Production of Natural Pigment by *Dunaliella salina:* Key Factors Screening through Plackett-Burman Design

M. Araj-Shirvani^a, M. Honarvar^b, M. Jahadi^{c*}, M. Mizani^d

^{*a*} PhD Student of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

^b Associate Professor of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

^c Assistant Professor of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran.

^b Professor of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 11 May 2024

Accepted: 30 August 2024

ABSTRACT: The distinctive biological and technical characteristics of *Dunaliella*, including the need for cheap culture medium, fast growth rate, simple genetic manipulation, and easy scale-up methods, have made this microorganism the prime candidate for molecular agriculture, and a suitable host for the production of antibodies, vaccines and valuable compounds such as carotenoids, glycerol, unsaturated fats, vitamins, proteins, and bioactive substances. Therefore, this alga may be one of the most appropriate models to investigate and utilize to produce useful compounds by optimizing its environment. This study investigated the feasibility of high biomass and pigment (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids) accumulation in a species of Dunaliella salina native to Iran by creating mixotrophic conditions using the Plackett-Burman screening design. In this design, the effects of 10 variables, including pH, light intensity, carbon source (date waste), Nitrogen source, NaCl, Fe (ferrous sulfate), vitamin B₁, vitamin B₁₂, Incubation time and Inoculum concentration were investigated. The results showed the significant effects of carbon source, sodium chloride, pH, inoculum concentration, and incubation time on biomass accumulation the value of which varied from 1.90 to 8.54 g/100. All variables except vitamins had a significant effect on the accumulation of chlorophyll and increased its amount from 0.60 to 1.35 mg/l. While variables such as pH, incubation time, sodium chloride, light intensity, and iron effected the accumulation of chlorophyll b significantly. pH, carbon source, sodium chloride, nitrogen source, and light intensity affected the accumulation of carotenoids, and the highest amounts of chlorophyll b and carotenoids were obtained as 2.8 mg/l and 8.6 mg/l, respectively.

Keywords: Carotenoid, Dunaliella salina, Plackett-Burman.

Introduction

Microalgae are the source of varieties of natural products including high-value nutrients therefore they are considered durable food and nutritional sources making further study and development for their introduction into main production lines a necessity (Spolaore et al., 2006; Priyadarshani and Rath, 2012). Microalgae are generally referred to as single-celled eukaryotic organisms. Being microscopic gives them advantages over their macroscopic counterparts, such as:

^{*}Corresponding Author: mahshidjahadi@yahoo.com; m.jahadi@khuisf.ac.ir

- 1. Easier genetic manipulation
- 2. Easier processes for production
- 3. High biomass yield per unit area
- 4. Producing biomass with high protein content and bioactive substances
- 5. Cultivation in non-cultivable land using non-potable water or even salt water (Gantar and Svirčev, 2008; Pal et al., 2014).

Therefore, today, one of the recommended platforms for molecular agriculture is the use of microalgae as green "micro-bio-factories". These micro-organisms are the best candidates for this purpose because they have the advantages of bacteria and yeast (growth speed and low cultivation costs) as well as animals and plants (ability to make modifications) (Kadkhodaei et al., 2015).

Dunaliella is a valuable and highquality microalga which have a high nutritional value due to its protein, vitamins. minerals, unsaturated fats. carotenoids. and other beneficial compounds. The beneficial compounds in these microalgae lead to their increasing use in the pharmaceutical and medical industries. Dunaliella belongs to the green flagella genus and Volvocales class. Instead of a cell wall, these microalgae are surrounded by a plasma membrane, which contracts and expands in hypertonic and hypotonic conditions, respectively. Moreover, an amorphous mucilage layer with variable thickness called glycocalyx appears in most old cells. A big cup or bell-shaped Chloroplast takes up most of the space within the cell. Dunaliella are known as Eukaryotes and have high tolerance in the face of environmental conditions. Thus, this species can survive high salinity (3% Sodium Chloride) and saturated (31% Sodium Chloride) waters (Borowitzka and Siva 2007; Pal et al., 2014). It should be noted that factors such as growth stage and the environment's cultivation conditions, including nutritional availability, light intensity, pH, and temperature fluctuations influence Dunaliella's morphological and physiological characteristics. This issue has made it impossible to give an accurate and complete classification of Dunaliella microalgae classification. species Α halophytic species of Dunaliella capable of accumulating β-Carotene is Dunaliella salina (Polle et al., 2020). Carotenoids are produced to protect these microalgae from sunlight and they do so by forming oil globules and reducing fatty acid saturation, which in turn causes the accumulation of β-Carotene and certain fatty acids (Araj-Shirvani et al., 2024; Lamers et al., 2012). Glycerol protects them from the osmotic pressure inside the algae body. Therefore, these microalgae can be used in the commercial production of β-Carotene and glycerol (Spolaore et al., 2006).

Many factors affect the growth and accumulation of bioactive compounds in Dunaliella salina including the quality and quantity of light, salinity, temperature, pH, and available nutrients, and strains isolated from different areas have had different performances (Koyandea et al., 2019). Some microalgae are able to change their growth habits from photoautotroph to (utilization heterotroph of organic materials as energy sources for growth) or (combination mixotroph of organic nutrition and light). Heterotroph systems which are wholly dependent on organic carbon sources may be more expensive than their photoautotroph counterparts, while mixotroph conditions can decrease cultivation costs by shortening the growth cycle (Koutra et al., 2019; Aziz et al.,2020). Agricultural and food industrial wastes which are rich in mineral and organic carbons, macro and micronutrients can be used in microalgae cultivation. Using of these wastes induce mixotroph conditions in microalgae not only solving an environmental challenge but also decreasing the cost of providing microalgae growth conditions (Koutra et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2022). Up-to-date, several materials such as date waste and molasses sugarcane used by Aurantiochytrium sp. for the production of biomass and fat with high DHA (Abdel-Wahab et al., 2022), whey used to cultivate Chlorella protothecoides in order to produce biomass with high fat accumulation (Espinosa-Gonzalez et al., 2014), sugarcane molasses and date waste to pigment production by Chlorella sp (Liu et al., 2013; El-Awady et al., 2020) and date waste and molasses for mixotroph cultivation of Spirulina platensis (Andrade and Costa, 2007; Banayan et al., 2022), have been used as substrates for microalgae cultivation (Malakar et al., 2022).

Plackett-Burman Design (PBD) is a two-level fractional factorial design which is a well-known screening method utilized to determine the major effect between various factors in biotechnology research. By applying this method, the effect of a large number of variables on the system response can be checked independently using a limited and effective number of experiments (Vanaja and Shobhai, 2007). PBD has been used to produce bioactive metabolites from microalgae such as Nannochloropsis oculate (El-Sheekh et al., 2016), Spirulina (Banayan et al., 2022; Abedin and Taha, 2008), and Chlorella (Priyadharshini pyrenoidosa and Bakthavatsalam, 2016).

Date is widely produced in the Middle East. A large quantity of date is wasted during picking, packing, and processing. Iran is the second producer of dates in the world, with 40 % of its production being low-quality dates which do not enter the consumer market and must be used in alternative industries (Najafi et al., 2009). Low-quality dates contain the same amount of sugar as high-quality ones and can be suitable sources of carbon for microorganisms such as microalgae (Besbes et al., 2009; El-Awady et al., 2020). Although the use of various wastes as carbon sources has been studied for the biomass. pigment, and bioactive compounds production in microalgae, no efforts have been carried out to use date wastes as carbon sourced and induction mixotroph growth to produce biomass and pigment using Dunaliella salina. In the present study, PBD was applied to screen important significant factors from 10 factors including pH, Light intensity, carbon source (date waste), Nitrogen source, NaCl, Fe, vitamin B_1 , vitamin B_{12} , Inoculum concentration, and Incubation time on cell growth and pigment production (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids) in Dunaliella salina (50030) indigenous to Iran from a lake in Semnan province.

Materials and Methods

- Microalgae strain and preculture conditions

Dunaliella salina (IBRC-M 50030) isolated from a lake in Semnan province $(34^{\circ} 30' 0" N, 51^{\circ} 52' 0" E)$, was obtained from Iran's National Center of Genetic Resources, Tehran, Iran. Inoculums of Dunaliella salina were cultivated in a modified Janson culture medium, pH 7, and 250-ml glass flasks containing 150 ml of the cell suspension under sterile conditions (Besbes et al., 2020; Araj-Shirvani et al., 2024).

Culture condition

Test cultures were grown in 500-ml glass flasks with 250-ml Janson culture medium. A five-day-old culture of green

cells consisting of inoculum with 10-20 % was used as inoculum according to Table 1. The culture was incubated at 25 °C \pm 1 at 100 rpm (Behdad, 40-200 rpm, Iran) with 16/8 h light/dark cycle. Variables are listed in according to (PBD) (Table 1).

- Substrate Source Feeding

All substrates (carbon, nitrogen, iron sources, NaCl, and vitamins B_1 and B_{12}) were fed in a batch system. Date waste syrup as a carbon source (equivalent to 1 g I^{-1} of sugar), urea as a nitrogen source (equivalent to 400 g I^{-1} nitrogen), and iron sulfate (equivalent to 5 mg I^{-1} iron) were added to the culture medium before inoculation, according to Table 1.

A microalgal wet biomass equivalent to $30*10^4$ cells/m⁻¹ was used as a source for inoculation.

- Assessment of biomass

Biomass as a dry weight (DW) was determined using 50 ml culturing broth separated from the cultivated solutions and filtered with a pre-weighed Whatman GF/C filter (0.45 μ m), then it was dried at 60°C for 24 h (Luo et al., 2020).

- Pigment content

Biomass collection was carried out

using centrifugation (Universal 320R made in Iran) followed by washing with deionized water. It was mixed with 3 ml acetone (90 % w/w) for 20 sec, finally, the mixture was centrifuged (Universal 320R made in Iran) at 3500 rpm for 10 minutes. The absorption quality of the higher clear solution was read by spectrophotometer for chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids at 662 nm, 645 nm, and 470 nm wavelength, respectively. Finally, total carotenoids and chlorophylls were calculated using equations 1, 2, 3, and 4 (Lichtenthaler and Buschmann, 2001; Schoefs, 2002).

Chla (μ g ml⁻¹) = 11.24×A662-2.04×A645 (eq 1)

Chlb (μ g ml⁻¹) = 20.13×A645-4.19×A662 (eq 2)

T Chl (
$$\mu$$
g ml⁻¹) = Chla + Chlb (eq 3)

Cart (μ g ml⁻¹) = (1000×A470-1.9×Chla-.14×Chlb)/21 (eq 4)

Chla = chlorophyll a Chlb = chlorophyll b Chl= total chlorophyll Cart = carotenoid

| | chlorophyll b and carotenoids) in <i>Dunaliella salina</i> using Plackett-Burman design. | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Code | Variables | Unit | Low level (-1) | High level (+1) | | | | | | |
| А | Date waste | g 100ml ⁻¹ | 0 | 1 | | | | | | |
| В | Urea | $mg l^{-1}$ | 0 | 400 | | | | | | |
| С | NaCl | g 100ml ⁻¹ | 3 | 8 | | | | | | |
| D | Vitamin B ₁ | $mg l^{-1}$ | 0 | 2.5 | | | | | | |
| E | Vitamin B ₁₂ | $mg l^{-1}$ | 0 | 0.5 | | | | | | |
| F | Iron Sulfate | $mg l^{-1}$ | 0 | 25 | | | | | | |
| G | Light intensity | µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ | 50 | 150 | | | | | | |
| Н | pH | | 6.5 | 7.5 | | | | | | |
| Ι | Incubation time | day | 14 | 20 | | | | | | |
| J | Inoculum concentration | % | 10 | 20 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

 Table 1. Various levels of experimental variables used in production biomass and pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids) in *Dunaliella salina* using Plackett-Burman design.

- Statistical analysis

The current study was carried out to variables investigate with significant effects on biomass and pigments (chlorophyll chlorophyll b. a. and carotenoids) production in Dunaliella salina using PBD. Results were analyzed using MINITAB software (version 17) and significance was reported when p < 0.05. Variables including pH, light intensity, carbon source (date waste), nitrogen source, NaCl, Fe, vitamin B_1 , vitamin B_{12} , inoculation concentration, and incubation time of culture and their two different levels (+1 as high level and -1 as low)level) were demonstrated in Table 1. Selected experimental factors and PBD for concluding 12 experimental trials were shown in Table 2.

Results and Discussion

The current study was carried out to investigate important factors that affect *Dunaliella salina* growth conditions using the PBD. Effects of the investigated factors on response variables, including biomass and pigments such as chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids were assessed as well.

- Biomass content

According to the conducted PDB, the biomass content varied from 1.90 to 8.54 (g/100) in trials 1 and 8 respectively (Table 2). **Statistical** analysis is summarized in Table 3. The p- values were reported as significant when p < 0.05. Results showed that only five out of nine factors, including carbon sources (date waste), salt (NaCl), pH, Inoculum concentration and incubation time, were significant (p < 0.05). The carbon source (date waste) included the highest significant positive effect (2.56) on biomass production (Table 4) because the highest growth rate was achieved in mixotrophic culture (Mojaat et al., 2008; Fawzy and Gomaa, 2020). Utilizing glucose, hydrolyzed malonate and fucoidan and alginate separated from Cystoseira trinodis brown alga as a carbon source in the cultivation of Dunaliella salina increased the biomass and protein productivity (Mojaat et al., 2008; Kadkhodaei et al., 2015; Fawzy and Gomaa, 2020).

 Table 2. Twelve trail PBD to study the effect of ten factors on the biomass content, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids production by *Dunaliella salina*.

| | Variables | | | | | | | | Biomass g 100 DWC | | | Chlorophyll a mg l ⁻¹ | | Chlorophyll b mg l ⁻¹ | | Carotenoid mg/l | | |
|-----|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Run | A | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | J | Experiment ed | Predicted | Experiment ed | Predicted | Experiment ed | Predicted | Experiment ed | Predicted |
| 1 | +1 | -1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | 1.90 | 2.44 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 1.54 | 1.63 | 3.14 | 3.64 |
| 2 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | +1 | +1 | +1 | $^{+1}$ | 2.62 | 1.43 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 4.22 | 3.92 |
| 3 | +1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | +1 | $^{+1}$ | 6.89 | 7.33 | 1.14 | 1.19 | 2.52 | 2.55 | 8.02 | 7.90 |
| 4 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | $^{+1}$ | 3.72 | 4.23 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 1.28 | 1.25 | 4.35 | 4.57 |
| 5 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | 2.91 | 3.12 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 1.24 | 1.18 | 4.59 | 4.87 |
| 6 | +1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | $^{+1}$ | +1 | -1 | -1 | 5.9 | 5.69 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.72 | 1.75 | 5.32 | 5.45 |
| 7 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | $^{+1}$ | -1 | 4.92 | 4.62 | 1.35 | 1.31 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 7.86 |
| 8 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | 8.54 | 7.57 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 2.14 | 2.15 | 7.92 | 7.96 |
| 9 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | 2.84 | 3.22 | 1.09 | 1.12 | 1.95 | 1.98 | 5.95 | 6.45 |
| 10 | -1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | +1 | 2.44 | 2.58 | 0.78 | 0.74 | 1.59 | 1.56 | 3.9 | 3.1 |
| 11 | -1 | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | $^{+1}$ | -1 | -1 | $^{+1}$ | 2.89 | 3.85 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 1.57 | 1.70 | 4.66 | 5.42 |
| 12 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 2.91 | 2.3 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 1.16 | 1.10 | 4.70 | 5.01 |

M. Araj-Shirvani et al.

| Tuble et i werve mar i mekett Barman design for ten variables | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------|---------------|---------------|------------|---------|---------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| Source | DF | | A | dj MS | | P-value | | | | | |
| Source | Dr | Biomass | Chlorophyll a | Chlorophyll b | Carotenoid | Biomass | Chlorophyll a | Chlorophyll b | Carotenoid | | |
| Main Effects | 10 | 8.82 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 6.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| pН | 1 | 14.76 | 0.18 | 2.27 | 35.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| Light intensity | 1 | 2.26 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 4.36 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.003 | 0.01 | | |
| Carbon source | 1 | 39.43 | 0.10 | 1.93 | 13.55 | 0.00 | 0.001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| Nitrogen source | 1 | 1.27 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 5.17 | 0.13 | 0.003 | 0.28 | 0.006 | | |
| NaCl | 1 | 18.86 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 5.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.005 | | |
| Fe | 1 | 1.63 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.002 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.94 | | |
| B_1 | 1 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.002 | 0.44 | 0.13 | 0.005 | 0.98 | | |
| B_{12} | 1 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 1.79 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 0.07 | | |
| Incubation time | 1 | 4.41 | 0.14 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.25 | | |
| Inoculum concentration | 1 | 5.19 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.50 | 0.76 | | |
| Residual Error | 13 | 6.62 | 0.005 | 0.26 | 0.47 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Lack of Fit | 1 | 6.59 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 3.06 | | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.005 | | |
| Pure Error | 12 | 0.03 | 0.004 | 0.23 | 0.25 | | | | | | |
| Total | 23 | 94.89 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3. Twelve-trial Plackett-Burman design for ten variables

Table 4. Effect of factors and statistical analysis of factors using Plackett-Burman Design

| T | | E | affect | | Coefficient | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| Term constant | Biomass | Chlorophyll a | Chlorophyll b | Carotenoid | Biomass | Chlorophyll a | Chlorophyll b | Carotenoid | | |
| pН | -1.56 | -0.17 | -0.61 | -2.43 | -0.78 | -0.08 | -0.30 | -1.21 | | |
| Light intensity | 0.61 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.85 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.42 | | |
| Carbon source | 2.56 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 1.50 | 1.28 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.75 | | |
| Nitrogen source | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.92 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.46 | | |
| NaCl | 1.77 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.47 | | |
| Fe | -0.52 | 0.08 | 0.20 | -0.02 | -0.26 | 0.04 | 0.10 | -0.01 | | |
| B ₁ | -0.22 | 0.04 | 0.19 | -0.006 | -0.11 | 0.02 | 0.09 | -0.003 | | |
| B_{12} | 0.12 | 0.01 | -0.10 | -0.54 | 0.06 | 0.008 | -0.05 | -0.27 | | |
| Incubation time | -0.85 | 0.15 | 0.39 | 0.33 | -0.42 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.16 | | |
| Inoculum concentration | 0.93 | -0.10 | -0.03 | 0.08 | 0.46 | -0.05 | -0.01 | 0.04 | | |

* Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

NaCl has had a positive significant effect (effect = 1.77) on the isolated Dunaliella salina biomass content in the two analyzed levels (Table 4). This microalgae can bare a wide range of salinity and geography is influential in the width of this range (García et al., 2007). The impact of salinity in the three phases of high, medium, and low on a Dunaliella salina species showed the highest number of cells occur in medium salinity with 1.5 molar NaCl (Vo et al., 2017). Salinity shocks in a Dunaliella salina have shown that cell growth exists in various salinities but the optimum biomass accumulation occurs in a specific range and in highstress situations, there are fewer cells with higher cell volumes (Vo et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2021).

pH has negatively affected (-1.56) the biomass content. It shows that biomass production when the pH of culture media before inoculation was 6.5 was more (Table 4). In general, hydrogen ion growth concentration (pH)of the affects many processes environment related to microalgal growth, metabolism, and ion absorption (Borowitzka and Siva, 2007). Maximum growth and biomass production of Dunaliella salina was reported at pH 7 (Reshma et al., 2021). However, changes in culture conditions such as salinity may alter the optimal pH for biomass production (McLachlan, 1964).

The inoculum concentration in the two investigated levels significant y positive effect on biomass content. Suitable inoculation can effectively shorten the delay stage of the microalgae cell and make it enter the growth phase faster (Baquerisse, 1999; Zhu and Jiang, 2008). Thus, shortening the cultivation cycle, increasing efficiency, and reducing cost (Zhu and Jiang, 2008).

Incubation time showed a significant negative effect (-0.85) on biomass content (Table 4). Microalgae growth curve in optimal conditions is divided into four stages (lag phase, exponential phase (EX), or log phase, stationary phase, and death phase). Phototroph microalgae go through an extra growth phase, namely linear growth. The transformation from the logarithmic phase (growth phase) to linear growth in such microalgae including Dunaliella salina is caused by limited light in the dense algae cultures. Dunaliella showed the highest biomass salina accumulation on the 12th and the 14th day and after that biomass cultivation decreased (Ra et al., 2015; Aziz et al., 2020). Therefore, the linear growth phase in this microalga can be delayed or prevented by slightly increasing the light intensity depending on the cell concentration. The limitations of the culture condition can affect the duration of these phases and thus the cultivation time it takes for the microalgae to reach equilibrium or the optimum completion of the phases (Aziz et al., 2020). If the algae reach equilibrium after passing the exponential phase, biomass accumulation decreases as seen in this study.

- Pigments content (Chlorophyll a, b, and Carotenoids)

The highest and lowest contents in *Dunaliella salina* belonged to Trials 7 and 1, respectively. Carotenoid, Chlorophyll a and b content of *Dunaliella salina* varied from 3.43-8.65 (trial 1-7), 0.63-1.36 (4-7) and 0.93-2.98 mg/L (2-7). Regression

analysis revealed that the pH, carbon source, NaCl, nitrogen source and light intensity had significant effects on carotenoid production, while light intensity, NaCl, pH, Incubation time, carbon source, nitrogen source, inoculum concentration, and Fe affect chlorophyll a and pH, carbon source, incubation time, NaCl content, light, Fe and B1 content affect chlorophyll b production significantly (Table 3) (p<0.05).

pH has a significantly negative effect -0.17, -0.61, and -2.43 on chlorophyll a, b, and carotenoid production by Dunaliella salina (Table 4). Thus, maximum pigment accumulation occurred in pH 6.5 ($p \le 0.05$). Significant changes in the environment's pH may cause stress in the growing cells thus launching the stress prevention process which has a considerable impact production the of chlorophylls, on carotenoids, and other metabolites. Modifying culture conditions such as salt concentration can change the optimal pH growth. Suitable pH for cell for microalgae can change depending on their species (McLachlan, 1964). In this regard, in this research, maximum chlorophyll and carotenoid production of Dunaliella salina occurred at pH = 7 (Reshma et al., 2021).

Light intensity showed positive and significant effect on pigment production (Table 4). Linear correlation between light intensity and the accumulation of pigments was proved (Xu et al., 2018). In this regard, it has shown that the greatest effect of light intensity (+0.22) is in the accumulation chlorophyll of a. Chlorophyll a is the main photochemical pigment in photosynthetic organisms and accumulation increases its with the increase of light intensity (Wood, 1979). Carotenoid production in Dunalliela salina occurs in order to control the stress caused by high-light-intensity (Xu et al., 2016).

The addition of date waste as a rich source of organic carbon, in a culture medium affect positively on pigment production (p<0.05). Evidently, an organic carbon source can provide mixotroph growth conditions and cause cell growth and pigment accumulation (Chavoshi and Shariati, 2019). Research shows that a mixotroph culture can positively impact carotenoids in *Dunaliella salina* by optimization of its components including organic carbon (Morowvat and Ghasemi, 2016).

Investigating NaCl in two levels in the PBD showed that with the increase of NaCl, pigments accumulation increases. This factor is valuable for Chlorophyll accumulation. Chlorophyll a is the most important photosynthetic pigment, one which in the right conditions has a positive impact on cell growth. However, in stress conditions including high salinity, this pigment is damaged, and thus protective pigments such as Chlorophyll b and Carotenoids come into action (Goericke and Montoya, 1998). In this study, the addition of 8 % NaCl to the culture medium was suitable for Dunaliella salina growth and pigment accumulation. A significant correlation between the salt stress and growth rate, pigments and lipid accumulation, and lipid compounds in Dunaliella salina was asserted (Benavente-Valdés et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2021).

Insert of urea as a nitrogen source had a positive effect on chlorophyll a (+0.11)and carotenoids (+0.92) production by Dunaliella salina (Table 3 and 4). In the presence of nitrogen source at 1^{-1} . concentration 400 mg high of chlorophyll accumulation a and carotenoids is observed. Dunaliella salina carried out carotenogenic activity in order to control stress, which in turn increases the accumulation of carotenoid pigments

(Pereira and Otero, 2019). Addition of nitrogen may be stressful for *Dunaliella salina* and induce carotenogenesis activity therefore, production and accumulation of carotenoids was increased (Giordano, 2001).

Addition of iron sulfate as a source of Fe^{2+} had a significant and positive effect on Chlorophyll a and b accumulation $(P \le 0.05)$ but this factor did not have a significant effect on Carotenoids (Table 4). Research has shown that the stress caused by the lack of this ion in the culture medium of photosynthesizing microalgae dramatically changes photosynthetic activity and cell function so much so that it causes chloroplasts shrinkage, thylakoid membrane reduction, and great reduction in Chlorophyll content which in turn lead to energy yield reduction and mutual photochemical transformations in photosystem 2 (PS-II). Adding iron to the culture reverses all the above-mentioned alteration (Vassiliev et al., 1995; Varsano et al., 2003). The added iron in this study increases Chlorophyll content in order to photosynthetic optimize activity. However, this level of iron did not have much effect on Carotenoid content. Higher than optimal level of iron causes stress by producing active oxygen molecules and therefore has a negative impact on cell growth and Chlorophyll accumulation while having a positive impact on Carotenoids (Mojaat et al., 2008). This occurrence is due to Carotenoids' role in protecting the photosynthetic mechanism against environmental stress especially oxidative ones caused by active oxygen. In this research, iron ion concentration was not as high thus did not cause a significant effect on carotenoid content.

Incubation time significantly affected chlorophyll a and b accumulation while this effect was not significant for carotenoid content (p<0.05). Research has

shown that in addition to light intensity, incubation time. or the time that microalgae are exposed to light, can also affect growth rate (Rehman et al., 2022). Under different exposures, in terms of exposure time and frequency, the growth rate of microalgae may differ (Carvalho et al., 2010). In this research, incubation time increased chlorophyll accumulation during growth but did not cause stress and did not increase the accumulation of protective pigments such as carotenoids (Xu et al., 2016).

This level of B_1 concentration was not significant to chlorophyll a and carotenoid but positively affected Chlorophyll b (Table 4). Changing the levels of B12 did not significantly alter this pigment\s content. Many studies explain that alga species require various combinations of B_{12} (cobalamin), B_1 (thiamin), and B_2 (biotin), and must obtain them from the culture medium. Among the 306 analyzed half required species. more than cobalamin, 22% required thiamin, and only 5% required biotin (Croft et al., 2006). Many such vitamins become available to the alga through a symbiotic relationship with bacteria. In a study, Dunaliella salina was cultivated in various cultures. The difference between two of these cultures was in their B_1 and B_{12} contents. Biomass, carotenoid pigment, and chlorophyll accumulation significantly increased in the culture with higher vitamin content, especially in the first seven days (Colusse et al., 2020). In the recent research, however, the investigated levels only showed a significant effect on chlorophyll. This could be because photochemically active pigments such as chlorophyll b are less sensitive and less likely to decrease compared to chlorophyll a in the conditions of heat and light intensity (Xu et al., 2016).

Change in the Inoculum concentration showed significant negative effect on Chlorophyll a while it did not show a significant effect on the other three Research has pigments. shown that Carotenoid content was higher in high inoculation concentration than in low concentration. However, this increase in concentration is limited, and does not occur in every high inoculation concentration (Zhu and Jiang, 2008). In this study, the higher concentration has probably reduced the delay phase and the cells reached equilibrium faster, thus a reduction in Chlorophyll content is seen. This change is not visible in other pigment contents.

Conclusion

The screening phase of research by PBD is the first step of the optimization process. Out of the ten factors in this study (pH, light intensity, carbon source (date waste), Nitrogen source, NaCl, Fe (ferrous sulfate). vitamin \mathbf{B}_1 , vitamin B12. Incubation time and Inoculum concentration) that affected the pigment production and growth of Dunaliella salina, factors affecting each response were screened using PBD. It has been shown that the use of agricultural wastes such as date wastes in Dunaliella salina culture medium can increase biomass and pigment (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids) productions while decreasing production costs. It is necessary to optimize and modeling growth factors of Dunaliella salina using appropriate statistical methods for the scale up of this cultivation. In the recent study, this significant increase in carotenoids due to the increase of organic carbon levels was seen which can be a solution to optimize the conditions of this microalgae in order to increase the carotenoid pigment in future research.

Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank the laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

References

Abdel-Wahab, M. A., El-Samawaty, A. M. A., Elgorban, A. M. & Bahkali, A. H. (2022). Utilization of low-Pcost substrates for the production of high biomass, lipid and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) using local native strain Aurantiochytrium sp. YB-05. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*, 34(7), 102224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2022.10222 4

Abedin, R. M. A. & Taha, H. M. (2008).Antibacterial and antifungal activity of cyanobacteria and green microalgae. Evaluation of medium components by Plackett-Burman design for antimicrobial activity of Spirulina platensis. Global Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry, 8(3), 22-31.

Andrade, M. R. & Costa, J. A.V. Mixotrophic cultivation (2007).of microalga Spirulina platensis using molasses as organic substrate. Aquaculture, 264(1-4),130-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006. 11.021

Araj-Shirvani, М., Honarvar, М., Jahadi. M. & Mizani. M. (2024). Biochemical profile of Dunaliella isolates from different regions of Iran with a focus on pharmaceutical and nutraceutical potential applications. Food Science & 2914-2926. Nutrition, 12, https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.4137

Aziz, M. A., Kassim, K. A., Shokravi, Z., Jakarni, F. M., Liu, H. Y. & Zaini, N. (2020). Two-stage cultivation strategy for simultaneous increases in growth rate and lipid content of microalgae: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy* *Reviews*, 119 (4), 109621. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109621

Banayan, S., Jahadi, M. & Khosravi-Darani, K. (2022). Pigment Productions by *Spirulina platensis* as a Renewable Resource. *Journal of Applied Biotechnology Reports*, 9(2),614-621. https://doi.org/10.30491/jabr.2021.292076. 1406

Baquerisse, D., Nouals, S., Isambert, A., dos Santos, P. F. & Durand, G. (1999). Modelling of a continuous pilot photobioreactor for microalgae production. *Progress in Industrial Microbiology*, 35, 335-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6352(99)80125-8

Benavente-Valdés, J. R., Aguilar, C., Contreras-Esquivel, J. C., Méndez-Zavala, A. & Montañez, J. (2016). Strategies to enhance the production of photosynthetic pigments and lipids in chlorophycae species. *Biotechnology Reports*,10, 117-125.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2016.04.001

Besbes, S., Drira, L., Blecker, C., Deroanne, C. & Attia, H. (2009). Adding value to hard date (Phoenix dactylifera L.): Compositional, functional and sensory characteristics of date jam. *Food Chemistry*, 112(2), 406-411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.0 5.093

Borowitzka, M. A. & Siva, C. J. (2007). The taxonomy of the genus Dunaliella (Chlorophyta, Dunaliellales) with emphasis on the marine and halophilic species. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 19(5), 567-590. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-007-9171x

Carvalho, A.P., Silva, S. O., Baptista, J. M. & Malcata, F.X. (2010). Light requirements in microalgal photobioreactors: an overview of biophotonic aspects. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 89(5), 1275-1288. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-3047-8

Chavoshi, Z. Z. & Shariati, M. (2019). Lipid production in *Dunaliella salina* under autotrophic, heterotrophic, and mixotrophic conditions. Brazilian Journal of Oceanography, 67, e19249. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-

87592019024906709

Colusse, G. A., Mendes, C. R. B., Duarte, M. E. R., Carvalho, J. C. D. & Noseda, M. D. (2020). Effects of different culture media on physiological features and laboratory scale production cost of *Dunaliella salina*. *Biotechnology Reports*, 27, e00508.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2020.e00508

Croft, M. T., Warren, M. J. & Smith, A.G. (2006). Algae need their vitamins. *ASM Journals*, 6(8), 1175–1183. https://doi.org/10.1128/ec.00097-06

El-Awady, R. M., El-Sayed, A. B., El-Zabalawy, K. M. & El-Mohandes, M. (2020). Bio-mass Production of *Chlorella vulgaris* grown on date wastes under different stress conditions. *Al-Azhar Journal of Agricultural Research*, 45(2), 62-75.

https://doi.org/10.21608/ajar.2020.149423

El-Sheekh, M. M., Khairy, H. M., Gheda, S. F. & El-Shenody, R. A. (2016). Application of Plackett–Burman design for the high production of some valuable metabolites in marine alga Nannochloropsis oculata. *The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, 42(1), 57-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2015.10.001

Espinosa-Gonzalez, I., Parashar, A. & Bressler, D. C. (2014). Heterotrophic growth and lipid accumulation of protothecoides Chlorella in whev permeate, a dairy by-product stream, for production. biofuel **Bioresource** Technology, 155. 170-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.12. 028

Fawzy, M. A. & Gomaa, M. (2020). Pretreated fucoidan and alginate from a brown seaweed as a substantial carbon source for promoting biomass, lipid, biochemical constituents and biodiesel quality of *Dunaliella salina*. *Renewable Energy*, 157, 246-255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.05.0 65

Gantar. M. & Svirčev. Z. (2008). Microalgae and cyanobacteria: food for thought 1. *Journal of Phycology*, 44(2), 260-268. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2008.00469.x

F., García. Freile-Pelegrín, Υ. & Robledo, D. (2007).Physiological Dunaliella characterization of sp. (Chlorophyta, Volvocales) from Yucatan, Mexico. Bioresource Technology, 98 (7), 1359-1365.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.05. 051

Giordano, M. (2001). Interactions between C and N metabolism in Dunaliella salina cells cultured at elevated CO 2 and high N concentrations. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 158(5), 577-581. https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-00234

Goericke, R. & Montoya, J. P. (1998). Estimating the contribution of microalgal taxa to chlorophyll a in the field-variations of pigment ratios under nutrient- and lightlimited growth. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 169, 97-112. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps169097

Kadkhodaei, S., Abbasiliasi, S., Shun, T.J., Fard Masoumi, H. R., Mohamed, M.S. Movahedi, & A. (2015).Enhancement of protein production by microalgae Dunaliella salina under mixotrophic condition using response surface methodology. RSC Advances, 5(48).

https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA04546K

Koutra, E., Kopsahelis, A., Manolia, M., Grammatikopoulos, G. & Kornaros,

M. (2019). Effect of organic carbon and nutrient supplementation on the digestategrown microalga, Parachlorella kessleri. *Bioresource Technology*, 294, 122-232.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122 232

Koyandea, A. K., Chew, K. W., Rambabub, K., Taoc, Y. & Chud, D.T. (2019). Show Pl. Microalgae: A potential alternative to health supplementation for humans. *Food Science and Human Wellness*, 8(1), 16-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2019.03.001

Kumar, Y., Kaur, S., Kheto, A., Munshi, M., Sarkar, A. & Pandey, H.O. (2022) Cultivation of microalgae on food waste: Recent advances and way forward. *Bioresource Technology*, 363, 127834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127 834

Lamers, P. P., Janssen, M., De Vos, R .C., Bino, R. J. & Wijffels, R. H. (2012). Carotenoid and fatty acid metabolism in nitrogen-starved *Dunaliella salina*, a unicellular green microalga. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 162(1), 21-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.04.0 18

Lichtenthaler, H. K. & Buschmann, C. (2001). Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Measurement and characterization by UV-VIS spectroscopy. *Current Protocols in Food Analytical Chemistry*, 1, F4 (1). https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142913.faf04 03s01

Liu, J., Sun, Z., Zhong, Y., Gerken, H., Huang, J. & Chen, F. (2013). Utilization of cane molasses towards cost-saving astaxanthin production by a *Chlorella zofingiensis* mutant. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 25(5), 1447–1456. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-013-9974x

Luo, S. W., Alimujiang, A., Cui, J., Chen, T. T., Balamurugan, S. & Zheng, J. Molybdenum W. (2020).disulfide nanoparticles concurrently stimulated biomass and β -carotene accumulation in Dunaliella salina. **Bioresource** Technology, 320. 124391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124 391

Malakar, B., Das, D. & Mohanty, K. (2022). Utilization of waste peel extract for cultivation of microalgal isolates: a study of lipid productivity and growth kinetics. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 13(18),17017-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-022-02313-7

McLachlan, J. (1964). Some considerations of the growth of marine algae in artificial media. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 10(5), 769-782. https://doi.org/10.1139/m64-098

Mojaat, M., Pruvost, J., Foucault, A., & Legrand, J. (2008). Effect of organic carbon sources and Fe2+ ions on growth and β -carotene accumulation by *Dunaliella salina. Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 39 (1), 177–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2007.09.009

Morowvat, M. H. & Ghasemi, Y. (2016). Culture medium optimization for enhanced β -carotene and biomass production by *Dunaliella salina* in mixotrophic culture. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 7, 217-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2016.06.008

Najafi, G., Ghobadian, B., Tavakoli, T. & Yusaf, T. (2009). Potential of bioethanol production from agricultural wastes in Iran. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(6-7), 1418-1420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2008.08.010

Pal, A., Kamthania, M. C. & Kumar, A. (2014). Bioactive Compounds and Properties of Seaweeds. *Open Access* *Library Journal*, 1(4). https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1100752.

Pereira, S., & Otero, A. (2019). Effect of light quality on carotenogenic and nonspecies of carotenogenic the genus Dunaliella under nitrogen deficiency. Algal Research, 44. 101725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.10172 5

Polle, J. E., Jin, E. & Ben-Amotz, A. (2020). The alga Dunaliella revisited: Looking back and moving forward with model and production organisms. *Algal Research*, 49, 101948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2020.10194 8

S. D. Privadharshini, & Bakthavatsalam, (2016).A. k. Optimization of phenol degradation by the microalga Chlorella pyrenoidosa using Plackett-Burman Design and response methodology. Bioresource surface Technology, 207, 150-156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01. 138

Priyadarshani, I. & Rath, B. (2012). Bioactive compounds from microalgae And cyanobacteria: utility and applications. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research*, 3 (11), 4123-4130. https://doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.3(11).4123-30

Qin, R., Li, Y., Zhang, L. & Liu, J. (2021). The effect of salinity shock on the growth and rapid light curve of *Dunaliella salina*. *Aquaculture Research*, 52(6), 2569-2579.

https://doi.org/10.1111/are.15105

Ra, C. H., Kang, C. H., Kim, N. K., Lee, C.G. & Kim, S. K. (2015). Cultivation of four microalgae for biomass and oil production using a two-stage culture strategy with salt stress. *Renewable Energy*, 80, 117-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.02.0 02

Reshma, R., Devi, K. C., Kumar, S. D., Santhanam, P., Perumal, P., Krishnaveni N., Begum, A., Pragnya, M., Arthikha, R., Dhanalakshmi, B. & Kim, M. (2021). Enhancement of pigments production in the green microalga Dunaliella salina (PSBDU05) under optimized culture condition. Bioresource *Technology* Reports, 14. 100672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2021.10067 2

Rehman, M., Kesharvani, S., Dwivedi, G. & Suneja, K. G. (2022). Impact of cultivation conditions on microalgae biomass productivity and lipid content. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 56(1), 282-290.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.01.15 2

Schoefs, B. (2002). Chlorophyll and carotenoid analysis in food products. Properties of the pigments and methods of analysis. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 13(11), 361–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-

2244(02)00182-6

Spolaore, P., Joannis-Cassan, C., Duran, E. & Isambert, A. (2006) Commercial applications of microalgae. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 101(2), 87-96.

https://doi.org/10.1263/jbb.101.87

Vanaja, K. & Shobha Rani, R. H. (2007). Design of experiments: concept and applications of Plackett Burman design. *Clinical Research and Regulatory Affairs*, 24(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/106013307012205 20

Varsano, T., Kaftan, D. & Pick, U. (2003). Effects of Iron deficiency on thylakoid membrane structure and composition in the alga *Dunaliella salina*. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 26(10-11),

2197–2210. https://doi.org/10.1081/PLN-120024275

Vassiliev, I. R., Kolber, Z., Wyman, K. D., Mauzerall, D., Shukla, V. K. & Falkowski, P.G. (1995). Effects of Iron limitation on photosystem II composition and light utilization in *Dunaliella tertiolecta*. *Plant Physiology*, 109(3), 963–972. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.3.963

Vo, T.H., Mai, T., Vu, H., Van, D., Dao, H., Tran, P. & Nguyen, N. (). Effect of osmotic stress and nutrient starvation on the growth, carotenoid and lipid accumulation in *Dunaliella salina* A9. *Research in Plant Sciences*, 5(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.12691/plant-5-1-1

Wood, A.M. (1979). Chlorophill a:b ratios in marine planktonic algae1. *Journal of Phycology*, 15 (13), 330-332. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1979.00330.x

Xu, Y., Ibrahim, I. M. & Harvey, P. J. (2016). The influence of photoperiod and light intensity on the growth and photosynthesis of Dunaliella salina (chlorophyta) **CCAP** 19/30. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 106, 305-315.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.05.0 21

Xu, Y., Ibrahim, I. M., Wosu, C. I., Ben-Amotz, A. & Harvey, P. J. (2018). Potential of New Isolates of *Dunaliella salina* for Natural β -Carotene Production. *Biology*, 7(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology7010014

Zhu, Z.H. & Jiang, J.G. (2008). Continuous cultivation of *Dunaliella salina* in photobioreactor for the production of β -carotene. *European Food Research and Technology*, 227(3), 953-959. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-007-0789-3