

## Generalized notion of character amenability

A. Bodaghi<sup>a\*</sup>, F. Anousheh<sup>b</sup> and S. Etemad<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University,  
Garmsar, Iran.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch,  
Tehran, Iran.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University,  
Tabriz, Iran.

Received 21 September 2013; revised 7 December 2013; accepted 20 December 2013.

---

**Abstract.** This paper continues the investigation of the first author begun in part one. The hereditary properties of  $n$ -homomorphism amenability for Banach algebras are investigated and the relations between  $n$ -homomorphism amenability of a Banach algebra and its ideals are found. Analogous to the character amenability, it is shown that the tensor product of two unital Banach algebras is  $n$ -homomorphism amenable if and only if each one is  $n$ -homomorphism amenable.

© 2013 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:** amenability, contractibility,  $n$ -homomorphism.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 39B52, 47H10, 39B82, 46H25.

### 1. Introduction

In [6], Kaniuth, Lau and Pym introduced and investigated a large class of Banach algebras which they called  $\phi$ -amenable Banach algebras (see also [7]). Let  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of all nonzero homomorphisms (characters) from a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . Given  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ , a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\phi$ -amenable if there exists  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  such that  $\langle m, \phi \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \phi(a) \langle m, f \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, the notion of (right) character amenability was introduced and studied by Monfared [8]. Character amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$  being  $\phi$ -amenable for all  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  having

---

\*Corresponding author.  
E-mail address: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com (A. Bodaghi).

a bounded right approximate identity. One of the fundamental results of Monfared was that the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  is a (right) character amenable Banach algebra if and only if  $G$  is an amenable locally compact group. For  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ , Hu et al. [5] introduced and studied the notion of  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\phi$ -contractible if there exists a (right)  $\phi$ -diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ ; that is, an element  $\mathbf{m}$  in the projective tensor product  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m})) = \mathbf{1}$  and  $a \cdot m = \phi(a)\mathbf{m}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\pi$  denotes the product morphism from  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  given by  $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. A linear map  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called  $n$ -homomorphism if  $\varphi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \varphi(a_1)\varphi(a_2) \cdots \varphi(a_n)$  for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . We denote the set of all nonzero  $n$ -homomorphisms from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $\Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ . It is clear that every character on  $\mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ , but the converse is not true, in general. However, each element of  $\Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  can induce a character on  $\mathcal{A}$  [1, Theorem 2.3]. Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Consider  $\phi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\phi(u) = 1$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $m$  is said to be  $n$ - $\phi$ -mean on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  (at  $u$ ) if  $m(\phi) = 1$  and  $m(f \cdot a) = \phi(u^n a)m(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $n$ - $\phi$ -amenable if there exists a  $n$ - $\phi$ -mean  $m$  on  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . We say  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ -0-amenable if  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ , for any Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$  for which the left action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  is zero, where  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$  is the first cohomology group of  $\mathcal{A}$  with coefficients in  $X^*$ . Also  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $n$ -homomorphism amenable if  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $n$ - $\phi$ -amenable for all  $\phi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ . The first author [1] showed that  $L^1(G)$  is a  $n$ -homomorphism amenable if and only if  $G$  is an amenable locally compact group.

In this paper we study the hereditary properties of  $n$ -homomorphism amenability for a Banach algebra. We also introduce the concept of  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractibility for Banach algebras and characterize such Banach algebras.

## 2. Main Results

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra, and  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A bounded linear map  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  is called a *derivation* if  $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we define a map  $D_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  by

$$D_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

It is easy to see that  $D_x$  is a derivation. Derivations of this form are called *inner derivations* [4].

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Then the dual space  $X^*$  of  $X$  is also a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by the following module actions:

$$\langle a \cdot f, x \rangle = \langle f, x \cdot a \rangle, \quad \langle f \cdot a, x \rangle = \langle f, a \cdot x \rangle, \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, f \in X^*).$$

A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *amenable* if every continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $X^*$  is inner, for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  and take  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$ . If  $X$  is a Banach space, then  $X$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module by the following action:

$$a \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)x \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X). \quad (1)$$

Note that in this case, the right action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on the dual  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X^*$  is defined by  $f \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)f$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in X^*$ .

From now on, we assume that  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , the second dual of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , is equipped with the first Arens product [2]. The canonical images of  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  will be denoted by  $\hat{a}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ , respectively. It is proved in [3, Theorem 4.1] that if

$\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $\varphi^{**} \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . Therefore each  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  extends uniquely to element  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^{**} \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . It is well-known that  $\ker \varphi$  is weak\*-dense in  $\ker \varphi^{**}$  and  $\ker \varphi^{**} = (\ker \varphi)^{**}$  (for further details refer to [2]).

One should remember that if  $\varphi$  is a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the left module structure (1) and the definition of  $n$ - $\varphi$ -mean ( $n$ -homomorphism amenability) and  $\varphi$ -amenability (Character amenability) of  $\mathcal{A}$  coincide (see [6] and [8]).

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable (at  $u$ );
- (ii) If  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule in which the left action is given by  $a \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)x$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ ;
- (iii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on  $(\ker \varphi)^*$  from left as  $a \cdot f = \varphi(u^n a)f$  for all  $f \in (\ker \varphi)^*$  and naturally from right, then every continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\ker \varphi^{**}$  is inner.

**Proof.** The equivalence of (i) and (ii) has been shown in [1, Theorem 2.1]. The implication (ii) $\implies$ (iii) is trivial. For (iii) $\implies$ (i), define an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure on  $X = \mathcal{A}$  by  $a \cdot x = ax$  and  $x \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)x$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule such that  $a \cdot f = \varphi(u^n a)f$  and  $f \cdot a$  is as usual for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also the  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is defined by  $m \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)m$  and  $a \cdot m$  is as usual for every  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to check that  $\ker \varphi$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $X$  and  $(\ker \varphi)^\perp$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $(\ker \varphi)^* \cong \frac{\mathcal{A}^*}{(\ker \varphi)^\perp}$ . So for any  $g \in (\ker \varphi)^*$ ,  $a \cdot g = \varphi(u^n a)g$  and the right action is the natural one. Define  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  through

$$D(a) = a \cdot \widehat{u^n} - \widehat{u^n} \cdot a = \widehat{au^n} - \varphi(u^n a)\widehat{u^n} = (au^n - \varphi(u^n a)u^n)\widehat{\phantom{x}}$$

Therefore  $D$  is a derivation. We have

$$\varphi(au^n - \varphi(u^n a)u^n) = \varphi(au^n) - \varphi(u^n a)\varphi(u^n) = \varphi(au^n) - \varphi(u^n a) = 0.$$

So  $au^n - \varphi(u^n a)u^n \in \ker \varphi$  and thus  $D(a) \in \ker \varphi^{**}$ . This shows that  $D$  is a derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\ker \varphi^{**}$ . By hypothesis there is  $p \in \ker \varphi^{**}$  such that  $D(a) = a \cdot p - p \cdot a = a \cdot p - \varphi(u^n a)p$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $m = \widehat{u^n} - p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Then  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)m$  where  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . So for each  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $m(f \cdot a) = (a \cdot m)(f) = \varphi(u^n a)m(f)$ . Also,

$$m(\varphi) = \widehat{u^n}(\varphi) - p(\varphi) = \varphi(u^n) - p(\varphi) = \varphi(u)^n = 1.$$

Therefore  $m$  is a  $n$ - $\varphi$ -mean on  $\mathcal{A}$  (at  $u$ ). This completes the proof. ■

It is shown in [1] that the definition of  $n$ - $\phi$ -amenability is independent from the choice of  $u$  and it is enough that the above Theorem holds for some  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(u) = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable if and only if there exists a bounded net  $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|au_\alpha - \varphi(u^n a)u_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi(u_\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha$ .

**Proof.** It is similar to the proof of [6, Theorem 1.4] with some modifications. ■

For any Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$ , consider the following set

$$Z(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{f \in X^* : a \cdot f = f \cdot a \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The proof of the next result is similar to the proof of implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) from [6, Theorem 1.5], so it is omitted.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable then for any Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$  such that  $a \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and any Banach submodule  $Y$  of  $X$ , every element of  $Z(\mathcal{A}, Y^*)$  extends to some element of  $Z(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra with identity  $e$  and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\varphi(e)^{n-1} = 1$ , and thus  $\varphi(e) = \omega_k$  for some  $k$  where  $0 \leq k < n-1$  in which  $\omega_k = e^{\frac{2k\pi}{n-1}i}$  is the  $(n-1)$ -th root of 1.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be Banach algebras and  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  be the projective tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . For  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\psi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{B})$ , consider  $\varphi \otimes \psi$  by  $(\varphi \otimes \psi)(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a)\psi(b)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . It is clear that  $\varphi \otimes \psi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B})$ . Now, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are unital with identities  $e_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $e_{\mathcal{B}}$ , respectively. Let  $f \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B})$ . Define  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\varphi(a) := f(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $\psi(b) := [f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})]^{n-2} f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . One can easily check that  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\psi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{B})$ . Since  $f$  is a  $n$ -homomorphism, for each  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , we can write

$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = f(a_1 \cdots a_n \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) = f(a_1 \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdots f(a_n \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) = \varphi(a_1) \cdots \varphi(a_n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(b_1 \cdots b_n) &= [f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})]^{n-2} f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1 \cdots b_n) \\ &= [f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})]^{(n-1)(n-2)} [f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})]^{n-2} f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1 \cdots b_n) \\ &= [f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})]^{(n)(n-2)} f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1) \cdots f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_n) \\ &= \psi(b_1) \cdots \psi(b_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$(\varphi \otimes \psi)(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a)\psi(b) = f(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})[f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})]^{n-2} f(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b) = f(a \otimes b).$$

Summing up:

$$\Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}) = \{\varphi \otimes \psi : \varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A}), \psi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

The following theorem shows that  $n$ - $\varphi \otimes \psi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  (at  $u \otimes v$ ) is equivalent to  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  (at  $u$ ) and  $n$ - $\psi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{B}$  (at  $v$ ). The idea of the proof is taken from [6, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital Banach algebras, let  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\psi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$  and  $\psi(v) = 1$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi \otimes \psi$ -amenable (at  $u \otimes v$ ) if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable (at  $u$ ) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\psi$ -amenable (at  $v$ ).

**Proof.** First, assume that  $m$  is an  $n$ - $\varphi \otimes \psi$ -mean on  $(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B})^*$  (at  $u \otimes v$ ). Define  $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  by  $\bar{m}(f) = m(f \otimes \psi)$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Then  $\bar{m}(\varphi) = m(\varphi \otimes \psi) = 1$ . We know that

$\psi \cdot v^{n-1} = \psi$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{m}(f \cdot a) &= m(f \cdot a \otimes \psi) = m(f \cdot a \otimes \psi \cdot v^{n-1}) \\ &= m((f \otimes \psi) \cdot (a \otimes v^{n-1})) \\ &= \varphi(u^n a)\psi(v^n v^{n-1})m(f \otimes \psi) \\ &= \varphi(u^n a)\bar{m}(f) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that we have used the equality  $\psi(v^n v^{n-1}) = \psi(v^n) = 1$  in the above statements. Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable. Similarly, one can show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\psi$ -amenable.

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $n$ - $\psi$ -amenable. To prove the  $n$ - $\varphi \otimes \psi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ , we can assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are unital algebras with identities  $e_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $e_{\mathcal{B}}$ , respectively. By Theorem 2.1, (ii) $\implies$ (i) it suffices to show that if  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that  $(a \otimes b) \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)\psi(v^n b)x$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $H^1(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}, X^*) = \{0\}$ . Let  $D : \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X^*$  be a continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  into  $X^*$ . Then it is obvious that the mapping  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$  defined by  $D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = D(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})$  is a continuous derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $X^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable, by Theorem 2.1, (i) $\implies$ (ii) there exists  $f \in X^*$  such that

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = D_f(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) = (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot f - f \cdot (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\tilde{D} = D - D_f$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  commute,

$$(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b) = \tilde{D}(a \otimes b) = \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b) \cdot (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Therefore  $D_g(\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) = \{0\}$  for every  $g \in \overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})}$ , the  $w^*$ -closure of  $\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$  in  $X^*$ . Now, let  $Y$  be the annihilator of  $\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$  in  $X$ . Considering  $X$  as a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule (as we did with  $\mathcal{A}$  above),  $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -submodule. Indeed, for  $y \in Y$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  we can write

$$\langle \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1), (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_2) \cdot y \rangle = \langle \psi(v^n b_2)\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1), y \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1), y \cdot (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_2) \rangle &= \langle (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_2) \cdot \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1), y \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_2 b_1), y \rangle - \langle \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_2) \cdot (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1), y \rangle \\ &= -\langle \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_2), (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b_1) \cdot y \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $X/Y$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule satisfying  $b \cdot (x + Y) = \psi(v^n b)(x + Y)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $(X/Y)^* = \overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})}$ . Since the restriction of  $\tilde{D}$  to  $e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ , that is  $\tilde{D}|_{e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  defines a continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{B}$  into  $\overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\psi$ -amenable, there is  $g \in \overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})} \subseteq X^*$  such that

$$\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b) = D_g(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b) = (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b) \cdot g - g \cdot (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b)$$

for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Also  $g \in \overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})}$  implies that  $D_g|_{\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}} = 0$ . Thus,  $\tilde{D} - D_g$  is a continuous derivation of  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  that vanishes on  $\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}$  and on  $e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cup (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$  generates  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\tilde{D} - D_g$  vanishes on all of  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ . This shows that  $D = D_f + D_g = D_{f+g}$ , as required. Thus,  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi \otimes \psi$ -amenable (at  $u \otimes v$ ).  $\blacksquare$

Note that in the first part of the above proof, algebras being unital are not necessary. In analogy with  $\varphi$ -amenability, we have the following theorem for  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenability of the homomorphic image.

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be Banach algebras and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a continuous homomorphism with dense range. If  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi \circ h$ -amenable (at  $u$ ), then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable (at  $h(u)$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  satisfying  $m(\varphi \circ h) = 1$  and  $m(f \cdot a) = (\varphi \circ h)(u^n a)m(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define  $m' \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$  by  $m'(g) = m(g \circ h)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$ . Then  $m'(\varphi) = m(\varphi \circ h) = 1$ . Since  $h(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have  $m'(g \cdot b) = \varphi((h(u))^n b)m'(g)$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$ . It suffices to verify this equation for  $b$  of the form  $b = h(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now we have

$$\langle (g \cdot h(a)) \circ h, a' \rangle = \langle g, h(a)h(a') \rangle = \langle g \circ h, aa' \rangle = \langle (g \circ h) \cdot a, a' \rangle$$

for all  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} m'(g \cdot b) &= m'(g \cdot h(a)) = m((g \cdot h(a)) \circ h) \\ &= m((g \circ h) \cdot a) = (\varphi \circ h)(u^n a)m(g \circ h) \\ &= \varphi(h(u^n a))m(g \circ h) = \varphi((h(u))^n h(a))m(g \circ h) \\ &= \varphi((h(u))^n b)m'(g) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , as required. ■

**Corollary 2.6** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $n$ -homomorphism amenable, then so is  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ .

The following proposition shows the relationship between  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenability of a Banach algebra and its second dual. As we saw already,  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  extends uniquely to  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .

**Proposition 2.7** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenable if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $n$ - $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable.

**Proof.** Let  $m$  be an  $n$ - $\varphi$ -mean on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  (at  $u$ ). For each  $p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^{***}$ , take bounded nets  $(a_j) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $(f_k) \in \mathcal{A}^*$  with  $\hat{a}_j \xrightarrow{w^*} p$  and  $\hat{f}_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \lambda$ . We identify  $m$  as an element  $\hat{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{****}$ . Thus  $\hat{m}(\tilde{\varphi}) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{m}, \lambda \cdot p \rangle &= \langle \lambda, p \cdot m \rangle = \lim_k \langle p \cdot m, f_k \rangle = \lim_k \langle p, m \cdot f_k \rangle \\ &= \lim_k \lim_j \langle m \cdot f_k, a_j \rangle = \lim_k \lim_j \langle m, f_k \cdot a_j \rangle \\ &= \lim_k \lim_j \varphi(u^n a_j) \langle m, f_k \rangle = \lim_j \varphi(u^n a_j) \lim_k \langle m, f_k \rangle \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(u^n p) \langle \hat{m}, \lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $n$ - $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable.

Conversely, suppose that  $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}^{****}$  satisfies  $\Phi(\tilde{\varphi}) = 1$  and  $\Phi(\lambda \cdot p) = \tilde{\varphi}(u^n p)\Phi(\lambda)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^{***}$ . Then, the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is an  $n$ - $\varphi$ -mean on  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . ■

To prove the next theorem, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $I$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi|_I \neq 0$ , then  $n$ - $\varphi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  implies  $n$ - $\bar{\varphi}$ -amenability of  $I$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\varphi|_I \neq 0$ , there is  $x \in I$  such that  $\varphi(x) = 1$ . By the paragraph after Theorem 2.1 in [1], we can suppose that  $u \in I$ . Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -mean on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  (at  $u$ ). Then  $m(f \cdot a) = 0$  for all  $a \in I$  and  $f \in I^\perp$  (since  $f \cdot a = 0$ ), that is,  $\varphi(u^n a)m(f) = 0$ . It follows from  $\varphi(u^2) \neq 0$  and  $\varphi|_I \neq 0$  that  $m(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in I^\perp$ . Thus  $m$  gives rise to a bounded linear functional  $\tilde{m}$  on  $I^*$  defined by  $\tilde{m}(g) = m(f)$ , for  $g \in I^*$ , where  $f$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  extending  $g$ . We have  $\tilde{m}(\bar{\varphi}) = m(\varphi) = 1$ . For any  $g \in I^*$  and  $a \in I$

$$\tilde{m}(g \cdot a) = m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(u^n a)m(f) = \varphi(u^n a)\tilde{m}(g).$$

Note that in the above equalities,  $(f \cdot a)|_I = g \cdot a$ . Therefore  $I$  is  $n$ - $\bar{\varphi}$ -amenable. ■

**Theorem 2.9** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $n$ -homomorphism amenable Banach algebra and  $I$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then,  $I$  is  $n$ -homomorphism amenable if and only if  $I$  has a bounded right approximate identity.

**Proof.** It is well-known that the existence of a bounded right approximate identity for  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$  for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$  for which the left module action of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $a \cdot x = 0$ . For the converse, let  $I$  have a bounded right approximate identity  $(a_j)$ . In view of Lemma 2.8 it is sufficient to show that every  $\psi \in \Delta^{(n)}(I)$  extends to some  $\tilde{\psi} \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ . The kernel of  $\psi$ , say  $J$ , is a closed right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $x \in J$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $xa = \lim xaa_j^{n-1}$ . We also have  $\psi(xaa_j^{n-1}) = \psi(x)\psi(aa_j)\psi(a_j)^{n-2} = 0$ . Thus  $xaa_j^{n-1} \in J$  and so  $xa \in J$ .

Let  $u \in I$  such that  $\psi(u) = 1$ . Then  $u^{n-1}$  is an identity of  $I$  modulo  $J$ , that is, for any  $x \in I$ ,  $x - xu^{n-1} \in J$  and  $x - u^{n-1}x \in J$ . Define  $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(u^{n-1}x)$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . We wish to show that  $\tilde{\psi} \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\tilde{\psi}|_I = \psi$ . First note that for each  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$u^{n-1}xu^{n-1}y - u^{n-1}xy = (u^{n-1}xu^{n-1} - u^{n-1}x)y \in J.$$

Now, for each  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(x_1)\tilde{\psi}(x_2) \cdots \tilde{\psi}(x_{n-1})\tilde{\psi}(x_n) &= \psi(u^{n-1}x_1)\psi(u^{n-1}x_2) \cdots \psi(u^{n-1}x_{n-1})\psi(u^{n-1}x_n) \\ &= \psi(u^{n-1}x_1u^{n-1}x_2 \cdots u^{n-1}x_{n-1}u^{n-1}x_n) \\ &= \psi(u^{n-1}x_1u^{n-1}x_2 \cdots u^{n-1}x_{n-1}x_n) \\ &= \cdots = \psi(u^{n-1}x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}x_n) \\ &= \tilde{\psi}(x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}x_n). \end{aligned}$$

The above statements show that  $I$  is  $n$ -homomorphism amenable. ■

**Definition 2.10** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible, if every continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  is inner, whenever  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with left action of  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $X$  is given by  $a \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)x$  with  $\varphi(u) = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.11** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible (at  $u$ );
- (ii) There exists  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi(m) = 1$  and  $m \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)m$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\implies$ (ii): Define an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure on  $X = \mathcal{A}$  by  $a \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)x, x \cdot a = xa$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in X$ . Since  $\varphi(D(a)) = \varphi(u^n a)\varphi(u^n) - \varphi(u^n a) = 0$  and  $\ker \varphi$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $X$ ,

$$D(a) = a \cdot u^n - u^n \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)u^n - u^n \cdot a, (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

defines a continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\ker \varphi$ . Due to  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists  $p \in \ker \varphi$  such that  $D(a) = a \cdot p - p \cdot a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence, the element  $m = u^n - p \in \mathcal{A}$  has the required properties because  $\varphi(m) = \varphi(u^n - p) = 1$  and

$$\varphi(u^n a)u^n - u^n \cdot a = D(a) = a \cdot p - p \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)p - p \cdot a.$$

Therefore

$$\varphi(u^n a)m = \varphi(u^n a)(u^n - p) = (u^n - p) \cdot a = m \cdot a$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) $\implies$ (i): Suppose that  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\varphi(m) = 1$  and  $m \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)m$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $X$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with the left action  $a \cdot x = \varphi(u^n a)x$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in X$ . Put  $x_0 = D(m)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 \cdot a &= D(m) \cdot a = D(m \cdot a) - m \cdot D(a) \\ &= D(m \cdot a) - \varphi(u^n m)D(a) \\ &= \varphi(u^n a)D(m) - \varphi(u^2) \overbrace{\varphi(u) \dots \varphi(u)}^{(n-2)\text{-times}} \varphi(m)D(a) \\ &= \varphi(u^n a)D(m) - \varphi(u^2)D(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\varphi(u^2)D(a) = \varphi(u^n a)x_0 - x_0 \cdot a = a \cdot x_0 - x_0 \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Hence  $D(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ , where  $x = \frac{1}{\varphi(u^2)}x_0$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible. ■

**Proposition 2.12** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\ker(\varphi)$  has a left identity, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible (at  $u$ ).

**Proof.** Choose  $b \in \ker(\varphi)$  such that  $ba = a$  for every  $a \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Let  $m = u^n - bu^n$ . We have

$$\varphi(m) = \varphi(u^n) - \varphi(bu^n) = \varphi(u^n) - \varphi(b)\varphi(u)^{n-2}\varphi(u^2) = 1.$$

If  $a \in \ker(\varphi)$ , then  $ma = u^n a - bu^n a = u^n a - u^n a = 0$  (since  $u^n a \in \ker(\varphi)$ ). But  $\varphi(u^n a) = 0$  implies that  $\varphi(u^n a)m = 0$  and so  $ma = \varphi(u^n a)m$ , for every  $a \in \ker(\varphi)$ . On



the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} mu - \varphi(u^n u)m &= u^n u - bu^n u - \varphi(u^{n+1})(u^n - bu^n) \\ &= u^{n+1} - \varphi(u^{n+1})u^n - b(u^{n+1} - \varphi(u^{n+1})u^n) \\ &= t - bt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = u^{n+1} - \varphi(u^{n+1})u^n \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Note that  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(u^{n+1}) - \varphi(u^{n+1})\varphi(u^n) = \varphi(u^{n+1}) - \varphi(u^{n+1}) = 0$ . As  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}u \oplus \ker \varphi$ , for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $ma = \varphi(u^n a)m$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.11 that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$  contractible. ■

**Proposition 2.13** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible and has a left identity, then  $\ker(\varphi)$  has a left identity.

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 2.11 that there exist  $m_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $m_1 \cdot a = \varphi(u^n a)m_1$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi(m_1) = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}u \oplus \ker \varphi$  and  $\varphi(m_1) = 1$ , we have  $m_1 = u + a_1$  for some  $a_1 \in \ker \varphi$ . Suppose that  $m_2 = \lambda u + a_2$  is a left identity for  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $a_2 \in \ker \varphi$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Put  $e = a_2 - \lambda a_1$ . We have  $m_1 \cdot a = \varphi(u^2)\varphi(u)^{n-2}\varphi(a)m_1 = 0$  and  $m_2 \cdot a = a$  for all  $a \in \ker \varphi$ . Therefore  $e$  is a left identity for  $\ker \varphi$ . ■

**Theorem 2.14** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be Banach algebras and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a continuous homomorphism with dense range. If  $\varphi \in \Delta^{(n)}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi \circ h$ -contractible (at  $u$ ), then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible (at  $h(u)$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $(\varphi \circ h)(m) = 1$  and  $m \cdot a = (\varphi \circ h)(u^n a)m$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $m' = h(m) \in \mathcal{B}$ . So  $\varphi(m') = \varphi(h(m)) = 1$ . For each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , where  $b = h(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} m' \cdot b &= m' \cdot h(a) = h(m) \cdot h(a) \\ &= h(m \cdot a) = h((\varphi \circ h)(u^n a)m) \\ &= (\varphi \circ h)(u^n a)h(m) \\ &= \varphi((h(u))^n h(a))m' \\ &= \varphi((h(u))^n b)m'. \end{aligned}$$

Now, density of the range and continuity of  $h$  implies that  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $n$ - $\varphi$ -contractible (at  $h(u)$ ). ■

### Acknowledgement

The authors sincerely thank the anonymous reviewer for his careful reading, constructive comments and fruitful suggestions to improve the quality of the manuscript.

### References

- [1] A. Bodaghi, *n-homomorphism amenability*, Proc. Rom. Aca., Series A, **14**, No.2 (2013), 101-105.
- [2] J. Duncan and S. A. Hosseiniun, *The second dual of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Soc., **84A** (1979), 309–325.

- [3] S. Hejazian, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *n-homomorphisms*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 31, No. 1 (2005), 13–23.
- [4] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **127**(Providence, 1972).
- [5] Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math., **193** (2009), 53–78.
- [6] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau, and J. Pym, *On  $\varphi$ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **144** (2008), 85–96.
- [7] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **344** (2008), 942–955.
- [8] M. S. Monfared, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **144** (2008), 697–706.