

Fixed Point Theorems for semi λ -subadmissible Contractions in b -Metric spaces

R.J. Shahkoobi^a, A. Razani^{a*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.*

Received 11 December 2014; Revised 15 March 2015; Accepted 9 April 2015.

Abstract. Here, a new certain class of contractive mappings in the b -metric spaces is introduced. Some fixed point theorems are proved which generalize and modify the recent results in the literature. As an application, some results in the b -metric spaces endowed with a partial ordered are proved.

© 2015 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fixed point, b -metric.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 46N40, 47H10, 54H25, 46T99.

1. Introduction

The existence of a fixed point is studied by many authors. The notion of b -metric space was first explained by Bakhtin in [2] and then widely utilized by Czerwik in [6] (this space is a metric type spaces defined by Khamsi and Hussain [18]). Since then, many researches deal with fixed point theory for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in b -metric spaces (see, [3, 6, 7] and references therein). Meanwhile, Samet *et al.* [30] presented the notions of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mappings and founded several fixed point theorems for such mappings outline under the complete metric spaces. Subsequently, Salimi *et al.* [28] and Hussain *et al.* [13] improved the concepts of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mappings and studied some fixed point theorems. In this paper, a new classes of contractive mappings is introduced in order to study some fixed point theorems in the b -metric spaces.

*Corresponding author.
E-mail address: razani@ipm.ir (A. Razani).

Definition 1.1 [6] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a b -metric if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

- (b₁) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (b₂) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (b₃) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

Then the tripled (X, d, s) is called a b -metric space.

Definition 1.2 [5] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called:

- (a) b -convergent if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
- (b) b -Cauchy if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$, as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 1.3 [5, Remark 2.1] In a b -metric space (X, d) the following assertions hold:

- p_1 . A b -convergent sequence has a unique limit.
- p_2 . Each b -convergent sequence is b -Cauchy.
- p_3 . In general a b -metric is not continuous.

Lemma 1.4 [1] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with $s \geq 1$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are b -convergent to x, y , respectively. Then

$$\frac{1}{s^2}d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq s^2d(x, y).$$

In particular, if $x = y$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$. Moreover, for each $z \in X$

$$\frac{1}{s}d(x, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq sd(x, z).$$

For more details on b -metric spaces the reader can refer to [7]-[11].

Definition 1.5 [30] Let T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. T is an α -admissible mapping if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \quad \implies \quad \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1.$$

Definition 1.6 [16] Let T be an α -admissible mapping. We say that T is a triangular α -admissible mapping if $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ implies that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$.

Lemma 1.7 [16] Let T be a triangular α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Define sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0$. Then

$$\alpha(x_m, x_n) \geq 1 \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m < n.$$

Definition 1.8 [12] Let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$. We say that T is an α -continuous mapping if for given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$.

Definition 1.9 Let T be a self-mapping on X and let $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. We say that T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping if

$$x \in X, \quad \lambda(x) \leq 1 \quad \implies \quad \lambda(Tx) \leq 1.$$

Example 1.10 Let $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $Tx = x^3$. Suppose that $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by $\lambda(x) = e^x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping. Indeed, if $\lambda(x) = e^x \leq 1$ then $x \leq 0$ which implies that $Tx \leq 0$. Therefore $\lambda(Tx) = e^{Tx} \leq 1$.

Consistent with Khan *et al.* [17] we denote by Ψ the set of all function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ (which is called an altering distance function) if the following conditions hold:

- φ is continuous and non-decreasing.
- $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Motivated by Kumam and Roldán [20] we introduce the following class of mappings which is suitable for our results.

Let Θ denote the set of all functions $\theta : R^{+4} \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying:

- (Θ_1) θ is continuous and increasing in all its variables;
- (Θ_2) $\theta(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = 0$ iff either $t_1 = 0$ or $t_4 = 0$.

2. Main Theorems

In this section we stat the Main results. The first theorem is based on [7, Theorem 4] and [27, Theorem 3].

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space, T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$.
- (ii) T is α -continuous, triangular α -admissible and semi λ -subadmissible mapping.
- (iii) For all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right) \tag{1}$$

where $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi, \theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$. We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows

$$x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_{n-1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $x_n = Tx_n$ and so x_n is a fixed point of f . Hence we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is a triangular α -admissible mapping then by Lemma 1.7

$$\alpha(x_m, x_n) \geq 1 \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m < n.$$

Also, since T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$ then $\lambda(x_1) = \lambda(Tx_0) \leq 1$. Again, since T is semi λ -subadmissible, then $\lambda(x_2) = \lambda(Tx_1) \leq 1$. Continuing this process

$\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then by (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(sd(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(sd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda(x_{n-1})\lambda(x_n) \left[\psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \varphi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \varphi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{2s} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{sd(x_{n-1}, x_n) + sd(x_n, x_{n+1})}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})) \\ &= \theta(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_n)) \\ &= \theta(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), 0) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By (2)-(4) and the properties of ψ and φ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\} \right) - \varphi \left(M(x_{n-1}, x_n) \right) \\ &< \psi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now if

$$\max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1}),$$

then by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \varphi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &< \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\} = d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Therefore

$$\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \psi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) - \varphi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < \psi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})). \tag{6}$$

Since ψ is a non-decreasing mapping, then $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers. Then there exists $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6), we have

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \varphi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq \psi(r).$$

Therefore $\varphi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) = 0$ and hence $r = 0$, *i.e.*,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Now, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a b -Cauchy sequence in X . Assume the contrary, that $\{x_n\}$ is not a b -Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and two subsequences $\{x_{m_i}\}$ and $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that n_i is the smallest index for which

$$n_i > m_i > i \text{ and } d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \geq \varepsilon. \tag{8}$$

That is

$$d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) < \varepsilon. \tag{9}$$

By using (8), (9) and the triangular inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \\ &\leq sd(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i-1}) + sd(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}) \\ &\leq sd(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i-1}) + s^2d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) + s^2d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (7) and taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}).$$

On the other hand

$$d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq sd(x_{m_i-1}, x_{m_i}) + sd(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}).$$

Using (7), (9) and taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon s.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon s. \quad (10)$$

Again using the triangular inequality

$$d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}) \leq sd(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) + sd(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}), \quad (11)$$

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \leq sd(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i-1}) + sd(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \leq sd(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) + sd(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}). \quad (13)$$

Using (7) and (10) and taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (11) and (12) we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}) \leq \varepsilon s^2. \quad (14)$$

Again using (7) and (9) and taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (13)

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (15)$$

Since $\alpha(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \geq 1$, $\lambda(x_{m_i-1}) \leq 1$ and $\lambda(x_{n_i-1}) \leq 1$ then from (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(sd(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i})) &= \psi(sd(Tx_{m_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1})) \\ &\leq \lambda(x_{m_i-1})\lambda(x_{n_i-1}) \left[\psi(M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) - \varphi(M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) \right] \\ &+ \theta \left(d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}) \right) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) - \varphi(M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) \\ &+ \theta \left(d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}) + d(Tx_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{m_i}), d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1})}{2s} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta \left(d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}) \right) \\ &= \theta \left(d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{m_i}), d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{m_i}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (17) and (18) and using (7), (10), (14) and (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} &= \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{s^2}, \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{s}}{2s} \right\} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \\ &= \max \{ \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}), 0, 0, \\ &\quad \frac{\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1})}{2s} \} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \varepsilon s, \frac{\varepsilon s^2 + \varepsilon}{2s} \right\} = \varepsilon s. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon s, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \theta \left(d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{m_i-1}) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \theta \left(d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{m_i}), d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{m_i}) \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon s. \tag{21}$$

Now, taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (16) and using (8), (19) and (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\varepsilon s) &\leq \psi(\text{slim sup}_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i})) \\ &\leq \psi(\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) \\ &\leq \psi(\varepsilon s) - \varphi(\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\varphi(\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1})) = 0,$$

so $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i-1}, x_{n_i-1}) = 0$, which is a contradiction with (21). So $\{x_{n+1}\}$ is a b -Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is a complete b -metric space, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, from (ii) we know T is an α -continuous mapping. Hence $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq sd(x^*, Tx_n) + sd(Tx_n, Tx^*).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq s \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x^*, Tx_n) + s \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tx^*) = 0.$$

So $Tx^* = x^*$. ■

For self-mappings that are not continuous or α -continuous we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space, T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$.
- (ii) T is a triangular α -admissible and semi λ -subadmissible mapping.
- (iii) For all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right),$$

where $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

- (v) If $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$, $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the proof of the Theorem 2.1, we obtain that $\{x_n\}$ is a b -Cauchy sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Since X is complete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ b -converges to x^* . Using the assumption (v), we have $\alpha(x_n, x^*) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x^*) \leq 1$. By (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(sd(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)) &= \psi(sd(Tx_n, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq \lambda(x_n)\lambda(x^*) \left[\psi(M(x_n, x^*)) - \varphi(M(x_n, x^*)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta \left(d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x^*, Tx_n) \right) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_n, x^*)) - \varphi(M(x_n, x^*)) \\ &\quad + \theta \left(d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x^*, Tx_n) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x^*) &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x^*), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{d(x_n, Tx^*) + d(Tx_n, x^*)}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x^*), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{d(x_n, Tx^*) + d(x_{n+1}, x^*)}{2s} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta\left(d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x^*, Tx_n)\right) \\ &= \theta\left(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x^*, x_{n+1})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (23) and (24) and using lemma 1.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(x^*, Tx^*)}{2s^2} &= \min \left\{ d(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{d(x^*, Tx^*)}{2s^2} \right\} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{sd(x^*, Tx^*)}{2s} \right\} = d(x^*, Tx^*), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\theta\left(d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x^*, Tx_n)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly

$$\frac{d(x^*, Tx^*)}{2s^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*) \leq d(x^*, Tx^*). \tag{26}$$

Again, taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (22) and using lemma 1.4 and (25) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x^*, Tx^*)) &= \psi\left(s \frac{1}{s} d(x^*, Tx^*)\right) \leq \psi(\text{slim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq \psi(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*)) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(M(x_n, x^*)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(x^*, Tx^*)) - \varphi(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\varphi(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*)) = 0$. Then, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*) = 0$ which is a contradiction. So, $x^* = Tx^*$. ■

Example 2.3 Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be endowed with the b -metric

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} (|x| + |y|)^2, & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 2x^3 + \sin x, & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, 0) \\ \frac{1}{8}x^2, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{8}x, & \text{if } x \in [1, 2) \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \in [2, +\infty) \end{cases} \quad \alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, +\infty) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } \lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, +\infty) \\ 2x^2 + 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, define $\psi, \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\theta : [0, +\infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = t$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{3}{4}t$ and $\theta(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \min\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$. Clearly (X, d, s) with $s = 2$ is a complete b -metric space, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$. On the other hand, $Tw \in [0, +\infty)$ for all $w \in [0, +\infty)$. Then $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$. That is, T is an α -admissible mapping. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$. So $x, y, z \in [0, +\infty)$ i.e., $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$. Hence T is a triangular α -admissible mapping. Also, let $\lambda(x) \leq 1$. Thus $x \in [0, +\infty)$. That is, $\lambda(Tx) \leq 1$. Thus T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $x_n \in [0, +\infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also $[0, +\infty)$ is a closed set. Then $x \in [0, +\infty)$. That is $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$. Clearly $\alpha(0, T0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(0) \leq 1$.

Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$. So $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$.

Now we consider the following cases:

- Let $x, y \in [0, 1)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) &= 2d(Tx, Ty) = 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{8}y^2\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{32}(x^2 + y^2)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}d(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y) \\ &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \\ &= \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $x, y \in [1, 2)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) &= 2d(Tx, Ty) = 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{8}y\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{32}(x + y)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}d(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y) \\ &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \\ &\leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $x, y \in [2, \infty)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) &= 2d(Tx, Ty) = 2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \leq 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(1 + 1)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}d(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y) \\ &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \\ &\leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $x \in [0, 1)$ and $y \in [1, 2)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) &= 2d(Tx, Ty) = 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{8}y\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{8}y\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{32}(x^2 + y^2)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y) \\ &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \\ &= \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $x \in [0, 1)$ and $y \in [2, \infty)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) &= 2d(Tx, Ty) = 2t\left(\frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{8}y\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{32}(x + y)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}d(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y) \\ &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \\ &= \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $x \in [1, 2)$ and $y \in [2, \infty)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) &= 2d(Tx, Ty) = 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{8}y\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{32}(x + y)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}d(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y) \\ &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \\ &\leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies

$$\psi(2d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx))$$

Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.2 holds and T has a fixed point. Here, $x = 0$ is a fixed point of T .

Corollary 2.4 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space, T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$.
- (ii) T is a triangular α -admissible and semi λ -subadmissible mapping.
- (iii) For all $x, y \in X$

$$\psi(s\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right), \quad (27)$$

where $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

- (v) If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$, $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$. Since ψ is increasing then from (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) &\leq \psi(s\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty)) \\ &\leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all conditions of Theorem 2.2 holds and T has a fixed point. ■

If in Corollary 2.4 we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) for all $x, y \in X$ we have

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right) \quad (28)$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\},$$

- (v) if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

3. Some results in b -metric spaces endowed with a graph

In this section, we show that many fixed point results in b -metric spaces endowed with a graph G (see [4]) can be deduced easily from our presented theorems.

As in [14], let (E, d, s) be a b -metric space and Δ denotes the diagonal of the Cartesian product of $X \times X$. Consider a directed graph G such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with X and the set $E(G)$ of its edges contains all loops, that is $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$. We assume that G has no parallel edges, so we can identify G with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. Moreover, we may treat G as a weighted graph, see [15, P.309], by assigning to each edge the distance between its vertices. If x and y are vertices in a graph G then a path in G from x to y of length N ($N \in \mathbb{N}$) is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$ of $N + 1$ vertices such that $x_0 = x$, $x_N = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Definition 3.1 [14] Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G . We say that a self-mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a Banach G -contraction or simply a G -contraction if T preserves the edges of G that is,

$$\text{for all } x, y \in X, \quad (x, y) \in E(G) \implies (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$$

and T decreases the weights of the edges of G in the following way:

$$\exists \alpha \in (0, 1) \text{ such that for all } x, y \in X, \quad (x, y) \in E(G) \implies d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y).$$

Definition 3.2 [14] A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called G -continuous if given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ imply } Tx_n \rightarrow Tx.$$

Theorem 3.3 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space endowed with a graph G and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is G -continuous and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \implies (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)]$
- (iv) $\forall x, y, z \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(G) \implies (x, z) \in E(G)]$
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right)$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi, \theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } (x, y) \in E(G) \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First we show that T is a triangular α -admissible mapping. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ then $(x, y) \in E(G)$. From (iii) $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$. That is $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$. Also let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$. So $(x, y) \in E(G)$ and $(y, z) \in E(G)$. From (iv) we get $(x, z) \in E(G)$, i.e. $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$. Thus T is a triangular α -admissible mapping. Let T be G -continuous. So

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ imply } Tx_n \rightarrow Tx.$$

That is,

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ imply } Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$$

which implies that T is α -continuous. From (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$. That is $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ then $(x, y) \in E(G)$. Now from (v) we have

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right)$$

Hence all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and T has a fixed point. \blacksquare

In Theorem 3.3 we take $\theta(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \min\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$.

Corollary 3.4 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space endowed with a graph G and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is G -continuous and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)]$
- (iv) $\forall x, y, z \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)]$
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + L \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 3.5 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space endowed with a graph G and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)]$
- (iv) $\forall x, y, z \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)]$
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right) \quad (29)$$

where, $(\psi, \varphi \in \Psi)$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

- (vi) if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define the mapping $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 we can prove that the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. From (vi) we get $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$. That is $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$. Therefore all conditions of Theorem 2.2 holds and T has a fixed point. ■

Corollary 3.6 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space endowed with a graph G and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)]$
- (iv) $\forall x, y, z \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)]$
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + L \min \{ d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \}$$

where, $(\psi, \varphi \in \Psi)$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

- (vi) if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

4. Some results in b -metric spaces endowed with a partial ordered

The existence of fixed points in partially ordered sets has been considered by many authors (such as [19], [21–26] and [29] etc.). Later on, some generalizations of [26] are given in [27]. Several applications of these results to matrix equations are presented in [26].

Let X be a nonempty set. If (X, d, s) is a b -metric space and (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, then (X, d, s, \preceq) is called an ordered b -metric space. Two elements $x, y \in X$ are called comparable if $x \preceq y$ or $y \preceq x$ hold. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be non-decreasing if $x \preceq y$ implies $Tx \preceq Ty$ for all $x, y \in X$.

In this section, we will show that many fixed point results in partially ordered b -metric spaces can be deduced easily from our obtained results.

Theorem 4.1 Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is continuous and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right)$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x \preceq y \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

First, we prove that T is a triangular α -admissible mapping. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $x \preceq y$. Since T is increasing, then we have $Tx \preceq Ty$. That is, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$. Suppose that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$. Then $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$. Hence $x \preceq z$ i.e., $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$. Therefore, T is a triangular α -admissible mapping. Since T is continuous then it is α -continuous too. From (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. That is, $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $x \preceq y$. Now, from (v) we have

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right).$$

Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and T has a fixed point. ■

If in Theorem 3.3 we take $\theta(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = L\psi(\min\{t_1, t_4\})$ where $L \geq 0$, then we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.2 Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is continuous and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + L\psi(\min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\})$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

If in Corollary 3.3 we take $\lambda(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$, then we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.3 [27, Theorem 3] Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$,
- (ii) T is continuous,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) + L\psi(\min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\})$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi, L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 4.4 Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered b -metric space and let T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,
- (iv) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + \theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right) \tag{30}$$

where, $(\psi, \varphi \in \Psi), \theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

- (v) if $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in X such that $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x_n \preceq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define the mapping $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.3 we can prove all the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ and $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. From (v) we get, $x_n \preceq x$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$. That is, $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$. Therefore all conditions of Theorem 2.2 holds and T has a fixed point. ■

Corollary 4.5 Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that, $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is a semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,

(iv) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \lambda(x)\lambda(y) \left[\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) \right] + L\psi(\min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) \quad (31)$$

where, $\psi, \varphi \in \Psi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

(v) if $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in X such that $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 4.6 [27, Theorem 4] Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,
- (iv) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have,

$$\psi(sd(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) + L\psi(\min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) \quad (32)$$

where, $(\psi, \varphi \in \Psi)$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

(v) if $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x_n \preceq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then T has a fixed point.

5. Some integral type contractions

Let Φ denotes the set of all functions $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following properties:

- every $\phi \in \Phi$ is a Lebesgue integrable function on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$,
- for any $\phi \in \Phi$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(\tau) d\tau > 0$.

Note that if we take $\psi(t) = \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$ where $\phi \in \Phi$ then $\psi \in \Psi$.

Also note that if $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ then $\psi\theta \in \Theta$.

If in Theorem 2.1 we take $\psi(t) = \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$, $\varphi(t) = (1-r) \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ where $0 \leq r < 1$ and replace θ by $\psi\theta$ then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space, T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is α -continuous, triangular α -admissible and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,

(iii) for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ we have

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{r\lambda(x)\lambda(y)}{s} \int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^{\theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx))} \phi(\tau) d\tau \tag{33}$$

where, $0 \leq r < 1$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 5.2 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space, T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that, $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is a triangular α -admissible and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ we have

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{r\lambda(x)\lambda(y)}{s} \int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^{\theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx))} \phi(\tau) d\tau \tag{34}$$

where, $0 \leq r < 1$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\},$$

- (v) if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$, $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 5.3 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space endowed with a graph G and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that, $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is G -continuous and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)]$
- (iv) $\forall x, y, z \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)]$
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have,

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{r\lambda(x)\lambda(y)}{s} \int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^{\theta(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx))} \phi(\tau) d\tau \tag{35}$$

where, $0 \leq r < 1$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 5.4 Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space endowed with a graph G and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)]$
- (iv) $\forall x, y, z \in X [(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)]$
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have,

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{r\lambda(x)\lambda(y)}{s} \int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^{\theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \quad (36)$$

where, $0 \leq r < 1$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

- (vi) if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, $\lambda(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 5.5 Let (X, d, s, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ and $\lambda(x_0) \leq 1$,
- (ii) T is continuous and semi λ -subadmissible mapping,
- (iii) T is an increasing mapping,
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ we have

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{r\lambda(x)\lambda(y)}{s} \int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^{\theta \left(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx) \right)} \phi(\tau) d\tau \quad (37)$$

where, $0 \leq r < 1$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

References

- [1] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas and J.R. Roshan, *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b -metric spaces*, Math. Slovaca, **4** (2014), 941-960.
- [2] I.A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, (Russian), Func. An., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk **30** (1989), 26-37.
- [3] V. Berinde, *Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint no. **3** (1993), 3-9.
- [4] F. Bojor, *Fixed point theorems for Reich type contraction on metric spaces with a graph*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 3895-3901.
- [5] M. Boriceanu, *Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric spaces*, Int. J. Modern Math., **4**(3) (2009), 285-301.
- [6] S. Czerwick, *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5-11.

- [7] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, J.R. Roshan and Z. Kadelburg, *Fixed points of cyclic weakly (ψ, φ, L, A, B) -contractive mappings in ordered b -metric spaces with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **256** (2013).
- [8] J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh and I. Altun, *Some coincidence point results in ordered b -metric spaces and applications in a system of integral equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **226** (2014), 725-737.
- [9] Z. Mustafa, J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh and Z. Kadelburg, *Fixed point theorems for weakly T -Chatterjea and weakly T -Kannan contractions in b -metric spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **46** (2014).
- [10] N. Hussain, J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh and M. Abbas, *Common fixed point results for weak contractive mappings in ordered b -dislocated metric spaces with applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **486** (2013).
- [11] Z. Mustafa, J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh and Z. Kadelburg, *Some common fixed point results in ordered partial b -metric spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **562** (2013).
- [12] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi and P. Salimi, *Fixed point theory in α -complete metric spaces with applications*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **280817** (2014), 11 pages.
- [13] N. Hussain, P. Salimi and A. Latif, *Fixed point results for single and set-valued α - η - ψ -contractive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. **212** (2013).
- [14] J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 1359–1373.
- [15] R. Johnsonbaugh, Discrete Mathematics, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 1997.
- [16] E. Karapinar, P. Kumam and P. Salimi, *On α - ψ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. **94** (2013).
- [17] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **30** (1984), 1-9.
- [18] M.A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, *KKM mappings in metric type spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **73** (2010), 3123-3129.
- [19] P. Kumam, H. Rahimi and G. Soleimani Rad, *The existence of fixed and periodic point theorems in cone metric type spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **7** (4) (2014), 255-263.
- [20] P. Kumam and A. Roldán, *On existence and uniqueness of g -best proximity points under $(\varphi, \theta, \alpha, g)$ -contractivity conditions and consequences*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **Article ID 234027** (2014), 14 pages.
- [21] J.J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Order **22** (2005), 223-239.
- [22] J.J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Acta Math. Sin., (English Ser.), **23** (2007) 2205-2212.
- [23] H. Rahimi and G. Soleimani Rad, *Fixed point theory in various spaces*, Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany, 2013.
- [24] H. Rahimi and G. Soleimani Rad, *Some fixed point results in metric type space*, J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res. **2** (9) (2012), 9301-9308.
- [25] H. Rahimi, P. Vetro, G. Soleimani Rad, *Some common fixed point results for weakly compatible mappings in cone metric type space*, Miskolc Math. Notes. **14** (1) (2013), 233-243.
- [26] A.C.M. Ran and M.C. Reurings, *A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 1435-1443.
- [27] J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei and W. Shatanawi, *Common Fixed Points of Almost Generalized $(\psi, \varphi)_s$ -Contractive Mappings in Ordered b -Metric Spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. **159** (2013).
- [28] P. Salimi, A. Latif and N. Hussain, *Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. **151** (2013).
- [29] P. Salimi and P. Vetro, *A result of Suzuki type in partial G -metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **34B**(2) (2014), 1-11.
- [30] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 2154-2165.