

## Fixed point results for Su-type contractive mappings with an application

A. Ali<sup>a</sup>, H. Işık<sup>b,\*</sup>, F. Uddin<sup>a</sup>, M. Arshad<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan.*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Muş Alparslan University, Muş 49250, Turkey.*

Received 4 November 2019; Revised 2 March 2020; Accepted 5 March 2020.

Communicated by Ghasem Soleimani Rad

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce the concept of Su-type contractive mapping and establish fixed point theorems for such mappings in the setting of ordered extended partial  $b$ -metric space. We also develop an application for Fredholm type integral equations to validate our main result and a non-trivial example is given to elucidate our work.

© 2020 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:** Altering distance function, Su-type contraction, extended partial  $b$ -metric space, integral equation.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. Introduction

In the recent past, a lot of generalization of the famous Banach fixed point theorem has appeared in different framework. So far numerous authors have studied this classical result to establish the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for different kind of contractive shapes, (see, [1–35]).

Khan et al. [21] introduced and employed the notion of altering distance function to obtain some interesting fixed point results in metric spaces. Note that altering distance

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: amjad.phdma98@iiu.edu.pk (A. Ali); isikhuseyin76@gmail.com (H. Işık); fahamiiu@gmail.com (F. Uddin); marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk (M. Arshad).

functions are continuous whereas Su [31] defined generalized altering distance function, not necessarily continuous.

In 1993, Czerwik [8] introduced the idea of  $b$ -metric space. and in 1994, Matthews [24] investigated the concept of partial metric space. Firstly, Shukla [29] presented the notion of partial  $b$ -metric space. Then, Mustafa et al. [25] modified the idea of partial  $b$ -metric space and also discussed for the sequel some basic lemma for the convergence of sequences in such spaces. Recently, Parvaneh and Kadelburg [27] introduced the concept of extended partial  $b$ -metric space.

The aim of this article goes in this framework, we generalize the results based on [27] in the context of extended partial  $b$ -metric space via Su-type contractive mapping. Finally, we have an application for the Fredholm type integral equations.

## 2. Preliminaries

Before the main results of this paper, We recall some basic concept to make it helpful for the main sequel.

**Definition 2.1** [24] A partial metric space on a non-empty set  $G$  is a function  $p : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that, for all  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ , the following conditions hold:

- (p1)  $g_1 = g_2$  if and only if  $p(g_1, g_1) = p(g_2, g_2) = p(g_1, g_2)$ ,
- (p2)  $p(g_1, g_1) \leq p(g_1, g_2)$ ,
- (p3)  $p(g_1, g_2) = p(g_2, g_1)$ ,
- (p4)  $p(g_1, g_2) \leq p(g_1, g_3) + p(g_3, g_2) - p(g_3, g_3)$ .

The pair  $(G, p)$  is called a partial metric space.

**Definition 2.2** [29] A partial  $b$ -metric space on a non-empty set  $G$  is a function  $p_b : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , such that for each  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$  with  $s \geq 1$ , the following conditions hold:

- (p<sub>b</sub>1)  $g_1 = g_2$  if and only if  $p_b(g_1, g_1) = p_b(g_1, g_2) = p_b(g_2, g_2)$ ,
- (p<sub>b</sub>2)  $p_b(g_1, g_1) \leq p_b(g_1, g_2)$ ,
- (p<sub>b</sub>3)  $p_b(g_1, g_2) = p_b(g_2, g_1)$ ,
- (p<sub>b</sub>4)  $p_b(g_1, g_2) \leq s[p_b(g_1, g_3) + p_b(g_3, g_2) - p_b(g_3, g_3)] + \frac{(1-s)}{2}(p_b(g_1, g_1) + p_b(g_2, g_2))$ .

The pair  $(G, p_b)$  is called a partial  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 1** Every partial metric space is a partial  $b$ -metric space with the setting  $s = 1$  and every  $b$ -metric space is a partial  $b$ -metric space with the same coefficient and the behavior of zero self distance. However, the converses do not hold in general.

**Definition 2.3** [27] Let  $G$  be a non-empty set. A function  $d : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a  $p$ -metric if there exists a strictly increasing continuous function  $\Omega : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $k \leq \Omega(k)$  for  $k \in [0, +\infty)$  such that for each  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ , the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $d(g_1, g_2) = 0$  if and only if  $g_1 = g_2$ ,
- (2)  $d(g_1, g_2) = d(g_2, g_1)$ ,
- (3)  $d(g_1, g_2) \leq \Omega(d(g_1, g_3) + d(g_3, g_2))$ .

The pair  $(G, d)$  is called a  $p$ -metric space or an extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 2** It should be noted that the class of  $p$ -metric spaces is considerably larger than the class of  $b$ -metric spaces, since a  $b$ -metric is a  $p$ -metric with the setting  $\Omega(k) = sk$

and a metric is a  $p$ -metric with the setting  $\Omega(k) = k$ .

**Definition 2.4** [27] Let  $G$  be a non-empty set and  $\Omega : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a strictly increasing continuous function with  $\Omega^{-1}(k) \leq k \leq \Omega(k)$  for  $k \in [0, +\infty)$ . A function  $p_p : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called an extended partial  $b$ -metric, or a partial  $p$ -metric if, for each  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- ( $p_p1$ )  $g_1 = g_2$  if and only if  $p_p(g_1, g_1) = p_p(g_1, g_2) = p_p(g_2, g_2)$ ,
- ( $p_p2$ )  $p_p(g_1, g_1) \leq p_p(g_1, g_2)$ ,
- ( $p_p3$ )  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = p_p(g_2, g_1)$ ,
- ( $p_p4$ )  $p_p(g_1, g_2) - p_p(g_1, g_1) \leq \Omega(p_p(g_1, g_3) + p_p(g_3, g_2) - p_p(g_3, g_3) - p_p(g_1, g_1))$ .

The pair  $(G, p_p)$  is called a partial  $p$ -metric space, or an extended partial  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 3** Note that condition ( $p_p4$ ) together with ( $p_p3$ ) implies that also the following holds for all  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$  :

$$p_p(g_1, g_2) - p_p(g_2, g_2) \leq \Omega(p_p(g_1, g_3) + p_p(g_3, g_2) - p_p(g_3, g_3) - p_p(g_2, g_2)).$$

It should be noted that the class of partial  $p$ -metric spaces is considerably larger than the class of partial  $b$ -metric spaces, since a partial  $b$ -metric is a partial  $p$ -metric with  $\Omega(k) = sk$  and a partial metric is a partial  $p$ -metric with  $\Omega(k) = k$ .

**Example 2.5** [27] Let  $(G, d)$  be a metric space and  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = 1 + \zeta(d(g_1, g_2))$  where  $\zeta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a strictly increasing continuous function with  $k \leq \zeta(k)$  for  $k \in [0, +\infty)$  and  $\zeta(0) = 0$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $p_p$  is a partial  $p$ -metric with  $\Omega(k) = \zeta(k)$ . In particular, one can take  $\zeta(k) = e^k - 1$ , then  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = e^{d(g_1, g_2)}$  is a partial  $p$ -metric with  $\Omega(k) = e^k - 1$ .

**Example 2.6** [27] Let  $(G, d)$  be a metric space and  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = 1 + \sinh(d(g_1, g_2)^2)$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $p_p$  is a partial  $p$ -metric with  $\Omega(k) = 2 \cosh k \sinh k = \sinh 2k$ .

Note that  $(G, p_p)$  is not necessarily a partial metric space. For example, if  $G = \mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers with usual metric, then  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = 1 + \sinh(g_1 - g_2)^2$  is a partial  $p$ -metric on  $G$  with  $\Omega(k) = \sinh 2k$ . But it is not a partial metric on  $G$ . Indeed, the ordinary (partial) triangle inequality does not hold. To see this, let  $g_1 = 2$ ,  $g_2 = 5$  and  $g_3 = \frac{5}{2}$ . Then,  $p_p(2, 5) \approx 4052.54$ ,  $p_p(2, \frac{5}{2}) \approx 1.25$  and  $p_p(\frac{5}{2}, 5) \approx 260.01$ . Thus,  $p_p(2, 5) \not\leq p_p(2, \frac{5}{2}) + p_p(\frac{5}{2}, 5) - p_p(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$ .

Also,  $p_p$  is not a partial  $b$ -metric. Indeed, if  $p_p$  were partial  $b$ -metric, then there would exist fixed  $s \geq 1$  for which

$$p_p(g_1, g_2) \leq s[p_p(g_1, g_3) + p_p(g_3, g_2) - p_p(g_3, g_3)] + \frac{(1-s)}{2}(p_p(g_1, g_1) + p_p(g_2, g_2)),$$

for all  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \geq 0$ . However, taking  $g_2 = 0$  and  $g_3 = 1$ , we would have  $p_p(g_1, 0) \leq s[p_p(g_1, 1) + 1 + \sinh 1 - 1] + \frac{(1-s)}{2}(1 + 1)$ , i.e.,  $\sinh g_1^2 \leq s(1 + \sinh(g_1 - 1)^2 + \sinh 1) - s$  which can not hold for fixed  $s$  when  $g_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Recall that a real function  $T$  is called super-additive, if  $T(r_1 + r_2) \geq T(r_1) + T(r_2)$  for each  $r_1, r_2 \in D(T)$ . If  $T$  is a super-additive function, and if  $0 \in D(T)$ , then  $T(0) \leq 0$ . Indeed, super-additivity of  $T$  yields that  $T(r_1) \leq T(r_1 + r_2) - T(r_2)$ , for each  $r_1, r_2 \in D(T)$ . Setting  $r_1 = 0$ , we get  $T(0) \leq T(0 + r_2) - T(r_2) = 0$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $2T(r) \leq T(2r)$  for each  $r \in D(T)$ .

**Proposition 2.7** [27] Every partial  $p$ -metric  $p_p$  on a non-empty set  $G$  with a super-additive function  $\Omega$ , defines a  $p$ -metric  $d_{p_p}$ , where

$$d_{p_p}(g_1, g_2) = 2p_p(g_1, g_2) - p_p(g_1, g_1) - p_p(g_2, g_2), \quad \text{for each } g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

**Lemma 2.8** [27] Let  $(G, p_p)$  be a partial  $p$ -metric space. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) if  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = 0$ , then  $g_1 = g_2$ ;
- (ii) if  $g_1 \neq g_2$ , then  $p_p(g_1, g_2) > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.9** [27] Let  $(G, p_p)$  be a partial  $p$ -metric space with super-additive function  $\Omega$ .

- (i) A sequence  $\{g_n\}$  is a  $p_p$ -Cauchy in  $(G, p_p)$  iff it is a  $p$ -Cauchy in the  $p$ -metric space  $(G, d_{p_p})$ .
- (ii) The space  $(G, p_p)$  is  $p_p$ -complete iff the  $p$ -metric space  $(G, d_{p_p})$  is  $p$ -complete. Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_p}(g, g_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g, g_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_m) = p_p(g, g).$$

**Lemma 2.10** [27] Let  $(G, p_p)$  be a partial  $p$ -metric space. Suppose that  $\{g_n\}$  and  $\{g_n^*\}$  are convergent to  $g$  and  $g^*$ , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega^{-1}(\Omega^{-1}[p_p(g, g^*) - p_p(g, g)] - 2p_p(g, g)) - p_p(g^*, g^*) \\ & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_n^*) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_n^*) \\ & \leq (2p_p(g, g) + \Omega[p_p(g, g^*) + p_p(g^*, g^*)]) + p_p(g, g). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $p_p(g, g^*) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_n^*) = 0$ .

In addition, for all  $g_1 \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega^{-1}[p_p(g, g_1) - p_p(g, g)] - p_p(g, g) \\ & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_1) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_1) \\ & \leq \Omega[p_p(g, g) + p_p(g, g_1)] + p_p(g, g). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $p_p(g, g_1) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_1) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.11** [31] A mapping  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called a generalized altering distance function if

- (i)  $\psi$  is non-decreasing,
- (ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

Set

$\Psi = \{\psi : \psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ is a generalized altering distance function}\}$

and

$\Phi = \{\varphi : \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ is a nondecreasing and right upper semi-continuous function such that } \psi(t) > \varphi(t) \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ where } \psi \in \Psi\}$ .

### 3. Main results

A triplet  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  will be called an ordered partial  $p$ -metric space (ordered PPMS, for short) if  $(G, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered set and  $p_p$  is a partial  $p$ -metric on  $G$ .

For arbitrary points  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , it is said to be

- (i)  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are comparable point, if either  $g_1 \preceq g_2$  or  $g_2 \preceq g_1$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is nondecreasing function, if  $Tg_1 \preceq Tg_2$  whenever  $g_1 \preceq g_2$ ;
- (iii)  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  is regular, if for each nondecreasing sequence  $\{g_n\} \in G$  convergent to some point  $g \in G$ , then  $g_n \preceq g$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  be an ordered partial  $p$ -metric space with function  $\Omega$ . An operator  $T : G \rightarrow G$  is called Su-type contractive mapping, if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that

$$\psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_1, Tg_2))) \leq \varphi(\max\{p_p(g_1, g_2), p_p(g_1, Tg_1) + p_p(g_2, Tg_2), p_p(g_1, Tg_2) - p_p(g_1, g_1), p_p(g_2, Tg_1)\}), \tag{1}$$

for each comparable  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  be an ordered  $p_p$ -complete PPMS with super-additive function  $\Omega$ . Let  $T : G \rightarrow G$  be a non-decreasing continuous Su-type contractive mapping. If there exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $g_0 \in G$  be an arbitrary point such that  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0$ . Let  $\{g_n\}$  be the Picard sequence with initial point  $g_0$ , that is,  $g_n = T^n g_0 = Tg_{n-1}$  and  $u_n = p_p(g_n, g_{n+1}) = p_p(T^n g_0, T^{n+1} g_0)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Since  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0 = g_1$  and  $T$  is non-decreasing,  $g_1 = Tg_0 \preceq g_2 = Tg_1$ . By induction, we get

$$g_0 \preceq g_1 \preceq g_2 \preceq \dots \preceq g_n \preceq g_{n+1} \preceq \dots$$

If  $g_{n_0} = g_{n_0+1}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $g_{n_0} = Tg_{n_0}$  and so  $g_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Therefore, assume that  $g_n \neq g_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By contractive condition (1) with  $g_1 = g_{n-1}$  and  $g_2 = g_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega(2u_n)) &\leq \psi(\Omega^2(2u_n)) = \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_{n-1}, Tg_n))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{p_p(g_{n-1}, g_n), p_p(g_{n-1}, Tg_{n-1}) + p_p(g_n, Tg_n), \\ &\quad p_p(g_{n-1}, Tg_n) - p_p(g_{n-1}, g_{n-1}), p_p(g_n, Tg_{n-1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{u_{n-1}, u_{n-1} + u_n, p_p(g_{n-1}, g_{n+1}) - p_p(g_{n-1}, g_{n-1}), \\ &\quad p_p(g_n, g_n)\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{u_{n-1} + u_n, \Omega(u_{n-1} + u_n), p_p(g_n, g_n)\}) \\ &= \varphi(\Omega(u_{n-1} + u_n)) \\ &< \psi(\Omega(u_{n-1} + u_n)). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

By the properties of  $\psi$  and  $\Omega$ , it follows that  $2u_n < u_{n-1} + u_n$ ; that is,

$$u_n < u_{n-1}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3}$$

From (3), the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is decreasing and hence converges to a real number  $r \geq 0$ . We now show that  $r = 0$ . Assume on the contrary that  $r > 0$ . Then, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega(2r)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\Omega(2u_n)) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\Omega(u_{n-1} + u_n)) \leq \varphi(\Omega(2r)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction and hence  $r = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$ , and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_n) = 0. \quad (4)$$

To show that  $\{g_n\}$  is a  $p_p$ -Cauchy sequence in  $G$ , we have to show that  $\{g_n\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(G, d_{p_p})$  (see Lemma 2.9). Suppose the contrary, that is,  $\{g_n\}$  is not a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence. Then, there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which we can find two subsequences  $\{g_{m_k}\}$  and  $\{g_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{g_n\}$  such that  $n_k$  is the smallest index for which  $n_k > m_k > k$  and

$$d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k-1}) < \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Using the triangular inequality and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) \leq \Omega(d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k-1}) + d_{p_p}(g_{n_k-1}, g_{n_k})) \\ &< \Omega(\varepsilon + d_{p_p}(g_{n_k-1}, g_{n_k})). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the upper limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (4) and (5), we get

$$\Omega^{-1}(\varepsilon) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and so

$$\varepsilon \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) \leq \Omega(\varepsilon). \quad (6)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) \leq \Omega(d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}) + d_{p_p}(g_{m_k+1}, g_{n_k})) \\ &\leq \Omega(d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}) + \Omega(d_{p_p}(g_{m_k+1}, g_{n_k+1}) + d_{p_p}(g_{n_k+1}, g_{n_k}))), \end{aligned}$$

by using (4), we obtain

$$\varepsilon \leq \Omega(\varepsilon) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^2(d_{p_p}(g_{m_k+1}, g_{n_k+1})). \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $d_{p_p}$  and (4),

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_p}(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) = 2 \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}). \quad (8)$$

From (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(g_{m_k+1}, g_{n_k+1}))) &= \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_{m_k}, Tg_{n_k}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}), p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}) + p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{n_k+1}), \\ &\quad p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k+1}) - p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k}), p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{m_k+1})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}), p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}) + p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{n_k+1}), \\ &\quad \Omega(p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) + p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{n_k+1})), \Omega(p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{m_k}) + p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the previous inequality, by the properties of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega(\varepsilon)) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(g_{m_k+1}, g_{n_k+1}))) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\max\{p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}), p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}) + p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{n_k+1}), \\ &\quad \Omega(p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{n_k}) + p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{n_k+1})), \Omega(p_p(g_{n_k}, g_{m_k}) + p_p(g_{m_k}, g_{m_k+1}))\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{\varepsilon, 0, \Omega(\varepsilon), \Omega(\varepsilon)\}) = \varphi(\Omega(\varepsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{g_n\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(G, d_{p_p})$ . Since  $(G, p_p)$  is  $p_p$ -complete, by Lemma 2.9,  $(G, d_{p_p})$  is a  $p$ -complete  $p$ -metric space. Hence, there exists  $r \in G$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_p}(g_n, r) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(r, g_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_n) = p_p(r, r).$$

Also, from (4), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(r, g_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(g_n, g_n) = p_p(r, r) = 0.$$

Applying triangular inequality, we obtain

$$p_p(r, Tr) - p_p(r, r) \leq \Omega(p_p(r, Tg_n) + p_p(Tg_n, Tr)).$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the continuity of  $T$  and  $\Omega$ , and  $p_p(r, r) = 0$ , we get

$$p_p(r, Tr) \leq \Omega\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(r, g_{n+1}) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_p(Tg_n, Tr)\right) = \Omega(p_p(Tr, Tr)). \tag{9}$$

From (1), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega(2p_p(Tr, Tr))) &\leq \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tr, Tr))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{p_p(r, r), p_p(r, Tr) + p_p(r, Tr), \\ &\quad p_p(r, Tr) - p_p(r, r), p_p(r, Tr)\}) \\ &= \varphi(2p_p(r, Tr)) \\ &< \psi(2p_p(r, Tr)). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Suppose that  $p_p(r, Tr) > 0$ . Since  $\psi$  is non-decreasing and  $\Omega$  is super-additive, we deduce

$$2\Omega(p_p(Tr, Tr)) \leq \Omega(2p_p(Tr, Tr)) < 2p_p(r, Tr).$$

So (9) yields that

$$2\Omega(p_p(Tr, Tr)) < 2\Omega(p_p(Tr, Tr)),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $p_p(r, Tr) = 0$  and hence  $r = Tr$ . ■

Notice that the continuity of  $T$  in Theorem 3.2 is not necessary and can be dropped.

**Theorem 3.3** Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and without assuming the continuity of  $T$ , suppose that  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  is regular. Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $G$ .

**Proof.** Following similar arguments to those given in Theorem 3.2, we construct a non-decreasing sequence  $g_n$  in  $G$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$  for some  $g \in G$ . Using the regularity of  $G$ , we have  $g_n \preceq g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, we have to show that  $Tg = g$ . By contractive condition (1), we have

$$\psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_n, Tg))) \leq \varphi(H(g_n, g)), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(g_n, g) &= \max\{p_p(g_n, g), p_p(g_n, Tg_n) + p_p(g, Tg), p_p(g_n, Tg) - p_p(g_n, g_n), p_p(g, Tg_n)\} \\ &= \max\{p_p(g_n, g), p_p(g_n, g_{n+1}) + p_p(g, Tg), p_p(g_n, Tg) - p_p(g_n, g_n), p_p(g, g_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Suppose that  $p_p(g, Tg) > 0$ . Taking limit of (12) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma 2.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{-1}[p_p(g, Tg)] &= \min\{p_p(g, Tg), \Omega^{-1}[p_p(g, Tg) - p_p(g, g)] - p_p(g, g)\} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(g_n, g) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(g_n, g) \\ &\leq \max\{p_p(g, Tg), \Omega[p_p(g, Tg) + p_p(g, g)] + p_p(g, g)\} \\ &= \Omega[p_p(g, Tg)]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Again, taking the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (11) and using Lemma 2.10 and (13), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega^2[\Omega^{-1}[p_p(g, Tg)]]) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\Omega^2[p_p(g_{n+1}, Tg)]) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\Omega^2[2p_p(g_{n+1}, Tg)]) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(H(g_n, g)) \\ &\leq \varphi(\Omega[p_p(g, Tg)]), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $p_p(g, Tg) = 0$  and so  $g = Tg$ . ■



By choosing  $\varphi(t) = \psi(t) - \phi(t)$  in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.4** [27] Let  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  be an ordered  $p_p$ -complete PPMS with super-additive function  $\Omega$  and  $T : G \rightarrow G$  be a non-decreasing mapping. Assume that there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that

$$\psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_1, Tg_2))) \leq \psi(H(g_1, g_2)) - \phi(H(g_1, g_2)),$$

for each comparable  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , where

$$H(g_1, g_2) = \max\{p_p(g_1, g_2), p_p(g_1, Tg_1) + p_p(g_2, Tg_2), p_p(g_1, Tg_2) - p_p(g_1, g_1), p_p(g_2, Tg_1)\}.$$

Suppose that also the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is continuous or  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  is regular.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  be an ordered  $p_p$ -complete PPMS with super-additive function  $\Omega$  and  $T : G \rightarrow G$  be a non-decreasing mapping. Assume that there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_1, Tg_2))) &\leq \varphi(\alpha p_p(g_1, g_2) + \beta[p_p(g_1, Tg_1) + p_p(g_2, Tg_2)] \\ &\quad + \gamma[p_p(g_1, Tg_2) - p_p(g_1, g_1)] + \delta p_p(g_2, Tg_1)), \end{aligned}$$

for each comparable  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Suppose that also the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is continuous or  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  is regular.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

If we take  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = kt$  in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.6** Let  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  be an ordered  $p_p$ -complete PPMS with super-additive function  $\Omega$  and  $T : G \rightarrow G$  be a non-decreasing mapping. Assume that there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2(2p_p(Tg_1, Tg_2)) &\leq k \max\{p_p(g_1, g_2), p_p(g_1, Tg_1) + p_p(g_2, Tg_2), \\ &\quad p_p(g_1, Tg_2) - p_p(g_1, g_1), p_p(g_2, Tg_1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for each comparable  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Suppose that also the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is continuous or  $(G, \preceq, p_p)$  is regular.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

If we take  $p_p(g_1, g_2) = 1 + \sinh(d(g_1, g_2)^2)$  in Corollary 3.6, where  $(G, \preceq, d)$  is a complete ordered metric space, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.7** Let  $(G, \preceq, d)$  be a complete ordered metric space and  $T : G \rightarrow G$  be a

non-decreasing mapping. Assume that there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\sinh[2 \sinh[4 + 4 \sinh(d(Tg_1, Tg_2)^2)]] \leq k[1 + \sinh(d(g_1, g_2)^2)],$$

for each comparable  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Suppose that also the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $g_0 \preceq Tg_0$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is continuous or  $(G, \preceq, d)$  is regular.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Remark 4** In Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, it can be proved in a standard way that  $T$  has a unique fixed point provided that all fixed points of  $T$  are comparable.

**Example 3.8** Let  $G = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 2\}$  be equipped with the following partial order  $\preceq$ :

$$\preceq := \{(0, 0), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}), (1, 1), (\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}), (\frac{5}{3}, \frac{2}{3}), (\frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{3}), (2, 2)\}.$$

Define  $p_p : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by

$$p_p(g_1, g_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } g_1 = g_2, \\ 1 + \sinh[(g_1 + g_2)^2], & \text{if } g_1 \neq g_2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $(G, p_p)$  is a  $p_p$ -complete PPMS, with  $\Omega(t) = \sinh 2t$  (which is super-additive).

Define  $T : G \rightarrow G$  by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 1 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} & 2 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously,  $T$  is non-decreasing and continuous.

Define  $\psi, \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by  $\psi(t) = t/2$  and  $\varphi(t) = t/4$ . In order to see that  $T$  is  $F_\Omega$ -contractive mapping for nontrivial cases, we only need to check the case  $g_1 = 2/3$  and  $g_2 = 5/3$  or  $g_1 = 5/3$  and  $g_2 = 2/3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega^2(2p_p(T\frac{2}{3}, T\frac{5}{3}))) &= \psi(\Omega^2(0)) = 0 \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{p_p(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}), p_p(\frac{2}{3}, T\frac{2}{3}) + p_p(\frac{5}{3}, T\frac{5}{3}), p_p(\frac{2}{3}, T\frac{5}{3}) - p_p(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}), p_p(\frac{5}{3}, T\frac{2}{3})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{p_p(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}), p_p(\frac{2}{3}, 1) + p_p(\frac{5}{3}, 1), p_p(\frac{2}{3}, 1), p_p(\frac{5}{3}, 1)\}) \\ &= \varphi(p_p(\frac{2}{3}, 1) + p_p(\frac{5}{3}, 1)) \\ &= \varphi(1 + \sinh(25/9) + 1 + \sinh(64/9)) \approx 155.69 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied and so  $T$  possesses a fixed point. In fact, 1 and 2 are two fixed points of  $T$ . Note that the set  $(\{1, 2\}, \preceq)$  is not well ordered (i.e., elements 1 and 2 are not comparable).

### 4. An application

In this section, we display to view of existence of solutions for a Fredholm type integral equations using our result. Consider the following Fredholm integral equation:

$$\chi(r) = \gamma(r) + \int_0^1 D(r, s, \chi(s)) ds, \tag{14}$$

$r, s \in I = [0, 1]$ , where  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $D : I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let the space  $G = C(I, \mathbb{R})$  consist of all real-valued functions which are continuous on  $I$  equipped with the partial order

$$g_1 \preceq g_2 \iff g_1(r) \leq g_2(r), \quad r \in I.$$

For  $\chi \in G$ , define

$$\|\chi\| = \sup_{r \in I} |\chi(r)|.$$

Notice that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm equivalent to the supremum norm and  $(G, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space. The metric induced by this norm is given by

$$d(g_1, g_2) = \|g_1 - g_2\| = \sup_{r \in I} |g_1(r) - g_2(r)|,$$

for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Now, let  $\xi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a strictly increasing continuous function with  $r \leq \xi(r)$  and consider  $G$  endowed with the partial  $p$ -metric given by

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = 1 + \xi(d(g_1, g_2)), \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

It is easy to see that  $(G, \rho)$  is complete and  $(G, \preceq, \rho)$  is regular.

Define  $T : G \rightarrow G$  by

$$T(\chi(r)) = \gamma(r) + \int_0^1 D(r, s, \chi(s)) ds, \quad \chi \in G, r \in I.$$

Clearly, a function  $g \in G$  is a solution of (14) if and only if it is a fixed point of  $T$ . Now, we prove the following theorem to validate the existence of solution for the integral equation (14).

**Theorem 4.1** Suppose that the following assertions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $D : I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions;
- (ii) if  $g_1 \preceq g_2$ , then

$$D(r, s, g_1(s)) \leq D(r, s, g_2(s)), \quad \text{for all } r, s \in I;$$

- (iii) for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  with  $g_1 \preceq g_2$  and  $g_1 \neq g_2$ , and for all  $r \in I$ ,

$$\xi^2(2 + 2\xi(\int_0^1 |D(r, s, g_1(s)) - D(r, s, g_2(s))| ds)) \leq \theta(|g_1(s) - g_2(s)|),$$

where  $\theta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a nondecreasing and right upper semi-continuous function with  $\theta(0) = 0$  and  $\theta(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ ;

(iv) There exists a continuous function  $g_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$g_0(r) \leq \gamma(r) + \int_0^1 D(r, s, g_0(s)) ds, \quad r \in I.$$

**Proof.** It follows from (ii) that the mapping  $T$  is non-decreasing w.r.t.  $\preceq$ .

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  with  $g_1 \preceq g_2$  and  $Tg_1 \neq Tg_2$ . Then, for all  $r \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi^2(2 + 2\xi(|Tg_1(r) - Tg_2(r)|)) \\ & \leq \xi^2(2 + 2\xi(\int_0^1 |D(r, s, g_1(s)) - D(r, s, g_2(s))| ds)) \\ & \leq \theta(|g_1(s) - g_2(s)|) \leq \theta(d(g_1, g_2)) \\ & \leq \theta(H(g_1, g_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(g_1, g_2) = \max\{\rho(g_1, g_2), \rho(g_1, Tg_1) + \rho(g_2, Tg_2), \rho(g_1, Tg_2) - \rho(g_1, g_1), \rho(g_2, Tg_1)\}.$$

Putting  $\psi(t) = t$ ,  $\varphi(t) = \theta(t)$  and  $\Omega = \xi$ , we have

$$\psi(\Omega^2(2\rho(Tg_1, Tg_2))) \leq \varphi(H(g_1, g_2))$$

for each  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  with  $g_1 \preceq g_2$ . Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied and so the integral equation (14) possesses the required solution.  $\blacksquare$

## References

- [1] I. Altun, A. Erduran, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011, 2011:508730.
- [2] A. Amini-Harandi, Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012, 2012:204.
- [3] M. Arshad, M. Abbas, A. Hussain, N. Hussain, Generalized dynamic process for generalized  $(f, L)$ -almost  $F$ -contraction with applications, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 1702-1715.
- [4] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, C. Vetro, On Ekeland's variational principle in partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* 9 (1) (2015), 257-262.
- [5] I. Beg, A. R. Butt, Common fixed point and coincidence point of generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012, 2012:229.
- [6] L. B. Ćirić, M. Abbas, R. Saadati, N. Hussain, Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (12) (2011), 5784-5789.
- [7] M. Cosentino, P. Vetro, Fixed point results for  $F$ -contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers-type, *Filomat.* 28 (4) (2014), 715-722.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [9] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.* 46 (1998), 263-276.
- [10] D. Gopal, M. Abbas, D. K. Patel, C. Vetro, Fixed points of  $\alpha$ -type  $F$ -contractive mappings with an application to nonlinear fractional differential equation, *Acta Math. Sci.* 36 (3) (2016), 957-970.
- [11] R. Gubran, M. Imdad, Results on coincidence and common fixed points for  $(\psi, \varphi)_g$ -generalized weakly contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces, *Mathematics.* 2016, 4:68.
- [12] N. Hussain, H. Işık, M. Abbas, Common fixed point results of generalized almost rational contraction mappings with an application, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 2273-2288.
- [13] N. Hussain, P. Salimi, Suzuki-Wardowski type fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -GF-contractions, *Taiwan. J. Math.* 18 (6) (2014), 1879-1895.
- [14] N. Hussain, M. H. Shah, KKM mappings in cone  $b$ -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011), 1677-1684.

- [15] H. Işık, Solvability to coupled systems of functional equations via fixed point theory, TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. 8 (1a) (2018), 230-237.
- [16] H. Işık, M. Imdad, D. Turkoglu, N. Hussain, Generalized Meir-Keeler type  $\psi$ -contractive mappings and applications to common solution of integral equations, International Journal of Analysis and Applications. 13 (2) (2017), 185-197.
- [17] H. Işık, C. Ionescu, New type of multivalued contractions with related results and applications, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Ser. A. 80 (2) (2018), 13-22.
- [18] H. Işık, D. Turkoglu, Common fixed points for  $(\psi, \alpha, \beta)$ -weakly contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, 2013:131.
- [19] H. Işık, D. Turkoglu, Some fixed point theorems in ordered partial metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions. 4 (2) (2013), 13-18.
- [20] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, Q. UL Ain, A generalization of  $b$ -metric space and some fixed point theorems, Mathematics. 2017, 5:19.
- [21] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society. 30 (1) (1984), 1-9.
- [22] D. Klim, D. Wardowski, Fixed points of dynamic processes of set-valued  $F$ -contractions and application to functional equations, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015, 2015:22.
- [23] A. Latif, M. Abbas, A. Hussain, Coincidence best proximity point of  $F_g$ -weak contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (5) (2016), 2448-2457.
- [24] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, N. Y. Acad. Sci. 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [25] Z. Mustafa, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, Some common fixed point results in ordered partial  $b$ -metric spaces, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 2013:562.
- [26] V. Parvaneh, N. Hussain, Z. Kadelburg, Generalized Wardowski type fixed point theorems via  $\alpha$ -admissible  $FG$ -contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces, Acta Math. Sci. 36 (5) (2016), 1445-1456.
- [27] V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, Extended partial  $b$ -metric spaces and some fixed point results, Filomat. 32 (8) (2018), 2837-2850.
- [28] M. Sgroi, C. Vetro, Multi-valued  $F$ -contractions and the solution of certain functional and integral equations, Filomat. 27 (2013), 1259-1268.
- [29] S. Shukla, Partial  $b$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Mediterr. J. Math. 11 (2014), 703-711.
- [30] S. Shukla, S. Radenović, Z. Kadelburg, Some fixed point theorems for ordered  $F$ -generalized contractions in  $0$ - $f$ -orbitally complete partial metric spaces, Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci. 4 (1) (2014), 87-98.
- [31] Y. Su, Contraction mapping principle with generalized altering distance function in ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, 2014:227.
- [32] N. Van Dung, V. T. Le Hang, A fixed point theorem for generalized  $F$ -contractions on complete metric spaces, Vietnam J. Math. 4 (43) (2015), 743-753.
- [33] F. Vetro,  $F$ -contractions of Hardy-Rogers type and application to multistage decision processes, Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control. 21 (4) (2016), 531-546.
- [34] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 2012:94.
- [35] D. Wardowski, N. Van Dung, Fixed points of  $F$ -weak contractions on complete metric space, Demonstr. Math. XLVII (1) (2014), 146-155.