

## Fuzzy Predictor-Corrector Methods for Solving Fuzzy Impulsive Differential Equation

**L. Safikhani <sup>1</sup>, A. R. Vahidi <sup>2</sup>, M. Afshar Kermani <sup>3\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch Islamic Azad University,  
Tehran, Iran,

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Yadegar-e-Emam Khomeyni (RAH)  
Shahr-e-Rey Branch Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, North Tehran Branch Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

---

Submission Date:2024/03/29, Revised Date:2024/09/15, Date of Acceptance:2024/10/01

---

### Abstract

In this paper, we use fuzzy Adams-Bashforth method as well as fuzzy Adams-Moulton method both based on gH-differences to solve fuzzy impulsive differential equations with an initial value. We discuss the algorithm in details and finally, we solve a fuzzy impulsive differential equation with these methods.

The numerical results are shown in the table.

**Keywords:** Generalized Hukuhara difference; impulsive fuzzy differential equations.

---

\* Corresponding author: Email: [mog\\_afshar@yahoo.com](mailto:mog_afshar@yahoo.com)

## 1. Introduction

Impulsive differential equations appear to represent a natural framework for mathematical modeling of several real-world phenomena. Impulsive differential equations are useful tools for modeling of evolution processes that are subjected to sudden changes in their state. But solving many impulsive differential equations analytically is very complicated or even impossible. Furthermore, to solve some practical problems, we do not often need the analytic solution of impulsive differential equation, but just the numerical values of the exact solution. In addition, whenever a case of real-world phenomena is transformed into the deterministic differential equations with an initial value, we cannot usually be sure that this modeling is perfect. A wide number of works in this topic is done by Allahviranloo in the past two decades (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11])

Thus, we here consider an impulsive fuzzy differential equation, and then present a new numerical algorithm to solve this equation. So, consider the first order fuzzy impulsive differential equations

$$\begin{cases} u'(x) = f(x, u(x)), & x \in J = [0, T], x \neq x_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(x_k^+) = I_k(u(x_k^-)), & k = 1, \dots, m \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x_0) = y_0 \quad (1.2)$$

$$(1.3)$$

## 2. Basic Preliminaries

The definitions, lemmas, and theorems needed in this paper are given here.

The set of fuzzy numbers, denoted by  $R_f$ , is the family of all normal, fuzzy convex, upper semi-continuous and compactly supported fuzzy sets.

Now we recall some properties of fuzzy numbers. For any  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , set

$$[p]_\alpha = \{x \in R | p(x) \geq \alpha\}, \quad [p]_{.0} = \text{cl}\{x \in R | p(x) > 0\}.$$

We represent  $[p]_\alpha = [\underline{p}(\alpha), \bar{p}(\alpha)]$ . Thus, for  $p \in R_f$ , the  $\alpha$ -level set  $[p]_\alpha$  is a closed interval for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For any  $p, q \in R_f$  and  $\lambda \in R$ , we have  $[p \oplus q]_\alpha = [p]_\alpha + [q]_\alpha = \{x + y | x \in [p]_\alpha, y \in [q]_\alpha\}$ ,

$$[p \odot q]_\alpha = [\min(\underline{p}\underline{q}, \underline{p}\bar{q}, \bar{p}\underline{q}, \bar{p}\bar{q}), \max(\underline{p}\underline{q}, \underline{p}\bar{q}, \bar{p}\underline{q}, \bar{p}\bar{q})].$$

Let  $T = [a, b]$  and  $S = [c, d]$  be two closed intervals of real numbers. Then

$$[a, b]/[c, d] = \left[ \min\left(\frac{a}{c}, \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{c}, \frac{b}{d}\right), \max\left(\frac{a}{c}, \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{c}, \frac{b}{d}\right) \right]$$

provided that  $0 \notin [a, b]$ .

A triangular fuzzy number is defined as a fuzzy set in  $R_f$ , that is specified by an ordered triple  $u = (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $a \leq b \leq c$  such that  $u^-(\alpha) = a + (b - a)\alpha$  and  $u^+(\alpha) = c - (c - b)\alpha$  are the endpoints of  $\alpha$ -level sets for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

For any  $p, q, r \in R_f$  Hukuhara difference is showed by  $\ominus_H$  and it means that  $r \ominus_H q = p$  if and only if  $p \oplus q = r$ . The Hausdorff distance of the set of fuzzy numbers is defined by  $D: R_f \times R_f \rightarrow R^+ \cup \{0\}$  as in [12],

$$D(p, q) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \max\{|\underline{p}(\alpha) - \underline{q}(\alpha)|, |\bar{p}(\alpha) - \bar{q}(\alpha)|\} [6, 12, 13].$$

Consider  $p, q, r, s \in R_f$  and  $\lambda \in R$ , then the following properties are well-known for metric D. See [14]

- 1)  $D(p \oplus r, q \oplus r) = D(p, q)$ ,
- 2)  $D(\lambda p, \lambda q) = |\lambda| D(p, q)$ ,
- 3)  $D(p \oplus q, r \oplus s) \leq D(p, r) + D(q, s)$ ,

**Definition 2.2** ([15]). The gH-difference of two fuzzy numbers  $p$  and  $q$  is defined as follows

$$p \ominus_{gH} q = r \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (1) p = q \oplus r, \\ \text{or} (2) q = p \oplus (-1)r \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

and  $[p \ominus_{gH} q]_\alpha = [\min\{\underline{p}(\alpha) - \underline{q}(\alpha) - \bar{q}(\alpha)\}, \max\{\underline{p}(\alpha) - \underline{q}(\alpha), \bar{p}(\alpha) - \bar{q}(\alpha)\}]$ .

The conditions for the existence of  $p \ominus_{gH} q \in R_f$  are given in [15].

**Definition 2.3** ([15]). Let  $p, q \in R_f$ , then:

1. If the gH-difference of  $p$  and  $q$  exists, it is unique.
2.  $p \ominus_{gH} q = p \ominus_H q$  or  $p \ominus_{gH} q = -(q \ominus_H p)$  if all the expressions on the right side exist. In particular,  $p \ominus_{gH} p = p \ominus_H p = 0$ ,
3. if  $p \ominus_{gH} q$  exists in the sense of (i), then  $q \ominus_{gH} p$  exists in the sense of (ii) and vice versa.
4.  $(p \oplus q) \ominus_{gH} q = p$ ,
5.  $0 \ominus_{gH} (p \ominus_{gH} q) = q \ominus_{gH} p$ ,

$p \ominus_{gH} q = q \ominus_{gH} p = r$  if and only if  $r = -r$ . Furthermore,  $r = 0$  if and only if  $p = q$ .

**Remark 2.1.** In this study, we assume that  $p \ominus_{gH} q \in R_f$ .

In [16], Park and Han formulated the Lipschitz condition as an inequality and as an informal definition and proved the existence and uniqueness of a solution for an FIVP in the  $n$ -dimensional space  $R_f^n$ . Here, we rewrite the Lipschitz condition in a formal definition and present a special case of the existence and uniqueness theorem for  $n = 1$  which are needed in this paper.

**Definition 2.4** ([16]). Assume that  $f: I \times R_f \rightarrow R_f$  is a fuzzy number-valued function. It is said that  $f$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition if there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that

$$D(f(t, y), f(t, z)) \leq kD(y, z)$$

for all  $t \in I$  and all  $y, z \in R_f$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([17]). Let  $f: [t, s] \rightarrow R_f$  be a fuzzy number-valued function. The gH-derivative of at  $a \in (t, s)$  is defined by

$$f'_{gH}(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)\theta_{gH}f(a)}{h} \quad (2.5)$$

If  $f'_{gH}(a) \in R_f$  defined by (2.5) exists, it is called that  $f$  is  $gH$ -differentiable at  $a$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([18]). Let  $f: [t, s] \rightarrow R_f$  and  $a \in (t, s)$  be such that  $\underline{f}(x; \alpha)$  and  $\bar{f}(x; \alpha)$  are both differentiable at  $a$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then it is said that  $f$  is differentiable of first type and denoted by

(1)- $gH$ -differentiable at  $a$  if

$$f'_{1-gH}(a, \alpha) = [\underline{f}'(a; \alpha), \bar{f}'(a; \alpha)], \quad (2.6)$$

and  $f$  is differentiable of second type and denoted by (2)- $gH$ -differentiable at  $a$  if

$$f'_{2-gH}(a, \alpha) = [\bar{f}'(a; \alpha), \underline{f}'(a; \alpha)], \quad (2.7)$$

**Definition 2.7** ([19]). A point  $a \in (t, s)$ , is a switching point for differentiability of the fuzzy number- valued function  $f$ , if for any neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  there exist points  $t_1, t_2 \in V$  with  $t_1 < a < t_2$  such that for  $i = 1, 2$ , (2.6) holds at  $t_i$  if and only if (2.7) does not hold at  $t_i$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([6]). Let  $T = [a, b, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\beta > 0$  and  $f \in C_{gH}^n([a, b], R_f)$ . For  $s \in T$

1. if  $f^{(i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are (1)- $gH$ -differentiable, provided that type of  $gH$ -differentiability has no change, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) = f(a) \oplus f'_{1-gH}(a) \odot (s-a) \oplus f''_{1-gH}(a) \odot \frac{(s-a)^2}{2!} \oplus \dots \\ \oplus f_{1-gH}^{(n-1)}(a) \odot \frac{(s-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \oplus R_n(a, s), \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$R_n(a, s) = \int_a^s \left( \int_a^{s_1} \dots \left( \int_a^{s_{n-1}} f_{1-gH}^{(n)}(s_n) ds_n \right) ds_{n-1} \dots \right) ds_1$$

2. if  $f^{(i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are (2)- $gH$ -differentiable, provided that type of  $gH$ -differentiability has no change, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) = f(a)\theta(-1)f'_{2-gH}(a) \odot (s-a)\theta(-1)f''_{2-gH}(a) \odot \frac{(a-s)^2}{2!}\theta(-1)\dots \\ \theta f_{2-gH}^{(n-1)}(a) \odot \frac{(a-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\theta(-1)R_n(a, s), \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$R_n(a, s) = \int_a^s \left( \int_a^{s_1} \dots \left( \int_a^{s_{n-1}} f_{2-gH}^{(n)}(s_n) ds_n \right) ds_{n-1} \dots \right) ds_1$$

3. if  $f^{(i)}$  is (1)- $gH$ -differentiable for  $i = 2k-1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f^{(i)}$  are (2)- $gH$ -differentiable for  $i = 2k, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(s) &= f(a)\Theta(-1)f'_{2-gH}(a) \odot (s-a) \oplus f''_{1-gH}(a) \odot \frac{(a-s)^2}{2!} \Theta(-1)\dots \\
 &\Theta(-1)f^{(\frac{i-1}{2})}_{2-gH}(a) \odot \frac{(a-s)^{\frac{i}{2}-1}}{(\frac{i}{2}-1)!} \oplus f^{(\frac{i}{2})}_{1-gH}(a) \odot \frac{(a-s)^{\frac{i}{2}}}{(\frac{i}{2})!} \Theta(-1)\dots \\
 &\Theta(-1)R_n(a, s),
 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$R_n(a, s) := \int_a^s \left( \int_a^{s_1} \dots \left( \int_a^{s_{n-1}} f_{1-gH}^{(n)}(s_n) ds_n \right) ds_{n-1} \dots \right) ds_1$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([6]). Let  $f: [t, s] \rightarrow R_f$  be fuzzy continuous. Then  $\int_t^s f(t) dt$  exists and belongs to  $R_f$ . Furthermore,

$$[\int_t^s f(x) dx]_\alpha = [\int_t^s f(s; \alpha) dx, \int_t^s \bar{f}(x; \alpha) dx] \quad (2.8)$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([6]). Suppose that  $f: [t, s] \rightarrow R_f$  is  $gH$ -differentiable and  $f'_{gH}$  is continuous on  $[t, s]$ . Then

$$\int_t^k f'_{1-gH}(x) dx = (-1) \odot \int_t^k f'_{2-gH}(x) dx. \quad (2.9)$$

**Theorem 2.2** ([6, Theorem 3.1]). Suppose that  $f: [t, s] \rightarrow R_f$  is  $gH$ -differentiable and the type of differentiability of  $f$  on  $[t, s]$  does not change. Then for all  $t \leq k \leq s$ , the following statements hold:

1) If  $f(x)$  is (1)- $gH$ -differentiable then  $f'_{1-gH}(x)$  is (FR)-integrable on  $[t, s]$  and

$$\int_t^k f'_{1-gH}(x) dx = f(k) \Theta_H f(t) \quad (2.10)$$

2) If  $f(x)$  is (2)- $gH$ -differentiable then  $f'_{2-gH}(x)$  is (FR)-integrable on  $[t, s]$  and

$$\int_t^k f'_{2-gH}(x) dx = (-1) \odot f(x) \Theta_H (-1) \odot f(k). \quad (2.11)$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([6]). For all real numbers  $z$ , the inequality  $1+z \leq e^z$  holds.

### 3. Fuzzy Adams Methods

The general linear multi-step method can be formulated as

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j},$$

where  $\alpha_j$ 's and  $\beta_j$ 's are constants. We assume that  $\alpha_k \neq 0$  and also that both  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  are not zero. The Adams methods are only a subclass of linear multi-step method with

$$\alpha_k = 1, \quad \alpha_{k-1} = -1, \quad \alpha_j = 0 \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$$

In this section, we construct fuzzy Adams-Bashforth (A-B) and fuzzy Adams-Moulton (A-M) methods based on the generalized Hukuhara (gH)-differentiability. To this end, we first introduce finite backward and forward differences based on gH-differences. Using them, we go on the Newton's interpolation polynomials and finally, using Newton's forward and backward interpolation polynomials, we obtain fuzzy Adams-Bashforth and fuzzy Adams-Moulton methods. We employ the former as the predictor and the latter as the corrector.

A fuzzy polynomial interpolation of the data is a fuzzy number-valued function  $p: R \rightarrow R_f$  which satisfies

- 1) for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $p(x_i) = f_i$
- 2)  $p$  is continuous.
- 3) If the data be crisp, then the interpolation  $p$  is a crisp polynomial.

A function  $p$  fulfilling these conditions can be constructed following.

At first, forward gH-difference between  $f_i$  and  $f_{i+1}$  shown by  $\Delta_{gH}$  is introduced.

For each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha$  - level of  $f_i$  is shown by  $[f_i]_\alpha$  and  $[f_i]_\alpha = [\underline{f}_i(\alpha), \bar{f}_i(\alpha)]$ .

### 3.1. Forward Finite Differences

Suppose that  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow R_f$  is continuous over  $[a, b]$  and the values of  $f$  are known in  $x_i = a + ih, i = 0, 1, \dots, n, h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ . Define,

$$\Delta_{gH} f_i(\alpha) = f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_{gH} f_i(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (i) f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_H f_i(\alpha), \\ (ii) (-1) \odot (f_i(\alpha) \theta_H f_{i+1}(\alpha)). \end{cases}$$

The  $\alpha$  - cut of  $\Delta_{gH} f_i$  is defined in following form.

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_{gH} f_i(\alpha)]_\alpha &= [f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_{gH} f_i(\alpha)]_\alpha = \\ &= [\min\{\underline{f}_{i+1}(\alpha) - \underline{f}_i(\alpha), \bar{f}_{i+1}(\alpha) - \bar{f}_i(\alpha)\}, \max\{\underline{f}_{i+1}(\alpha) - \underline{f}_i(\alpha), \bar{f}_{i+1}(\alpha) - \bar{f}_i(\alpha)\}]_\alpha \\ \Delta_{gH}^2 f_i(\alpha) &= \Delta_{gH}(\Delta_{gH} f_i(\alpha)) = \Delta_{gH}(f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_{gH} f_i(\alpha)) \\ &= (f_{i+2}(\alpha) \theta_{gH} f_{i+1}(\alpha)) \theta_{gH}(f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_{gH} f_i(\alpha)) \\ &= \begin{cases} (i) f_{i+2}(\alpha) \theta_{gH} 2 \odot f_{i+1}(\alpha) \oplus f_i(\alpha), \\ (ii) (-1) \odot (f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_H f_{i+2}(\alpha)) \theta_{gH} f_{i+1}(\alpha) \oplus f_i(\alpha) \end{cases} \quad (3.12) \\ &= \begin{cases} (i) f_{i+2}(\alpha) \theta_H 2 \odot f_{i+1}(\alpha) \oplus f_i(\alpha), \\ (ii) (-1) \odot (2 \odot f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_H f_{i+2}(\alpha)) \oplus f_i(\alpha), \\ (iii) (-1) \odot (f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_H f_{i+2}(\alpha)) \theta_{gH} f_{i+1}(\alpha) \oplus f_i(\alpha), \\ (iv) (-1) \odot (f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_H (-1) \odot (f_{i+1}(\alpha) \theta_H f_{i+2}(\alpha))) \oplus f_i(\alpha) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The reasoning above leads us to  $\Delta_{gH}^3 f_i(\alpha) = \Delta_{gH}(\Delta_{gH}^2 f_i(\alpha))$  and so on.  $\Delta_{gH}^k f_i, k = 1, 2, \dots$  are the forward finite differences.

Now, using our new notations and concepts, we can define fuzzy interpolation polynomial with forward gH-difference as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then the fuzzy interpolation polynomial  $p(x)$  with forward gH-differences can be written as

$$p(x) = f_0(\alpha) \oplus \theta \odot \Delta_{gH} f_0(\alpha) \oplus \frac{\theta(\theta-1)}{2} \odot \Delta_{gH}^2 f_0(\alpha) \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\theta(\theta-1)\dots(\theta-n+1)}{n!} \odot \Delta_{gH}^n f_0(\alpha)$$

**Remark 3.1.** We know that  $\theta$  is crisp because of  $\theta = \frac{x-x_0}{h}$  and all of  $x, x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $h$  are crisp.

### 3.2. Backward Finite Differences

Similarly, the backward finite differences are defined in the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{gH} f_i(\alpha) &= f_i(\alpha) \Theta_{gH} f_{i-1}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (i) f_i(\alpha) \Theta_H f_{i-1}(\alpha), \\ (ii) (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H f_i(\alpha)). \end{cases} \\ [\nabla_{gH} f_i(\alpha)]_\alpha &= [f_i(\alpha) \Theta_{gH} f_{i-1}(\alpha)]_\alpha \\ &= [min\{f_i(\alpha) - f_{i-1}(\alpha), \bar{f}_i(\alpha) - \bar{f}_{i-1}(\alpha)\}, max\{f_i(\alpha) - f_{i-1}(\alpha), \bar{f}_i(\alpha) - \bar{f}_{i-1}(\alpha)\}]_\alpha \\ \nabla_{gH}^2 f_i(\alpha) &= \nabla_{gH}(\nabla_{gH} f_i(\alpha)) = \nabla_{gH}(f_i(\alpha) \Theta_{gH} f_{i-1}(\alpha)) = (f_i(\alpha) \Theta_{gH} f_{i-1}(\alpha)) \Theta_{gH}(f_i - 1(\alpha)) \Theta_{gH} f_{i-2}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (i) f_i(\alpha) \Theta_{gH} 2 \odot f_{i-1}(\alpha) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha), \\ (ii) (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_{gH} f_i(\alpha)) \Theta_{gH} f_{i-1}(\alpha) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha), \\ (iii) (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H f_i(\alpha)) \Theta_H f_{i-1}(\alpha) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha), \\ (iv) (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H f_i(\alpha))) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha) \end{cases} \quad (3.13) \\ &= \begin{cases} (i) f_i(\alpha) \Theta_H 2 \odot f_{i-1}(\alpha) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha), \\ (ii) (-1) \odot (2 \odot f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H f_i(\alpha)) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha), \\ (iii) (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H f_i(\alpha)) \Theta_H f_{i-1}(\alpha) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha), \\ (iv) (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H (-1) \odot (f_{i-1}(\alpha) \Theta_H f_i(\alpha))) \oplus f_{i-2}(\alpha) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The reasoning above leads us to  $\nabla_{gH}^3 f_i(\alpha) = \nabla_{gH}(\nabla_{gH}^2 f_i(\alpha))$  and so on.

Now, using our new notations and concepts, we can define fuzzy interpolation polynomial with backward gH-difference as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then the fuzzy interpolation polynomial  $p(x)$  with forward gH-differences can be written as

$$p(x) = f_n(\alpha) \oplus \theta \odot \nabla_{gH} f_n(\alpha) \oplus \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{2} \odot \nabla_{gH}^2 f_n(\alpha) \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\theta(\theta+1)\dots(\theta+n-1)}{n!} \odot \nabla_{gH}^n f_n(\alpha)$$

**Remark 3.2.** We know that  $\theta$  is crisp because of  $\theta = \frac{x-x_n}{h}$  and all of  $x, x_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $h$  are crisp.

### 3.3. A-B Two-Step Method

To solve FIVP

$$\begin{cases} u'_{gH}(x) = f(x, u(x)), & x_0 \leq x \leq T \\ u(x_0) = u_0 \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

by A-B two-step method, suppose that the fuzzy initial values are  $u(x_{i-1}), u(x_i)$ . i.e  $f(x_{i-1}, u(x_{i-1})), f(x_i, u(x_i))$  are fuzzy numbers.

Also let  $\nabla_{gH} f_i = f_i \theta_{gH} f_{i-1}$ ,  $\theta = \frac{x-x_i}{h}$ . The fuzzy interpolation polynomial for fuzzy numbers use of  $f(x_{i-1}), u(x_{i-1}), f(x_i, u(x_i))$ , can be written as.

$$p(x, u(x)) = f_i \oplus \theta \odot \nabla_{gH} f_i.$$

(i) If  $u(x)$  is (1)-gH-differentiable and  $f(x, u(x))$  is replaced with  $p(x, u)$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} u'_{1-gH}(x) dx &= \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x, u(x)) dx \\ u_{i+1} &= u_i \oplus \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (f_i \oplus \theta \odot \nabla_{gH} f_i) dx = u_i \oplus h \odot \int_0^1 (f_i \oplus \theta \odot \nabla_{gH} f_i) d\theta \\ &= u_i \oplus h \odot [f_i \odot \theta \oplus \frac{\theta^2}{2} \odot \nabla_{gH} f_i]_0^1 = \\ u_i \oplus h \odot [f_i \oplus \frac{1}{2} \odot (f_i \theta_{gH} f_{i-1})] &= u_i \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot (3 \odot f_i \theta_{gH} f_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$u_{i+1} = u_i \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot (3 \odot f_i \theta_{gH} f_{i-1}). \quad (3.15)$$

Then, using the properties of gH-difference, all different forms of A-B two-step method of (I) can be written as follows.

$$1) u_{i+1} = u_i \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot (3 \odot f_i \theta_H f_{i-1}),$$

$$2) u_{i+1} = u_i \oplus \frac{-h}{2} \odot (f_{i-1} \theta_H 3 \odot f_i).$$

(II) If  $u(x)$  is (2)-gH-differentiable, we have

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} u'_{2-gH}(x) dx = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x, u(x)) dx.$$

Similarly, replacing  $f(x, u(x))$  with  $p(x, u(x))$  and at last using the properties of gH-difference, the following result can be obtained.

$$u_{i+1} = u_i \theta_H (-1) \odot \frac{h}{2} \odot (3 \odot f_i \theta_{gH} f_{i-1}). \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore,

$$1) u_{i+1} = u_i \theta_H (-1) \odot \frac{h}{2} \odot (3 \odot f_i \theta_H f_{i-1}),$$

$$2) u_{i+1} = u_i \theta_H (-1) \odot \frac{-h}{2} \odot (f_{i-1} \theta_H 3 \odot f_i).$$

### 3.4. A-M Three-Step Method

For A-M three-step method suppose  $u(x_i), u(x_{i+1}), u(x_{i+2})$ , be the fuzzy initial values, i.e  $f(x_i, u(x_i)), f(x_{i+1}, u(x_{i+1})), f(x_{i+2}, u(x_{i+2}))$   $f(x_{i+3}, u(x_{i+3}))$  are defined. Then, consider the following identity,

$$(I) \int_{x_{i+2}}^{x_{i+3}} u'_{1-gH}(x) dx = \int_{x_{i+2}}^{x_{i+3}} f(x, u(x)) dx.$$

when  $u(x)$  is (1)-gH-differentiable. Now, replace  $f(x, u(x))$  with  $p(x, u(x))$  in  $(x_i, f_i), (x_{i+1}, f_{i+1}), (x_{i+2}, f_{i+2}), (x_{i+3}, f_{i+3})$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{i+3} &= u_{i+2} \oplus \int_{x_{i+2}}^{x_{i+3}} f(x, u(x)) dx \\
 u_{i+2} &\oplus \int_{x_{i+2}}^{x_{i+3}} (f_i \oplus \theta \odot \Delta_{gH} f_i \oplus \frac{\theta(\theta-1)}{2} \odot \Delta_{gH}^2 f_i \\
 &\oplus \frac{\theta(\theta-1)(\theta-2)}{6} \odot \Delta_{gH}^3 f_i) dx \\
 u_{i+2} &\oplus h \odot \int_2^3 (f_i \oplus \theta \odot \Delta_{gH} f_i \oplus \frac{\theta(\theta-1)}{2} \odot \Delta_{gH}^2 f_i \\
 &\oplus \frac{\theta(\theta-1)(\theta-2)}{6} \odot \Delta_{gH}^3 f_i) dx \\
 u_{i+2} &\oplus h \odot [f_i \odot \theta \oplus \frac{\theta^2}{2} \odot \Delta_{gH} f_i \oplus (\frac{1}{6}\theta^3 - \frac{1}{4}\theta^2) \odot \Delta_{gH}^2 f_i \\
 &\oplus (\frac{1}{24}\theta^4 - \frac{\theta^3}{6} + \frac{\theta^2}{6}) \odot \Delta_{gH}^3 f_i] \mid_2^3 \\
 &= u_{i+2} \oplus h \odot (f_i \oplus \frac{5}{2} \odot \Delta_{gH} f_i \oplus \frac{23}{12} \odot \Delta_{gH}^2 f_i \oplus \frac{3}{8} \odot \Delta_{gH}^3 f_i) \\
 &= u_{i+2} \oplus h \odot (f_i \oplus \frac{5}{2} \odot (f_{i+1} \theta_{gH} f_i) + \frac{23}{12} \odot (f_{i+2} \theta_{gH} 2f_{i+1} \oplus f_i) \\
 &\oplus \frac{3}{8} \odot (f_{i+3} \theta_{gH} 3 \odot f_{i+2} \oplus 3 \odot f_{i+1} \theta_{gH} f_i)) \\
 &= u_{i+2} \oplus h \odot (\frac{3}{8} \odot f_{i+3} \oplus \frac{19}{24} \odot f_{i+2} \theta_{gH} \frac{5}{24} \odot f_{i+1} \oplus \frac{1}{24} \odot f_i) \\
 &= u_{i+2} \oplus \frac{h}{24} \odot (9 \odot f_{i+3} \oplus 19 \odot f_{i+2} \theta_{gH} 5 \odot f_{i+1} \oplus f_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all cases of A-M three-step method can be written as follows.

- 1)  $u_{i+3} = u_{i+2} \oplus \frac{h}{24} \odot [9 \odot f_{i+3} \oplus 19 \odot f_{i+2} \theta_{gH} 5 \odot f_{i+1} \oplus f_i]$ ,
- 2)  $u_{i+3} = u_{i+2} \oplus \frac{h}{24} \odot [9 \odot f_{i+3} \oplus (-1) \odot (5 \odot f_{i+1} \theta_{gH} 19 \odot f_{i+2}) \oplus f_i]$ . (3.17)

(II) when  $u(x)$  is (2)-gH-differentiable.

Similarly, all cases of A-M three-step method in the second case can be written as

- 1)  $u_{i+3} = u_{i+2} \theta_{gH} (-1) \odot \frac{h}{24} \odot [9 \odot f_{i+3} \oplus 19 \odot f_{i+2} \theta_{gH} 5 \odot f_{i+1} \oplus f_i]$ ,

$$2) u_{i+3} = u_{i+2} \theta_H(-1) \odot \frac{h}{24} \odot [9 \odot f_{i+3} \oplus (-1) \odot (5 \odot f_{i+1} \theta_H 19 \odot f_{i+2}) \oplus f_i].$$

### 3.5. Predictor-Corrector Methods

Suppose that we want to solve FIVP by using an implicit linear K-step method. For example, consider one of the cases of three-step A-M method (3.17).

$$1) u_{i+3} = u_{i+2} \oplus \frac{h}{24} \odot [9 \odot f_{i+3} \oplus 19 \odot f_{i+2} \theta_H 5 \odot f_{i+1} \oplus f_i].$$

At each step, the equation in which only  $u_{j+1}, f_{j+1}, j = 0, 1, 2$  are known, are solved. In general, this equation is nonlinear.

Using the theorem of a unique solution existence of the IVP, we find that a unique solution exists for  $u_{i+3}$  and it can be approached arbitrarily close by the iteration

$$u_{i+3}^{[s+1]} = u_{i+2} \oplus \frac{h}{24} \odot [9 \odot f(x_{i+3}, u_{i+3}^{[s]}) \oplus 19 \odot f_{i+2} \theta_H 5 \odot f_{i+1} \oplus f_i] \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.18)$$

Where  $u_{i+3}^{[0]}$  is arbitrary.

Each step of the iteration (3.18) involves an evaluation of  $f(x_{i+3}, u_{i+3}^{[s]})$ . Thus, we are concerned to keep the number of times the iteration (3.18) is applied minimum, specially whenever the evaluation of  $f$  is time-consuming at the given values of its arguments.

Therefore, we would like to accurate the initial guess  $u_{i+3}^{[0]}$  as much as possible. A separate explicit method can be used to estimate  $u_{i+3}^{[0]}$  which can be used to the initial guess of  $u_{i+3}^{[0]}$ . The explicit method "A-B" and the implicit method (3.18) "A-M" are the predictor and the corrector, respectively.

Let P, C, and E indicate an application of the predictor, a single application of the corrector, and an evaluation of a function  $f$  in terms of the known values of its arguments. If we compute  $u_{i+3}^{[0]}$  from the predictor, evaluate  $f_{i+3}^{[0]} \equiv f(x_{i+3}, u_{i+3}^{[0]})$ , and apply the corrector once to get  $u_{i+3}^{[1]}$ , the series of calculations done so far is denoted by PEC.

A further evaluation of  $f_{i+3}^{[1]} \equiv f(x_{i+3}, u_{i+3}^{[1]})$  which is followed by a second application of the corrector, yields  $u_{i+3}^{[2]}$ , and the calculation is now denoted by PECEC, or  $P(EC)^2$ . Applying the corrector  $m$  times is similarly denoted by  $P(EC)^m$ . Since  $m$  is fixed, we accept  $u_{i+3}^{[m]}$  as the numerical solution at  $x_{i+3}$ . At this stage, the last computed value we have for  $f_{i+3}$  is  $f_{i+3}^{[m-1]} \equiv f(x_{i+3}, u_{i+3}^{[m-1]})$ , and we have a further decision to make, namely, whether or not to evaluate  $f_{i+3}^{[m]} \equiv f(x_{i+3}, u_{i+3}^{[m]})$ . If this final evaluation is done, we denote the mode by  $P(EC)^m E$ , and if not, by  $P(EC)^m$ .

This choice clearly affects the next step of the calculation since both predicted and corrected values for  $u_{i+4}$  will depend on whether  $f_{i+3}$  is taken to be  $f_{i+3}^{[m]}$  or  $f_{i+3}^{[m-1]}$ . Note that for a given  $m$ , both  $P(EC)^m E$  and  $P(EC)^m$  modes apply the corrector the same number of times; but the former calls for one more function evaluation per step than the latter.

### 3.6. Local Truncation Error

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider that  $u'''_{gH}(x)$  exists and  $f(x, u(x))$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition on the set  $(x, u(x)) | x \in [0, p], u \in \bar{B}(u_0, q), p, q > 0$ . Then, A-B and A-M methods converge to the solution of the FIVP (1.1).

To see the proof of Theorem 3.1, the reader is referred to [11].

### 4. Stability

A method is said to be stable whenever small perturbations in the initial values will only cause small changes in the solutions.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $u_{k+1}, k + 1 \geq 0$  be the fuzzy solution of the fuzzy p-c method with initial condition  $u_0 \in R_f$  and let  $z_{k+1}$  be the solution of the same numerical method with a perturbed fuzzy initial condition  $z_0 \in R_f$  such that  $z_0 = u_0 \oplus \delta_0$ . The fuzzy p-c method is called stable if there exist positive constants  $\tilde{h}$  and  $\kappa$  such that

$$D(z_{k+1}, u_{k+1}) \leq \kappa \delta \quad \forall (k+1)h \leq T, k \leq N-1, h \in (0, \tilde{h})$$

Whenever  $D(\delta_0, 0) \leq \delta$ .

A-B two-step and A-M three-step methods are stable. To see this in details, the reader is referred to [11].

### 5. Numerical Algorithm for Solving FIIVP

The numerical algorithm for solving impulsive fuzzy initial value problems is different from the numerical algorithm for solving fuzzy initial value problems only at the pulse point, where we have to apply the operators concern with the particular point. For this reason, at first, we consider fuzzy initial value problem in the form

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \\ y(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases} \quad (5.19)$$

where  $y$  is a fuzzy function of  $t$ ,  $f(t, y)$  is a fuzzy function of the crisp variable  $t$  and the fuzzy variable  $y$ ,  $y'$  is the fuzzy derivative of  $y$  and  $y(t_0) = y_0$  is a triangular fuzzy number. Therefore, we have a fuzzy Cauchy problem [20].

In this section, we present an algorithm for solving the first order impulsive fuzzy differential equations with initial value. If the function  $y(t)$  is a solution of the impulsive fuzzy differential Equation (5.19), then by the numerical algorithm for solving impulsive fuzzy differential equations, it is possible to obtain values  $y(t_z)$  for a fixed value of  $t_z$  of parameter  $t_0$ , where  $t_z > t_0$ , at the moment  $t = t_z$ . The algorithm includes following steps:

**Step One:** At  $t = t_0$ , apply the Adams-Bashforth method for  $y$  by considering  $y = y_0$  from initial

condition (5.19). The algorithm applies until the first pulse point.

**Step Two:** At the pulse point  $t = t_k$ , the impulsive fuzzy operator  $I_k$  brings rapidly changes to the function  $y$  that moment is  $J(t_k)$ ; Where

$$J(t_k) = I_k(y(t_k)) + \sum_{t_0 < t_a < t_k} J(t_s).$$

**Step Three:** We solve the function  $y$  of argument  $t$  by taking it from the half-segment  $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$  by the Adams-Bashforth method.

**Step Four:** We repeat Steps Two and Three until we encounter with the desired  $y(t_z)$  that has to be found.

**Step Five:** Add the summation of all pulses to the function  $y$ .

$$Y(t_z) := y(t_z) + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t_z} J(t_k)$$

$[y(t_z)]_r = [y_1(t_z, r), y_2(t_z, r)]$  is the approximated solution and  $[J(t_k)]_r = [J_1(t_k, r), J_2(t_k, r)]$  is the pulse in point  $t_k$ . We have

$Y[y + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t_z} J(t_k)]_r = [y_1(t_k, r) + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t_z} J_1(t_k, r), y_2(t_z, r + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t_z} J_2(t_k, r))]$ . We apply Adams method on each half-segment  $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ .

## 6. Error of Fuzzy Numerical Algorithm

By assumption first order impulsive fuzzy differential equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3)|

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), & t \in J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(t_k^+) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), & k = 1, \dots, m \\ y(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases} \quad (6.20)$$

we get the analysis error of numerical algorithm in section 3 based on fuzzy predictor-corrector method. We import small perturbations  $\delta_3$  on the right-hand side of expression (1.3), then it creates perturbations  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ , on the right-hand side of expressions (1.1), (1.2), and we will have the solution as following form

$$Y = y \oplus \delta \odot y. \quad (6.21)$$

We got analysis this perturbation, whether we will have a stable numerical algorithm, and we will have converged? By perturbation, we achieve to first order perturbation impulsive fuzzy differential equation as following form

$$\begin{cases} Y'_{gH}(t) = f(t, y(t)) \oplus [\delta_1 \odot f(t, y(t))], \\ t \in J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, k = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (6.22)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta Y|_{t=t_k} = Y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} Y(t_k^-) \\ = I_k(y(t_k^-)) \oplus [\delta_2 \odot I_k(y(t_k^-))], (t = t_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, m \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

$$Y(t_0) = y(t_0) \oplus \delta_3 \odot y(t_0). \quad (6.24)$$

By assumption expression (6.23) and using expression (6.21) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} Y(t_k^-) &= [y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} y(t_k^-)] \oplus \delta_2 \odot I_k(y(t_k^-)), \\ &([y(t_k^+) \oplus \delta \odot y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} (y(t_k^-) \oplus \delta \odot y(t_k^-))] \theta_{gH} [y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} y(t_k^-)]) = \delta_2 \odot I_k(y(t_k)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta \odot [y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} y(t_k^-)] = \delta_2 \odot I_k(y(t_k)).$$

By using Property 3 of the Hausdorff distance

$$\delta \odot [y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} y(t_k^-)] \leq \delta_2 \odot I_k(y(t_k)).$$

Finally, by considering Definition 2.1 we have

$$\delta \leq \frac{I_k(y(t_k))}{[y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} y(t_k^-)]} \odot \delta_2.$$

Without reduce of generality, we only consider fuzzy Adamz Bashforth method (3.15), for expression (6.21) and (6.23) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} y_0 = \delta_3 \odot y(t_0). \\ y_{k+1} = y_k \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot [\delta_1(3 \odot f(t_k, y(t_k)) \theta_{gH} f(t_{k-1}, y(t_{k-1})))] \end{cases} \quad (6.25)$$

By setting  $k = 1$ , in (6.25), we obtain

$$Y_2 = \delta_3 y_1 \oplus \frac{h}{2} [\delta_1(3 \odot f(t_1, y_1) \theta_{gH} f(t_0, y_0))].$$

According to the expression (6.21), we obtain

$$y_2 \oplus \delta \odot y_2 = \delta_3 y_1 \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot [\delta_1(3 \odot f(t_1, y_1) \theta_{gH} f(t_0, y_0))].$$

By using property 3 of the Hausdorff distance once more

$$\delta \leq \frac{y_1 \delta_3 \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot \delta_1(3 \odot f(t_1, y_1) \theta_{gH} f(t_0, y_0))}{y_2}$$

By letting  $\delta_3 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta_1 \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , which means that the numerical algorithm is stable and converges to the exact solution.

Without reducing of generality, since the case for  $k = 3, \dots, z$  is the same as for  $k = 2$ , we only consider the case  $k = 2$  and according to the expression (6.21), we obtain

$$y_3 \oplus \delta \odot y_3 = (y_2(t_k^+) \oplus \delta \odot y_2(t_k^-)) \oplus \frac{h}{2} [\delta_1(3 \odot f(t_2, y_2) \theta_{gH} f(t_1, y_1))].$$

By using Definition 2.1

$$y_3 \oplus \delta \odot y_3 \leq y_2(t_k^+) \oplus \delta \odot y_2(t_k^-) \oplus \frac{h}{2} \odot (\delta_1(3 \odot f(t_2, y_2) \theta_{gH} f(t_1, y_1))).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\delta \leq \frac{y_2(t_k^+) \oplus \delta \odot y_2(t_k^-)}{y_3} + \frac{\frac{h}{2} \odot (\delta_1(3 \odot f(t_2, y_2) \theta_{gH} f(t_1, y_1)))}{y_3}$$

Hence

$$\delta \leq \frac{\delta_3 y_1 + \frac{h}{2} \odot \delta_1(3 \odot f(t_1, y_1) \theta_{gH} f(t_0, y_0))}{y_3} \odot \frac{I_k(y(t_k)) \odot \delta_2}{[y(t_k^+) \theta_{gH} y(t_k^-)]}. \quad (6.26)$$

The upper bound in (6.26) shows that to have the stable numerical algorithm that converges to the exact solution, we need imported perturbations in right side of the expressions (6.22), (6.23), (6.24) near to zero, too, i.e.,  $\delta_1 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\delta_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta_3 \rightarrow 0$ . Under this condition, we can say that for  $k = 2, \dots, z$ , the numerical algorithm introduced is stable and converges to the exact solution.

## 7. Numerical Results

In this section we present a numerical example, in order to see the accuracy of our numerical solution. The numerical results show convergence of these methods.

**Example 7.1.** Consider the first order impulsive fuzzy initial value problem,

$$\begin{aligned} u'_{gH}(x) &= u(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ u(x_k^+) &= 0.01u(x_k^-), \\ u(0) &= (0.75 + 0.25r, 1.125 - 0.125r) \end{aligned}$$

Where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , and by the considering  $x_z = 1$ . This example was solved by using the Adams-Bashforth two step method with  $N = 10$ ,  $N = 100$  and  $N = 200$  in the cases that  $u(x)$  was  $[(i) - gh]$  - differentiable.

These results in  $x = 1$  has been showed in table 1 and plot of  $u(x)$  in  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  has been showed in figure 1.

## 8. Conclusion

In this paper, a new method was introduced in the fuzzy numerical analysis based on  $gH$ -differentiability namely the fuzzy Adams-Bashforth and the fuzzy Adams-Moulton methods for solving (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). In final one FIIVP was solved, according to the type of  $gH$ -differentiability with their's related methods. Obtained results demonstrate the efficiency of these methods. The obtained result indicates that by decreasing step size, the approximated solution tends to the exact solution.

$\alpha$	$h = 0.1$		$h = 0.01$		$h = 0.05$	
	$u$	$\bar{u}$	$u$	$\bar{u}$	$u$	$\bar{u}$
0	0.018300215395	0.027450323093	0.020182431	0.03027364799	0.0202849706	0.03042745597
0.1	0.01891022257	0.02714531950	0.0208551797	0.029937274	0.020961136	0.030089373
0.2	0.019520229	0.02684031591	0.0215279274659	0.029600900265	0.0216373020	0.029751290
0.3	0.02013023693	0.0265353123	0.022200675199	0.0292645263990	0.02231346	0.0294132074
0.4	0.02074024411	0.02623030873	0.02287342293256	0.028928152532	0.022989633	0.0290751246
0.5	0.02135025129	0.02592530514	0.023546170665	0.0285917786657	0.023665799	0.02873704175
0.6	0.021960258474	0.025620301553	0.02421891839918	0.02825540479	0.0243419647	0.0283989589
0.7	0.0225702656542	0.02531529796	0.024891666132	0.027919030932	0.02501813047	0.0280608760
0.8	0.023180272834	0.0250102943	0.0255644138658	0.027582657065	0.025694296159	0.0277227932
0.9	0.0237902800139	0.024705290783	0.026237161599	0.027246283199	0.02637046184	0.02738471038
1	0.02440028719	0.02440028719	0.0269099093324	0.0269099093324	0.0270466275	0.0270466275

## References

- [1] S. Abbasbandy, T. Allahviranloo, Numerical solution of fuzzy differential equation by Taylor method, *J. Comput Method Applmath* 2(2002)113-124.
- [2] S. Abbasbandy and T. Allahviranloo, Numerical solution of fuzzy differential equation by Runge- Kutta method, *Nonlinear Stud.* 11(1) (2004) 117-129.
- [3] T. Allahviranloo, A. Armand and Z. Gouyandeh, Fuzzy fractional differential equations under generalized fuzzy Caputo derivative, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* 26(3) (2014) 1481-1490.
- [4] T. Allahviranloo and S. Gholami, Note on Generalized Hukuhara differentiability of interval-value functions and interval differential equations, *Journal of Fuzzy Set Valued Analysis* 2012(2012),1-4.
- [5] T. Allahviranloo, Z. Gouyandeh, A. Armand, A method for solving fuzzy differential equations based on fuzzy Taylor expansion, *Undefined* 1(2015)1-16.
- [6] T. Allahviranloo, N. A. Kiani, N.Motamed, Solving fuzzy differential equations by differential transformation method, *Information Sciences* 179(2009)956-966.
- [7] F. Babakordi and T. Allahviranloo, A new method for solving fuzzy Bernoulli differential equation, *J. Math. Ext.* 15(4) (2021) -20.
- [8] N. Najafi and T. Allahviranloo, combining fractional differential transform method and reproducing kernel Hilbert space method to solve fuzzy impulsive fractional differential equations, *Computational and Applied Mathematics* 39 (2020) 1-25.
- [9] R. Goetschel, W. Voxman, Elementary fuzzy calculus, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 24(1987), 31-43.
- [10] R. Jafari, W. Yu, X. Li, Fuzzy differential equations for nonlinear systems modeling with Berenstein neural networks, *ACCESS*.2017.2647920.
- [11] C. V. Negoita, D. Ralescu, *Applications of Fuzzy Sets to Systems Analysis*, Wiley, New York 1975.
- [12] H. B. Cary and S. C. Helzer, *Modern Welding Technology*, Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 2005.
- [13] J. D. Lambert, *Computational methods in ordinary differential equations*.
- [14] L. Safikhani, A. Vahidi, T. Allahviranloo and M. Afshar Kermani, Multi-step gH-difference-based methods for fuzzy differential equations, *Comp. Appl. Math.* 42 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 27, 30 pages.
- [15] B. Bede, L. Stefanini, Generalized differentiability of fuzzy valued functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 230(2013)119-141.
- [16] M. T. Mizukoshi , L.C. Barros, Y. Chalco-Cano , H. Roman-Flores, Fuzzy differential equations and the extension principle, *Information Sciences* 177(2007)3627-3635.
- [17] C. V. Negoita, C. Virgil and D. A. Ralescu, *Applications of Fuzzy Sets to Systems Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [18] S. V. Barai and R. S. Nair, Neuro-fuzzy models for constructability analysis, *J. Inf. Technol. Constr. (ITcon)* 9(4) (2004) 65-73.
- [19] C. Gear, *Numerical Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations*, Prentice Hall, Engle- wood Cliffs 1971.
- [20] M. Dirbaz, F. Dirbaz, Numerical Solution of Impulsive Fuzzy Initial Value Problem by Modified Euler's Method, (2016)50-57.