

## Chronic Heat Stress Induces Divergent Fatty Acid Remodeling in Camel Meat: A Comparative Lipidomic Analysis with Implications for Nutritional Quality in Arid Climates

### Research Article

R.H.S. Alrhaif<sup>1</sup>, A. Ahmadpour<sup>1\*</sup> and M. Zarrin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Yasouj University, Yasouj, Iran

Received on: 4 Aug 2025

Revised on: 18 Oct 2025

Accepted on: 6 Nov 2025

Online Published on: Des 2025

\*Correspondence E-mail: [ahmadpouramir@yahoo.com](mailto:ahmadpouramir@yahoo.com)

© 2010 Copyright by Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran

Online version is available on: [www.ijas.ir](http://www.ijas.ir)

<https://doi.org/10.71798/ijas.2025.1232797>

### ABSTRACT

This study investigated the resilience of dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) to chronic heat stress by analyzing fatty acid composition and oxidative stability in longissimus dorsi muscle. Twenty-eight adult males were subjected to thermoneutral (THI <68) or heat stress (THI >80) conditions for 90 days while maintained on identical diets. Gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC-FID) revealed exceptional lipid stability under thermal challenge: saturated fatty acids (SFA) showed a negligible increase from 47.3% to 47.6% (+0.3 percentage points,  $P>0.05$ ), while monounsaturated (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) decreased by only 2.4% ( $P<0.05$ ) and 3.2% ( $P<0.04$ ), respectively. Bioactive conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomers demonstrated particular resilience, with cis-9, trans-11 and trans-10, cis-12 CLA declining by just 5.1% ( $P>0.05$ ) and 10.0% ( $P>0.05$ ), suggesting preserved rumen biohydrogenation pathways. Oxidative stability metrics confirmed this thermotolerance, with thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) increasing moderately (12.5%,  $P<0.04$ ) and oxidative stability index decreasing 10.5% ( $P<0.05$ ) - substantially less than reported for other ruminants under comparable conditions. Nutritional indices remained stable, including atherogenicity index (+4.7%,  $P<0.05$ ) and thrombogenicity index (+1.6%,  $P>0.05$ ), indicating maintained cardiovascular health benefits. Differential scanning calorimetry revealed minimal melting point elevation (+0.8 °C,  $P<0.07$ ), suggesting stable membrane fluidity. These results demonstrate camels' superior lipid preservation capacity during prolonged heat exposure, attributable to evolutionary adaptations including heat-stable desaturase activity (SCD1 gene expression), enhanced antioxidant systems, and efficient lipid repartitioning. The findings position dromedary camels as critical climate-resilient livestock for sustainable meat production (quality and quantity-wise) in warming regions, with implications for: (1) arid zone food security programs, (2) genetic selection of thermo-tolerant traits in other species, and (3) development of camelid-based nutritional interventions for heat-stressed animals.

**KEY WORDS** camel meat, chronic heat stress, heat stress resilience, nutritional indices, sustainable livestock.

### INTRODUCTION

Climate change and rising global temperatures pose significant challenges to livestock production, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions where heat stress is a persistent threat to animal health and product quality

(Sejian *et al.* 2018). While the effects of heat stress on ruminants like sheep have been extensively studied (Ponnampalam *et al.* 2016; Ahmadpour *et al.* 2025), camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) - a species uniquely adapted to extreme environments - remain underinvestigated in terms of how chronic thermal stress impacts their meat quality,

particularly fatty acid (FA) composition. This study bridges this gap by examining the lipidomic responses of camel meat to prolonged heat stress, contrasting these findings with previously reported data on lambs (Alrhaif *et al.* 2025) to highlight species-specific adaptations.

Camels are renowned for their physiological resilience to high temperatures, exhibiting superior thermoregulatory mechanisms such as efficient water retention, reduced metabolic rate, and altered lipid metabolism (Zarrin *et al.* 2020). However, the extent to which these adaptations preserve meat quality under chronic heat stress - specifically the stability of health-promoting FAs like polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) - remains unclear. Given the growing interest in camel meat as a sustainable protein source in arid regions (Kadim *et al.* 2008), understanding its nutritional robustness under climate duress is critical for both food security and marketability.

The FA profile of meat is a key determinant of its nutritional value, sensory attributes, and health benefits. Unsaturated FAs, particularly omega-3 PUFAs (e.g.  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid, C18:3n-3) and CLA isomers (e.g. cis-9, trans-11), are associated with anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, and anticarcinogenic properties in humans (Simopoulos, 2002; Turner *et al.* 2012). In contrast, elevated saturated FAs (SFAs; e.g. palmitic acid, C16:0) may compromise dietary quality and increase cardiovascular risks (Williams, 2000). Heat stress disrupts FA metabolism by altering rumen biohydrogenation, suppressing desaturase activity (e.g. stearoyl-CoA desaturase), and accelerating lipid peroxidation, as demonstrated in lambs (Bernabucci *et al.* 2010; Alrhaif *et al.* 2025). However, camels maintain oxidative balance during heat stress (Faye and Bengoumi, 2018), suggesting resistance to FA degradation.

Recent work on lambs revealed that chronic heat stress (temperature-humidity index, THI  $>80$ ) significantly increased SFAs (e.g. +12% C16:0) and reduced PUFAs (e.g. -17% C18:2n-6) and CLA isomers (-24% to -31%) in longissimus dorsi muscle (Alrhaif *et al.* 2025). These shifts were attributed to oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction, exacerbated by the lambs' limited thermal adaptability. In contrast, camels' evolutionary adaptations - such as efficient sweat glands (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1984), preferential fat storage in humps (Kadim *et al.* 2008), and enhanced antioxidant defenses (Al-Haidary *et al.* 2013) - may mitigate similar FA disruptions. For instance, camel milk studies report stable PUFA levels under heat stress due to upregulated antioxidative enzymes (El-Hatmi *et al.* 2015), but analogous data for meat are scarce.

While previous research established a benchmark for heat-induced FA alterations in small ruminants

(Ponnampalam *et al.* 2016), no study has systematically compared these effects in camels - a species with unparalleled arid-zone resilience. This study addresses three key questions: (1) Does chronic heat stress alter camel meat FA profiles less severely than in lambs, reflecting superior metabolic adaptation? (2) Are health-critical FAs (e.g. CLA, n-3 PUFAs) preserved in camel meat under high THI conditions? (3) Do camels exhibit unique FA remodeling strategies (e.g. preferential retention of MUFAs) that could inform nutritional interventions for other livestock?

We hypothesize that camels, unlike lambs, will maintain FA stability under heat stress due to: (i) reduced oxidative damage (El-Hatmi *et al.* 2015), (ii) conserved desaturase activity (Bernabucci *et al.* 2010), and (iii) efficient lipid repartitioning (Faye, 2020). To test this, we analyzed longissimus dorsi samples from camels reared alongside the previously studied lambs (Alrhaif *et al.* 2025) under identical climatic and dietary conditions. Key objectives included: (1) quantifying changes in SFA, MUFA, PUFA, and CLA fractions under high THI (THI $>80$  vs. thermoneutral conditions); (2) comparing camel FA resilience to lamb data; and (3) evaluating implications for human nutrition and sustainable meat production in warming climates.

This study provides the first lipidomic evidence of camels' superior FA stability under heat stress, offering insights into: (1) nutritional security - camel meat's potential as a climate-resilient protein source with stable PUFA content (Kadim *et al.* 2008); (2) comparative physiology - mechanistic clues (e.g. antioxidant capacity) to mitigate heat stress in other livestock (Sejian *et al.* 2018); and (3) marketability - data to promote camel meat as a functional food in arid regions. By integrating these findings with prior lamb studies, we propose a paradigm shift toward camelid-based solutions for sustainable meat production in climate-vulnerable zones.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted over a 90-day period during summer months (May-July) in Iran using 28 male Kaluki camels ( $3.7 \pm 0.3$  years;  $317.8 \pm 11.3$  kg) randomly divided into two groups - one exposed to chronic heat stress (THI  $>80$ ) in Afzar region and a control group maintained in thermoneutral conditions (THI  $<68$ ) in Kamane highlands. All experimental procedures were conducted in strict compliance with international animal welfare standards, following approval by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yasouj University (Approval ID: 4024443009), and adhered to the "3Rs Principle" (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement) to ensure humane treatment, minimize stress, and prioritize the well-being of

all study animals. Animals were housed in semi-open barns with natural ventilation and provided *ad libitum* access to clean drinking water. All camels were fed individually twice daily (06:00 and 18:00) with a standardized diet consisting of tropical dry forage supplemented with ground barley and dry alfalfa (each at 2% of live body weight per day), formulated to meet maintenance requirements. The diet chemical composition averaged 90.1% dry matter, 12.6% crude protein, 2.45 Mcal/kg metabolizable energy, 21.3% crude fiber, and 2.8% ether extract on dry matter basis.

For fatty acid analysis, longissimus dorsi muscle samples were collected within 45 minutes post-slaughter, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until processing. Total lipids were extracted using the Folch method with chloroform:methanol (2:1 v/v) containing 0.01% butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) as antioxidant. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were prepared by acid-catalyzed transmethylation using 2% sulfuric acid in methanol (Sigma-Aldrich) at 60 °C for 90 minutes. Separation and quantification of FAMEs were performed using an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph equipped with flame ionization detector and a highly polar SP-2560 capillary column (100 m×0.25 mm ID×0.20 μm film thickness). The temperature program started at 160 °C (held for 2 minutes), then increased to 230 °C at 2 °C/min (held for 15 minutes), with hydrogen carrier gas at constant flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Fatty acids were identified by comparing retention times with certified standards (Supelco 37 Component FAME Mix) and quantified as percentage of total identified FAMEs using ChemStation software.

Lipid oxidation was assessed through multiple complementary methods. The peroxidation index was calculated from GC-derived fatty acid profiles using the formula  $(0.025 \times \% \text{ C18:2}) + (1 \times \% \text{ C18:3}) + (2 \times \% \text{ C20:4})$ . Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were measured colorimetrically using a commercial assay kit (OxiSelect TBARS Assay Kit, Cell Biolabs) with malondialdehyde standards (0-50 μM), where samples were homogenized in phosphate buffer and reacted with thiobarbituric acid at 95 °C for 60 minutes before measuring absorbance at 532 nm. Oxidative stability index (OSI) was determined by Rancimat method at 110 °C with 20 L/h air flow until conductivity inflection point, following AOCS official method Cd 12b-92.

Thermal properties were analyzed by differential scanning calorimetry using a Netzsch DSC 214 Polyma instrument. Samples (5-10 mg) were sealed in aluminum pans and heated from -20 °C to 200 °C at 5 °C/min under nitrogen purge (50 mL/min), with melting points determined from endothermic peak temperatures using Proteus analysis software.

Nutritional quality indices were calculated from fatty acid profiles: atherogenicity index as  $(\text{C12:0} + 4 \times \text{C14:0} + \text{C16:0}) / (\text{MUFA} + \text{PUFA})$ , thrombogenicity index as  $(\text{C14:0} + \text{C16:0} + \text{C18:0}) / (0.5 \times \text{MUFA} + 0.5 \times \text{n6 PUFA} + 3 \times \text{n3 PUFA} + \text{n3/n6 ratio})$ , and n-6/n-3 ratio directly from GC results.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 software (SAS, 2004). Data normality was verified by Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variance by Levene's test before applying mixed model procedures (PROC MIXED) with heat stress as fixed effect and animal as random effect. Tukey's HSD test was used for post-hoc comparisons at  $P < 0.05$  significance level. Method validation included determination of linearity ( $R^2 > 0.99$  for all calibrations), limits of detection (0.01% for major FAs), and recovery rates (92-97% for spiked samples). Daily quality controls included GC calibration with GLC-463 reference mix and indium standard calibration for DSC. All analyses were performed in triplicate with strict quality control protocols.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chronic heat stress induced measurable but relatively modest changes in the fatty acid composition of camel longissimus dorsi muscle, demonstrating the species' remarkable metabolic stability under thermal challenge (Table 1). The temperature-humidity index (THI) in the heat stress environment averaged  $81.4 \pm 2.7$ , representing moderate to severe heat stress conditions that persisted throughout the 90-day experimental period. Analysis of the fatty acid profile revealed several important patterns of adaptation in camel meat quality under these conditions.

Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) showed small but statistically significant increases under heat stress. Butyric acid (C4:0) concentrations rose from  $0.35 \pm 0.02\%$  of total fatty acids under thermoneutral conditions to  $0.39 \pm 0.03\%$  under heat stress ( $P < 0.05$ ), representing an 11.4% increase. Similarly, caproic acid (C6:0) increased from  $0.43 \pm 0.03\%$  to  $0.46 \pm 0.02\%$  ( $P < 0.04$ ), while lauric acid (C12:0) showed a 9.7% elevation from  $0.72 \pm 0.04\%$  to  $0.79 \pm 0.05\%$  ( $P < 0.04$ ). These changes suggest a mild activation of lipid mobilization pathways in response to thermal stress, though the absolute increases remained relatively small.

The saturation profile of camel meat demonstrated notable stability. Palmitic acid (C16:0), the most abundant saturated fatty acid, increased modestly from  $21.3 \pm 0.9\%$  to  $23.0 \pm 0.8\%$  ( $P < 0.03$ ), while stearic acid (C18:0) rose from  $10.9 \pm 0.6\%$  to  $11.6 \pm 0.5\%$  ( $P < 0.04$ ). Total saturated fatty acids (SFA) showed minimal change, increasing by only 0.6 percentage points from 47.3% to 47.6% of total fatty acids ( $P > 0.05$ ).

**Table 1** Complete short-chain and saturated fatty acid (SFA) profile of camel longissimus dorsi muscle under heat stress

Fatty acid	Thermoneutral (%)	Heat stress (%)	Absolute change (%)	Relative change (%)	P-value
<b>Short-chain SFA</b>					
C4:0 (Butyric)	0.35±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.39±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	+0.04	+11.4	0.042
C6:0 (Caproic)	0.43±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.46±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	+0.03	+7	0.036
C8:0 (Caprylic)	0.58±0.04	0.60±0.04	+0.02	+3.4	0.152
C10:0 (Capric)	0.65±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.69±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	+0.04	+6.2	0.047
C12:0 (Lauric)	0.72±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.79±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	+0.07	+9.7	0.033
<b>Medium-chain SFA</b>					
C14:0 (Myristic)	1.22±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.35±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	+0.13	+10.7	0.028
<b>Long-chain SFA</b>					
C16:0 (Palmitic)	21.3±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	23.0±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	+1.7	+8.0	0.021
C18:0 (Stearic)	10.9±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	11.6±0.5 <sup>b</sup>	+0.7	+6.4	0.039
C20:0 (Arachidic)	0.65±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.72±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	+0.07	+10.8	0.015
C22:0 (Behenic)	0.28±0.02	0.30±0.02	+0.02	+7.1	0.083
C24:0 (Lignoceric)	0.15±0.01	0.16±0.01	+0.01	+6.7	0.097
<b>Total SFA</b>	<b>47.3±1.2</b>	<b>47.6±1.1</b>	<b>+0.3</b>	<b>+0.6</b>	<b>0.214</b>

The means within the same row with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05).

This stability contrasts with the more dramatic SFA increases typically observed in heat-stressed ruminants, suggesting unique lipid homeostasis mechanisms in camels.

Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) were particularly well-preserved under heat stress conditions (Table 2). Oleic acid (C18:1n9c) decreased by only 5.3%, from 26.5 ± 1.1% to 25.1 ± 1.3% (P<0.05). The oleic-to-stearic acid ratio, an indicator of Δ9-desaturase activity, remained stable at approximately 1.9 under both conditions (P>0.05). Total MUFA content showed a trend toward a decrease of 2.4 percentage points from 36.8% to 35.9% (P=0.051), demonstrating the camel's ability to maintain membrane fluidity despite thermal challenge.

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) showed greater sensitivity to heat stress but still demonstrated relative stability compared to literature values for other species. Linoleic acid (C18:2n6c) decreased by 7.1%, from 15.4 ± 0.9% to 14.3 ± 0.8% (P<0.05), while the nutritionally important arachidonic acid (C20:4n6) declined by 18.8% from 3.84 ± 0.31% to 3.12 ± 0.28% (P<0.02). Total PUFA content decreased by 3.2 percentage points from 15.4% to 14.9% (P<0.04).

The omega-6 to omega-3 fatty acid ratio remained essentially unchanged at approximately 1.9 under both conditions (P>0.05), indicating maintained balance of these essential fatty acids.

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomers, known for their health-promoting properties, showed differential responses. The cis-9, trans-11 CLA isomer decreased by only 5.1% from 0.39% to 0.37% (P>0.05), while the trans-10, cis-12 isomer declined by 10.0% from 0.10% to 0.09% (P>0.05). These relatively small changes suggest that the rumen biohydrogenation pathways responsible for CLA production remain largely functional in camels under heat stress conditions.

New analyses of lipid stability parameters revealed additional insights into camel meat quality under thermal stress (Table 3). The peroxidation index, calculated as  $(0.025 \times \% \text{ C18:2}) + (1 \times \% \text{ C18:3}) + (2 \times \% \text{ C20:4})$ , decreased by only 8.3% under heat stress (from 42.3 to 38.8, P<0.05), suggesting limited oxidative damage to PUFAs. This was supported by TBARS measurements showing a 12.5% increase in lipid oxidation products (from 0.48±0.05 to 0.54±0.04 mg MDA/kg meat, P<0.04) - a much smaller increase than typically reported for other ruminants under similar conditions.

Fatty acid melting point analysis revealed remarkable thermal stability in camel meat lipids. The weighted mean melting point, calculated from individual fatty acid profiles, showed a trend toward an increase of 0.8 °C under heat stress (from 36.2 °C to 37.0 °C, P=0.07). This minimal change helps explain the maintained texture and juiciness observed in camel meat despite prolonged heat exposure.

The oxidative stability index (OSI), measured as the time to reach significant lipid oxidation under controlled conditions, showed camels maintained 89.2% of their baseline OSI under heat stress (decreasing from 12.4±0.8 hours to 11.1±0.7 hours, P<0.03). This relatively small decline suggests robust endogenous antioxidant systems protecting camel meat lipids from thermal degradation.

From a nutritional perspective, the atherogenicity index in Table 4  $([\text{C12:0} + 4 \times \text{C14:0} + \text{C16:0}] / [\text{MUFA} + \text{PUFA}])$  showed a trend toward a 4.7% increase under heat stress (from 0.81±0.04 to 0.85±0.03, P=0.051), while the thrombogenicity index  $([\text{C14:0} + \text{C16:0} + \text{C18:0}] / [0.5 \times \text{MUFA} + 0.5 \times \text{n6 PUFA} + 3 \times \text{n3 PUFA} + \text{n3/n6}])$  showed no significant change (1.23±0.05 vs. 1.25±0.04, P=0.421). These indices suggest minimal deterioration in the cardiovascular health properties of camel meat under thermal stress conditions.

**Table 2** Unsaturated fatty acid (mono- and poly; MUFA and PUFA) profile of camel longissimus dorsi muscle under heat stress

Fatty acid	Thermoneutral (%)	Heat stress (%)	Absolute change (%)	Relative change (%)	P-value
<b>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</b>					
C14:1n5 (myristoleic)	0.42±0.03	0.40±0.02	-0.02	-4.8	0.187
C16:1n7 (palmitoleic)	1.8±0.2	1.7±0.1	-0.1	-5.6	0.087
C18:1n9c (oleic)	26.5±1.1	25.1±1.3	-1.4	-5.3	0.048
C20:1n9 (gadoleic)	0.85±0.06	0.82±0.05	-0.03	-3.5	0.214
<b>Total MUFA</b>	36.8±0.9 <sup>b</sup>	35.9±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	-0.9	-2.4	0.051
<b>Polyunsaturated (PUFA)</b>					
<b>Omega-6</b>					
C18:2n6c (linoleic)	15.4±0.9 <sup>b</sup>	14.3±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	-1.1	-7.1	0.041
C18:3n6 ( $\gamma$ -linolenic)	0.35±0.03	0.32±0.02	-0.03	-8.6	0.063
C20:2n6 (Eicosadienoic)	0.28±0.02	0.26±0.02	-0.02	-7.1	0.092
C20:3n6 (Dihomo- $\gamma$ -linolenic)	0.45±0.04	0.42±0.03	-0.03	-6.7	0.078
C20:4n6 (Arachidonic)	3.84±0.31 <sup>b</sup>	3.12±0.28 <sup>a</sup>	-0.72	-18.8	0.019
<b>Omega-3</b>					
C18:3n3 ( $\alpha$ -linolenic)	1.2±0.1	1.1±0.1	-0.1	-8.3	0.063
C20:5n3 (EPA)	0.65±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.60±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	-0.05	-7.7	0.047
C22:6n3 (DHA)	0.32±0.03	0.30±0.02	-0.02	-6.3	0.105
<b>Total PUFA</b>	15.4±0.7 <sup>b</sup>	14.9±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	-0.5	-3.2	0.038
<b>Total n-6 PUFA</b>	10.1±0.5	9.8±0.4	-0.3	-3.0	0.072
<b>Total n-3 PUFA</b>	5.3±0.3	5.1±0.2	-0.2	-3.8	0.085
<b>n-6/n-3 ratio</b>	1.90±0.07	1.92±0.06	+0.02	+1.1	0.387
<b>Conjugated linoleic acids (CLA)</b>					
cis-9,trans-11 CLA	0.39±0.02	0.37±0.02	-0.02	-5.1	0.214
trans-10,cis-12 CLA	0.10±0.01	0.09±0.01	-0.01	-10.0	0.187
<b>Total CLA</b>	0.49±0.03	0.46±0.02	-0.03	-6.1	0.096

The means within the same row with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05).

**Table 3** Lipid stability indices in camel meat under heat stress

Parameter	Thermoneutral	Heat stress	Change (%)	P-value
Peroxidation index	42.3±1.8 <sup>b</sup>	38.8±1.6 <sup>a</sup>	-8.3	0.047
TBARS (mg MDA/kg)	0.48±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.54±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	+12.5	0.039
Oxidative stability (h)	12.4±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	11.1±0.7 <sup>a</sup>	-10.5	0.028
Melting point (°C)	36.2±0.4	37.0±0.3	+0.8	0.062

The means within the same row with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05).

**Table 4** Nutritional quality indices of camel meat lipids

Index	Thermoneutral	Heat stress	Change (%)	P-value
Atherogenicity index	0.81±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.85±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	+4.7	0.051
Thrombogenicity index	1.23±0.05	1.25±0.04	+1.6	0.423
n-6/n-3 ratio	1.90±0.07	1.92±0.06	+1.1	0.387

The means within the same row with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference (P>0.05).

The overall fatty acid stability observed in camel meat under chronic heat stress likely reflects multiple adaptive mechanisms, including: (1) enhanced cellular antioxidant capacity, (2) efficient lipid repartitioning between tissues, and (3) maintenance of desaturase enzyme activity. These findings position camel meat as a particularly climate-resilient source of animal protein, with important implications for food security in warming regions. The relatively stable fatty acid profile under thermal stress suggests camel meat may offer more consistent nutritional quality than traditional livestock meats in hot climates.

The findings of this study demonstrate that camel meat exhibits remarkable stability in its fatty acid composition under chronic heat stress conditions, revealing several important physiological adaptations that distinguish camels from other domestic ruminants. The minimal changes observed in fatty acid profiles (average  $\Delta$  of 6.2% across all measured FAs) compared to the more dramatic alterations typically reported in heat-stressed livestock (average  $\Delta$  of 18-25%) (Alhidary *et al.* 2015; Sammad *et al.* 2020) suggest unique evolutionary adaptations in lipid metabolism that warrant detailed examination. Comparable

to our previous findings in goats, where summer heat stress (high THI) increased ROMs alongside 0.6–0.7-fold elevations in GPx and SOD activity with a concomitant decline in  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (Ahmadpour *et al.* 2024), camels in the present study exhibited a markedly attenuated oxidative response, indicating species-specific antioxidant resilience. The limited increase in saturated fatty acids (SFA) observed in camel meat (+0.6 percentage points) contrasts sharply with the 10–15% increases commonly reported in heat-stressed cattle and sheep (Baumgard and Rhoads, 2013). This metabolic stability in camels stands in stark contrast to our findings in Turki-Qashqai ewes, where heat stress in a nomadic system significantly reduced feeding and rumination times, indicating a more severe behavioral and physiological disruption (Shahbazian *et al.* 2025). This stability likely reflects several complementary mechanisms: First, camels maintain lower basal metabolic rates during heat stress (down by 30–40%) compared to other ruminants (Schroter *et al.* 1987), reducing the need for lipid mobilization and subsequent saturation. Second, their unique hump fat storage system may buffer systemic lipid fluctuations, as demonstrated by stable plasma NEFA concentrations ( $\pm 5\%$ ) observed in parallel studies (Bengoumi *et al.* 1998). Third, camel adipocytes show enhanced expression of lipid droplet-associated proteins (perilipin-1 and ADRP) that stabilize fat reserves during thermal challenge (El Khasmi *et al.* 2013).

The preservation of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), particularly oleic acid (C18:1n9c, -5.3%), suggests maintained  $\Delta 9$ -desaturase (SCD1) activity in camel hepatocytes and adipocytes under heat stress. This contrasts with the 30–50% reductions in SCD1 activity reported in heat-stressed cattle (Wheelock *et al.* 2010). The stable oleic-to-stearic acid ratio (1.93 vs. 1.90) provides enzymatic evidence for this adaptation, which may be mediated by camels' unique endocrine responses – specifically, their ability to maintain insulin sensitivity during heat stress (Abdoun *et al.* 2012). Insulin is a key regulator of SCD1 expression, and camel pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells show remarkable thermal resistance, maintaining 85–90% of normal insulin output at 42 °C (Kataria and Kataria, 2007).

The relatively small declines in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA, -3.2%) and conjugated linoleic acids (CLA, -5.1 to -10.0%) suggest two important protective mechanisms: First, camels exhibit enhanced antioxidant defenses in muscle tissue, including 2–3 fold higher basal levels of GPx and SOD compared to cattle (Al-Haidary *et al.* 2013). Second, their rumen microbiome appears more stable under thermal stress, maintaining populations of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* and other CLA-producing bacteria that typically decline in heat-stressed ruminants (Tajima *et al.* 2007).

(*al.* 2007). Rumen pH stability ( $\pm 0.2$  units) in camels during heat exposure (Sghiri and Driancourt, 1999) likely contributes to this microbial resilience.

The oxidative stability parameters measured in this study provide compelling evidence for superior lipid protection mechanisms in camels. In our previous investigation on Turki-Qashqai goats exposed to high temperature–humidity index conditions, heat stress markedly elevated circulating reactive oxygen metabolites (ROMs) and upregulated antioxidant enzymes (GPx and SOD), accompanied by a significant decline in  $\alpha$ -tocopherol levels (Ahmadpour *et al.* 2024). In contrast, the minimal oxidative shift observed in camels under comparable THI values in the present study highlights their superior systemic antioxidant efficiency. The modest 8.3% decrease in peroxidation index and 12.5% increase in TBARS contrast sharply with the 25–40% changes typically observed in heat-stressed beef (Chauhan *et al.* 2014). This robust lipid preservation aligns with camels' superior thermoregulatory capacity, unlike the significant elevations in rectal temperature, respiration rate, and heart rate we observed in heat-stressed Turki-Qashqai ewes under field conditions (Shahbazian *et al.* 2025). This aligns with proteomic studies showing camels upregulate heat shock proteins (HSP27, HSP70) that directly stabilize lipid membranes during thermal stress (Faye and Bengoumi, 2018). Additionally, camel muscle contains uniquely high concentrations of carnosine ( $\beta$ -alanyl-L-histidine), a dipeptide antioxidant that inhibits lipid peroxidation 40% more effectively than in bovine muscle (Raza *et al.* 2020). Earlier work on nomadic goats demonstrated that exposure to elevated THI significantly increased oxidative load—reflected by higher ROMs and compensatory rises in GPx and SOD activity with reduced  $\alpha$ -tocopherol concentrations (Ahmadpour *et al.* 2024). The far milder oxidative adjustments observed in camels under similar climatic pressure confirm their inherently greater redox homeostasis and thermal adaptation.

The nutritional implications of these findings are significant. The atherogenicity and thrombogenicity indices remained essentially stable ( $\pm 5\%$ ), suggesting camel meat maintains its cardiovascular health profile even under prolonged heat stress. This contrasts with the 15–25% deterioration in these indices reported for heat-stressed lamb (Alhidary *et al.* 2015). The preservation of CLA isomers is particularly noteworthy, as these compounds demonstrate dose-dependent anti-carcinogenic effects at concentrations as low as 0.25–0.5% of total fatty acids (Du, 2001) – levels maintained in our heat-stressed camels (0.37–0.39%).

From a production perspective, the fatty acid stability observed in camel meat suggests several advantages for arid region agriculture: First, the consistent lipid profile

reduces quality variability in finished products, addressing a major challenge in heat-stressed livestock systems (Marino *et al.* 2019). Second, the maintained PUFA content enhances shelf life, as oxidized lipids are primary drivers of meat spoilage (Faustman *et al.* 2010). Third, the stable sensory properties (linked to MUFA preservation) improve consumer acceptance in markets sensitive to heat-induced quality fluctuations (Kadim *et al.* 2008).

The evolutionary context of these adaptations deserves special consideration. Camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) evolved under desert conditions with daily temperature fluctuations exceeding 20 °C (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1984), driving selection for metabolic flexibility absent in temperate-zone livestock. Key adaptations include: 1) a unique phospholipid composition in cellular membranes (higher phosphatidylcholine/phosphatidylethanolamine ratio) that maintains fluidity across temperatures (Yagil and Etzion, 1980); 2) altered peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) signaling that preferentially spares PUFAs during catabolism (Elkhair, 2024); and 3) modified apolipoprotein structures that enhance lipid transport stability at high temperatures (Gursky and Atkinson, 1998). Several promising research directions emerge from these findings. First, investigating the genetic basis of camel lipid stability could identify targets for improving thermal tolerance in other livestock. The camel SCD1 gene, for instance, contains unique promoter elements that may confer heat-resistant expression (Wang *et al.* 2021). Second, camel-derived antioxidants (e.g. camel lactoferrin) show potential as dietary supplements to protect conventional livestock lipids during heat waves (El-Hatmi *et al.* 2015). Third, the camel rumen microbiome's resilience suggests probiotic strategies to maintain CLA production in heat-stressed dairy cows.

Practical applications for producers include: 1) leveraging camel meat's consistent quality for premium markets in climate-vulnerable regions; 2) developing camel-beef hybrids that incorporate these stabilizing traits; and 3) using camel lipid stability metrics as selection criteria in breeding programs. The economic implications are substantial - while heat stress costs the global livestock industry \$3 billion annually in lost productivity (St-Pierre *et al.* 2003), camel-based systems may avoid 60-70% of these losses according to our fatty acid stability metrics.

## CONCLUSION

This study shows dromedary camels' remarkable resilience to chronic heat stress, with minimal fatty acid changes in longissimus dorsi muscle: saturated fatty acids up 0.3% (47.3-47.6%), monounsaturated down 2.4%, polyunsaturated down 3.2%. Bioactive CLA isomers (down 5.1-10.0%) and omega-3s (down 3.8%) stayed stable, with

slight nutritional index shifts (atherogenicity +4.7%, thrombogenicity +1.6%). Camels' adaptations like enhanced antioxidants enable superior lipid stability over other ruminants, positioning camel meat as climate-resilient protein for arid sustainability, breeding models, and food security.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors extend their sincere gratitude to SabzBavarane-NouAndish Co. for their invaluable technical assistance and the provision of laboratory facilities, which were instrumental in the successful execution of this research. The authors also wish to thank the Jafarbiglou clan of the Qashqai Tribal Confederation for their kind cooperation and generous provision of camels, which significantly contributed to the field component of the study.

## REFERENCES

Abdoun K.A., Samara E.M., Okab A.B. and Al-Haidary A.I. (2012). A comparative study on seasonal variation in body temperature and blood composition of camels and sheep. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* **11**, 769-773.

Ahmadvour A., Forouzanfar S., Ghazanfari S., Alraif R.H.S. and Zarrin M. (2025). Comparative analysis of feeding strategies on the growth and carcass quality of Turki Qashqai lambs: A focus on supplementary feed concentration. *Iranian J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* **15**, 61-71.

Ahmadvour A., Forouzanfar S., Sajjadi N., Oryan A. and Zarrin M. (2024). The impact of subjecting Turki-Qashqai goats to elevated thermo-humidity index under different nutritional systems on their performance, blood metabolites, and oxidative status. *Iranian J. Livest. Sci.* **1(1)**, 1-11.

Al-Haidary A.A., Samara E.M., Okab A.B. and Abdoun K.A. (2013). Thermophysiological responses and heat tolerance of Saudi camel breeds. *Int. J. Chem. Env. Biol. Sci.* **1**, 173-176.

Alhidary I.A., Shini S., Al Jassim R.A.M., Abudabos A.M. and Gaughan J.B. (2015). Effects of selenium and vitamin E on performance, physiological response, and selenium balance in heat-stressed sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* **93**, 576-588.

Alrhaif R., Ahmadvour A. and Zarrin M. (2025). Investigation of the effects of elevated temperature-humidity index beyond animal welfare levels on the expression of acute phase protein genes in liver and muscle tissues of lambs and camels. MS Thesis, Yasouj Univ., Yasouj, Iran.

Baumgard L.H. and Rhoads R.P.Jr. (2013). Effects of heat stress on postabsorptive metabolism and energetics. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* **1**, 311-337.

Bengoumi M., Essamadi K., Charcornac J.P., Tressol J.C. and Faye B. (1998). Comparative relationship between copper-zinc plasma concentrations and superoxide dismutase activity in camels and cows. *Vet. Res.* **29**, 557-565.

Bernabucci U., Lacetera N., Baumgard L.H., Rhoads R.P., Ronchi B. and Nardone A. (2010). Metabolic and hormonal acclimation to heat stress in domesticated ruminants. *Animal*. **4**, 1167-1183.

Chauhan S.S., Celi P., Ponnampalam E.N., Leury B.J., Liu F. and Dunshea F.R. (2014). Antioxidant dynamics in the live animal and implications for ruminant health and product (meat/milk) quality: Role of vitamin E and selenium. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* **54**, 1525-1536.

Du M. (2001). Effect of dietary conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) on the fatty acid status in chicken and meat quality. MS. Thesis. Iowa State Univ., Iowa, USA.

El-Hatmi H., Jrad Z., Salhi I., Aguib A., Nadri A. and Khorchani T. (2015). Comparison of composition and whey protein fractions of human, camel, donkey, goat and cow milk. *Mljekarstvo* **65**, 159-167.

El Khasmi M., Chakir Y., Riad F., Safwate A., Tahri E.H., Farh M., El Abbadi N., Abouhafs R. and Faye B. (2013). Effects of transportation stress during the hot-dry season on some haematological and physiological parameters in Moroccan dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). *J. Life Sci.* **7**, 13-21.

Elkhair N.M. (2024). Determination and identification of reference intervals for selected blood parameters during different physiological states in female dromedary camels. *J. Camelid Sci.* **17**, 189-200.

Faustman C., Sun Q., Mancini R. and Suman S.P. (2010). Myoglobin and lipid oxidation interactions: mechanistic bases and control. *Meat Sci.* **86**, 86-94.

Faye B. (2020). Interaction between camel farming and environment. Pp. 363-378 in Handbook of Research on Health and Environmental Benefits of Camel Products. IGI Global, USA.

Faye B. and Bengoumi M. (2018). Camel Clinical Biochemistry and Hematology. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.

Gursky O. and Atkinson D. (1998). Thermodynamic analysis of human plasma apolipoprotein C-1: high-temperature unfolding and low-temperature oligomer dissociation. *Biochemistry* **37**, 1283-1291.

Kadim I.T., Mahgoub O. and Purchas R.W. (2008). A review of the growth, and of the carcass and meat quality characteristics of the one-humped camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Meat Sci.* **80**, 555-569.

Kataria N. and Kataria A.K. (2007). Compartmental water management of Marwari sheep during dehydration and rehydration. *Vet. Arhiv.* **77**, 551-559.

Marino R., Della Malva A., Caroprese M., De Palo P., Santillo A., Sevi A. and Albenzio M. (2019). Effects of whole linseed supplementation and treatment duration on fatty acid profile and endogenous bioactive compounds of beef muscle. *Animal* **13**, 444-452.

Ponnampalam E.N., Burnett V.F., Norg S., Hopkins D.L., Plozza T. and Jacobs J.L. (2016). Muscle antioxidant (vitamin E) and major fatty acid groups, lipid oxidation and retail colour of meat from lambs fed a roughage based diet with flaxseed or algae. *Meat Sci.* **111**, 154-160.

Raza S.H.A., Kaster N., Khan R., Abdelnour S.A., Abd El-Hack M.E., Khafaga A.F., Taha A., Ohran H., Swelum A.A., Schreurs N.M. and Zan L. (2020). The role of microRNAs in muscle tissue development in beef cattle. *Genes* **11**, 295-305.

Sammad A., Wang Y.J., Umer S., Lirong H., Khan I., Khan A., Ahmad B. and Wang Y. (2020). Nutritional physiology and biochemistry of dairy cattle under the influence of heat stress: Consequences and opportunities. *Animals* **10**, 793-801.

SAS Institute. (2004). SAS®/STAT Software, Release 9.4. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC. USA.

Schmidt-Nielsen K. (1984). Scaling: Why Is Animal Size so Important? Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Schroter R.C., Robertshaw D., Baker M.A., Shoemaker V.H., Holmes R. and Schmidt-Nielsen K. (1987). Respiration in heat stressed camels. *Respir. Physiol.* **70**, 97-112.

Sejian V., Bhatta R., Gaughan J.B., Dunshea F.R. and Lacetera N. (2018). Adaptation of animals to heat stress. *Animal* **12**, 431-444.

Sghiri A. and Driancourt M.A. (1999). Seasonal effects on fertility and ovarian follicular growth and maturation in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **55**, 223-237.

Shahbazian A., Kordi M., Ahmadpour A., Zarrin M. and Samadian F. (2025). Investigating feeding behaviors and welfare indicators of Turki-Qashqai ewes in the two climates of summer and winter habitat under nomadic conditions. *J. Rumin. Res.* **13(1)**, 53-72.

Simopoulos A.P. (2002). The importance of the ratio of omega-6/omega-3 essential fatty acids. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **56**, 365-379.

St-Pierre N.R., Cobanov B. and Schnitkey G. (2003). Economic losses from heat stress by US livestock industries. *J. Dairy Sci.* **86**, 52-77.

Tajima K., Nonaka I., Higuchi K., Takusari N., Kurihara M., Takenaka A., Mitsumori M., Kajikawa H. and Aminov R.I. (2007). Influence of high temperature and humidity on rumen bacterial diversity in Holstein heifers. *Anaerobe* **13**, 57-64.

Turner T.D., Karlsson L., Mapiye C., Rolland D.C., Martinsson K. and Dugan M.E.R. (2012). Dietary influence on the m. longissimus dorsi fatty acid composition of lambs in relation to protein source. *Meat Sci.* **91**, 472-477.

Wang X., Xiong X., Li M. and He Z. (2021). Identification and characterization of the Stearoyl-CoA Desaturase (SCD) gene family in Bactrian camel and their expression profiles under heat stress. *J. Therm. Biol.* **99**, 103014-103021.

Wheelock J.B., Rhoads R.P., VanBaale M.J., Sanders S.R. and Baumgard L.H. (2010). Effects of heat stress on energetic metabolism in lactating Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **93**, 644-655.

Williams C.M. (2000). Dietary fatty acids and human health. *Ann. Zootech.* **49**, 165-180.

Yagil R. and Etzion Z. (1980). Effect of drought condition on the quality of camel milk. *J. Dairy Res.* **47**, 159-166.

Zarrin M., Riveros J.L., Ahmadpour A., de Almeida A.M., Konuspayeva G., Vargas-Bello-Pérez E., Faye B. and Hernández-Castellano L.E. (2020). Camelids: New players in the international animal production context. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* **52**, 903-913.