Comparative Study on the Effect of Water Stress and Rootstock on Photosynthetic Function in Pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) Trees

Abolfazl Ranjbar

Department of Desert studies, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran

Received: 8 February 2017

Accepted: 9 July 2017

Abstract

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of water deficit stress on chlorophyll fluorescence (CF) characteristics of photosystem II (PSII) and pigment contents in two rootstock seedlings (*Pistacia atlantica* L. and *P. khinjuk* L.). Three levels of soil water potential (Ψ s) was used, including WWD (-0.05 MPa), MWD (-0.7 MPa) and SWD (-1.5 MPa). It was found that water stress increased the minimal fluorescence (F_0), quantum yield baseline (F_0/F_m) and decreased the maximal fluorescence (F_m) and maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (F_v/F_m) parameters in dark adapted leaves. In light adapted leaves, a significant increase in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and thermal dissipation of light energy to heat (D) and a decrease in electron transport rate (ETR) and photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (Φ PSII) occurred. The results demonstrated a decline in photosynthetic pigments (Chl*a*), (Chl*b*) and carotenoids (Car) content with increasing water stress, whereas there was no significant effect on Chl (a/b) and Car/(*a+b*) ratios. Our data revealed there was no different in terms of performance between the two rootstocks in the alteration rate of pigment contents and photosynthetic features against soil water deficit conditions.

Keywords: Minimal fluorescence electron transport, Photosystem, Pigments, Quantum yield, Water deficit.

Introduction

The pistachio tree (*Pistacia vera*) is a native of western Asia and Asia minor, where it can still be found growing wild (Crane and Iwakiri, 1981). It has traditionally been cultivated in semi-arid areas of the Middle East and the Mediterranean basin. Pistachio is the largest orchard product in Iran in terms of area under cultivation and foreign exchange revenues. It accounts for 60% of all agricultural exports. During the last decade, a prolonged drought climate has severely decreased the availability and quality of water. These severe conditions in pistachio-producing areas have resulted in different problems such as low productivity and slender trees (Azizi *et al.*, 2013). Rootstock selection is important in any pistachio orchard and must

*Corresponding author: Email: aranjbar@kashanu.ac.ir

be attended at the time of the establishment of the orchard. Selection of the most suitable and compatible rootstock and scion to obtain the highest yield and quality as well as the resistance to biotic and abiotic all have considerable economic importance (Fotouhi Ghazvini *et al.*, 2007). Pistachio seedling rootstocks from different species or hybrids have been reported to significantly affect the vigour and nutrient status of the tree, early nut production, alternate bearing, soil-borne diseases, cold, drought, and salt tolerance (Picchioni and Miyamota, 1990; Ranjbar Fordoei *et al.*, 2001; Karimi *et al.*, 2009; Esmaeilpour *et al.*, 2015). Photosynthesis is a core function of a plant, which captures light energy to produce both chemical energy and reduce equivalents

via photochemical processes (Zhang et al., 2011). Plants in a field are exposed to a wide variety of abiotic stresses including excessive light, extreme temperatures, water stress, and atmospheric pollutants, which can directly or indirectly affect the photosynthetic function. Water stress is one of the most important limitations leading to a significant inhibition of photosynthesis. Hence, the ability to maintain the functionality of the photosynthetic machinery under water stress is of major importance in drought tolerance (Zlatev, 2009). For most plants, water deficit leads to stomatal closure and reduces photosynthesis. Several studies showed that PSII is highly drought-resistant (Yordanov et al., 2003). However, under conditions of water stress, the photosynthetic electron transport through PSII is repressed (Chakir and Jensen, 1999). Many studies suggested that such water deficit results in damages to the oxygen-evolving complex of PSII (Huseynova, 2012) and to the PSII reaction centres associated with the degradation of D1 protein (Zlatev and Yordanov, 2004). Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) reflects the thermal dissipation of excessive excitation energy in the chloroplasts and has a strong link with water status (Liu et al., 2012). A sustained decrease in dark-adapted maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (F_v/F_m) and an increase in minimal fluorescence yield (F_0) indicates indicate damage to photosystem II, which reduces the efficiency of absorbed light energy transfer from the light-harvesting complex. These alterations may result from water deficit (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). Souza et al. (2004) observed a tendency for higher minimal fluorescence yield values and lower maximal fluorescence yield (Fm) in water-stressed versus non-stressed plants. Alves et al. (2013) demonstrated that **PSII**, ETR and **qP** decreased significantly in water stressed in comparison to irrigated plants. One of the plant responses to drought stress is change in photosynthetic pigment content. The content of both chlorophyll a and b changes under drought stress (Farooq et al., 2009). The carotenoids play fundamental roles and help plants to resist drought stress (Jaleel et al., 2009). Drought stress decreases the content of chlorophyll a and b binding proteins, leading to a reduction of the light-harvesting pigment protein associated with photosystem II (Sayed, 2003). The aim of this study was to determine the effects of drought stress on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in the leaves of two pistachio rootstocks (P. atlantica sub sp. Mutica and P. khinjuk).

Materials and Methods

This experiment was carried out during the growing seasons of 2015–2016 for two years in a pistachio orchard, in a sandy loam soil, located in Kashan, Iran. The prevailing climate there is of the arid type. Average annual temperature is about 19°C. Total annual precipitation amounts to about 110 mm, with an uneven distribution throughout the year, while evaporation is 2500–2700 mm annually without summer rainfall. Total radiation is 2900–3100 h per year (Arbaby, 2010). Soil physical and chemical properties of the experiment field are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Soil chemical and physical properties of the experiment field							
Clay (%)	Silt (%)	Sand (%)	pН	Active lime (%)	N (%)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)
14.9	14.7	70.4	7.81	23.11	0.002	2.1	146

The experimental design was a factorial arrangement (3×2) in randomized blocks (RCBD) with five replicates. Three water treatments were used for the main plots, which comprised subplots with two rootstocks (*P. atlantica sub sp. Mutica* and *P. khinjuk*)

grafted in pistachio nut (*P. vera Cv. Ohadi*), as the following: irrigation to achieve soil water potential of -0.05 MPa (Ψ s= -0.05 MPa), -0.7 MPa (Ψ s= -0.7 MPa), and -1.5 MPa (Ψ s= -1.5 MPa) without water deficit (WWD), moderate water deficit (MWD), and severe

water deficit (SWD) treatments, respectively. Irrigation was set in accordance to the moisture retention curve of the soil. A water-flow meter and a potentiometer were used to measure the amount of applied irrigation water and soil water content, respectively. Conventional cultural practices were implemented, including fertilizer application and weeds control.

Measurements

Chlorophyll fluorescence analyses

Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were measured using a pulse amplitude modulation chlorophyll fluorometer *PAM-2500 (H. Walz*, Effeltrich, Germany). Measurements were made on the uppermost fully expanded leaves. Before measuring chlorophyll fluorescence yields (CFYs), the leaves were put in dark adapted state (DAS) for at least 30 minutes (Genty *et al.*, 1989) using light exclusion clips. During DAS, all reaction canters and electron carriers of the PSII were reoxidized, which is essential for rapid fluorescence induction kinetics and for recording chlorophyll fluorescence parameters.

The following CFYs were measured: minimal fluorescence yield in the dark and light-adapted states (F_0 and F_0 '), maximal CFY in the dark and light-adapted states (F_m and F_m ') and steady state fluorescence yield in light adapted state (Fs) (Zhang *et al.*, 2011).

Some basic, mutually independent, chlorophyll fluorescence parameters (FPs), such as maximal variable fluorescence ($F_v = F_m - F_0$), maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (F_v/F_m), photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (Φ PSII), nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ = NF/Fm), electron transport rate (ETR) and thermal dissipation of light energy to heat (D = 1 -F F_v'/F_m') parameters can be calculated from CFYs. This gives insight into the photosynthetic processes in chloroplasts and can be used effectively in photosynthesis research (Lichtenthaler *et al.*, 2005; Ranjbarfordoei *et al.*, 2006; Zelatev, 2009).

Pigment extraction and quantification

The measurements of pigment contents in the leaves of the studied plants were performed during the experimental period. Analyses were accomplished in samples collected from the same leaves upon which the FPs was determined. Chlorophyll concentrations were determined following the methodology of Arnon (1949). Half-a-gram of fresh leaf material was ground with 10 ml of 80% acetone at 4°C and centrifuged at 2500xg for 10 minutes at 4°C. This procedure was repeated until the residue became colourless. The extract was transferred to a graduated tube and made up to 10 ml with 80% acetone and immediately assayed.

Three ml of aliquots of the extract were transferred to a cuvette, and the absorbance was read at 645, 663, and 480 nm with a spectrophotometer (U-2001-Hitachi) against 80% acetone as blank. The carotenoid content was estimated using the formula of Kirk and Allen (1965). Pigment contents were calculated and expressed in milligram per gram fresh mass (mg g⁻¹ FM).

Results

Table 2 shows a significantly negative effect of water deficiency stress on the values of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in the assimilatory organs of the studied rootstock plants (*P. atlantica* and *P. khinjuk*). The species showed increases in F_0 with increasing water deficiency (p<0.01). In contrast, the F_m value decreased with progressing water deficit (p<0.01). *Pistacia atlantica* showed higher F_m at all water treatments, while *P. khinjuk* had lower mean values of F_m (Table 2).

Treatments	F_{m}	F ₀	F_{ν}/F_{m}	F_0/F_m	NPQ	ΦPSII	D	ETR
				P. atlantica				
WWD	1220±37 ^a	244±12 ^a	0.80±0.02 ^a	0.20±0.02 ^a	0.68±0.07 ^a	0.50±0.01 ^a	$0.40{\pm}0.005^{a}$	49±4 ^a
MWD	1143±14 ^b	268±8 ^b	0.76±0.01 ^a	$0.23{\pm}0.01^{b}$	0.71 ± 0.03^{b}	$0.47{\pm}0.04^{b}$	$0.48{\pm}0.01^{b}$	47±6 ^b
SWD	965±20 ^c	337±9 ^c	0.65 ± 0.03^{b}	0.35±0.03 ^c	0.85±0.06 ^c	0.35±0.01 ^c	0.59±0.01 ^c	28±4 ^c
				P. khinjuk				
WWD	966±18.7 ^a	197±12.25 ^a	0.82 ± 0.01^{a}	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.71±0.03 ^a	0.50±0.01 ^a	0.42±0.01 ^a	57 ± 7^{a}
MWD	927±9.16 ^b	217±15.94 ^b	0.77±0.01 ^b	$0.23{\pm}0.02^{b}$	$0.80{\pm}0.05^{b}$	0.46 ± 0.01^{b}	$0.41{\pm}0.03^{b}$	52 ± 4^{b}
SWD	771±14.52 ^c	268±10.23 ^c	0.66±0.02 ^c	$0.35{\pm}0.02^{c}$	0.96±0.04 ^c	$0.40{\pm}0.08^{c}$	0.52±0.03 ^c	38±5°
				ANOVA				
WT	167905.54**	10286.52**	0.123*	0.0587**	0.070^{**}	0.025*	0.034*	697.81**
PR	66978.28**	17112.61**	0.013**	0.0098**	0.029**	0.000^{**}	0.008^{**}	257.43**
$WT \times PR$	25335.36**	242 ^{ns}	0.006**	0.0035**	0.003 ^{ns}	0.002**	0.004**	8.29 ^{ns}

Table 2. Effects of water deficit treatments on the values of FPsin P. ataIntica and P. khinjukplants during the experimental period

Means followed by the same letter for each tested parameter are not significant by Duncan's test (P<0.01) and presented by ± SD.

The increase of water-deficit stages provided the decline in F_v/F_m . All plants in WWD and MWD showed the F_v/F_m ratio within the range of healthy plants (values between 0.76 and 0.82) (Bolhar-Nordenkampf and Oquist, 1993). However, increasing water deficiency stress resulted in a significant reduction in F_v/F_m ratio in SWD.

In response to water deficit, the quantum yield baseline (F_0/F_m) of both rootstocks showed a clear gradient from low values in WWD to high values in SWD. However, the development of F_0/F_m in response to the water deficit was quite similar between the two rootstocks. A drastic increment in NPQ was observed by water deficit treatments. This increment was higher in *P. atlantica* than in *P. khinjuk* (Table 2).

The Φ PSII showed decreases in the increasing level of water deficit stress ($p \le 0.01$). The quenching of light energy focused on the photosynthetic apparatus to increase heat with increasing water deficit (p < 0.05). The increase in the dissipation of light energy in the form of heat (D) reached average values of 34% in the P. khinjuk and the P. atlantica 24% when exposed in SWD (Table 2). Regarding electron ETR, the plants from the studied rootstocks were significantly affected and presented a reduction of 23.5% (P. atlantica) and 21% (P. khinjuk).

The results on the effect of water-deficit treatments on the pigment parameters in leaves of *P. atlantica* and *P. khinjuk* are presented in Table 3. On average, Chl *a*, Chl *b*, Chl (a + b), and Car contents were higher in *P. khinjuk* than in *P. atlantica*.

Treatments	Chla (mg g ⁻¹ FM)	Chlb (mg g ⁻¹ FM)	Chl $(a + b)$ (mg g ⁻¹ FM)	Car (mg g ⁻¹ FM)	Chl (<i>a</i> / <i>b</i>)	Car /($a + b$)
			P. atlantica			
WWD	$1.050{\pm}0.12^{a}$	0.840±0.17 ^a	1.89±0.02 ^a	0.96±0.07 ^a	1.25 ± 0.06^{a}	0.51 ± 0.09^{a}
MWD	$0.840{\pm}0.09^{b}$	$0.760{\pm}0.14^{b}$	1.60±0.01 ^b	0.81 ± 0.10^{b}	1.10±0.13 ^a	0.50±0.10 ^a
SWD	0.690±0.046°	0.600±0.11 ^c	1.29±0.03 ^c	$0.70 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$	1.15±0.06 ^a	0.45±0.02 ^a
			P. khinjuk			
WWD	0.980±0.072 ^a	0.870±0.06 ^a	1.85±0.03 ^a	0.98±0.06 ^a	1.12±0.11 ^a	0.53±0.05 ^a
MWD	0.900 ± 0.044^{a}	$0.780{\pm}0.09^{b}$	1.68±0.01 ^b	0.86 ± 0.09^{b}	1.15±0.03 ^a	$0.51{\pm}0.08^{a}$
SWD	0.740±0.056 ^c	0.660±0.017 ^c	1.40±0.02 ^c	$0.72 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	1.12±0.17 ^a	$0.51{\pm}0.05^{a}$
			ANOVA			
WT	0.13**	0.07**	0.41*	0.01**	0.03 ^{ns}	0.003 ^{ns}
PR	0.009 ^{ns}	0.006 ^{ns}	0.02 ^{ns}	0.0004 ^{ns}	0.02 ^{ns}	0.003 ^{ns}
$WT \times PR$	0.008 ^{ns}	0.003 ^{ns}	0.01 ^{ns}	0.006 ^{ns}	0.006 ^{ns}	0.015 ^{ns}

Table 3. Effects of water deficit treatments on the values of pigment contentin P. atalntica and P. khinjuk plants during the experimental period

Means followed by the same letter for each tested parameter are not significant by Duncan's test (P<0.05) and presented by \pm SD.

In all studied seedlings, water deficit induced a dramatic reduction in Car. However, significant differences were found among water-deficit treatments, while the interactive effects of different water-deficit stress levels were not significant on Chl (a/b) and Car / (a + b) ratios (Table 3).

Discussion

The F_0 value showed a significant increase upon water deficit treatments. This value may increase if the transfer of excitation energy from the antenna to the reaction centres is impaired (Schreiber *et al.*, 1998). Thus, the increase in F_0 observed in the rootstock plants studied may be associated with damage to the photosynthetic apparatus, such as inactivation reaction centres of PSII (Ranjbar Fordoei *et al.*, 2013). In addition, the observed significant decrease in F_m can be associated with increased non-photochemical dissipation as heat associated with the xanthophyll cycle (Muller *et al.*, 2001).

Our results on F_v/F_m are similar to the results of Rong-Hua *et al.* (2006), who suggested that any

decrease in F_v/F_m indicates that PSII suffers from damage and that the key reactions of photosynthesis are inhibited. A similar result was also reported by Zhao *et al.* (2014) with respect to *Lotus corniculatus*.

The F_0/F_m value was increased by water deficit in the both rootstock plants. The higher F_0/F_m indicates that the initial rate of reduction of the Qa is higher than the rate of Qb and the activity of PSI when plants are exposed to water stress (Cicero *et al.*, 2012). Rohmácek (2002) points to the increases in the ratio of F_0/F_m as stress indicative, suggesting normal values, observed between 0.14 and 0.20, as the standard.

A significant increase in NPQ was observed by water deficit treatments. A clear increase in NPQ indicates that energy cannot be utilized to transport photosynthetic electrons, and it must be dissipated harmlessly as heat energy from the PSII antennae (Kate and Giles, 2000). Our findings on NPQ are consistent with those previously reported by Liu *et al.* (2012) regarding maize cultivars.

The decrease in Φ PSII can be ascribed to the increased rate of dissipation of photon energy to heat in

the PII antenna complexes as well as a decrease in the proportion of photons and excitation of electrons of chlorophyll (Schreiber *et al.*, 1998). The impact of water stress on the decrease of Φ PSII values has been similarly reported for *Prunus dulcis* (Miller) (Ranjbar Fordoei, 2006, *Smirnoviairanica* (Ranjbar, 2015), *Pyrus pyraster* L., and *Sorbus domestica* L. (Šajbidorova *et al.*, 2015). The increases in *D* reflect the activation of several processes of non-photochemical nature during the light period, mostly leading to non-radiative dissipation of the excitation energy as heat (Oxborough, 2004). Our findings on *D* are consistent with those previously reported by Ranjbar (2015) regarding *Smirnovia iranica* shrubs.

The ETR value shows the sum of all electrons sinks in chloroplast, such as carbon fixation, photorespiration, nitrate assimilation, and Mehler reaction. A perturbation or change in any of these parameters affects ETR (Vladkova *et al.*, 2011). Similar to the findings of the present study, previous authors have reported decreased ETR for *Smirnovia Iranica* (Ranjbar, 2015) *Mangifera indica* (Cicero *et al.*, 2012), and *Vitis vinifera* (Qing-Ming *et al.*, 2008).

In both rootstock plants, the decreases of Chl (a+b)and Car in parallel with the decreases in F_v/F_m, indicate that the pigment breakdown was accompanied by a decrease in the decreasing maximum photochemical efficiency. Other authors explained this phenomenon as a photo-protection mechanism, in which reducing light absorbance results in a decrease in pigment contents (Galmes et al., 2007; Elsheery and Cao, 2008). The decrease in the chlorophyll content under water stress is a commonly observed phenomenon (Reynolds et al., 2005; Shekari et al., 2015). Therefore, our results are in agreement with those of Ranjbar (2015). The decrease in the chlorophyll content under drought stress might be due to the reduced synthesis of the main chlorophyll pigment complexes encoded by the *cab* gene family (Allakhverdiev et al., 2000), or destruction of chiralmacro-aggregates of light harvesting Chl *a* or *b* pigment protein complexes (CHCIIs) that protect the photosynthetic apparatus (Shirani Rad and Zandi, 2012), or due to the oxidative damage of chloroplast lipids, pigments and proteins (Mafakheri *et al.*, 2010).

In conclusion, the fluorescence parameters, measured in both light and dark states under waterdeficit stress, could be used as a worthy measure to determine stress severity. The results demonstrated a content-dependent decline in photosynthetic pigments Chla, Chlb, and Car content with increasing water stress, while no significant effect on Chl (a/b) and Car/(a+b) ratios were observed. Our data revealed that there was no difference in performance between the two rootstocks in terms of alteration the rate of pigment contents and photosynthetic features against soil-water-deficit conditions.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to acknowledge the University of Kashan for its financial support.

References

- Allakhverdiev SI, Sakamoto A, Nishiyama Y, Murata N (2000) In activation of photosystems I and II in response to osmotic stress in Synechococcus, Contribution of water channels. Journal of Plant Physiology.122, 1201–1208.
- Allakhverdiev SI, Murata N (2008) Salt stress inhibits photosystems II and I in cyanobacteria. Journal of Photosynthesis Research. 98(1-3), 529-539.
- Alves F, Costa J, Costa P, Correia C, Gonçalves B, Soares R, Pereira JM (2013) Grapevine water stress management in Douro Region: Long-term physiology, yield and quality studies in cv. Touriga Nacional. In: Group of International Experts of Vitivini cultural Systems for Co-Operation (ed.). Proc. 18th Int. Symp. GiESCO, Porto, Portugal.

- Arbaby A (2010) Analysis of drought stress effects on vegetation cover using GIS in Kashan area. Journal of Natural Geography. 7, 105–124. [In Persian].
- Arnon D (1949) Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts (phytophenoloxidase), in *Beta vulgaris*. Journal of Plant Physiology. 24, 1-15.
- Azizi A, Hokmabadi H, Piri S, Rabie V (2013) Effect of kaolin application on water stress in pistachio cv. Ohadi. Journal of Nuts. 4(4), 9 -14.
- Bolhar-Nordenkampf HR, Oquist G (1993) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool in photosynthesis research. In: Hall DO, Scurlock JMO, Bolhar-Nordenkampf HR, Leegood RC, Long SP (eds.): Photosynthesis and production in a changing environment: a field and laboratory manual. London: Chapman & Hall; pp. 193-206.
- Chakir S, Jensen M (1999) How does Lobaria pulmoria regulate Photosystem II during progressive desiccation and osmotic water stress? Physiolgia Plantarum.105, 257–265.
- Cicero C. de Lucena, Dalmo L. de Siqueira, Hermínia EPM, Paulo RC (2012) Salt stress change chlorophyll fluorescence in mango. Revista Brasileira de Fruti cultura– SP. 34(4), 1245-1255.
- Crane JC, Iwakiri BT (1981) Morphology and reproduction of pistachio. Journal of Horticultural Reviews. 3, 376–393.
- Elsheery N, Cao K (2008) Gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, and osmotic adjustment in two mango cultivars under drought stress. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 30, 769–777.
- Esmaeilpour A, Van Labeke MC, Samson R, Van Damme P (2015) Osmotic stress affects physiological responses and growth characteristics of three pistachio cultivars. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum.37, 123-137.

- Farooq M, Wahid A, KobayashI N, Fujita D, Basra SMA (2009) Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 29, 153–188.
- Fotouhi Ghazvini R, Sajadian H, Hokmabadi H, Ahmad S (2007) Effects of pistachio rootstocks on ecophysiological characteristics of commercial pistachio cultivars. International Journal of Agricultural Biology. 9(2), 352–354.
- Galmes J, Abadia A, Medrano H, Flexas J (2007) Photosynthesis and Photoprotection responses to water stress in the wild-extinct plant *Lysimachia minoricensis*. Environmental and Experimental Botany.60, 308–317.
- Genty B, Briantais JM, Baker NR (1989) Relationships between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochimicaet Biophysica Acta. 990, 87-92.
- Huseynova IM (2012) Photosynthetic characteristics and enzymatic antioxidant capacity of leaves from wheat cultivars exposed to drought. Biochimicaet Biophysica Acta. 1817(8), 1516-1523.
- Jaleel CA, Manivannan P, Wahid A, Farooq M, AL-Juburi HJ, Somasundaram R, Panneerselvam R (2009) Drought stress plants: a review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 11, 100–105.
- Karimi S, Rahemi, Maftoun M, Tavallali V (2009) Effects of long term salinity on growth and performance of two pistachio rootstocks. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 3(3), 1630-1639.
- Kate M, Giles Johnson N (2000) Chlorophyll fluorescence a practical guide. Journal of Experimental Botany. 51(345), 659-668.

- Kirk J, Allen R (1965) Dependence of chloroplast pigment synthesis on protein synthesis: Effect of ctidione. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 21(6), 523-530.
- Lichtenthaler HK, Buschmann C, Knapp M (2005) How to correctly determine the different chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and the chlorophyll fluorescence decrease ratio RFd of leaves with the PAM fluorometer. Photosynthetica. 43(3), 379-393.
- Liu M, Qi H, Zhang ZP, Song ZW, Kou TJ, Zhang WJ, Yu JL (2012) Response of photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence to drought stress in two maize cultivars. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 7(34), 4751-4760.
- Mafakheri A, Siosemardeh A, Bahramnejad B, Struik P, Sohrabi Y (2010) Effects of drought stress on yield, proline and chlorophyll contents in three chickpea cultivars. Australian Journal of Crop Sciences. 4(8), 580-585.
- Maxwell K, Johnson GN (2000) Chlorophyll fluorescence - A practical guide. Journal of Experimental Botany. 51, 659-668.
- Muller P, Li XP, Niyogi KK (2001) Non-photochemical quenching, A response to excess light energy. Journal of Plant Physiology. 125, 1558–1566.
- Oxborough K (2004) Using chlorophyll *a* fluorescence imaging to monitor photosynthetic performance.
 In: Papageorgiou GC, Govindjee (ed.): Chlorophyll *a* Fluorescence: A Signature of Photosynthesis. pp. 409-428. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Picchioni GA, Miyamota S (1990) Salt effects on growth and ion uptake of pistachio rootstock seedlings. Journal of American Society for Horticultural Sciences.115, 647-653.
- Qing-Ming L, Bin-Bin L, Yang W, Zhi-Rong Z (2008) Interactive effects of drought stress and elevated CO₂ concentrations on photochemistry

efficiency of cucumber seedlings. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology. 50(10), 1307-17.

- Ranjbar A (2015) Variation characteristics of chlorophyll fluorescence of a typical Eremophyte (*SmirnoviaIranica* (Sabeti)) during phenological stages in the sand drift desert (Case study: In Kashan Region). Desert. 21(1), 35-41.
- Ranjbar Fordoei A, Samson R, Lemeur R (2001)Effects of Drought Stress Induced by Polyethylene Glycol on Pigment Content and Photosynthetic Gas Exchange of *PistaciaKhinjuk* and *P. Mutica*.Photosynthetica.38, 445-447.
- Ranjbar Fordoei A, Samson R, Van Damme P (2006) Chlorophyll fluorescence performance of sweet almond (*Prunusdulcis* (Miller) in response to salinity stress induced by NaCl. Photosynthetica. 44(4), 513-522.
- Reynolds MP, Kazi AM, Sawkins M (2005) Prospects for utilizing plant adaptive mechanisms to improve wheat and other crops in drought and salinity prone environments. Annals of Applied Biology. 146, 239-259.
- Rohácek K (2006) Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters: the definitions, photosynthetic meaning and mutual relationships. Photosynthetica. 40(1), 13-29.
- Rong-Hua L, Pei-guo G, Baum M, Grando S, Ceccarelli S (2006) Evaluation of chlorophyllcontent and fluorescence parameters as indicators of drought tolerance in barley. Agricultural Sciences in China. 5(10), 751-757.
- Šajbidorova V, Lichtnerová H, Paganová V (2015) The impact of different water regime on chlorophyll fluorescence of *Pyrus pyraster* L. and *Sorbus domestica* L. Acta Universitatis Agricultirae Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis. 63(5), 1575–1579.

- Sayed OH (2003) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool in cereal crop research. Photosynthetica. 41(3), 321-330.
- Schreiber U, Bilger W, Hormann H, Neubauer C (1998)
 Chlorophyll fluorescence as a diagnostic tool: basics and some aspects of practical relevance.
 In: Raghavendra AS (ed.): Photosynthesis: a comprehensive treatise. Cambridge. pp. 320-336. Cambridge University Press.
- Shekari F, Soltaniband V, Javanmard A, Abbasi A (2015) The impact of drought stress at different stages of development on water relations, stomatal density and quality changes of rapeseed *Brassica napus*. Iran Agricultural Research, 34(2), 81-90.
- Shilpi M, Narendra T (2005) Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview, Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics. 444, 139–158.
- Silva MM, Zamperlini GP, Costa AN, Costa AF, Caetano LCS, Silva DM (2008) ComportamentoFotossintético de Cultivares de Mangueira, a pleno sol, no estado do Esporito Santo. In: Congress o Brasileiro De Fruticultura, 20. Vitória. Anais.
- Souza RP, Machado EC, Silva JAB, Lagôa AMMA, Silveria JAG (2004) Photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and some associated metabolic changes in cowpea (*Vignaun guiculata*) during water stress and recovery. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 51, 45-56.

- Vladkova R, Dobrikova AG, Singh R, Misra AN, Apostolova E (2011) Photoelectron transport ability of chloroplast thylakoid membranes treated with NO donor SNP: Changes in flash oxygen evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence, *Nitric Oxide.* Journal of Biological Chemistry. 24, 84-90.
- Yordanov I, Velikova V, Tsonev T (2003) Plant responses to drought and stress tolerance. Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology. Special Issue. 187–206.
- Zhang Y, Xie Z, Wang Y, Su P, An L, Gao H (2011) Effects of water stress on leaf photosynthesis, chlorophyll content and growth of oriental lily. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology. 58(5), 844–850.
- Zhao L, Wang P, Chen C, Liu H, Ma L (2014) Effects of Drought Stress on Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters of *Lotus corniculatus*. Grassland of China. 1, 18-23.
- Zlatev Z (2009) Drought-induced changes in chlorophyll fluorescence of young wheat plants.
 Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment.
 Special edition. 23(2), 438-44.
- Zlatev Z, Yordanov IT (2004) Effects of soil drought on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence in bean plants. Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology. 30(3-4), 3-18.