

The Green and the Invisible: Forging a Synergistic Future for Microalgae in Microbial Biotechnology

Mahdi Sadati*^{1,2}

1) CEO & Co-Founder of AlgoTech Startup Company

2) Department of Microbiology, Islamic Azad University, Tehran-North Branch, Tehran-Iran.

*) Correspondent E-mail: mahdi.sadati09@proton.me

For decades, the engine of microbial biotechnology has been powered by heterotrophic workhorses—*Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*—engineered to convert refined sugar feedstocks into a vast array of chemicals, fuels, and therapeutics (Nielsen & Keasling, 2016; Adrio & Demain, 2014). While this model has been immensely successful, its limitations are increasingly apparent: it is tethered to agricultural commodities, contributing to the "food versus fuel" dilemma and carrying a significant carbon footprint from crop cultivation and processing (Naik et al., 2010; Liew et al., 2014).

Concurrently, a quiet revolution has been advancing in the realm of microalgae. These photosynthetic microorganisms harness solar energy to drive the conversion of CO₂ into biomass, offering a pathway to decarbonize biomanufacturing (Field et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2008). Despite both disciplines operating under the broad umbrella of microbiology, microalgal biotechnology has often progressed in parallel, with distinct scientific communities and technical foci (Wijffels & Barbosa, 2010). This siloing has impeded the flow of knowledge and delayed the full exploitation of algal potential. This review argues that the deliberate and strategic integration of microalgae science into the mainstream of microbial biotechnology is not merely an option but a critical evolution for building a sustainable, resilient, and circular bio-based economy (Chisti, 2012; Zhu, 2015). We will explore the foundational synergies, present evidence from commercial and nascent applications, and provide a critical analysis of the missing links that must be forged to realize this integrated future.

The Foundational Synergy: Why Microalgae Are Indispensable

Microalgae are unicellular photosynthetic factories whose value proposition to biotechnology is multi-faceted and fundamentally complementary to existing platforms.

Sustainable Feedstock Independence and Carbon Valorization

The most profound advantage of microalgae is their autotrophy. They utilize CO₂ (including potent point-source emissions from industrial flue gas) as a carbon source and light as their energy input, completely decoupling bioproduction from arable land and food systems (Brennan & Owende, 2010; Wang et al., 2008). This aligns with circular bioeconomy principles, transforming a waste product and a ubiquitous energy source into valuable biomass (Zhu, 2015). Life cycle assessments (LCAs) consistently show the potential for algal systems to achieve

significantly lower net carbon emissions compared to first-generation biofuel crops and even some heterotrophic microbial processes (Clarens et al., 2010; Jonker & Faaij, 2013).

A Unique and Valuable Biochemical Portfolio

Beyond their metabolic mode, microalgae are renowned for synthesizing a suite of complex molecules that are challenging, expensive, or impossible to produce at scale in heterotrophic microbes (Guedes et al., 2011). This includes:

- **Essential Lipids:** Long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) like docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), crucial for nutraceuticals and offering a sustainable, scalable alternative to finite fish oil stocks (Adarme-Vega et al., 2012).
- **High-Potency Pigments:** Carotenoids such as astaxanthin (a powerful antioxidant) and beta-carotene, along with phycobiliproteins like phycocyanin, with vibrant applications in food, cosmetics, and health supplements (Gong & Bassi, 2016).
- **Specialty Biopolymers:** Exopolysaccharides with unique rheological properties for biomaterials, cosmetics, and as natural bioflocculants (Delattre et al., 2016).
- **Bioactive Compounds and Enzymes:** Novel peptides with demonstrated pharmaceutical potential and robust extremozymes stable under the high-light, high-oxygen conditions of photobioreactors (Satyanarayana et al., 2013).

Integrated Ecosystem Services as a Unit Operation

A defining feature of microalgal cultivation is that the manufacturing process itself can be designed to deliver environmental services, creating a "bioremediation-coupled production" model (Christenson & Sims, 2011). They are highly effective in tertiary wastewater treatment, assimilating nitrogen, phosphorus, and sequestering heavy metals (Pittman et al., 2011). When combined with carbon capture from flue gas, this enables the design of bioprocesses with a net-negative environmental impact, where the cost of production is partially offset by the value of remediation services (Cuellar-Bermudez et al., 2015).

Case Reports: From Proof-of-Concept to Industrial Reality

Case Report 1: The Astaxanthin Success Story – A Blueprint for Two-Stage Photoproduction

- **The Challenge:** The market required a natural, high-potency source of the super-antioxidant astaxanthin, superior to synthetic versions for aquaculture and human nutraceuticals (Capelli et al., 2013).
- **The Algal Solution:** The green microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis* was identified for its remarkable capacity to accumulate astaxanthin to over 4% of its dry weight under stress (Shah et al., 2016). Companies commercialized this by developing sophisticated two-stage cultivation systems: first, a "green stage" in closed photobioreactors under optimal growth conditions to maximize biomass; second, a "red stage" where nutrients are restricted and light intensity is increased to trigger massive astaxanthin biosynthesis (Lorenz & Cysewski, 2000).
- **Convergence with Microbial Biotech:** This process is a direct phototrophic analogue to a two-stage microbial fermentation, a well-established strategy for separating growth from production

in heterotrophs (Lee, 2001). The principles of sterile technique, growth kinetics, and nutrient media optimization, honed in conventional fermentation, were directly applicable and critical for achieving commercial-scale, contamination-free production (Carvalho et al., 2011).

Case Report 2: The Biofuel Endeavor and the Pivot to the Biorefinery

The Challenge: To develop a scalable, carbon-neutral, and economically viable biofuel to displace fossil fuels (Chisti, 2007).

The Algal Solution: Large-scale R&D programs screened and developed oleaginous strains (e.g., *Nannochloropsis*, *Chlorella*) and demonstrated cultivation using flue gas, directly converting CO₂ into triglycerides for biodiesel (Sheehan et al., 1998).

Convergence and Strategic Pivot: The standalone economics of algal biodiesel proved challenging due to cultivation and dewatering costs (Davis et al., 2016). This effort, however, yielded a crucial insight: the path to viability lies in valorizing the entire biomass. The lipid-extracted algal residue is rich in proteins, carbohydrates, and other compounds, useful for animal feed, bioplastics, and chemicals (Koller et al., 2014). This cemented the "biorefinery" model in algal biotechnology—a core principle in industrial biotechnology where every biomass fraction is utilized to create a spectrum of products, improving overall economics and sustainability (Clark & Deswarte, 2015).

Case Report 3: Microalgae as Eukaryotic Cell Factories for Pharmaceuticals

The Challenge: The need for a scalable, cost-effective, and safe eukaryotic expression system for complex therapeutic proteins (e.g., antibodies, vaccines) that require precise post-translational modifications (Rosales-Mendoza et al., 2020).

The Algal Solution: The model alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* has been engineered to produce functional human proteins in its chloroplast—a compartment that offers transgene containment and avoids gene silencing (Specht & Mayfield, 2014). Its generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status, rapid growth, and eukaryotic folding machinery position it as a promising "green cell factory" (Gimpel et al., 2015).

Convergence with Microbial Biotech: This application is a direct translation of genetic toolboxes from mainstream synthetic biology. The challenge and opportunity lie in adapting CRISPR-Cas9 and synthetic gene circuits for algal chloroplasts and nuclei, requiring deep collaboration between algal geneticists and microbial synthetic biologists (Hsu et al., 2014; Gimpel et al., 2016).

Filling the Missing Links: A Critical Discussion on Disciplinary Integration

The potential is clear, but full integration requires overcoming significant, interconnected challenges.

Process Engineering: From Artisanal Practice to Predictive Scale-Up

Heterotrophic fermentation benefits from a century of chemical engineering, with standardized metrics (e.g., yield on substrate) and predictable scale-up in stirred-tank reactors (Stanbury et al., 2016). Microalgal cultivation, however, is a multi-physics problem complicated by light delivery. The proliferation of system designs—from open ponds to complex photobioreactors—

creates uncertainty (Posten, 2009). **The Path Forward:** The field must adopt rigorous fermentation-style metrics, including biomass yield on photons (Blanken et al., 2016). The development of "smart" photobioreactors using computational fluid dynamics for design and advanced process control for operation is essential to achieve the reliability expected of industrial unit operations (Fernández et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2014).

The Genetic Toolbox Disparity: From Prototype to Platform

While *E. coli* and yeast have modular genetic parts registries, toolboxes for most microalgae remain species-specific and inefficient, hindered by random integration and gene silencing (Radakovits et al., 2010). **The Path Forward:** A coordinated, community-wide effort is needed to build standardized genetic "Parts Registries" for key algal chassis (Crozet et al., 2018). This must be coupled with the adaptation of high-efficiency CRISPR tools and the development of genome-scale metabolic models to guide rational engineering (Shin et al., 2016).

Cultivating an Interdisciplinary Workforce and Validating Economics

The workforce is often siloed; biochemical engineers may lack training in photobiophysics, while phycologists may be less familiar with downstream processing and techno-economic analysis (TEA; Pulz, 2001; Ríos et al., 2013). **The Path Forward:** Academic programs must create hybrid curricula that blend phycology with biochemical engineering and synthetic biology (Mayfield & Golden, 2015). Furthermore, TEA and LCA must be integrated from the outset of R&D to guide the development of processes that are not only scientifically sound but also economically viable and environmentally sustainable (Jonker & Faaij, 2013; Collet et al., 2011).

Navigating the Regulatory and Social Landscape

As engineered microalgae near commercialization, navigating unclear regulatory pathways for genetically modified (GM) photosynthetic organisms and addressing public perception concerns become critical. **The Path Forward:** Proactive engagement with regulators to develop science-based risk assessments and transparent public communication about the benefits and controls of algal biotechnology are essential for social license to operate.

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Microalgae science is not a competitor but a vital partner to traditional microbial biotechnology. Its photosynthetic platform addresses critical limitations of feedstock sustainability and environmental impact. The case studies demonstrate that the principles of microbial bioprocessing are not only transferable but also highly effective when applied to microalgae.

The future lies in a fully integrated approach: using synthetic biology to create next-generation algal chassis (Ducat et al., 2011), leveraging advanced bioprocess engineering for predictable scale-up (Slegers et al., 2013), and implementing holistic biorefinery models to maximize value (Vanthoor-Koopmans et al., 2013). By actively bridging the gaps in process engineering, genetic tool development, cross-disciplinary education, and regulatory science, we can fully unlock the potential of these microscopic powerhouses. This concerted effort will be instrumental in addressing pressing global challenges in health, energy, and climate, ultimately forging a new, sustainable, and productive paradigm for the entire biotechnology sector. The green and the

invisible must not merely coexist; they must coalesce to build the bio-manufacturing landscape of the future.

References

- Adarme-Vega, T. C., Lim, D. K., Timmins, M., Vernen, F., Li, Y., & Schenk, P. M. (2012). Microalgal biofactories: a promising approach towards sustainable omega-3 fatty acid production. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 11(1), 96.
- Adrio, J. L., & Demain, A. L. (2014). Microbial enzymes: tools for biotechnological processes. *Biomolecules*, 4(1), 117–139.
- Blanken, W., Postma, P. R., de Winter, L., Wijffels, R. H., & Janssen, M. (2016). Predicting microalgal growth. *Algal Research*, 14, 28–38.
- Brennan, L., & Owende, P. (2010). Biofuels from microalgae—A review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(2), 557–577.
- Capelli, B., Bagchi, D., & Cysewski, G. R. (2013). Synthetic astaxanthin is significantly inferior to algal-based astaxanthin as an antioxidant and may not be suitable for human consumption. *Natural Products Journal*, 3(2).
- Carvalho, A. P., Silva, S. O., Baptista, J. M., & Malcata, F. X. (2011). Light requirements in microalgal photobioreactors: an overview of biophotonic aspects. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 89(5), 1275–1288.
- Chisti, Y. (2007). Biodiesel from microalgae. *Biotechnology Advances*, 25(3), 294–306.
- Chisti, Y. (2012). Are algae a viable source of fuel? *Microbial Biotechnology*, 5(4), 443–445.
- Christenson, L., & Sims, R. (2011). Production and harvesting of microalgae for wastewater treatment, biofuels, and bioproducts. *Biotechnology Advances*, 29(6), 686–702.
- Clarens, A. F., Resurreccion, E. P., White, M. A., & Colosi, L. M. (2010). Environmental life cycle comparison of algae to other bioenergy feedstocks. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44(5), 1813–1819.
- Clark, J. H., & Deswarte, F. E. (2015). The biorefinery concept—an integrated approach. In *Introduction to chemicals from biomass* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Collet, P., Hélias Arnaud, L., Lardon, L., Ras, M., Goy, R. A., & Steyer, J. P. (2011). Life-cycle assessment of microalgae culture coupled to biogas production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(1), 207–214.

186 Crozet, P., Navarro, F. J., Willmund, F., Lavaud, J., Beyly-Adriano, A., Billon, E., ... & Lemaire,
187 S. D. (2018). Birth of a photosynthetic chassis: A MoClo toolkit enabling synthetic biology in
188 the microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 7(9), 2074–2086.

189 Cuellar-Bermudez, S. P., Garcia-Perez, J. S., Rittmann, B. E., & Parra-Saldivar, R. (2015).
190 Photosynthetic bioenergy utilizing CO₂: an approach on flue gases utilization for third
191 generation biofuels. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 98, 53–65.

192 Davis, R., Markham, J., Kinchin, C., Grundl, N., Tan, E. C., & Humbird, D. (2016). *Process*
193 *design and economics for the production of algal biomass: algal biomass production in open*
194 *pond systems and processing through dewatering for downstream conversion*. National
195 Renewable Energy Laboratory.

196 Delattre, C., Pierre, G., Laroche, C., & Michaud, P. (2016). Production, extraction and
197 characterization of microalgal and cyanobacterial exopolysaccharides. *Biotechnology Advances*,
198 34(7), 1159–1179.

199 Ducat, D. C., Way, J. C., & Silver, P. A. (2011). Engineering cyanobacteria to generate high-
200 value products. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 29(2), 95–103.

201 Fernández, I., Acién, F. G., Berenguel, M., & Guzmán, J. L. (2011). First principles model based
202 control of a tubular photobioreactor for microalgae production. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*,
203 44(1), 14235–14240.

204 Field, C. B., Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T., & Falkowski, P. (1998). Primary production of
205 the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science*, 281(5374), 237–240.

206 Gimpel, J. A., Henríquez, V., & Mayfield, S. P. (2015). In metabolic engineering of eukaryotic
207 microalgae: potential and challenges come with great diversity. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6,
208 1376.

209 Gimpel, J. A., Nour-Eldin, H. H., Scranton, M. A., Li, D., & Mayfield, S. P. (2016). Refactoring
210 the six-gene photosystem II core in the chloroplast of the green algae *Chlamydomonas*
211 *reinhardtii*. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 5(7), 589–596.

212 Gong, M., & Bassi, A. (2016). Carotenoids from microalgae: A review of recent
213 developments. *Biotechnology Advances*, 34(8), 1396–1412.

214 Guedes, A. C., Amaro, H. M., & Malcata, F. X. (2011). Microalgae as sources of high added-
215 value compounds—a brief review of recent work. *Biotechnology Progress*, 27(3), 597–613.

216 Hsu, P. D., Lander, E. S., & Zhang, F. (2014). Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9
217 for genome engineering. *Cell*, 157(6), 1262–1278.

218 Huang, J., Li, Y., Wan, M., Yan, Y., Feng, F., Qu, X., ... & Li, Y. (2014). Novel flat-plate
219 photobioreactors for microalgae cultivation with special mixers to promote mixing along the
220 light gradient. *Bioresource Technology*, 159, 8–16.

221 Jonker, J. G. G., & Faaij, A. P. C. (2013). Techno-economic assessment of microalgae as
222 feedstock for renewable bio-energy production. *Applied Energy*, 102, 461–475.

223 Koller, M., Muhr, A., & Braunegg, G. (2014). Microalgae as versatile cellular factories for
224 valued products. *Algal Research*, 6, 52–63.

225 Lee, Y. K. (2001). Microalgal mass culture systems and methods: Their limitation and
226 potential. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 13(4), 307–315.

227 Liew, W. H., Hassim, M. H., & Ng, D. K. S. (2014). Review of evolution, technology and
228 sustainability assessments of biofuel production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 71, 11–29.

229 Lorenz, R. T., & Cysewski, G. R. (2000). Commercial potential for *Haematococcus* microalgae
230 as a natural source of astaxanthin. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 18(4), 160–167.

231 Mayfield, S. P., & Golden, S. S. (2015). Photosynthetic bio-manufacturing: Food, fuel, and
232 medicine for the 21st century. *F1000Research*, 4, F1000 Faculty Rev-89.

233 Naik, S. N., Goud, V. V., Rout, P. K., & Dalai, A. K. (2010). Production of first and second
234 generation biofuels: A comprehensive review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*,
235 14(2), 578–597.

236 Nielsen, J., & Keasling, J. D. (2016). Engineering cellular metabolism. *Cell*, 164(6), 1185–1197.

237 Pittman, J. K., Dean, A. P., & Osundeko, O. (2011). The potential of sustainable algal biofuel
238 production using wastewater resources. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(1), 17–25.

239 Posten, C. (2009). Design principles of photo-bioreactors for cultivation of
240 microalgae. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 9(3), 165–177.

241 Pulz, O. (2001). Photobioreactors: production systems for phototrophic microorganisms. *Applied*
242 *Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 57(3), 287–293.

243 Radakovits, R., Jinkerson, R. E., Darzins, A., & Posewitz, M. C. (2010). Genetic engineering of
244 algae for enhanced biofuel production. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 9(4), 486–501.

245 Ríos, S. D., Torres, C. M., Torras, C., Salvadó, J., Mateo-Sanz, J. M., & Jiménez, L. (2013).
246 Microalgae-based biorefinery: Economic analysis of a conceptual design. *Bioresource*
247 *Technology*, 129, 288–295.

248 Rosales-Mendoza, S., García-Silva, I., González-Ortega, O., Sandoval-Vargas, J. M., Malla, A.,
249 & Vimolmangkang, S. (2020). The potential of microalgae for the production of bioactive
250 molecules of pharmaceutical interest. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology*, 21(14), 1437–
251 1453.

252 Satyanarayana, T., Littlechild, J., & Kawarabayasi, Y. (Eds.). (2013). *Thermophilic microbes in*
253 *environmental and industrial biotechnology*. Springer.

254 Shah, M. M., Liang, Y., Cheng, J. J., & Daroch, M. (2016). Astaxanthin-producing green
 255 microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis*: from single cell to high value commercial
 256 products. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 531.

257 Sheehan, J., Dunahay, T., Benemann, J., & Roessler, P. (1998). *A look back at the US*
 258 *Department of Energy's aquatic species program: biodiesel from algae*. National Renewable
 259 Energy Laboratory.

260 Shin, S. E., Lim, J. M., Koh, H. G., Kim, E. K., Kang, N. K., Jeon, S., ... & Kwon, J. H. (2016).
 261 CRISPR/Cas9-induced knockout and knock-in mutations in *Chlamydomonas*
 262 *reinhardtii*. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 27810.

263 Slegers, P. M., Lösing, M. B., Wijffels, R. H., van Straten, G., & van Boxtel, A. J. (2013).
 264 Scenario evaluation of open pond microalgae production. *Algal Research*, 2(4), 358–368.

265 Specht, E. A., & Mayfield, S. P. (2014). Algae-based oral recombinant vaccines. *Frontiers in*
 266 *Microbiology*, 5, 60.

267 Stanbury, P. F., Whitaker, A., & Hall, S. J. (2016). *Principles of Fermentation Technology* (3rd
 268 ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann.

269 Vanthoor-Koopmans, M., Wijffels, R. H., Barbosa, M. J., & Eppink, M. H. (2013). Biorefinery
 270 of microalgae for food and fuel. *Bioresource Technology*, 135, 142–149.

271 Wang, B., Li, Y., Wu, N., & Lan, C. Q. (2008). CO₂ bio-mitigation using microalgae. *Applied*
 272 *Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 79(5), 707–718.

273 Wijffels, R. H., & Barbosa, M. J. (2010). An outlook on microalgal biofuels. *Science*, 329(5993),
 274 796–799.

275 Zhu, L. (2015). Biorefinery as a promising approach to promote microalgae industry: An
 276 innovative framework. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41, 1376–1384.

277