Fredholm fuzzy integral equations of the second kind by artificial neural networks, *Alexandria Engineering Journal* 53 (2014) 249-257.
- [22] Y. Jafarzadeh, Numerical solution for fuzzy Fredholm integral equations with upper-bound on error by splines interpolation, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering* 4 (2012), 339-347.
- [23] F. Mirzaee, M. Komak Yari, E. Hadadiyan, Numerical solution of two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind using triangular functions, *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 4 (2015) 109-118.
- [24] F. Mokhtarnejad, R. Ezzati, The numerical solution of nonlinear Hammerstein fuzzy integral equations by using fuzzy wavelet like operator, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 28 (2015) 1617-1626.
- [25] A. Molabahrami, A. Shidfar, A. Ghyasi, An analytical method for solving linear Fredholm fuzzy integral equations of the second kind, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 61 (2011) 2754-2761.
- [26] H. Nouriani, R. Ezzati, Quadrature iterative method for numerical solution of two-dimensional linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations, *Mathematical Sciences*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40096-016-0205-x/>.
- [27] H. Nouriani, R. Ezzati, Numerical solution of two-dimensional linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations by the fuzzy Lagrange interpolation, *Advances in Fuzzy Systems*, Volume 2018, Article ID 5405124, 8 pages.
- [28] N. Parandin, M. A. Fariborzi Araghi, The approximate solution of linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind by using iterative interpolation, *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 49 (2009) 978-984.
- [29] A. Rivaz, F. Yousefi, Kernel iterative method for solving two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, *Journal of Fuzzy Set Valued Analysis* 13 (2013) 9 pages.
- [30] A. Rivaz, F. Yousefi, Modified homotopy perturbation method for solving two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equation, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* 25 (2012) 591-602.
- [31] A. Rivaz, F. Yousefi, H. Salehinejad, Using block-pulse functions for solving two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* 25 (2012) 571-582.
- [32] S. M. Sadatrasoul, R. Ezzati, Quadrature rules and iterative method for numerical solution of two-dimensional fuzzy integral

- equations, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis* 14 (2014), 18 pages.
- [33] S. M. Sadatrasoul, R. Ezzati, Iterative method for numerical solution of two-dimensional nonlinear fuzzy integral equations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 280 (2015) 91-106.
- [34] S. M. Sadatrasoul, R. Ezzati, Numerical solution of two-dimensional nonlinear Hammerstein fuzzy integral equations based on optimal fuzzy quadrature formula, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 292 (2016) 430-446.
- [35] M. A. Vali, M. J. Agheli, S. Gohari Nezhad, Homotopy analysis method to solve two-dimensional fuzzy Fredholm integral equation, *Gen. Math. Notes* 22 (2014) 31-43.
- [36] M. Zeinali, Approximate solution of fuzzy Hammerstein integral equation by using fuzzy B-spline series, *Sohag Journal of Mathematics* 4 (2017) 19-25.
- [37] S. Ziari, Iterative method for solving two-dimensional nonlinear fuzzy integral equations using fuzzy bivariate block-pulse functions with error estimation, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems* 15 (2018) 55-76.
- [38] S. Ziari, Towards the accuracy of iterative numerical methods for fuzzy Hammerstein Fredholm integral equations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2018.09.006/>.
- [39] S. Ziari, R. Ezzati, S. Abbasbandy, Numerical solution of linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind using fuzzy Haar wavelet, *In: Advances in Computational Intelligence, Communications in Computer and Information Science* 299 (2012) 4-15.
- [40] S. Ziari, R. Ezzati, Fuzzy block-pulse functions and its application to solve linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, *Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems* 7 (2016) 821-832.
- [41] V. Samadpou Khalifeh Mahaleh, R. Ezzati, Numerical solution of two dimensional nonlinear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of second kind using hybrid of Block-Pulse functions and Bernstein polynomials, *Filomat* 32 (2018) 4923-4935.
- [42] V. Samadpou Khalifeh Mahaleh, R. Ezzati, Numerical solution of linear fuzzy Fredholm integral equations of second kind using iterative method and midpoint quadrature formula, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 33 (2017) 1293-1302.



H. Nouriani was born in Tokyo, Japan in 1985. She received her PhD degree in applied mathematics in numerical analysis area from Islamic Azad University, Karaj branch, in 2018. Her current interests include numerical solution of integral equations, fuzzy mathematics and fuzzy integral equations.



R. Ezzati received his PhD degree in applied mathematics from IAU-Science and Research Branch, Tehran, Iran in 2006. He is an professor in the Department of Mathematics at Islamic Azad University, Karaj Branch, (Iran) from 2015. His current interests include numerical solution of differential and integral equations, fuzzy mathematics, especially, on solution of fuzzy systems, fuzzy integral equations, and fuzzy interpolation.