

*Case Report https://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.21595852.2021.11.2.4.8*

# **Impacts of Iranian Agric[ultural Water Resources](https://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.21595852.2021.11.2.4.8) Conservation Policies (Case of Baft County in Dashtab Plain)**

*Shoja Mousapour a, Mahmoud Hashemitabar b,\* and Mahdi Safdari c*

Abstract

*Received: 03 September 2019, Accepted: 22 August 2020*

*Keywords: Water resources, posi ‑ tive mathematical pro ‑ gramming (PMP), water subsidy reduction, Dashtab Plain*

**Jater scarcity has made water management one of the top priorities** in the world because of climate change and population growth on the one hand and increasing demand for food on the other. The present study aimed to simulate the effects of conservation policies on water resources in the Dashtab plain, Iran, using the positive mathematical pro ‐ gramming (PMP) method. Data were collected by a questionnaire for which 136 questionnaires were filled by randomly sampled experts of Agricultural Jihad Organization. The results showed that out of the three policies adopted in this study including limiting irrigation inputs, reducing irrigation input subsidies, and reducing crop prices, reducing subsidies on irrigation inputs were the best policy to protect irrigation resources and farmers' incomes.

*a Ph.D. Student, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Sistan and Baluches ‑ tan, Zahedan, Iran*

*b Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran*

*c Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran*

*<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author's email: mhashemitabar@gmail.com*

# **INTRODUCTION**

Water scarcity and human inability to pro ‐ duce water have widened the gap between water supply and demand, especially in re ‐ cent decades, with a shortage of supply in most parts of the world (Bakhshi et al., 2011; Rahnama et al., 2012). Water scarcity is one of the most important global problems in the present century, and th[e crises resulting](#page-10-0) from [this scarcity pose a se](#page-10-1)rious threat to sustain ‐ able development, the environment, human health, and welfare (Shahroudi & Chizari, 2009 ). Agricultural activities will be rendered impossible in Iran without resorting to irri ‐ gation due to the amo[unt and type of rainfall](#page-10-2) [in this](#page-10-2) country. However, the water use eff i ‐ ciency of Iran's agricultural sector is not com ‐ parable to other countries, not only in drylands but also in the modern waterways and modern networks that receive sufficient water. As agriculture is heavily dependent on irrigation in Iran, if the role of water in the development of the country is not taken into account, the country's food security will cer ‐ tainly face serious problems (Youse fi et al., 2012).

The widening gap between future supply and demand for water will re[quire adequate](#page-11-0) [attentio](#page-11-0)n to the basics of economic planning and optimal allocation of water resources and make water management imperative. There are many policy efforts today to reduce water use in the agricultural sector and im ‐ prove its allocation. To improve water alloca ‐ tion efficiency, economists propose price increases for water inputs, but policymakers reject the proposal for economic, cultural, and political reasons (He et al., 2006). Briscoe (1996), Perry (2001 ), and Hellegers (2002 ) argue that considering water an economic commodity does not [mean determi](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#H1)[ning the](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#br) [right p](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#br)[rice for it, bu](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#pc)t rat[her that the right](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hp) choice is made for water allocation. Also, the outcome of a policy and its effects largely de ‐ pend on how the bene ficiaries will respond to it. Today, this is predicted by Positive Math ‐ ematical Programming (PMP). In other words, before a decision can be made for pol ‐

icymaking, simulating the potential response of farmers through PMP can be an effective aid in making more correct decisions. The conventional way to simulate producers' de ‐ cisions is to create a model that re flects con ‐ straints, opportunities, and goals, and then resolve them under changing assumptions about producers affected by the policy envi ‐ ronment. In this method, known as Norma ‐ tive Mathematical Programming (NMP), the optimal condition is investigated and the ef‐ fect of the desired policies on the optimal condition is investigated. However, in the PMP method used in the present study, the current situation and the current cropping pattern of the farmers are taken into account and the effect of the policies in question on the current (rather than optimal) situation is investigated (Bakhshi et al., 2011).

Sabouhi et al. (2007) used the PMP model to examine the response of farmers in Kho ‐ rasan province, Iran, to policies of price c[hange and water ava](#page-10-3)ilability. The results showed that under the conditions of deviant policies (subsidy payments) and market fail ‐ ures (side effects) with an increase in water irrigation prices, social bene fits would in ‐ crease and private bene fits would decrease. Bakhshi et al. (2011) used the PMP approach to study the effects of alternative pricing poli ‐ cies for irrigation water in the Mashhad plain, [Iran. They found that](#page-10-0) the effect of alternative policies varied depending on the representa ‐ tive operating group and that water pricing and product taxation policies were more ef‐ fective than complementary taxation policies. Sabouhi and Azadegan (2014) used a PMP model to estimate the dynamic supply func ‐ tions of major agricultural products and an ‐ alyzed the effects of irrigation pricing policy in a case study on the Mashhad ‐Chenaran plain, Iran. The results revealed that the pol ‐ icy of increasing the price of irrigation water would reduce the total cultivated area com ‐ pared to the base year and farmers would shift towards cultivating and supplying higher ‐income crops in the region. In a study using multi ‐attribute utility function and lin ‐

ear mathematical programming, Gomez ‐ Limon and Riesgo (2004) estimated the de ‐ mand for irrigation water and examined the effects of irrigation pricing policy in the Span ‐ ish area of Duero. The results showed that water pricing had a signi ficant effect on re ‐ ducing farmers' income, but it reduced water use on agricultural land by about 10 percent. In a study using PMP, Azuara et al. (2009) ex ‐ amined the economic value of water under different conditions and reported that the economic value of water at the field and in ‐ terconnected levels [was relatively similar, b](#page-10-4)ut the variability and the effect of the distribu ‐ tion of each scenario were affected by aggre ‐ gation. Gallego ‐Ayala (2012) used the PMP model and a hierarchical analysis to deter ‐ mine the price of irrigation water and analyze the effects of different water pricing policies on cropping patterns and inputs. In this study, the policies evaluated included volume pricing, area of cultivation, and the two ‐part tariff system. The PMP model of water price optimization was calculated for each pricing method and then the economic, social, and environmental criteria were prioritized using hierarchical analysis. The results showed slight changes in determining the optimal price for water and the values of inputs con ‐ sumed in the cropping pattern obtained by the considered methods. Hellegers and Davidson (2010) examined the economic value of non ‐accumulated irrigation water in the Musa sub ‐basin in I[ndia. The results](#page-10-5) [showed that the va](#page-10-5)lue of irrigation water was not equal among different crops, regions, and seasons. Howitt et al. (2012) used the posi ‐ tive planning model and Constant Elasticity of Substitution (CES) to calibrate economic models and analyze applied policies in Cali ‐ fornia water resources management. The re ‐ sults showed that greater flexibility in the water market can reduce income losses from drought by up to 30 percent in implementing irrigation pricing policies. Aidam (2015) ex ‐ amined the effects of water pricing policy on Ghanaian water resources demand. The re ‐ sults showed that water p[ricing policy ha](#page-9-0)d a

negative effect on demand for water re ‐ sources in Ghana, yet it was only when water prices signi ficantly increased. Nonetheless, if the water price is high, it will have a negative effect on farming activities, farmer income, employment, and crop variety. Thus, to min ‐ imize and reduce losses in the sector, farmers were suggested to be provided with informa ‐ tion on water scarcity in order to persuade them to use the existing technologies for bet ‐ ter water conservation. Zhou et al. (2015) performed a study in the Hiehe River basin in northwest China on whether irrigation water prices are effective lever[age for water man](#page-11-1) ‐ agement. The results showed that the impact of the agricultural irrigation water price was statistically signi ficant, but its elasticity had a slight effect at low water prices. In addition, farmers' reaction to the price increase was actually low. The price mechanism must be coupled with applicable water rights, water rationing, water authority improvements, and water user associations to motivate water conservation and improve irrigation efficiency. Additionally, increasing surface ir ‐ rigation water price might end in the extrac ‐ tion and overuse of groundwater. Thus, the reduction of the permit for exploitation and the taxation of groundwater can prevent fur ‐ ther aquatic decline. Shirzadi et al. (2018) in ‐ vestigated the effect of irrigation water pricing policy on the level of groundwater in the Neyshabur plain, Iran, using a PMP model. The results showed that increasing the price of irrigation water had a signi ficant effect on changing the crop pattern, reducing the pro fits, and reducing the irrigation water consumption, so it had a positive effect on im ‐ proving groundwater level.

In recent decades, over ‐harvesting under ‐ ground aquifers has reached its climax. This disproportionate use of the aquifers has de ‐ pleted some of the deep and semi ‐deep wells in the region, and other wells in the region have been hit by water scarcity. As the govern ‐ ment heavily subsidizes agricultural water, delivering it to the local farmers almost free of charge, farmers do not value this vital agri ‐

cultural input. The excessive harvest of this vital input has led to some land subsidence in the region, which could have devastating en ‐ vironmental consequences for the region in the future. Hence, the present study at ‐ tempted to apply the effects of water re ‐ sources limitation policies, irrigation water subsidy policies, and reduced crop prices for a development and conservation program using the PMP model and analyze and provide suitable solutions for the Dashtab Baft plain.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

In recent decades, mathematical program ‐ ming models have widely been used in agri ‐ cultural policy analysis and simulation of the effects of these policies on different parts of the agricultural system, such as possible changes in the inputs consumed, with a pat ‐ tern of cultivation and welfare of farmers (Bakhshi et al., 2011; He et al., 2006). The most signi ficant bene fit of these models is their capability of evaluating the effect of [policies at the farm le](#page-10-0)[vel \(Paris and Ho](#page-10-6)witt, 1998). In NMP models, an optimal solution is selected from the possible ones. However, in such models, the results often do not repro ‐ duce the current allocation of inputs between production activities, and due to the differ ‐ ence between the optimal response of the model and the current cropping pattern, the farmers' responses to the policies adopted are practically not shown properly. Thus, the policy analysis of these models is not gener ‐ ally valid (Heckelei, 2002). Policymakers tend to compare current policy (base status) and alternative policy choices to predict out ‐ comes. H[ence, the model](#page-10-7) must reproduce the baseline as much as possible to validate the results, but the NMP method does not gain such validity because of the lack of a proper calibration mechanism (Howitt, 2005). Due to the disadvantages of constrained calibra ‐ tion methods, methods have been introduced to derive nonlinear suppl[y functions bas](#page-10-8)ed on the observed behavior of decision ‐makers and calibrate the model as a whole.

Nowadays, PMP models have been devel ‐

oped to overcome the disadvantages of the NMP method. The PMP models not only cali ‐ brate mathematical programming models (MPMs) to the observed values precisely but also provide realistic and flexible simulation behavior of the model (Howitt, 2005). The main idea of PMP is that the opportunity cost information of each activity in an initial NMP model is used to specify [a model with a](#page-10-8) non ‐ linear objective function without in finite or additional constraints to be included in the model (Bakhshi et al., 2011; Howitt, 1995). By considering regional production func ‐ tions, the PMP model eliminates the disad ‐ vantag[es it had in its previo](#page-10-0)[us models an](#page-3-0)[d](#page-10-0) analyzes policies using a quadratic function. After considering the functions of regional crop production, the PMP model includes CES. This capability helps the PMP model constrain the succession of inputs. The pres ‐ ence of spatial integration effects enhances the PMP model and enables the model to pre ‐ dict the impact of agricultural policies by col ‐ lecting data or information at the minor or partial level of the study areas (Howitt et al., 2012).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The PMP method was first introduced by Howitt in 1995 and is the m[ost commonly](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ho111) [used m](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ho111)ethod for calibrating an MPM in three stages:

Step 1: De fining the linear programming model with calibration constraints

Step 2: Applying dual values of the first stage model to determine the nonlinear ob ‐ jective function parameters

Step 3: Using the calibrated objective func ‐ tion in a nonlinear programming model to analyze policies

In the first step, the calibration constraints are added to the resource constraints of a lin ‐ ear programming model. These constraints limit the activity level to the levels observed in the base period. Assuming the maximiza ‐ tion of program output, the initial model is stated as follows (Howitt, 1995; Paris & Howitt, 1998).



$$
X \le x0 + \varepsilon \quad [\rho] \tag{3}
$$
  

$$
x \ge 0 \tag{4}
$$

where *Z* is the value of the objective func ‐ tion, *p* is an (n \* 1) vector of product prices, *x* is a non ‐negative (n \* 1) vector of production activity levels, *c* is an (n \* 1) vector of cost per activity unit,  $A$  is an  $(m * n)$  matrix of technical coefficients on resource constraints, *b* is an (m \* 1) vector of available resource values,  $x_0$  is a non-negative (n  $*$  1) vector of observed levels of manufacturing activities, *ε* is an (n \* 1) vector of small positive numbers to avoid linear dependence between structural con ‐ straints (2) and calibration constraints (3), *λ* is an (m \* 1) vector of dual variables related to resource constraints, and  $\rho$  is a  $(n * 1)$  vector of dual variables of calibration con ‐ straints.

The difference between the above model and the linear programming model is that the calibration constraints are added to the model at this stage. By solving the above model, the double values corresponding to the above constraints, which represent the shadow price of the products produced, are calculated. Howitt (1995 ) and Heckelei (2002 ) interpreted the vector of ρ values as ‐ sociated with the calibration constraints as representat[ions of any m](#page-3-0)odel c[orrection](#page-10-7) [error, d](#page-10-7)ata error, aggregation error, risk be ‐ havior, and price expectations. In the calibra ‐ tion of a decreasing nonlinear performance function, the ρ dual vector represents the dif‐ ference between the value of the final and the average output (Howitt, 1995). Together with the cost vector (c), it shows the final and ac ‐ tual cost of producing the observed activity  $x_0$ . In step two, [the dual values](#page-3-0) obtained from step one are used to estimate the nonlinear objective function parameters. In other words, dual values are used at this stage to calibrate the parameters of the nonlinear ob ‐ jective function. In this case, the activity lev ‐ els observed in the base period are reproduced by a nonlinear model without the calibration constraints (Howitt, 1995). In the PMP method, the formation of a nonlinear objective function can be conducted by sup ‐

ply (cost) or demand (price) or a combina ‐ tion of the two (Howitt, 2005). Supply ‐based methods assume that nonlinear cost func ‐ tions and constant performance are used for model calibrat[ion. Demand](#page-10-6) ‐based methods are useful when the model has been suff i ‐ ciently large ‐scale de fined to allow changes in the quantity of the product to change the price. The third method assumes that both supply and demand are nonlinear or risk components are added to the model. How ‐ ever, the general principle is to add nonlinear elements to the model to re flect the actual be ‐ havior of the users.

At this step, any nonlinear function having the desired conditions can be used for cali ‐ bration (Heckelei, 2002). According to Howitt, in the PMP method, most of the cost functions are used as nonlinear best models by econo[metric data. Hecke](#page-10-7)lei believes that because of the simplicity of calculations and the lack of robust reasons for other types of functions, a quadratic cost function (except for Paris and Howitt, 1998) is usually used in the objective function. The simplest function form used in most studies (He et al., 2006; Shirzadi et al., 2018) is the quadratic func ‐ tion. Given the desirable characteristics of the quadratic cost function such [as the ascending](#page-10-9) [ultimate cost functio](#page-10-10)n for each activity and the ease of working with these functions, this form of function is preferred over the other forms (Cortignani & Severini, 2009). In this study, a quadratic cost function econometric model was selected as the best form and speci fi[ed in the PMP model as follow](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#cs)s:

$$
Cv(x) = d'x + \frac{x'Qx}{2} \tag{5}
$$

where *d* represents the vector (n \* 1) of a linear component of the cost function and *Q* represents the positive, de finite, and sym ‐ metric matrix (n \* n) of the quadratic compo ‐ nent of the cost function.

As was already stated, the final cost vector (MCV) of the above cost function is equal to the sum of the cost vector *c* and the differen ‐ tial cost vector *ρ* :

(8)

$$
MC^{V} = \nabla C^{V} (x') x 0 = C + \rho
$$
 (6)

where  $C^V$  (x) is the gradient vector of the first-order derivatives of  $C^{\vee}(x')_{\times 0}$  for X=X<sub>0</sub>. To solve the above system of equations, which contains *n* equations with  $[n+n(n+1)/2]$  parameters, various solutions like the initial stiffness rule, the average cost approach, the use of exogenous elasticity of supply, and the production ‐based and maximum entropy re ‐ finements are used. In this study, the initial re finement rule was used. In the third step of the PMP method, the nonlinear cost function ful filled in the previous step is examined in the objective function and in a nonlinear pro ‐ gramming problem like the initial problem except for calibration constraints but with other system constraints:

$$
\text{Maximize} \quad Z = p'x - \hat{d} - \frac{x'\hat{Q}x}{2} \tag{7}
$$

Subject to:  $Ax \leq b$  $\lceil \lambda \rceil$ 

$$
X \ge 0 \tag{9}
$$

where vector  $d$  and matrix  $Q$  show the calibrated nonlinear objective function. Now, the above calibrated nonlinear model correctly re ‐ produces the levels of activity observed in the status quo and the dual values of the resource constraints and is ready to simulate changes in the target parameters. Compared to the first‐stage model, the third‐stage model has no calibration constraints and its objective func ‐ tion is nonlinear. It has been attempted to in ‐ corporate the model used to include existing constraints in the studied area to examine the effect of the policies in question on the pattern of cultivation and consumption and the esti ‐ mation of the economic value of water. Accord ‐ ingly, model constraints include land, irrigation, and capital constraints.

The data were collected using the random sampling method by completing 136 ques ‐ tionnaires from the Dashtab plain's farmers and Dashtab Agricultural Jihad Organization. GAMS (General Algebraic Modeling System)

software was used to solve the proposed model. This software was also used to solve Linear Problems (LP), Nonlinear Program ‐ ming (NLP), Mixed Integer Programming (MIP), Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MINLP), and Mixed Complementary Prob ‐ lems (MCP).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Dashtab Plain is located in the southwest of Baft (Lat. 28°57'57 ″ North, Long. 56°35'35 ″ East), 36 and 196 km away from Baft and Kerman counties, respectively. This area is the main part of Baft's agricultural enterprise that includes crops such as wheat, barley, and millet. The agricultural water of this area is supplied from deep and semi ‐deep wells.

This section first uses the statistical data in Table 1 and then, examines the model out ‐ puts for changes in the cultivated area, the shadow price, the amount of water consumed by farmers, and the gross farm income by using different scenarios.

Capitals includes seed, fertilizer, poison, and cost of machinery

 Table 1 shows the amount of cultivated area, yield, capital, labor, and net water de ‐ mand for the selected crops for the year 2017. Wheat and watermelon had the highest and lowest crop yields, respectively, and al ‐ falfa and millet had the highest and lowest water requirement, respectively.

## **Water resource constraint scenarios**

In this section, changes in crop area, the economic value of water, and gross income of farmers in the region are examined by using different reduction scenarios of 25 percent, 50 percent, and 75 percent of water resource limitation.

According to Table 2, in the scenario of a 25 percent reduction in water resources, the highest change in the cultivated area is re ‐ lated to barley whose cultivation is sus ‐ pended by decreasing 100 percent of this crop in the cultivation pattern. The lowest changes are 1.38 percent and 1.25 percent for millet and watermelon, respectively.

#### **Impacts of Iranian Agricultural... / Mousapour et al.**

Selected Crops for the Base Year ZUI /					
<b>Selected</b> crops	<b>Cultivated</b> area (ha)	Yield (t/ha)	Water require- ment $(m^3/ha)$	Capital $(000$ IRR/ha)	Labor force (person-days)
Wheat	1350	3.5	3744	10500	22
<b>Barley</b>	1000	2.1	3168	7110	20
Alfalfa	600	10	6480	8000	28
Millet Sorghum Watermelon	65020080	1.95528.5		216859405184 3460109409600	122530

Table 1 *Selected Crops for the Base Year 2017*

Table 2

*The Results Obtained by the Dashtab Plain Water Resource Constraint Scenarios*



Moreover, the gross income in this scenario is decreased compared to the base year by 13.59 percent and the amount of water con ‐ sumed by the farmers is decreased by 25 per ‐ cent. The economic value of water in this scenario is  $2360$  IRR/m<sup>3</sup>, meaning that the farmers have to spend a maximum of 236  $IRR/m<sup>3</sup>$  to have an extra unit of water.

In the scenario of 50% reduction in water resources, the highest changes in the culti ‐ vated area are related to barley and sorghum, which exhibit 100 percent and 62.5 percent declines, respectively, and the lowest changes are related to watermelon and millet, ‐10 per ‐ cent and ‐6.25 percent , respectively. The highest cultivated area is related to wheat. Moreover, the gross income in this scenario is decreased by 38 percent versus the base year reaching 187,840 million IRR, and the

water consumed by farmers is decreased by 50 percent. The economic value of water in this scenario is increased to  $6,270$  IRR/m<sup>3</sup>.

The scenario of 75 percent reduction in water resources shows that the highest per ‐ centage of changes are related to barley and sorghum so that both will be eliminated from the cultivation pattern, and the highest culti ‐ vated area is related to millet. Gross income in this scenario decreases by 62.39 percent and reaches 11, 3970 million IRR, and the economic value of water in this scenario is 11,900 IRR/m 3 .

# **Scenarios for subsidizing irrigation water inputs**

By applying various scenarios in this sec ‐ tion, the cultivated area of the selected crops is examined. It also shows the effect of vari ‐

#### **Impacts of Iranian Agricultural... / Mousapour et al.**

ous scenarios on the gross income and water consumption of farmers.

We examine various scenarios according to the results of Table 3. In the scenario of a 25 percent reduction, the cultivated area of bar ‐ ley drastically reduces to 86 ha, but water ‐ melon and millet experience the lowest declines of 1.25 percent and 1.69 percent, re ‐ spectively, and the wheat has the highest cul ‐ tivated area. Under the first scenario, gross income decreases by 17.84 percent from the base year and reaches 249,010 million IRR, and the water used by the farmers is pre ‐ served up to 28.55 percent.

By applying the scenario of 50 percent re ‐ duction, barley is removed from the cropping pattern and the other crops have a decreasing trend, with the two crops having the least variations and wheat showing the highest cultivated area. Under the scenario of a 50 percent reduction in irrigation water subsidy, the gross income of the farmers in the region is reduced by 28 percent and the water con ‐ sumption is saved by up to 38.80 percent.

Table 3

*Results Obtained by Applying Water Subsidy Reduction Scenarios of Dashtab Plain Irrigation*



# Table 4

*Results Obtained by Applying the Price ‑Reduction Scenarios for Selected crops in the Dashtab Plain*



Under the 75 percent reduction scenario, barley and sorghum undergo the greatest de ‐ cline in their cultivated area by 100 percent and 84.5 percent, respectively. Barley is re ‐ moved from the cropping pattern, sorghum is removed in scenarios above the cropping pat ‐ tern, other crops follow a decreasing trend, and watermelon and millet show the lowest reduction. Under the third scenario, the gross income of farmers in the region is reduced to 37.24 percent and the water consumed by farmers is reduced to 47.77 percent adding 7,221,000 m<sup>3</sup> to underground aquifers.

#### **The scenario of decreasing crop prices**

In this section, the gross income and water consumption of farmers are examined with the assumption of various scenarios for re ‐ ducing crop prices and their effect on the cul ‐ tivated area.

The results of the four scenarios of 10, 25, 50, and 75 percent reduction in crop prices are presented in Table 4. In the first scenario, the cultivated area of barley and sorghum yields have the highest decrease of 23 per ‐ cent and 15.5 percent , respectively, and wa ‐ termelon and millet have the lowest reduction of 1.25 and 2 percent, respectively. By applying this scenario, gross income and water consumption decrease by 20.41 and 10.46 percent, respectively.

In the second scenario, as in the first sce ‐

nario, barley and sorghum have the highest reduction in crop area, and the highest crop area is related to wheat. Under this scenario, the gross income and water consumed by farmers decrease by 23.65 and 31.13 percent, respectively.

In the third scenario, barley and sorghum disappear from the cropping pattern, and the cultivated areas of wheat and alfalfa decrease by 75.55 and 70 percent, respectively. Millet and sorghum exhibit the lowest decline in the cultivated area. Moreover, gross income and amount of water consumed by farmers de ‐ crease by 58.58 and 69.54 percent, respec ‐ tively.

In the fourth scenario, by lowering the prices of the four crops ‐ barley, wheat, alfalfa, and sorghum ‐ the cropping pattern is elimi ‐ nated, and the cultivated areas of millet and watermelon reduce by 56.61 and 20 percent, respectively. Moreover, gross income de ‐ creases by 85.42 percent compared to the base year and reaches 44,170 million IRR, and the water consumed by farmers de ‐ creases by 93.76 percent reaching 942,000  $m<sup>3</sup>$ , implying that 14,175,000  $m<sup>3</sup>$  of water is added to groundwater.

Figure 1 shows, comparatively, the water consumed by farmers in the study area after adopting various policies compared to the base year.



*Figure 1.* A Comparison of Policies Used to Reduce Water Consumption in the Region

# **CONCLUSION**

Under various scenarios, the water re ‐ sources of Dashtab Plain, Iran, are allocated by farmers to crops with low water demands and high yields. For instance, millet has a low water requirement and under different sce ‐ narios, there is not much reduction, but al ‐ though watermelon demands more water than wheat, it is preferred to wheat due to its high yield. The two crops of alfalfa and sorghum exhibit the maximum variations due to their highest water consumption. Also, barley is removed from the cropping pattern due to its lowest yield and moderate water requirement under different scenarios. Under the scenario, the amount of water con ‐ sumed and gross income decreases by 75 percent and gross revenue by 75 and 62 per ‐ cent, respectively. This part of the study is in line with Rahnama et al. (2012) .

Farmers in the region are turning to crops that have lower water demand and higher producti[vity under reduced subs](#page-10-1)idies on ir ‐ rigation inputs. Under 25% scenario, barley has the most changes in its cultivated area, which reduces to 86 ha. Under the scenarios of 50 and 75 percent, barley is removed from the cropping pattern; other crops show a de ‐ creasing trend; the two crops of alfalfa and sorghum have the highest reduction due to their higher water requirement; millet and watermelon undergo the lowest changes, the former due to its low water requirement and the latter due to its high pro fitability; and wheat decreases by 25 percent due to its moderate water demand. Under this policy, 37 percent of farmers' water consumption is saved and added to underground aquifers, and their gross income is reduced by 48 per ‐ cent. This part of the study is consistent with the studies of Shirzadi et al. (2018) and Sabouhi and Azadegan (2014) .

Under the policy of lowering crop prices, farmers shift towards higher ‐yielding crops. [Overall, a 75 percent reduction](#page-10-4) [in crop prices](#page-10-10) results in the elimination of wheat, barley, al ‐ falfa, and sorghum from the cropping pattern, with only millet and watermelon remaining with 56.66 and 20 percent reduction in the cropping pattern, respectively. Moreover, under this policy, the water consumed by farmers is saved by 93 percent and added to underground aquifers, reducing the gross in ‐ come of farmers by 85 percent.

In general, by applying all the three afore ‐ mentioned policies, barley and sorghum are removed from the cropping pattern of the re ‐ gion, and the millet and watermelon change the least. The policy of reducing water subsi ‐ dies also has the least reduction in the culti ‐ vated area, the water consumed, and grosses income of the region so that it is more favor ‐ able than the other policies, as well as opti ‐ mizing farmers' social welfare and water use.

Based on the results, the following recom ‐ mendations can be drawn:

Since barley and sorghum show a significant downward trend in all scenarios, they are suggested to be eliminated from the re ‐ gion's cropping pattern and more attention is paid to millet (with a higher yield and lower water use) and watermelon (with a higher yield and lower water use than spring ‐sown alfalfa).

2. It is suggested that the irrigation water subsidy reduction policy be applied to protect the water resources and income of the farm ‐ ers in the study area of Dashtab Baft plain, which considers water reserves, farmers' in ‐ come, and their social welfare in the region.

3. It is suggested that studies be done to re ‐ place crops with high water requirements and low yields like barley, alfalfa, and sorghum, with crops that have low water re ‐ quirements and high yields.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to sincerely thank the judges for their valuable guidance and the opinions of Dashtab Agricultural Jihad orga ‐ nization's experts.

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

Aidam, P.W. (2015). The effect of water ‐pric ‐ ing policy on the demand for water re ‐ sources by farmers in Ghana. *Agricultural* *Water Management, 158*, 10 ‐16.

- Azuara, J.M., Harou, J.J., & Howitt, R.E.( 2009). Estimating economic value of agricultural water under changing conditions and the [effects of spatial aggregation.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#az) *Science of the Total Environment, 408*(23), 1 ‐10.
- B[akhshi, A., Daneshvar Kakhaki, M., &](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#az) [Moghadasi, R. \(2011\). Application of posi](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#az) [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#az) [tive mathematical planning model](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#az) to ana ‐ [lyze the impact of alternative water](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#bakh) [pricing policies in Mashhad plain.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#bakh) *Journal [of Agricultural Economics and Develop](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#bakh) ‑ ment 25*(3), 284 ‐294.
- Br[iscoe, J., \(1996\). Water as an economic](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#bakh) [good: The idea and what it means in prac](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#bakh) ‐ [tice. In: Proceedings of](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#bakh) the World Congress of the International Commission on Irriga ‐ tion and Drainage. Cairo, Egypt, Septem ‐ ber 1996.
- Cortignani, R., & Severini, S. (2009). Modeling farm ‐level adoption of de ficit irrigation using positive mathematical program ‐ ming. *[Agricultural Water Management, 96](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#cs1)* , 1785–1791.
- Gallego ‐[Ayala, J. \(2012\). Selecting irrigation](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#cs1) [water pricing alternatives using a multi](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#cs1) [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#cs1) [methodologi](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#cs1)cal approach. *Mathematical [and Computer Modelling,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ga)* 55(3 ‐4), 861 ‐883[.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ga)
- Gomez ‐ [Limon, J.A., & Riesgo, L. \(2004\). Irri](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ga) ‐ [gation water pricing: Differential effects](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ga) on irrigated farms. *[Agricultural Economics,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#ga) 31*(1), 47–66.
- [H](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#go)ellegers, P., & Davidson, B. (2010). Deter [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#go) [mining the disaggregated economic value](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#go) [of irrigation w](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#go)ater in the Musi sub ‐basin in India. *Agricultural Water Management, 97*, 933 ‐938.
- H[ellegers, p. \(2002\). Treating water in irri](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hd) [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hd) [gated agriculture as an economic good,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hd) [economics of](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hd) water and agriculture work ‐ shop. Rehovot, Israel.
- [H](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hp1)e, L., Tyner, W.E., Doukkali, R., & Siam, G[.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hp1) [\(2006\). Policy options to improve water](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hp1) [allocation efficiency: a](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#hp1)nalysis on Egypt and Morocco. *[Water International, 31](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH)*(2), 320– 337.
- Howitt, R., Medellin ‐[Azuara, J., MacEwan, D.,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH) [& Lund, R. \(2012\). Calibrating disaggre](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH) ‐

<span id="page-10-8"></span><span id="page-10-6"></span><span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>gate economic models of agricultural pro ‐ duction and water management. *Science of the Environmental Modelling and Software, 38*, 244 ‐258.

- Howitt, R. (2005). Agricultural and environ ‐ mental policy models: Calibration, estima ‐ tion, and optimization. Dept. of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Uni ‐ versity of California, Davis, Edward Elga[r](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH) [Publishing Company, USA.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH)
- Heckelei, T. (2002). *[Calibration and estima](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH) [‑](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH) [tion of programming model](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HH)s for agricul ‑ tural supply analysis*. Faculty of Agriculture [Rheinische Friedrich Wilhelms University](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HC) Bonn, Germany.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>H[owitt, R.E. \(1995\). Positive mathematical](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HC) programming. *[American Journal of Agricul](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HC) [‑](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HC) [tural Economics,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HC) 77*, 329 ‐342.
- [Rahnama, A., Kohansal, M.R., & Durandish, A.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HOW11) [\(2012\). Estimating the economic value of](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HOW11) [water using positive mathem](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#HOW11)atical plan ‐ [ning approach in Quchan City.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#rah) *Journal of Agricultural Economics, 6* (4), 150 ‐133.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Sh[irzadi, S., Sabouhi, M., Davari, K., & Keikha,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#rah) [A.A. \(2018\). The effect of irrigation water](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#rah) [pricing policy on the level of groundwat](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#rah)er [level in Neyshabur catchment.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#SH) *Agricultural [Economics Research, 10](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#SH)* (3), 220 ‐187.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Sa[bouhi, m., & Azadegan, a. \(2014\). estima](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#SH) [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#SH) [tion of dynamic supply functions of major](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#SH) [crops and analysis of impacts of irriga](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#SH)tion water pricing policy: A Case study of Mashhad ‐Chenaran Plain. *Journal Econom [‑](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#aza) [ics and Agricultural Development, 28](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#aza)* (2)[,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#aza) 196 ‐185.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span>Sh[ahroudi, A., & Chizari, M. \(2009\). Analysis](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#aza) [of behavioral domains of agriculture in](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#aza) [Khorasan](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#aza) Razavi province optimum con ‐ tribution in the [field of agricultural water:](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) [Comparison of participants and non](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) ‐par [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) [ticipants in cooperative water use.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) *Iranian [Agricultural Extension and Education Sci](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) [‑](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) ences, 2*, 81 ‐98.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span>Sa[bouhi, M., Soltani, G., & Zibaie, M. \(2007\).](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) [Investigation of the impact of irrigation](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha) [water price cha](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sha)nges on private and social bene [fits using positive mathematical plan](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sab) ‐ ning model. *[Journal of Agricultural Science](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sab)*

*and Technology*, 1, 71 ‐53.

- Perry, C.J. (2001). Charging for irrigation water: The issues and options, with a case [study from Iran, Internatio](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#sab)nal Water Man ‐ [agement Institute, Research Report No. 52.](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#pc1)
- Pa[ris, Q., & Howitt R.E. \(1998\). An analysis of](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#pc1) ill ‐[posed production problems using Max](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#pc1) ‐ imum Entropy. *[American Journal of Agri](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#pc1) ‑ cultural Economics, 80*(1), 124 ‐138.
- Youse [fi, A., & Khalilian, S., & Balali, H. \(2012\).](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#PH) [Investigation importance strategic of](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#PH) [water resource in Iran economic us](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#PH)ing of general balance model. *Journal of Agricul ‑ [tural Economics and Development, 1](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#you)*, 109 [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#you) 120.
- Z[hou, Q., Wu, F., & Zhang, Q. \(2015\). Is irriga](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#you) [‐](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#you) [tion water price an effective leverage for](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#you) [wate](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#you)r management? An empirical study in the middle reaches of the Heihe River Basin. *Physics and Chemistery of the Earth* [,](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#zo) [Parts A/B/C. 89](file:///C:/Users/31325720/Desktop/rewise/rewer1.docx#zo)-90: 25-32.

#### **How to cite this article:**

Mousapour, S., Hashemitabar, M. & Safdari, M. (2021). Impacts of Iranian agricultural water resources conservation policies (Case of Baft County in Dashtab Plain) . *International Journal of Agricultural Management and Development, 11*(2), 313 ‐324*.*  **DOR: 20.1001.1.21595852.2021.11.2.4.8**

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>