Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra Vol. 06, No. 04, 2017, 323-329



# Fixed points of weak $\psi$ -quasi contractions in generalized metric spaces

K. P. R. Sastry<sup>a</sup>, G. V. R. Babu<sup>b</sup>, P. S. Kumar<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>8-28-8/1, Tamil Street, China Waltair, Visakhapatnam-530 017, India. <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India.

Received 29 October 2017; Revised 29 December 2017; Accepted 30 December 2017. Communicated by Choonkil Park

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of weak  $\psi$ -quasi contraction in generalized metric spaces and using this notion we obtain conditions for the existence of fixed points of a self map in *D*-complete generalized metric spaces. We deduce some corollaries from our result and provide examples in support of our main result.

 $\bigodot$  2017 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Generalized metric space, weak  $\psi\text{-}\textsc{quasi}$  contraction, fixed point.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

# 1. Introduction

In 2015, Jleli and Samet [1] obtained a generalization of the notion of a metric space which they called a generalized metric space. They also stated and proved fixed point theorems for some contractions defined on these spaces. For more works in this direction, we refer [2–4]. Recently Sastry, Naidu, Rao and Naidu [4] have dealt with fixed point results in generalized metric spaces with less stringent conditions and obtained Banach contraction principle in generalized metric spaces as a corollary.

In this paper, we define weak  $\psi$ -quasi contraction in generalized metric spaces and prove the existence of fixed points of weak  $\psi$ -quasi contractions in *D*-complete generalized metric spaces and obtain results of Sastry, Naidu, Rao and Naidu [4] as corollaries.

## 2. Preliminaries

In the sequel, we use the following notation introduced by Jleli and Samet [1]. Let X be a non-empty set and  $D: X \times X \to [0, +\infty]$  be a given mapping. For every  $x \in X$ , let us define the set  $\mathcal{C}(D, X, x) = \{\{x_n\} \subset X : \lim_{n \to \infty} D(x_n, x) = 0\}.$ 

**Definition 2.1** [1] Let X be a non-empty set and  $D: X \times X \to [0, +\infty]$  be a function which satisfies the following conditions:

(2.1.1) D(x, y) = 0 implies x = y(2.1.2) D(x, y) = D(y, x) for all  $x, y \in X$ (2.1.3) there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $\{x_n\} \in \mathcal{C}(D, X, x)$ , then

$$D(x,y) \leq \lambda \limsup_{n \to \infty} D(x_n,y).$$

Then D is called a generalized metric and the pair (X, D) is called a generalized metric space with coefficient  $\lambda$ . In general we drop  $\lambda$ . It may be noted that in a generalized metric space, the distance between two points may be infinite. D(x, y) is called the generalized distance between x and y.

**Remark 1** [1] Obviously, if the set C(D, X, x) is empty for every  $x \in X$  then (X, D) is a generalized metric space if and only if (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) are satisfied.

**Definition 2.2** [1] Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space. Also, let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X and  $x \in X$ . We say that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is D-convergent to x, if  $\{x_n\} \in \mathcal{C}(D, X, x)$ ; that is,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} D(x_n, x) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.3** [1] Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space. Also, let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X and  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is D-convergent to x and  $\{x_n\}$  is D-convergent to y, then x = y.

**Definition 2.4** [1] Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space. Also, let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X and  $x \in X$ . We say that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a D-Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} D(x_n, x_{n+m}) = 0.$ 

**Definition 2.5** [1] Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space. It is said to X be D-complete if every D-Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to some element in X.

Here in after, we use converges in place of *D*-converges when there is no confusion.

**Definition 2.6** Let  $f: X \to X$  be a self map of X and  $x \in X$ . Write  $f^1(x) = f(x)$  and  $f^{n+1}(x) = f(f^n(x))$  for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . For convenience, we write  $x = f^0(x)$ ,  $x_1 = f^1(x)$  and  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is called the sequence of iterates of f at x.

We use the following two results in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.7** [4] Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space. Suppose  $\{x_n\} \subset X, x \in X$  and  $x_n \to x$ . Then D(x, x) = 0.

**Theorem 2.8** [4] Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space and  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}(D, X, x) \neq \phi$ . Then D(x, x) = 0.

Now we give examples of generalized metric spaces.

**Example 2.9** Let X = [0,1] and  $D: X \times X \to [0,\infty]$  be given by

$$D(x,y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and for any } y \\ x & \text{if } y = 0 \text{ and for any } x \\ 1 & \text{if } x \text{ and } y \text{ are rational and non-zero} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, D) is a generalized metric space with  $\lambda = 2$ .

**Example 2.10** Let X = [0, 1] and  $D : X \times X \to [0, \infty]$  be given by

$$D(x,y) = \begin{cases} |x-y|+1 & \text{if } x \neq y\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, D) is a generalized metric space with  $\lambda = 1$ .

**Example 2.11** Let  $X = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ...\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $D : X \times X \to [0, \infty]$  be given by  $D(1, x) = D(x, 1) = \infty$  if x = 0 or  $\frac{1}{n}$  for n = 1, 2, 3, ..., and otherwise D(x, y) = |x - y|. Then (X, D) is a generalized metric space with  $\lambda = 1$ .

#### 3. Main results

We start with the following notation which we use in the subsequent development. Suppose  $\lambda > 1$ . We write

 $\Psi_{\lambda} = \{\psi : [0,\infty] \to [0,\infty] | \psi \text{ is non-decreasing}, \psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0, \ \psi(t) < \frac{t}{\lambda} \text{ for } t > 0\}$  and

 $\Psi_1 = \{ \psi : [0,\infty] \to [0,\infty] | \psi \text{ is non-decreasing, right continuous, } \psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0 \text{ and } \psi(t) < t \text{ for } t > 0 \}.$ 

**Lemma 3.1** If  $\psi \in \Psi_{\lambda}$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\psi \in \Psi_{\lambda}$ .

Case (i): Suppose  $\lambda = 1$ . Then  $\psi(t) < t$  for t > 0. Since  $\{\psi^n(t)\}$  is decreasing it converges to some  $r \ge 0$ . Suppose  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $r \le \psi^n(t) < r + \epsilon$  for sufficiently large n, which implies that  $\psi(r) \le \psi^{n+1}(t) \le \psi(r+\epsilon)$ . Hence  $\psi(r) < r \le \psi^{n+1}(t) \le \psi(r+\epsilon) \to \psi(r)$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$  (since  $\psi$  is right continuous). Therefore,  $\psi(r) = r$  so that r = 0. Hence  $\psi^n(t) \to 0$ as  $n \to \infty$ .

Case (ii): Suppose  $\lambda > 1$ . Then  $\psi(t) < \frac{t}{\lambda}$  for t > 0. Now,  $\psi(t) < \frac{t}{\lambda}$ , which implies that  $\psi^2(t) = \psi(\psi(t) \leq \psi(\frac{t}{\lambda}) < \frac{t}{\lambda^2}$ . By induction, we have  $\psi^n(t) < \frac{t}{\lambda^n} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $\psi^n(t) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Now, we introduce weak  $\psi$ -quasi contraction in generalized metric spaces.

**Definition 3.2** Let (X, D) be a generalized metric space with coefficient  $\lambda, \psi \in \Psi_{\lambda}$  and  $f: X \to X$  be a mapping. We write

$$M(x,y) = \max\{D(x,y), D(x,fx), D(y,fy), D(x,fy), D(y,fx)\}.$$

We say that f is a weak  $\psi$ -quasi contraction if

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \psi(M(x, y))$$
 for every  $x, y \in X$ . (1)

Now we state and prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.3** Let (X, D) be a *D*-complete generalized metric space and  $f : X \to X$  be a weak  $\psi$ -quasi contraction. Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{n} D(x_0, f^n(x_0)) < \alpha < \infty, \tag{2}$$

$$D(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) \leqslant \psi^n(\alpha) \tag{3}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then  $\{f^n(x_0)\}$  is Cauchy and hence converges to  $w \in X$ . If  $\limsup_n D(f^n(x_0, fw)) < \infty$ , then w is a fixed point of f. Moreover, if w' is another fixed point of f such that  $D(w, w') < \infty$  and  $D(w', w') < \infty$ , then w = w'.

**Proof.** Frist we show that

$$D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n}(x_{0})) \leqslant \psi^{n}(\alpha) \text{ for every } n.$$
(4)

The result is true for n = 0, by (2). Now, assume the truth for n. i.e.,

$$D(f^n(x_0), f^n(x_0)) \leqslant \psi^n(\alpha).$$
(5)

We show that  $D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) \leq \psi^{n+1}(\alpha)$ . We have

$$D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) = D(f(f^n(x_0)), f(f^n(x_0))) \le \psi(M(f^n(x_0), f^n(x_0)))$$

where, by (3) and (5),

$$M(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n}(x_{0}) = \max\{D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n}(x_{0})), D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1}(x_{0})), D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1}(x_{0})), D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1}(x_{0}))\}$$
  
$$= \max\{\psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha)\}$$
  
$$= \psi^{n}(\alpha).$$

Therefore,  $D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) \leq \psi(\psi^n(\alpha)) = \psi^{n+1}(\alpha)$ . Hence, (4) holds for every n. Now, we show that

$$D(f^n(x_0), f^{n+m}(x_0)) \leq \psi^n(\alpha) \text{ for } m, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$
 (6)

If n = 0 then  $D(x_0, f^m(x_0)) < \alpha = \psi^0(\alpha)$  by (2). Assume that (6) is true for n. i.e.,

$$D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+m}(x_{0})) \leq \psi^{n}(\alpha) \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (7)

We prove

$$D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1+m}(x_0)) \leq \psi^{n+1}(\alpha) \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (8)

The result is true if m = 0, by (4). Now assume (8) is true for m. i.e.,

$$D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1+m}(x_0)) \leqslant \psi^{n+1}(\alpha).$$
(9)

We must prove that

$$D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1+m+1}(x_0)) \leqslant \psi^{n+1}(\alpha).$$
(10)

Now, we have

$$D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1+m+1}(x_0)) = D(f(f^n(x_0)), f(f^{n+1+m}(x_0))) \le \psi(M(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1+m}(x_0)), f^{n+1+m}(x_0)) \le \psi(M(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1+m}(x_0)))$$

where, by (3), (7) and (9),

$$\begin{split} M(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1+m}(x_{0})) &= \max\{D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1+m}(x_{0})), D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1}(x_{0})), \\ D(f^{n+1+m}(x_{0}), f^{n+1+m+1}(x_{0})), D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1+m+1}(x_{0})), \\ D(f^{n+1+m}(x_{0}), f^{n+1}(x_{0}))\} \\ &\leqslant \max\{\psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n+1+m}(\alpha), \psi^{n}(\alpha), \psi^{n+1}(\alpha)\} \\ &= \psi^{n}(\alpha). \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $D(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+1+m+1}(x_0)) \leq \psi(\psi^n(\alpha)) = \psi^{n+1}(\alpha)$ . Hence, (10) holds for m+1, which in turn (6) holds for every  $m, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Now, let  $m \to \infty$  and  $n \to \infty$  in (6). Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} D(f^n(x_0), f^{n+m}(x_0)) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \psi^n(\alpha) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\{f^n(x_0)\}$  is Cauchy and hence converges to a limit w (say) in X. Thus  $f^n(x_0) \to w$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Now,

$$D(f^{n+1}(x_0), fw) = D(f(f^n(x_0), fw) \le \psi(M(f^n(x_0), w)),$$
(11)

where

$$M(f^{n}(x_{0}), w) = \max\{D(f^{n}(x_{0}), w), D(f^{n}(x_{0}), f^{n+1}(x_{0})), D(w, fw), \\D(f^{n}(x_{0}), fw), D(w, f^{n+1}(x_{0}))\} \\ \leqslant \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon, \lambda \overline{\lim} D(f^{n}(x_{0}), fw), \overline{\lim} D(f^{n}(x_{0}), fw)\}$$

for large *n*. We write  $\mu = \overline{\lim}D(f^n(x_0), fw)$ . Let  $n \to \infty$  in (11). Then we get  $\mu \leq \psi(\max\{\epsilon, \lambda\mu, \mu\})$  for large *n*. Therefore,  $\mu \leq \psi(\epsilon) < \epsilon$  for small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence,  $\mu = 0$ . i.e.,  $\overline{\lim}D(f^n(x_0), fw) = 0$  (since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $\limsup_n D(f^n(x_0), fw) < \infty$  by hypothesis). Thus,  $f^n(x_0) \to fw$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, fw = w such that w is a fixed point of f. Suppose w' is also a fixed point of f such that  $D(w, w') < \infty$  and  $D(w', w') < \infty$ . Now,  $D(w, w') = D(fw, fw') \leq \psi(M(w, w'))$ , where

$$M(w, w') = \max\{D(w, w'), D(w, w), D(w', w'), D(w, w'), D(w, w')\} = D(w, w').$$

Therefore,  $D(w, w') \leq \psi(D(w, w')) < D(w, w')$ . Hence, D(w, w') = 0 such that uniqueness of the fixed point follows.

The following is an example in support of Theorem 3.3.

327

**Example 3.4** Let X = [0, 1] with the generalized metric D defined as in example 2.9. We define fx = 0 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and define  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{3}$  for  $t \ge 0$ . Then f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 with  $x_0 = 1$  and 0 is the unique fixed point of f. But, if we define fx = 1 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  then

$$D(fx,fy)=D(1,1)=1 \nleq \psi(M(1,1))=\psi(1)$$

for any  $\psi \in \Psi_2$  so that the inequality (1) fails to hold for this constant function.

The following example shows that there may be two fixed points w and w' with  $D(w, w') = \infty$ .

**Example 3.5** Let  $X = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $D: X \times X \to [0, \infty]$  be given by  $D(1, x) = D(x, 1) = \infty$  if x = 0 or  $\frac{1}{n}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$  and otherwise, D(x, y) = |x - y|. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1\\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and  $\psi(t) = kt$  for  $t \ge 0$  and k < 1. Then 0 and 1 are fixed points,  $D(0,1) = \infty$  and all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied.

The following theorems are corollaries of our main result.

**Theorem 3.6** [4] Let (X, D) be a *D*-complete generalized metric space and  $f : X \to X$  be a *k*-quasi contraction with  $k\lambda < 1$ . Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{n} D(x_0, f^n(x_0)) < \alpha < \infty,$$
$$D(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) \leq k^n \alpha$$

for every *n*. Then  $\{f^n(x_0)\}$  is Cauchy sequence and hence converges  $w \in X$ . If  $\limsup_n D(f^n(x_0, fw)) < \infty$ , then *w* is a fixed point of *f*. Moreover, if *w'* is another fixed point of *f* such that  $D(w, w') < \infty$  and  $D(w', w') < \infty$ , then w = w'.

**Theorem 3.7** [4] Let (X, D) be a *D*-complete generalized metric space and  $f : X \to X$ be a *R*-type contraction. Suppose  $q \ge 2, k\lambda < 1$  and there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{n} D(x_0, f^n(x_0)) < \alpha < \infty,$$
$$D(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) \leq k^n \alpha$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{f^n(x_0)\}$  is Cauchy sequence and hence converges  $w \in X$ . If  $\limsup_n D(f^n(x_0, fw)) < \infty$ , then w is a fixed point of f. Moreover, if w' is another fixed point of f such that  $D(w, w') < \infty$  and  $D(w', w') < \infty$  then w = w'.

The following example shows the significance of the coefficient  $\lambda$  and the set  $\Psi_{\lambda}$  of functions, in proving the existence of fixed points of weak  $\psi$ -quasi contractions. In other words, fixed point may not exist if the condition  $\psi(t) < \frac{t}{\lambda}$  is violated.

**Example 3.8** [2] Let  $X = [0,1] \cup \{2\}$  and  $D: X \times X \to [0,\infty]$  be given by

$$D(x,y) = \begin{cases} 10 & \text{if either } (x,y) = (0,2) \text{ or } (x,y) = (2,0) \\ |x-y| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, D) is a generalized metric space with  $\lambda = 5$ . Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0\\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$  for  $t \ge 0$ . In this example,  $\psi(t) < \frac{t}{\lambda}$  ( $\lambda = 5$  in this case) is violated and f does not have a fixed point.

### References

- M. Jleli, B. Samet, A generalized metric space and related fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2015), 2015:61.
- [2] E. Karapinar, D. O'Regan, A. F. R. L. Hierro, N. Shahzad, Fixed point theorems in new generalized metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 18 (2016), 645-671.
- M. Noorwali, H. H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar, Some extensions of fixed point results over quasi-JS-spaces, J. Funct. Spaces. (2016), 2016:6963041.
- [4] K. P. R. Sastry, G. Appala Naidu, Ch. Srinivasa Rao, B. Ramu Naidu, Fixed point theorems for weak k-quasi contractions on a generalized metric space, Bull. Math. Stat. Res. 4 (S1) (2016), ISSN:2348-0580.